meister10.htm

Home"" """"> ar.cn.de.en.es.fr.id.it.ph.po.ru.sw

# New Energy Technology (PACE, 1990, 60 p.)

- 🖹 (introduction...)
- Introduction
- Acknowledgements
- Fundamentals
  - The scientific basis for tapping energy in the
    - vacuum
  - Strategic patenting for the inventor
  - Maxwell's lost unified field theory of
    - electromagnetics and gravitation
  - Orgone energy as a motor force
  - The Hutchison effect a lift and disruption system
  - Developments in inertial thrust
  - "EZKL" Energy zoned kinetic leverage: next generation propulsion system
  - The structuring of fluidic materials by crystals

# □ Clean energy developments

Solar energy - The nature of natural and "EWEC" solar collectors

The electro-resonance generator

Advances with Viktor Schauberger's implosion system

Plastic engine technology

A novel D.C. motor

Permanent magnet motors - A brief overview

Aneutronic energy - Search for nonradioactive nonproliferating nuclear power

LUMELOID\* solar plastic film and LEPCON\* submicron dipolar antennae on glass

Charged aerosol air purifiers for the suppression of acid rain

Clean engines - A combination of advanced materials and a new engine design

Developments on the flexible mirror

meister10.htm

Wireless transmission of electrical energy

Extracting electromagnetic energy from the nonlinear Earth as a self-pumped phase conjugate mirror

The distribution of electrical power by means of terrestrial cavity resonator modes

Wireless transmission of power - resonating planet Earth

A quarter-wave coaxial cavity as a power processing plant

<u>Home</u>"" """"> <u>ar.cn.de.en.es.fr.id.it.ph.po.ru.sw</u>

2

New Energy Technology (PACE, 1990, 60 p.)

- (introduction...)
  - Introduction
  - Acknowledgements
  - Fundamentals
  - Clean energy developments

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

# □ Wireless transmission of electrical energy

## Dr. A. MICHROWSKI Editor

THE PLANETARY ASSOCIATION for CLEAN ENERGY, Incorporated

**OTTAWA**, Canada

ISBN 0 - 919969 - 22 - 4

© Planetary Association for Clean Energy, Inc. 1990, 1994

Printed in Canada 01

Ville de Hull

**Cabinet du Maire** 

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

Consciente de la porte d'un mouvement comme la Socit plantaire pour l'assainissement de l'nergie, auquel elle souscrit sans hsitation, la ville de Hull reconnat d'emble la ncessit d'une dmarche oriente vers la sauvegarde et le dveloppement de l'environnement et de son assainissement.

La situation actuelle de la plante exige une prise en main immdiate par tous ses habitants.

La dsertification, l'amincissement de la couche d'ozone, la destruction de la fores tropicale, les effets pernicieux des pluies acides, autant de problmes criants sur lesquels l'humanit doit se percher avant d'arriver a un stade de dtrioration environnementale de non retour.

Seule la mise en commun de tous les intervenants et la sensibilisation tous azymuts des profanes cet tat de fait nous permettront de mener une offensive et esprer un revirement de la situation que nous avons cre.

Le rapprochement entre tous et chacun et les changes multiplis

meister10.htm

qu'il est impratif d'entreprendre, paveront sans aucun doute la vole l'mergence de solutions a la mesure de la gravit des questions que se pose la Socit moderne.

La ville de Hull ritre donc son appui et son soutien la Socit plantaire pour l'assainissement de l'nergie considrant son intervention indispensable et l'encourage fortement poursuivre sa dmarche humanitaire.

Le maire,

**Michel Lgre** 

Maison du Citoyen 25 rue Laurier Hull (Qubec) JBX 400 (819) 595-7100

.

<u>Home</u>"" """"> <u>ar.cn.de.en.es.fr.id.it.ph.po.ru.sw</u>

meister10.htm

# New Energy Technology (PACE, 1990, 60 p.)

(introduction...)

Introduction

Acknowledgements

Fundamentals

□ Clean energy developments

□ Wireless transmission of electrical energy

Introduction

In the mid-1970s we established a challenging and restrictive definition for "clean energy systems." These would be systems, it was postulated, which draw on natural supply, which do not leave residue, which are inexpensive and which are universally applicable. It was considered that the list of requisite conditions was necessary in order to face the challenge of restoring a balance between human beings and Nature.

More than a decade later, working or theoretical models have in

fact emerged and they seem to meet such criteria and some of these are presented in this book, often for the first time ever to the general public.

The mere existence of these technological opportunities presents a promising prospect that the current world-wide environmental and economic crisis might be turned around within a reasonable timeframe. When these technologies were the subject of the 1988 Third international New energy technology symposium/exhibition held in Hull, Quebec, conferees adopted a hopeful statement of Conclusions and Recommendations which read:

The prospect for new clean energy sources is extremely promising and what is now needed is open-minded assessment by the scientific and industrial communities and a willingness to fund practical R & D and applications based on the evidence presented at this Symposium.

We note that simultaneously with the Third International New energy technology Symposium/exhibition, the World Conference

on the Changing Atmosphere was being held in Toronto, organized by Environment Canada, with support from the United Nations Committee on the Greenhouse Effect, chaired by Dr. Kenneth Hare, who is chairman of Canada's Climate Program Planning Board.

The Toronto Conference addressed the profoundly dangerous problems of the deterioration of the Earth's environment as the "Greenhouse Effect" becomes recognized as a reality.

We note that the Toronto World Conference on the Changing Atmosphere recommended the abolition of the use of fossil fuels (the burning of which, by releasing carbon dioxide, increases the "Greenhouse Effect", raising atmospheric temperatures and contributing to droughts and possible large-scale disaster).

The assembly at the Third international New energy technology Symposium/exhibition declares that, "we believe that revolutionary technologies which permit a gradual transition from current polluting energy sources can be developed in time, based on what was presented and demonstrated at our

meister10.htm

## conference.

Specifically the Canadian Planetary Association for Clean Energy and the UK Advanced Energy Research Institute recommend the international promotion and funding of the crucial developments of the following Symposium highlights:

1) Revolutionary solar cell technology, based on inexpensive plastic film (by Dr. Alvin Marks, inventor of Polaroid film), could bring solar cell prices down to 5% of the current level, making them commercially feasible and widely available at last; - the UK development of accurate, flexible mirrors for solar ray concentration - and the Marks Charged Aerosol Device inexpensively retrofitted to industrial smokestacks and chimneys to clean up emissions which contribute to "Acid Rain";

2) UK breakthroughs in low-cost new methods for manufacturing high-output, efficient thermocouples to turn waste heat from utility and industrial plants into electricity;

3) The "MigmaCell", which may make feasible small-scale non-

radioactive fusion, now 4/5 complete (by Dr. Bogdan Maglich of Princeton);

4) Recent developments in new energies from magnetic fields, the vacuum of space-time, and the emerging ether physics (focused by Dr. Harold Aspden, former head of IBM European patenting);

5) Demonstrated new uses of energy for novel modes of propulsion using internal inertial thrust with potential for land, sea, air, space utilization;

6) The potential for transmitting electricity to any part of the earth without wires, with negligible losses and capital costs (with construction of a pilot plant underway).

We therefore recommend the development of these new energy technologies as viable, potential solutions to the environmental crisis spotlighted by the World Conference on the Changing Atmosphere, suggesting urgent funding by private industry and by national and international organizations.

We also recommend the funding of the study of the impact of the potential new energy solutions on the economy of our world for the future. We believe that the outlines are now visible of the shape of a future with low-cost, clean, abundant energy and recommend that all appropriate governmental and private organizations hasten to the task of developing and implementing such systems, lest we further increase the damage already done.

In fact these and other technologies and their related issues as discussed in this book provide enough plausible information upon which an assessment might be forecasted of what a "clean energy" future might portend.

Reduced energy costs and accrued world-wide availability of the new, clean energy technology should instill healthy effects in sustainable global economic development and in peace and security matters.

Annually, the world economy could save about US \$ 400 billion (1988 dollars) in decreased capital costs, increased revenues, accelerated debt reductions because of greater efficiencies in

energy production, transmission and distribution. Further savings could also be found in the use of better motors, in lesser dependency on such secondary products as tires, in sales of lands and properties set aside commercial energy generation, transmission and distribution. These particular economic advantages might not be recurring.

To better understand the implication of large-scale implementation of clean energy systems, the case of the United States commercial electrical energy consumption could be used as an example. In 1987, US electricity consumption was 28 quadrillion BTUs ("quads"), but only 8 quads were sold to consumers -- the remainder, 20 guads, or almost 30% of the entire US primary energy consumption, was used to generate, transmit and distribute the electricity. In fact North American power companies lose between 2.5 to 3.5 more energy than they deliver. These companies also face the overwhelming prospect of having to overhaul about half of their aging generating and transmission facilities during the 1990s and not being able to find the necessary capital. So it is in gaps such as these that

meister10.htm

clean energy systems can make major and visible inroads.

Other areas of progress might involve sensible improvements in transportation and industrial consumption.

Savings from the implementation of new, clean energy systems could not only offset the tremendous costs of world-wide environmental clean-up (estimated to cost from \$ 50 billion to \$ 150 billion annually till the year 2000) but also improve the socio-economic welfare and physical well-being of individuals and nations. Some of the most remarkable improvements are forecastable in the energy-poor Third World countries. This kind of improvement could be beneficial in easing numerable peace and security tensions, which are often the motive for much military arms spending - one of the greater economic burdens in many nations.

There are a number of still little understood spin-offs possible from clean technology. For example the MigmaCell's technological principles could become the basis for the deactivation of toxic nuclear wastes and by-products, probably at

meister10.htm

minute costs. Tesla technology might be used for the deliberate and remotely-controlled zonal repair of the ozone layer.

The technology related to the structuring of water might introduce the universal availability of clean water as well as very advanced artificial intelligence units.

Indeed, the new, clean energy technology may be a very wise choice as well as inexpensive and highly competitive compared to the currently applied and researched technologies.

A. Michrowski



D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

Fundamentals

<sup>
Clean</sup> energy developments

Acknowledgements

This effort has been made possible through individual and public donations. Individuals who have donated to this initiative (in alphabetical order) include: David Bird, Barbara B. Bronfman, P. Brown, David Geldart, Dr. Luisa Lunelli, Dr. Srecko Pregelj and Richard J. Reynolds 111. Organizational support has been provided by the Hon. Dan Haley, Leonard Holihan and Prof. Jim Monroe.

Publicly funded support has been granted by the City of Hull, Quebec, the British Council and the Government of Canada.

The development of this text has been made possible by Louise Dagenais, Penny Geldart, Monique Michaud and Marion Van

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

20/10/2011
Goudover.





<u>Home</u>"" """"> <u>ar.cn.de.en.es.fr.id.it.ph.po.ru.sw</u>

P	🛄 New Energy Technology (PACE, 1990, 60 p.)
	→ □ Fundamentals
	The scientific basis for tapping energy in the
	vacuum
	Strategic patenting for the inventor
	Maxwell's lost unified field theory of
	electromagnetics and gravitation
	Orgone energy as a motor force
	The Hutchison effect - a lift and disruption system
	Developments in inertial thrust
	"EZKL" - Energy zoned kinetic leverage: next
	generation propulsion system
	The structuring of fluidic materials by crystals

meister10.htm

# New Energy Technology (PACE, 1990, 60 p.)

**Fundamentals** 

The scientific basis for tapping energy in the vacuum

Harold Aspden Department of Electrical Engineering University of Southampton SOUTHAMPTON SO9 5NH United Kingdom

The thought of extracting energy from a hidden but active field environment more plentiful than air or water is rejected outright by orthodox scientists. Yet there are sound scientific reasons for expecting that we should be able to extract "free energy" from that hidden field.

The Zero-point energy field

In 1987, a Senior Research Fellow at the institute for Advanced

Studies at Austin, Texas circulated a paper that had just appeared in the Physical Review (1). It was entitled, "Ground state of hydrogen as a zero-point state". It spoke of the energy of these fluctuations, but nothing in the paper would excite the interest of a "free energy" enthusiast; the paper had to be acceptable to a scientific community hostile to such ideas, otherwise it may never have been published.

What is more revealing are the words in the special summary of the paper that its author, Dr. Harold E. Puthoff, also distributed. Quoting from the summary:

"One of the more bizarre predictions of modern quantum theory is that each cubic centimeter of space, including that of the most pristine vacuum of outer space, contains an enormous amount of untapped electromagnetic energy known as zero-point energy (it is the zero-point from which all other energies are measured). The amount of energy associated with this (usually unobserved) background is conservatively estimated to be of the order of nuclear energy densities or greater."

Dr. Puthoff goes on to explain how theorists have tended to question the enormity of the energy density involved but how, over the years, the discovery of the Casimir force and other effects have given the quantitative verification. The Casimir force is a force found to exist between closely-spaced metal plates. The force results from unbalanced pressures in the zeropoint field due to the presence of the plates. Dr. Puthoff's own paper announced another indication of the physical reality of this "ubiquitous" energy field, by showing how it accounted for the stability of matter at the atomic level. In his summary, he commented on this with the concluding words:

"The significance of this observation is the understanding that the very stability of matter itself depends upon, and verifies the presence of, an underlying sea of electromagnetic energy of almost inconceivable magnitude, a vast reservoir of random energy that is universally present throughout space."

Now, Dr. Puthoff is not alone in researching this subject. There are many university scientists throughout the world who are working on the underlying stochastic electrodynamics. Sadly,

however, many of these researchers are led to conclusions which are not to the liking of others in the scientific community, particularly those who treasure the sterile mathematical abstractions of Einstein's model of space.

One particular conclusion, which has been the basis of my own interest for more than 30 years, is the realization that when an electron responds to an electric field it moves exactly in such a way that it conserves the energy involved in this process. The isolated electron or any such fundamental charge does not, in my opinion, radiate energy. This is consistent with quantum theory and the result deduced by Dr. Puthoff from the atomic electron interactions with the zero-point energy background, but I see this in a different context. It is the fundamental basis of the inertial property of that electron. Its mass is nothing other than a measure of its response when affected by an energy field. By conserving energy, that electron moves as if it has just the mass or inertia that assures field fluctuations consistent with overall energy conservation.

Obviously, this argument leads to a formula relating both the

meister10.htm

mass and the energy of that electron with the propagation speed of the fluctuation involved. It leads to:

 $E = mc^2$ 

but without requiring Einstein's theory. Though I discovered this over 30 years ago, it was not until 1976 that I found a scientific journal willing to publish such a claim (2). It was heresy to suggest that the famous Einstein formula might have a simple physical basis unconnected with the doctrines preached by Einstein. Such is the faith in Einstein's theory, that the vast majority of journal referees will only allow publications to trespass into relativistic territory when the scientific ideas advanced glorify Einstein's methods. There must be so many scientists who have had their ideas totally surpressed by the unfair attitudes of those who seek to preserve a status quo. Yet any aspect of the scientific status quo is only worth preserving if it can survive criticism. Sadly, that criticism is suppressed and the message to the public is therefore clear: Einstein's theory has weaknesses which those who speak for it cannot see, but yet they sense this, and their inbred instincts cause them to fear

the consequences of embroilment to the point where they are ready to fight off any intruder.

I conclude this introductory comment by stressing that the vacuum is full of energy ready to be tapped, if only we can find the right techniques, and I express the view that those who control research funding are ensnared by the adverse doctrines of Einstein's theory. How can one think otherwise when, in 1988, a leading scientist, now retired from a lifelong service at the UK National Physical Laboratory, in which he measured those quantities that are so germane to Einstein's theory, time and the speed of light, published an article in which he called Einstein's theory a "swindle".(3) In an earlier article, again not written until after he was retired, the same author, Dr. Louis Essen, the pioneer of the Caesium atomic clock, had declared that the hope for the future lay in supporting those who reject Einstein's theory and search for that hidden energy that pervades the vacuum state (4).

Is there an ether?

The orthodox scientist will tell you that there is no ether because of an experiment performed by Michelson and Morley in 1887. A more informed scientist might add that a further experiment performed by Trouton and Noble in 1903 (5) is equally relevant in proving that there is no ether. To these scientists one can now say: "Get with it, there is something wrong with the theory of both of these experiments and a new experiment has now taken over".

An experiment has been performed in the State of Washington by E. W. Silvertooth, another retiree, but in this case from a senior position in which he exercised his expert knowledge of optical systems. The experiment was first reported in 1986 in the journal, Nature (6) and then more fully elsewhere (7) in 1987. Silvertooth avoids the retro-reflections of the Michelson-Morley experiment and uses a transparent photo-detector in linear translational motion relative to the optical source to scan along a beam set up by interfering rays coming through one another from opposite directions. He finds that the single laser apparatus he now uses can sense, in an enclosed laboratory, our

meister10.htm

motion through space in the direction of Constellation Leo at nearly 400 km/s. This is what both Michelson and Morley, and Trouton and Noble were trying to do in their experiments.

The Michelson-Morley experiment did not work because the retro-reflection set up standing waves that were locked onto the mirror surfaces and so their energy was dragged along by the apparatus. That energy affected the speed of light in those standing wave components along the beam. Michelson and Morley did not know about those standing wave properties, because standing waves as such were only discovered by Wiener. The Trouton-Noble experiment did not work because it assumed the Lorentz force law applies to non-circuital charge motion, whereas it is empirically based on effects that demand such a circuital charge motion. The experiment does not involve circuital charge motion.

These are, however, specialist points that are best discussed elsewhere. From our point of view here it suffices to declare that Silvertooth has detected our motion through the ether and so we can now begin to think of the properties of a real ether in which

meister10.htm

those zero-point energy fluctuations are seated.

1987 was the centennial year of the Michelson-Morley experiment. It gave me the occasion of mentioning the Silvertooth experiment in a letter published in Physics Today (8). It is claimed that Silvertooth's experiment has been repeated by Marinov (9) and that the positive result is confirmed. Also, a further repeat of the experiment is being considered at this time on the initiative of Professor R. Monti in Bologna, Italy.

It should not therefore be too long before we see the consequences of the detection of the ether rumbling through the orthodoxy of modern science. Undoubtedly there will be efforts to patch up the relativistic doctrine in some way, but by then the new research opportunities will have outpaced mere theory and we will, I trust, have broken through into the new world that recognizes the hidden energy field.

The Gyromagnetic anomalies

Magnetism is a phenomenon that features prominently in devices aimed at extracting free energy from the vacuum medium. It has long been realized that there are certain anomalies in magnetism evident in gyromagnetic reactions.

The orthodox scientist will tell you that an electron is a point charge that spins to set up a quantum of spin magnetic moment. How a point can spin is something that stretches the imagination. It takes one into that fuzzy and abstract world that exists only in the mind of the mathematician. How a point charge can spin and yet set up a related magnetic effect that somehow depends upon motion relative to the observer is even more taxing on the imagination. For these reasons I say to the orthodox scientist: "Think again and figure out something better".

The whole problem centres on the factor of two. When the magnetism in a steel rod is suddenly reversed the rod has a tendency to rotate about its axis. If mounted so as to rotate freely, the reversal of magnetism produces a measured angular motion. This corresponds to exactly half that expected if we

suppose that magnetism comes from the orbital motion of electrons. The factor of half or two, if the formulae are inverted, is anomalous and has led to a fanciful relativistic based mathematical interpretation for which the Nobel prizewinner Paul Dirac is famous.

Let us think again on this matter. When we consider how energy travels between two interacting electric charges that are subject to an instantaneously-acting electric field, we realize that energy has to travel a certain distance commensurate with the distance between the charges in order to feed the kinetic energy of those charges. This takes a little time and it is convenient to imagine that the energy does travel at the speed of light. In this way, it can be shown that the energy in transit accounts for the magnetic interaction of two moving charges.

The key to understanding this process is to regard that zeroenergy in the ether as a source of energy locally. Thus, when the instantaneous action between the two charges says that they have altered their relative positions, owing to their motion, their kinetic energies adjust by energy exchange involving the local

zero-point energy background. Such energy is "radiation" energy and it communicates momentum and so force in relation to the energy involved as divided by the speed of light. The total energy in transit is measured by the transit time and the rate at which time is the separation distance divided by the speed of light. Accordingly, the overall force effect that accounts for the electrodynamic action is related to the electrostatic Coulomb force as divided by the speed of light squared and proportional to the square of the separation speed.

The point of this argument is to show how vital that background energy in the ether is to the physical justification for the electrodynamic action. Now this ignores any reaction in the ether apart from its role in providing an energetic environment and in determining the speed of light, but we see ether as containing electric charge itself in some kind of neutral composition. Therefore, this electrodynamic action between charges can never occur in isolation without inducing secondary reaction either in the ether or in any enveloping substance, such as by free electrons in the steel rod just mentioned. Detailed

analysis then shows that the optimum reaction will halve any magnetic effect produced by the primary action. This brings us to a position where the ether has to be seen as having special properties which enhance the primary field effects just enough to keep the energy balance. The magnetic energy is stored in the reacting system and resultant fields conform with the unity state that we associate with a true vacuum.

In spite of this neutralizing action, we are still left with an observable gyromagnetic effect that has double the magnetic strength for the same mechanical action. This is exactly what is found if we assume that magnetism in a steel rod is due to orbital electron motion.

In summary we can say that Dirac's spin interpretation cannot be used to reject ideas about the orbital nature of ferromagnetism and that there is an essential reaction density trapped in any magnetic field. The question then is whether this energy can be extracted.

Well, of course, it can. Imagine that we supply current to

magnetize a solenoid. The energy fed into the inductive field is stored somewhere and we do not go into that in detail in our textbooks. However, it really is stored in that reacting charge motion that sets up the half-canceling back-field. Thus when the solenoid is switched off it is this reacting charge that feeds energy back to the solenoid circuit. Now ask what happens if that reaction is in a substance and involves electrons in free motion. The energy of that motion is part of their thermal energy. So if we switch off the solenoid containing a core of such material we will actually extract heat energy from that material.

So this tells us that if we energize a solenoid having a copper core, say, the energy supplied to the coil will all be used to generate heat. Suppose then that we extract that heat and use it efficiently for some useful purpose. Suppose further that the solenoid itself is superconducting, meaning that there is no continuous energy loss owing to ohmic resistance. Let the core cool to its initial ambient temperature as this heat is extracted. Then let us switch off that D.C. current, de-energizing the

solenoid slowly so as to minimize eddy-current losses. This will return all the inductive energy by extracting it from the thermal activity of the electrons in that copper core.

The energy supplied in the first place was not wasted. It was all available as heat output. Yet much of that energy is returned as electricity when the solenoid is switched off and this energy comes from a cooling of that copper core. We have "free energy" in the sense that ambient heat energy has been tapped to produce a useful energy output.

The orthodox scientist and also the orthodox engineer will suggest that this is not really practical but that is not our immediate concern since we seek here to make a point of principle. "Free energy" can be accessed by tapping the thermal background. Now let us go just a little further with this argument and say that the magnetic field produced by the solenoid is so powerful that when the energy is extracted from the reacting electrons in the copper core the energy drawn out exceeds all the thermal energy of that core. What would happen? Would the core cool to absolute zero, that is minus 273

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

degrees Centigrade? Perhaps, but in addition could we not expect to find that this process might well draw on that zeropoint energy in the background vacuum field?

I can only theorize about the answers to these questions but I submit that one day, if we do get strong room temperature superconductors that can withstand very high magnetic fields, we will get to those answers in a way that could lead to practical devices. In the meantime, let us here remember that orthodox scientists do use the technique of adiabatic demagnetization to achieve supercooling to very low temperatures. What is proposed should not, therefore, be seen as pseudo-science. Furthermore, it is known from experiment that the application of short duration intense magnetic fields (several hundred kilogauss) to copper cores can reveal that they have momentarily experienced a near-molten state from which they were spontaneously cooled when the magnetization pulse terminated. The technological challenge is to get that heat energy out whilst the pulse is on and before the cooling phase begins as the pulse subsides.

I could give many references that bear on what has just been said, but will just mention one, namely my own discussion of this subject in a book dated 1969 (10). The book aroused no particular interest, possibly because its title was "Physics without Einstein", but in a world intent on discovering new sources of energy it is surprising that what I said on this subject has not been investigated or, at least, contradicted by now. I mention also that my Ph.D., which was based on experimental research at Cambridge in England, was for work on the anomalous magnetic reaction effects induced in ferromagnetic substances. That research did not extend to the ideas just presented, but it gave me a relevant scientific background and so a basic confidence in what I was later to propose.

## **Conductivity anomalies**

The scientific world has been shaken by the recent discovery of "warm" superconductivity. Superconductivity at temperatures in excess of liquid nitrogen temperature is a phenomenon that defies the orthodox scientific expectation. The questions we should be asking are whether we are looking at zero electrical

conductivity or negative electrical conductivity. The latter would imply a source of "free energy", whereas the former merely is a state of no ohmic loss.

It is conceivable to have particles such as electrons or even protons traveling through conductors and not causing thermal oscillations that imply heat loss. Therefore, logically we must be looking at a system in which there is a transfer of the heat energy associated with the random motion of the atoms that make up the conductor to an ordered motion of the charges carrying the current. Superconductivity sets in when the breakeven point is reached and more energy is fed from the thermal condition to the current condition than is dissipated as ohmic loss and so fed back into heat.

This is how I, as a non-expert on matters relating to superconductivity, must view the whole process. It follows that the question of interest to me is what happens if those "warm" superconductors are operated at much lower temperatures than that of the threshold level. My hope is that the circuit might develop an EMF of its own and so supply "free energy" by

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

#### meister10.htm

feeding a current which can be used in an ohmic load, energy which is sourced in the heat of that superconductive element. This imaginary device would need to be cooled down to prime it for operation and would need to have a current fed through it also to prime it for operation, but, once primed, it could continue to feed current to a load and at the same time cool itself to remain superconductive. Indeed, to keep it operative and feeding current one would need to allow some ambient heat energy to reach the superconductive element, but only at such a rate that is needed to sustain the electrical output.

The orthodox scientist would say that this is a pipe dream Certainly it cannot work according to the second law of thermodynamics, though it does satisfy the first law. Be this as it may, in advising on entrepreneurial activity into new and safe sources of energy, I would not recommend turning away anyone who claimed that he or she could demonstrate a source of electrical energy from a primed conductor system fed only on ambient heat.

One may also wonder whether what scientists regard as

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm
superconductivity is really an essential preliminary to this prospect of "free energy" from a conductor device. We know of thermoelectric phenomena in conductors comprising junctions between dissimilar metals. In a sense these different junctions exhibit positive and negative resistance. A positive resistance produces heat in absorbing electrical power and a negative resistance cools down in supplying such electrical power. By appropriate selection of metals and operating temperatures of the junctions, one can wonder whether we may be able to fabricate a circuit in which the negative resistance junctions are more effective than the positive resistance junctions.

The result could be a solid-state device which can feed a steady supply of electricity by drawing on heat at the ambient air temperature.

Another version of the same pipe dream? There are certain scientific factors that need looking into from an experimental point of view, but there is nevertheless a sufficient scientific basis in such a "free energy" proposition to warrant the investment involved. It is probable that the Second Law of

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

Thermodynamics will not yield ground on the "free energy" issue, but we must at least try to penetrate that barrier. At the very least I expect that we will eventually discover thermoelectric techniques by which to derive electrical power efficiently from low temperature differential and so gain our energy at the expense of the atmospheric conditions.

## **Other developments**

Space does not permit discussion of the possibility of deriving "free energy" from special kinds of electric motor. Nor does it allow discussion as part of this paper of the current interest in gyroscopic propulsion, which brings with it the prospect of levitation and so energy saving in a new means of transportation.

It is however, appropriate to mention that there is a scientific basis for suspecting that energy can be transferred to and from that zero-point energy in the vacuum field by techniques involving electric motor generators. In evaluating any claims of "free energy" machines of this kind, one should be prepared to give more credibility to the inventor who says his machine has also the surprising property of being able to lose energy. By "free energy" we think of a machine that is more than 100 per cent efficient, but we should also have in mind the machine that is less than 0% efficient. A plausible machine would be one that is reversible to work in either way, that is control the energy transfer from and to that zero-point background.

The secret of such a device will surely be based upon the role of that zero-point vacuum state in determining the Planck quantum of action. This is what governs the quanta of energy radiation across empty space, the so-called photons. It is also what sets the magnetic polarization on a per atom basis of the ferromagnetic substances used in our electrical machines. However, what "free energy" inventors must realize is that the ferromagnet is intrinsically always magnetically saturated. All we do in magnetizing it is to re-orientate the microscopic domains within the substance. This hardly affects the magnetic energy density in these domains, at least for the level of polarizing fields used in most practical machines. Consequently,

there seems no basis for extracting energy from that zero-point field.

This having been said, imagine that we do force a much higher level of magnetization so that those quantized orbital electrons do draw on the zero-point energy to help to power the forces acting between the poles of an air gap. Having done this, imagine what happens if we tap that energy in the air gap, using it to drive a motor, whilst the magnetizing current is switched on. Surely those orbital electrons in the ferromagnet will make their own contribution to the energy in the air gap, just as the supplied magnetizing current will feed in some energy. Then, with the poles having moved close together, let us switch off the current. I suspect that energy used as output will then have transferred from those two sources, the magnetizing circuit and the zero-point vacuum field, but only the part needed to sustain the inductive reaction effects in the magnetic core will be recovered.

In summary, I subscribe to the view that there could be ways of designing electrical machines which can transfer energy either

way between the zero-point vacuum field and our material environment. "Free energy" in this sense is a distinct possibility and thinkers in this field should not be deterred by the opinions of orthodox scientists who have heard of Einstein but have not heard of that vast reservoir of zero-point energy.

References

- 1. Puthoff, H. E., Physical Review D., 35, 3266 (1987).
- 2. Aspden, H., Int. Jour. Theor. Phys., 15, 631 (1976).

**3.** Essen, L., Electronics and Wireless World, 64, 44 (October 1978).

4. Essen, L., Electronics and Wireless World, 94, 126 (February 1988).

5. Trouton, F. T. and R. H. Noble, Proceedings of the Royal Society, 72, 132 (1903).

- 6. Silvertooth, E. V., Nature, 332, 590 (1986).
- 7. Silvertooth, E. V., Speculations in Science and Technology, 10, 3 (1987).
- 8. Aspden, H., Physics Today, 41, 132 (March 1988).
- 9. Marinov, S., in: "Progress in Space-Time Physics 1987", J. P.

meister10.htm

## Wesley, Editor, Benjamin Wesley, Federal Republic of Germany, pp 16-31 (1987). 10. H. Aspden, "Physics without Einstein", Sabberton, Southampton, pp. 27-37 (1969).

Strategic patenting for the inventor

Harold Aspden Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Southampton, SOUTHAMPTON SO9 5NH United Kingdom

Free inventors and those who invest in their inventions often have very little appreciation of the values and limitations of patent coverage. This paper presents a personal perspective by someone having extensive experience in a corporate patent environment, but who also has developed a strong scientific interest in electromagnetic phenomena and energy technology. The views expressed are a statement of the strategy the author would pursue if he were to invent a new energy device and had

meister10.htm

limited backing. These views do not constitute professional advice and should not be seen regarded as such.

Introducing an Invention

Imagine that you made an invention. You have invented a new machine that is able to deliver electrical power from the air temperature fluctuations in the local environment. Your machine is "clean" in every respect. It is solid state, involves no harmful chemicals, meets any safety standard and involves only modest production facilities. It does, however, involve a new physical process which, once publicized, would make it relatively easy for others to devise alternative ways of performing the same function.

Your position is that you are a "free inventor", which means, for example, that you are not tied to a corporate entity so far as this particular invention is concerned and can invent on your own behalf. You naturally want the world at large to enjoy the benefits that your invention offers, but you expect to receive public recognition for your achievement and you hope to become

meister10.htm

very rich in the process. You have reached Stage I by working out how such a machine can be built.

Now, at this stage, it is of vital importance to be sure that your machine, as conceived, will work in practice. Stage II is the stage at which you are standing in front of a working device. You may well feel sure that your "paper proposal" must work, but that is not the same as knowing it will work and it leaves you far short of being able to demonstrate that working machine to a would-be backer. So, what is your course of action, assuming you need financial backing to reach Stage II?

Very probably no financial backing will be forthcoming if all you can offer is a theoretical proposition, particularly if you have not taken some steps to secure a priority date for a patent and verify that what you propose is really new.

**The Patent Application** 

How do you know that your "invention" has really not been thought of before? Well, you say, "if it had, then we would see it

### meister10.htm

used and would all be enjoying a higher standard of living." This attitude invites the comment that it might well have been thought of before and it may even have been covered in a published patent specification but yet it has not found commercial use, simply because it is not commercially viable.

Still, you cannot let go of a good idea and you are obliged, indeed driven by internal forces, to proceed further. Whether you find a backer before or after you initiate the patent process, and before or after you reach Stage II by building a working machine, you should, in your own interests, do something to secure that basic patent protection.

This involves filing a patent application at a Patent Office and it is here that you will be seeking professional advice on the preparation of the patent specification. Then your problems begin to mount up. They are no longer just technical problems or financial problems; you have to describe a working machine even if it is only one you imagine and it has to be claimed in a way that gives legal definition to what you have invented. That means that you have to be able to explain to the patent attorney

meister10.htm

the underlying principles of machine operation and how it works. It is his task to write a general description in suitable style and structure the patent claims that will define your monopoly, should the patent be granted.

Now a word of caution. Any suggestion that you have found a really novel Earth-shaking energy conversion process will inevitably attract suspicion, if not scorn. Unless you have a very thorough scientific training in the subject involved, the chances are that what you see as an invention is ill-founded. The odds of success are such that, before proceeding even to arouse outsider interest in the project, common sense requires that you do some experimental tests to verify the foundations of your proposal. Without such evidence or an adequate scientific argument, you have no way of giving the patent attorney the information needed to proceed with a patent application. I will assume, however, that you have overcome that hurdle and can proceed with the patent process.

By filing an application for a patent you:

meister10.htm

(a) create a legal priority date for your rights,

(b) put on public record a declaration of what you believe you have invented and

(c) initiate a process by which you can have an official assessment of the novelty of your invention.

What does that all really mean? Well, it assures that if your patent specification is published your contribution will, at least, be of historical record in public archives. It may not mean fame and fortune, but your contribution is published. It means also that if anyone else tries to patent the same invention at a later time, the fact that your "priority date" is earlier will be effective in limiting that rival action. Of more practical importance is the official patent search that will determine how novel your invention might be.

That search is very important to you and it is particularly important for you to know the result early enough to allow you to determine whether to extend your patent coverage to a multiplicity of countries. You need that information because it is a costly business and there is no point in spending a lot of

meister10.htm

money on patents if your invention lacks novelty, because at the end of the day your patent coverage could be worthless.

So that search data is important in giving confidence to backers who might be putting their money into your project.

To sum up, you have to be able to support an initial patent application to draw an official opinion on the novelty of your invention in time for you to decide how to proceed in get tiny multi-country patent coverage.

### **The Patented Machine**

It is all too easy to think that, if you are working on a prototype machine and you have filed a patent application on that machine, then you have patent cover. You must be aware of the possibility that the machine design that you eventually settle upon and the patent claims to end up with have not moved in opposite directions, leaving you with no real protection at all. I suspect that there are too many instances where the patent expense has gone into getting the same basic patent coverage in a large

number of countries rather than in filing, in just a few countries, a series of improvement patents that keep up with the machine development.

The investor who puts his money into such an invention might think the machine that evolves with that development is covered, merely because there is a long list of national patent application numbers all founded on that same initial patent application. Yet it has to be expected that problems exercising more ingenuity will be encountered in that development. Therefore, it must be expected that more inventions will arise. It may even be that the official patent brings to light earlier proposals which stand in the way of a patent grant, but nevertheless reveal ideas which stimulate improvement of the machine in a way that is itself inventive. So almost inevitably, in the electrotechnical field at least, one should be looking for a way of securing a patent coverage involving several patents based on several inventive features in the same machine.

If, therefore, you are inclined to think along the lines that a particular patent covers a particular machine, you may easily

misunderstand what the patent system is all about. A patent gives you no rights to make any machine, as such. It gives you, instead, the right to stop others from making machines that are copies of your machine or machines of their own design, if those machines happen to include an invention for which your patent was granted. That invention is defined by the legal wording of the claims of your patent and not by the technical description in the specification. If those claims as granted turn out to be very limited in scope, the essential characteristic that you think makes your machine work may not be protected. A single machine can incorporate many inventions and so infringe many patents. So, my point is that, if you are to spend a given number of dollars on patent costs, it can be better to obtain five patents on improvements in, say, three countries than to settle for fifteen patents on one supposedly basic feature, by spreading the cover to fifteen countries.

The Strategy for Patent Coverage

A simple strategy is to solicit plant coverage in one country, namely the US. This can be very rewarding from a license point

of view if the invention is a good one but there is always the risk that the patent may not be granted and, if it is granted, it may be contested. A potential licensee during the patent application stage knowing that you have "all your eggs in one basket" in your US application, may be inclined to wait to see what emerges and whether you are granted a patent at all. By having one or two parallel patent applications in other countries, where different examiners judge your invention and patents can be granted earlier, that potential licensee will be more likely to come to terms at an earlier phase. Furthermore, there is less likelihood that the validity of your patent will be challenged, whether by an infringer or a potential licensee, if it is known that you have several patent applications covering different inventions but all on a related theme of interest. The odds of winning such contests, the time delays involved and the ability of the respective parties to sustain legal expense over a protracted period, are all factors that come to bear in these matters. It is generally better to sit as a patentee holding several patents and patent applications on different but relevant inventions rather than to have extensive world patent coverage

on a single invention. I still qualify this, by reminding you that I am speaking of electrotechnological inventions. In the pharmaceutics, where a single drug patent can cover the composition of one product, patent strategy considerations are very different. We are here concerned with the invention we see as a breakthrough in the energy field and especially one that emerges not from research in the laboratories of a major corporation.

With a limited country patent coverage goes the risk that competitors will be able to manufacture in many countries without worrying about infringement of your patents. You may see this as a lost opportunity. So, let us suppose that you have not sought coverage in Japan or Germany. Both are large markets having extensive manufacturing bases and export capacity and offer great potential for your invention. Patent filing in these countries could be particularly advantageous if you have special reason for thinking that a Japanese or German company is interested in your ideas. However, imagine that you have sought protection only in the US and UK, for example. Your

patent specification will be published by the British Patent Office 18 months after your initial filing date. This is a public disclosure of the description which accompanies your claim for the grant of a patent. The ultimate grant process takes appreciably longer, but that UK Patent Specification will give a measure of your invention by showing the result of the Patent Office search. This can help in interesting licensees. Now, imagine that you can attract some news media publicity for your invention. It comes to the attention of manufacturers looking for new products. A company in Japan or Germany searches the official patent records and sees that the field is clear for local manufacture and sale at least. They decide to build a machine incorporating your invention.

Remember here that it is often not the President or a Board Director of that company that acts in response to your news coverage. If it were that would be more likely to result in your being contacted with a license opportunity in mind. The chances are that one of the technical employees of that company will read about your device in some scientific journal. That may only

seed a technical idea in that person's mind. There will be no special interest in glorifying your work and acclaiming what you have done as a great discovery. Human nature amongst the technically minded can be such that they like to exercise their own creative brain power and that can lead to second hand versions of machines developing from your basic theme. They, in effect, have jumped on your idea and, in the light of some special skill they have, or some special knowledge they have about the technical trends, facilities, etc., within their own company, the scene is set for something similar to your invention to emerge under the house name of that organization.

From your viewpoint it matters not how the seeds you have sown bear their fruit, just so long as something happens. The company, whether Japanese or German, French, Swiss, etc. has no license from you and you have no patent in those countries. Their machine is a commercial success. Your efforts, even to attract a licensee in the UK or US, have failed and your own attempts to build a prototype machine have no commercial payoff. You have the satisfaction that at least that UK Patent

specification shows that you are the true and first inventor and you may have a few newspaper clippings to show to your friends. But, have you really lost out? Then ask whether it is likely that a Japanese or German company, having succeeded in exploiting what is truly your invention, can viably market such a product without wanting to export it to the US and Europe. If they do then they need a license from you to sell in the US or UK No such company can be happy about marketing a product in part of Europe without selling it in the UK International companies cannot abide such distorted market situations, when all that blocks them is a mere need for a patent license. If they do take extreme steps to avoid selling their product in these two countries you still have a course of action. You can point to the success of their product elsewhere to justify the commercial viability of your invention. This will surely attract a strong licensee interest by companies who compete with those Japanese or German interests. There will be interest in obtaining an exclusive license from you. These companies can contemplate worldwide marketing of your invention if they have a license from you for the US and UK. Furthermore, should the Japanese

or German rivals have secured some specific patent coverage on what they are selling, there is always the potential for a crosslicense arrangement favorable to your licensees, thanks to those key patents that you hold in the US and UK.

Had you spent a fortune obtaining patents in numerous countries you might not be much better off at the end of the day, given that your invention succeeds. However, should the invention fail, then you will have saved a very considerable outlay and your backers may still be around to invest in your next speculative invention.

As a "free inventor" in the energy field, which particularly includes an inventor in the "free energy" field, I would therefore save patent expense by very restricted country patent filing and put my money into patenting the succession of developments on the basic theme. Now, I have just mentioned "free energy", that is energy that can come in abundance if we know where to look for it and is free in the sense that it costs very little to transport it or convert it into useful form. Hydroelectric power is "free energy" but there are substantial costs in building a major dam

and the available sites for such facilities are limited. However, it would be an ideal source of relatively cheap energy were it more accessible and plentiful universally.

So my comments now address the special field that many would classify as "perpetual motion". It is generally known that Patent Offices turn their backs on applications which they see as in this category.

**The Perpetual Motion Syndrome** 

If you go to a Patent Office and offer a patent application claiming perpetual motion, you will get the feeling that the official rejection is telling you to get on your machine, set it going in a direction that takes you well away from the Patent Office and keep going. The Patent Office is staffed by reasonable people, but they are protected from wasting their time on crazy ideas, thanks to the rules and regulations prescribed. So my task now is to tell you how that great discovery of yours which does smack of "perpetual motion" might be navigated through Patent Office channels to secure a valid grant.

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

Here, again, I am saying what I would do myself, in the light of my patent knowledge, but I confess that, so far, I have not had the opportunity to practice what I am now about to preach. That great new "free energy" invention is something I have to look forward to having under my control. However, I have heard of others who believe they have made such inventions and who have tried or are trying to get patents and are running into difficulties.

There is a whole field of activity that is excluded from patentability by law and the way that law is interpreted. The wording of the law differs from country to country but the effects are much the same everywhere.

To be patentable the invention has to apply to something having technical qualities in an engineering or scientific sense. It must be of use industrially and one can exclude accountancy methods, methods of calculation, mere theoretical ideas and scientific principles, as such. What we are concerned with is something that is rejected on grounds which were expressed in earlier British law by words which referred to the patent application as:

meister10.htm

"frivolous because it claims as an invention anything obviously contrary to well established natural laws".

The operative law today is consistent with that governing the grant of European Patents by the European Patent Office in Munich, Germany. The guidelines for examination actually specify that a perpetual motion machine should be rejected for being alleged "to operate in a manner clearly contrary to wellestablished physical laws."

Now, here I want to point out something very important. The guidelines go on to say "Objection could arise only in so far as the claim specifies the intended function or purpose of the invention, but if, say, a perpetual motion machine is claimed merely as an article having a particular specified construction then objection should not be m ace. "

What this means is that your patent application must not claim perpetual motion, but if the claims are founded on a legitimate technical device which can function without breaching the bounds of well-established physical law, then even if those

#### meister10.htm

claims could include a perpetual motion device as well, you may still secure a grant.

Let me put this in a different way and in a slightly different technical context. Suppose your machine, in your opinion, defies one of Newton's laws of motion and offers the potential of easy interplanetary space travel. You would be foolish to claim a space craft based on a description of a machine design which obviously does not operate in accordance with accepted Newtonian law. In this extreme situation, you could however, claim a machine, the essential part of which has exactly that construction, but provided your patent puts the emphasis on the utility of that machine as a device for testing Newton's laws. With an appropriate wording of claim, the use of the same machine for transportation purposes could be covered anyway. The grant of the patent is then justified, unless what you write is truly frivolous. Obviously, if you can actually demonstrate the working machine to the Patent Office examiners, it is clear that you exceeded some boundary beyond which those Newtonian laws might not reach. Then, I feel sure that any such machine

meister10.htm

that obviously has practical utility will become patentable; the fields in which the accepted physical laws are well established have merely been restricted and so the law is not breached.

On the more direct subject of the "free energy" machine, there are two laws of thermodynamics which will usually apply. The first law requires that energy should be conserved in any physical process, and I suggest to you that a "free energy" machine, which ostensibly does not comply with accepted physical laws, must draw on energy from some source. If that source is known and catered for in your patent description then so much the better. It will, in my opinion, either be the thermal energy of the system or the zero-point vacuum energy that prevades the vacuum field. For reasons of my own, I suspect that the surplus energy in the zero-point field can only materialize as matter, e.g., creating protons in a stable situation or leptons (such as electrons or mu mesons) in a transient fluctuating situation.

So your "free energy" source is likely to be energy drawn from the thermal state of the machine or its environment. There are

magneto-caloric effects operative in this way in certain magnetic apparatus. Cooling by adiabatic demagnetization is well established in physics.

The next hurdle is the second law of thermodynamics, which is generally the one that most critics would say was standing in the way. No machine should be able to run on heat energy unless that energy is degraded from a higher temperature to a lower temperature. This is a law waiting to be broken, in my opinion, unless that machine is a pure heat engine. From a formal point of view it should only be seen as a law that is well established for heat engines, as such, but when one comes to magnetic machines, the only thermodynamic law that seems of any relevance is that first law relating to energy conservation. So my perspective on this subject is that "free energy" sourced in the thermal background is a worthy subject of research.

A perpetual motion machine that runs by cooling down and, in doing useful work, regenerates heat sounds absurd. If you sit back and think about it, it makes no sense at all. Why should physical phenomena combine to do nothing but spin wheels

forever, just because somebody has put together an electromechanical contraption of some kind. It is truly absurd. However, just remember that we are sitting on an Earth that spins and that has a magnetic field. We look at a sun that spins and feeds heat energy into space. Many physicists believe that on a cosmic time scale of tens of billions of years there is a slow resonance effect by which the processes that power the stars are regenerated as the universe expands and contracts ad infinituum. We ascribe to God the power of setting up that kind of perpetual motion, but still try to justify as much as we can to pure physics. God is not a factor in the governing equations of physics. Why then should we be so sure that a small "perpetual motion" machine can never be built by human hand?

I could, of course, go on to talk about the more general features of the patent system. There are tactics in licensing that even apply to a single national patent that arises once a prototype device can be shown to work. Suffice it to say that the patent system, unquestionably, can help the free inventor striving to make a break-through in the energy field, but it can eat up

meister10.htm

financial resources unless you are careful.

Maxwell's lost unified field theory of electromagnetics and gravitation

T. E. Bearden A.D.A.S. P.O. Box 1472 HUNTSVILLE, Alabama 35807 United States of America

It is revealing to discuss the basic genesis of modern electromagnetic theory starting with Maxwell's original theory expressed in quaternions (1) (2) (3).

Most of us are familiar with four fundamental equations of theoretical electromagnetics, universally taught in Western universities and colleges as "Maxwell's Equations". (See Table 1) It may come as somewhat of a surprise, at least to the casual scientist or engineer, that these equations never appeared anywhere in Maxwell's fundamental "Treatise". (4) (5). In fact,

they are entirely due to the interpretation of a single brilliant man, Oliver Heaviside (6) (7).

The Early Struggle in EM Theory

Maxwell wrote his first paper on electromagnetics in 1864 during the time of the US Civil War, and the paper was published in 1865 (8). At that time, the modern form of vector analysis had not yet been completed (9). The prevailing mathematics available for use in deeper electrical physics was the quaternion theory founded by Hamilton in 1843 (10). Hamilton's quaternion theory was the first significant nonarithmetic mathematical system (11).

Maxwell's original expression of his theory was written in quaternions and quaternion-like mathematics. It attracted singularly attention (12), and was considered only speculation until Heinrich Hertz discovered electromagnetic waves in 1885-1888 (13) (14).

Indeed, early on, mathematicians strongly attacked Maxwell for

meister10.htm

his - to them revolutionary and startling concept that energy could exist in a massless wave and travel through space (15). While that concept is considered self-evident to today's scientist and engineer, it was considered incredible and starting when Maxwell proposed it.

# TABLE 1: DIFFERENTIAL VECTOR FORM OF HEAVISIDE/MAXWELL EQUATIONS

Maxwell's equations (Gaussian units):

 $\overline{\nabla} \bullet \overline{D} = 4\pi\rho$ 

 $\overline{\nabla} \bullet \overline{B} = 0$ 

 $\nabla \times H = \frac{4\pi}{c} j + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta \overline{D}}{\delta t}$ 

 $\overline{\nabla}\times\overline{E}+\frac{1}{c}\frac{\delta B}{\delta t}=0$ 

# Combining these equations with the Lorentz force equation and

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

Newton's Second Law of Motion is thought to provide a complete description of the classical dynamics of interacting particles, and electromagnetic fields.



Two vectors, not interlocked.

a. Two vectors which are not interlocked by the medium (abstract vector space), simply pass through each other and do not interact. They cannot be said to have a common resultant, except fleetingly.



Two vectors, interlocked.

b. Two vectors which are interlocked by the medium (abstract vector space) do not pass through each other but do interact. They can be said to have a common translation resultant externally. However, internally they must be said to produce a stress in the medium (abstract vector space).

Figure 1: A serious flaw exists in the application of the abstract vector analysis to physical systems. Only when the local gravitational effects are fleeting or negligible, does this flaw become negligible - and the vector theory become a valid representation (model).

Maxwell himself was an excellent mathematician of the time

20/10/2011

(16) (17), of ability far beyond that of most of the contemporary electrical theorists and experimenters (18) (19). Possibly as a result, both his early lectures and writings were therefore difficult - or even nearly impossible - for his contemporaries to comprehend (20). It required the translation of Maxwell's theory (20) into the abbreviated and clearer, more readily understood vector mathematics of Oliver Heaviside (22), and the publication of clearer and much simpler expositions by Heaviside (23), before "Maxwell's theory" - or at least the Heaviside subset of it - began to capture the attention of leading university electrical scientists (24).

At the same time, the major expositor of the tough, obtuse and very difficult quaternion theory - Prof. Peter Guthrie Tait - was a stubborn, fiery, argumentative mathematician rather than a physicist. He also delayed preparing his exposition of quaternion theory until a number of years had passed and his mentor, Hamilton, had had time to rework his own obtuse, difficult book (25) (26). While Tait delayed, scientists and engineers beset with practical problems in the real world of industry were

frantically seeking a simplified theory that: (1) could be readily grasped and understood, and (2) could immediately be applied to solve their practical problems of equipment design and building. The only available and accessible simplified theory of electromagnetism that fitted their urgent needs was the clear, simplified and imminently practical work of Oliver Heaviside who himself held no degree and was self-educated.

Accordingly, the die was cast. Working engineers and leading scientists focused upon Heaviside's vector interpretation of Maxwell's difficult quaternionic expressions. In Heaviside's version the engineering calculations were enormously eased, and electrical engineers could get solutions to their pressing problems and get on with their business of constructing electrical devices and electrical machinery. Except for a very few mathematical scientists who could handle the heavy labours of quaternions, Heaviside's electromagnetics rapidly became the ipso facto standard.

A major schism developed between the increasingly isolated few quaternionists and the steadily multiplying vectorists, slowly

meister10.htm

growing to white heat in the literature A final duel to the death became inevitable.

The duel exploded before the turn of the century and a short, sharp debate occurred among about 30 or so scientists and in about 12 journals (27). The culmination was quick - complete victory by the vectorists. The quaternion EM theory was simply cast out, and the scientific community turned to Heaviside's limited vector subset of the Maxwell theory. The short "debate" only confirmed what had already become an accomplished fact: the Heaviside vector analysis translation of the EM subset of Maxwell's theory was already universally accepted and applied.

**Vector Analysis Excised Electrogravitation** 

Ironically, in their great haste to seize upon Heaviside's simpler, clearer explanation of EM and get on with solving practical engineering problems, the nineteenth century scientists gave up something of far greater value: the unification of EM and gravity, and the ability to directly engineer gravitation itself.

meister10.htm

Maxwell had actually written a unified field theory of electromagnetics and gravitation - not just the unification of electricity and magnetism as is commonly believed (28). Further, this can readily be shown by examining some significant even startling - elementary differences between quaternion mathematics and the present vector mathematics (29) (30) (31) (32).

Let us briefly look at one of these key differences, to show that the present vector mathematics expression of Maxwell's theory is only a subset of his quaternion theory (33).

What Heaviside's theory specifically omitted was electrogravitation (KG) - the ability to transform electromagnetic force field energy into gravitational potential energy, and vice-versa. And that has been omitted because of the assumptions of the vector theory in the nature of: (1) EM vector field combination, and (2) a zero-vector resultant of the interaction of multiple nonzero EM force vectors (34) (35).

Briefly, in Heaviside's vector mathematics, the abstract vector
#### meister10.htm

space in which the vectors exist has no stress nor consequent "curvature" in it. That is, the mathematical vector space does not change due to interactions between the vectors it "contains". This, of course is not necessarily true in the "real space" of the physical world. Thus when such an abstract vector space and its concomitant coordinate system are taken to model physical space (physical reality), the model will be valid only when the physical space itself has no appreciable local curvature, and is in a state of total equilibrium with respect to its interactions with observable charged particles and masses.

So abstract vector theory implicitly assumes "no locked-in stress energy of the vector space itself". By assumption, the only interactions are between the objects (the vectors) placed in/on that space. Therefore, when two or more translation vectors sum or multiply locally to a zero-vector translation resultant, in such an "unstressable" vector space one is justified in: (1) replacing the system of summing/multiplying translation vector components with a zero-vector, and (2) discarding the previous translation vector components of the zero-vector

system. That is, one may properly equate the translation zerovector system with a zero-vector, since the presence or absence of the combined vectors can have no further action. Specifically, axiomatically they exert no stress on the abstract vector space. Under those assumptions, the system can be replaced by its equivalent zero-factor alone.

Note that, applied to electromagnetics, this modeling procedure eliminates any theoretical possibility of electrogravitation (EM stress curvature of local space-time) a priori.

**Force Vectors are Translations of Stress** 

Conceptually, a force vector is actually a release of some implied stress in a local medium. The force is applied to create stress in a second local region immediately adjacent to the primary region of stress. Of course the stress being thus "translated" by the force vector may be either tensile or compressive in nature, but a priori the force vector always represents the translation of that stress from its tail-end toward its head.

Consequently, an EM force vector is a gradient (inflow or outflow) in a scalar EM potential (stress), where the referent potential stress may be either tensile or compressive. Since modern Heaviside-type vectors do not distinguish between, or even recognize, the two "head and tail" scalar EM potentials involved in a vector, one needs to refer to Whittaker (36) to get it right. Whittaker, a fine mathematician in his own right, showed that any vector field can be replaced by two scalar waves. Unfortunately, the electrogravitational implications of Whittaker's profound work were not recognized and followed up, and their connection to Maxwell's quaternionic EM theory was not noticed nor examined.

So the idea of a vector EM force represents a release of a primary "tail-associated" scalar potential, and a bleedoff of that potential. It represents an increase in its primary "headassociated" scalar potential, and a bleed into that potential. Each scalar potential itself represents trapped EM energy density in the local vacuum, in the form of two or more (even an infinite number of) internal (infolded) EM force vector components (which may be either fixed, dynamic, or a blend of the two). The trapped energy density, however, may be either positive or negative with respect to the local energy density of the standard ambient vacuum, since the potential may be either compressive or tensile.

#### **Maxwell's Electrogravitation Was Lost**

Today we know that all potentials are gravitational and curve space-time; it is well-known in general relativity that gravitational curvature is simply the set of many potentials. One therefore can see that a vector EM wave represents a progressive translation wave in the vacuum EM potential - in the local EM-induced curvature of space-time. This EM change in local curvature of space-time moves away at the speed of light, producing only the most fleeting or momentary changes in curvature of any localized space-time region.

We note here as an aside: Only in a standing EM wave and in phase waves of coupled EM waves is there any deviation from the "momentary and then lost" change in the local space-time

curvature. In those cases, the "persistence" of the local change in potential is an adverse function of frequency; hence at extremely low frequencies EM potential change persistence is sufficient to produce some very small electrogravitational effects. The effect is still slight, however, since the normal concept of "standing EM wave" represents a standing force vector situation, which is actually a stabilized spatial bleedoff of the potential. If a standing scalar EM wave is produced as in the two opposing pump waves in nonlinear optics pumped phase conjugate mirrors - then the stress is not primarily spatial, but temporal. Spatial effects then occur by particle-coupling - either in the virtual particle flux of vacuum or from the nucleus of an atom to the electron shells, and out into the material lattice structure. In the case of a standing scalar wave, electrogravitational effects are highly magnified because of the conversion of the primary potential stress to the time component. This increases the EG effects obtainable by a factor up to 9x10exp16. For this situation, at ELF standing scalar EM wave frequencies, very appreciable electrogravitational effects can be locally obtained.

```
20/10/2011 meister10.htm
The "Bottom Line" of EM Force-Field Theory
```

Thus concentrating only on the force fields of Maxwellian EM theory is equatable to concentrating on the situation where any localized electrogravitational effect that is temporarily formed is instantly released at the speed of light. The EG-effect in such a system is so small and fleeting, that the possibility of any persisting or significant local gravitational effects may be ignored.

Because of this, in any EM theory based only on the force fields and focusing only on their effects, then: (1) EM forces and their derivative effects may be represented by elementary Heaviside/Gibbs vector theory, including the equivalence of the zero-translation vector and any zero summed/multiplied system of nonzero translation vectors, (2) a system of EM forces which sum or multiply to a zero resultant may be discarded outright and the zero-vector substituted, (3) the effect of an EM potential is only to serve as an accumulator from which an EM force may be produced, and only its nonzero gradient will be thought to have any physical significance, (4) translation of EM forces (i.e.,

of potential gradients) and their effects on charged matter will assume primary importance, and (5) the potentials themselves may be regarded as simply mathematical conveniences and of little importance. This is precisely the subset of Maxwell's electromagnetics extracted and written so clearly by Heaviside.

As was Hertz, Heaviside was adamantly opposed to attaching any sort of physical reality to the potentials, preferring that they should be excised and "murdered". An indelible imprint - that potentials were "mysticism" and at best only mathematical conveniences - was imposed upon physics by Heaviside and Hertz (21). This rigid mindset was not to lessen (at least in quantum mechanics) until 1959; it was not to be assuaged until the mid-1960's (22) (37).

How EM Potentials are Regarded Today

So in 1988, we have finally arrived at the state where the potentials are more-or-less understood by a consensus of quantum physicists as being the primary EM reality, while the force fields are now seen to be secondary effects generated from

# the potentials.

This understanding, however, still has a long way to go before it penetrates the main bastions of physics and electrical engineering. Most scientists and electrical engineers are still adamantly committed to the Heaviside version of Maxwell's theory, and are strongly conditioned that the EM force fields are the primary effectors in electromagnetics.

They are also nearly totally resistant to the idea that there may be a fundamental error in automatically replacing a zeroresultant system of EM translation force vectors with a zero factor, rather than replacing the system with the combination of a conditional zero vector (conditional for translation only) and a scalar stress potential. Consequently, most orthodox scientists and engineers are still strongly conditioned against quaternions, and erroneously believe that Heaviside's translation was complete. Seemingly it has never occurred to most mathematicians and scientists that zero-vectors are usually not truly equal. Stress-wise, zero resultant combinant systems of multiple translation vectors usually differ in: (1) magnitude, (2)

meister10.htm

polarization, (3) type of stress, (4) frequency components, (5) nonlinear components, and (6) dynamic internal variation (38).

**Vectors Versus Quaternions: The Cross Product** 

In a conventional 3-dimensional vector, one may have three vector components, such as (in Cartesian coordinates):

 $\overline{v} - a\overline{i} + b\overline{j} + c\overline{k}$  (1)

where i, j, k are unit vectors in the directions of the x, y and z axes respectively and a, b and c are constants. In the right side of equation (1), the three components of vector v are:

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{x} = \mathbf{a}\overline{\mathbf{b}}$  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{y} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{j}$  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{z} = \mathbf{c}\overline{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{2})$ 

Obviously if the vector components of vector  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  are zero vectors, then:

 $\overline{v} = \overline{0}$  (3)

We shall be interested in the vector product of two identical vectors  $\overline{v}_{\text{v}}$  , where

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{X} \overline{\mathbf{v}} = |\overline{\mathbf{v}}|^2 \sin \theta = A^2 \sin \theta = \overline{0}$  (4)

where A is the length (magnitude) of vector  $\overline{v}$ , 0 is the angle between the two vectors (in this case zero), and  $\overline{0}$  is the zero vector.

After Heaviside and Gibbs, electrical engineers are trained to replace the cross product  $\nabla x \nabla$  with the zero vector  $\overline{0}$ , discarding the components of the zero vector system as having no further consequences, either electromagnetically or physically.

Now let us look at the comparable quaternion expression of this situation. First, in addition to the three vector components, a quaternion also has a scalar component, w. So the quaternion q corresponding to vector  $\overline{v}$  is:

20/10/2011  $\bar{q} - w + a\bar{i} + b\bar{i} + c\bar{k} - w + \bar{v}$  (5) meister10.htm

The physical interpretation of equation (5) is that there locally exists a stress w in the medium and a translation change  $\overline{v}$  in that stress.

When the quaternion is multiplied times itself (that is, times an identical quaternion), the vector part zeros, just as it did for the vector expression. However, the scalar part does not go to zero. Instead, we have:

 $\overline{q} \times \overline{q} - A^2 - a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + \overline{0}_1$  (6)

There is a very good physical interpretation of this result. The zero translation vector resultant  $\overline{o}_1$  for the system shows that the system now does not produce translation of a charged particle. Because the force vectors have been infolded, the scalar term shows that the system is stressing, and the magnitude of that stress is given by the scalar term  $A^2$ .

Notice that the zero vector in equation (6) does not represent

the absence of translation vectors, but it represents the presence of a system of multiple (in this case, two) vectors, one of them acting upon the other in such a manner that their external translation effect has been lost and only their stress effect remains (39). The quaternion scalar expression has, in fact, captured the local stress due to the forces acting one on the other, so to speak. It is focused on the local stress, and the abstract vector space, adding a higher dimension to it.

In other words, the  $\overline{o}_{t}$  in equation (6) represents the internal stress action of a nontranslating system of vectors that are present, infolded, and acting internally together on the common medium that entraps them and locks them together. The two translation vectors have formed a deterministically substructured medium-stressing system, and this is a local gravitational effect.

One sees that, if we would capture gravitation in a vector mathematics theory of EM, we must again restore the scalar term and convert the vector to a quaternion, so that one captures the quaternionically infolded stresses. These infolded

meister10.htm

stresses actually represent curvature effects in the abstract vector space itself. Changing to quaternions changes the abstract vector space, adding higher dimensions to it.

**Artificial Electrogravitational Timestress** 

Let us assume for a moment that the two identical vectors  $\bar{v}_1$  and  $\bar{v}_2$  are electric forces. Then  $\bar{v}_{1\times\bar{v}_2}$  represents the case where they are "locked together" in a local medium.

We now recall the modern quantum mechanical view that no "static" thing exists as such in the universe. A macroscopic "static" force - at quantum level - represents a continual constant rate of quantum change. In the case of an electrical force, it represents a continual constant rate of flux exchange of virtual photons.

The zero vector in equation (6) represents a constant exchange of macroscopically organized virtual energy into the local medium. Consequently, it represents continual internal work into and onto the local medium, but without translating it. So a zero vector system of nonzero vector components represents internal or "infolded" constantly-working forces (internal to the medium) where the system does not cause translation of the point or region of application, whereas a nonzero vector and a nonzero vector system represent external forces which cause at least some translation of the point or region of application, unless this translation is nullified by other forces (40).

Physically, equation (6) may now be seen to state that: (1) internal forces (in the form of an internal stress) are present in the local medium but no translation force is present, and (2) these internal forces are continually performing internal work on the local medium without external translation. Since the translation vector component has spatially zeroed, then the scalar component that results may be taken to represent the time rate of expenditure of this internal work that is being done on the local medium - that is, it represents the extra internal power (which is simply the extra energy density of time) now being expended locally in and on the medium as a sink. If the

vector components of the zero vector system are oriented outward, then the scalar stress component changes sign and it represents the extra internal power locally flowing out of the medium as a source.

#### Infolded Structuring is Dynamic and Complex

As can be realized, by changing the magnitudes, phasing, directions, rotations and dynamic frequencies of the vector components of the zero-vector stress system, very elaborate and sophisticated structuring of the local space-time medium (the local vacuum) may be deterministically constructed and controlled at will.

The continually performed internal work represents an increase or decrease in the local energy density of the medium, hence in the stress of the medium. However, note that this stress - either compressive or tensile is in and on the rate of flow of local time in the region. This timestress represents an artificial stress potential, where by "artificial" we mean that the timestress of the local medium is structured and macroscopically patterned (and controlled) deterministically; translation of that timestress is spatially radiating out over a finite macroscopic neighbourhood of the local point or region of application. This may be contrasted to a "natural" potential where the internal component stress vectors in the surrounding spatial neighbourhood are microscopic and randomly varying in all directions.

In equation (6), then, we have a local gravitational effect - a local increase in the energy density of a vacuum. Because the large EM force is utilized rather than the weak G-force, and because it is a timestress condition, it is a powerful local general relativistic effect. Because the local vacuum flux is significantly altered, we have a locally curved space-time which is significantly anisotropic, in violation to one of the fundamental (and crippling) assumptions of Einsteinian general relativity. Further, this is an electrogravitational effect. since it is a gravitational effect produced by purely electromagnetic means (41).

We have therefore produced a local curvature of space-time, and

meister10.htm

done so electromagnetically.

What is even more astonishing to the conventional relativist is that this local curvature startling enough in its own right - is also deterministically structured, and we can control the structuring at will. Hence we can engineer (structure) the vacuum itself (42).

But to return to equation (6).

The EG Sine-Squared Stress Wave

Suppose that  $\overline{q}$  represents a time-varying  $\overline{E}$ -field vector and its amplitude is of the form:

 $\overline{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{A}^2 \sin \omega_1$  (7)

### Then:

 $\overline{q} \times \overline{q} - A^2 \sin \omega_t + \overline{0}_t$  (8)

# and this is a scalar EM stress wave, of variation of the local

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

curvature of the vacuum. It is a powerful electrogravitational (scalar electromagnetic) wave, particularly if we produce it as a standing wave and use it to "pump" atomic nuclei in a rhythmically varying manner (43).

Briefly, a sine-squared wave has the appearance of a sort of "skinny" sine wave a near-sawtoothed wave that is now oscillating about an increased bias. In other words, the wave of equation (8) represents a scalar EM (an KG) wave that pumps the atomic nuclei of a targeted material, holding those nuclei at an excited average potential level. The wave has strong and very useful applications in - among other things - electrohealing. (44).

**Example: Application to Explain Four-Wave Mixing** 

Now most modulations are represented by similar multiplication between two waves. Suppose we have two equal-amplitude, continuous monochromatic E-field sine-waves, introduced into a nonlinear dielectric medium in antiparallel and antiphased fashion (45). The medium will act as a modulator, causing the

two waves to together", so that their E-fields sum everywhere to a zero resultant vector spatially. A standing sine-squared scalar EM (electrogravitational) wave of the stress of time will be formed by the waves, very similar to equation (8) above.

This scalar wave will not appreciably react to the orbital electron shells of an atom of the dielectric, but will not pass through these outer "Faraday cages", reaching directly into the highly nonlinear nucleus itself.

We invoke the quantum mechanical picture of the nucleus as: (1) a region of local sharp curvature of space-time, (2) incredibly dynamic, with particles of every kind continually changing, transmuting, giving off other particles and waves, being absorbed, etc., (3) containing violent and dynamic charges and locally trapped fierce currents, and with field strength fluctuations reaching 10 and above, (4) in violent virtual particle exchange with the neighbouring vacuum, and (5) on the average, positively charged, so that it is -- on the average -time reversed (46). The presence of the sine-squared EG wave in the nucleus alters the nuclear potential by - on the average - the "DC" component potential amount. However, this delta in the potential is dynamic, varying as the sine-squared. This dynamically oscillating potential wave constitutes a pump wave on the nucleus itself, and it is rhythmically pumping the amplitude of the nuclear potential itself. We may think of the pumped nucleus as now conditioned to function as a parametric amplifier, ready to be given another "signal input" (47).

Now let us introduce yet another small sine wave into the nonlinear dielectric. It will modulate each of the two pump wave components, forming a scalar modulation upon the scalar sinesquared pump wave, and riding directly into the nucleus. In positive time, this now constitutes a "signal input" to the "parametric amplifier nucleus". The input is absorbed and amplified, up to the level of the pumping energy available in the pump wave that can be "scavenged up and gated".

### **Internal Absorption Can Be External Emission**

However, the nucleus, being time reversed, also produces a time-reversed absorption which is seen spatially by the external observer as constituting emission! That is, in his own positive time, the external observer sees the time-reversed absorption as an emission event. Further, this is a time reversal - and hence an "emission" to the external observer of the entire parametrically amplified signal wave. (After all, in reversed time it is the pump wave that is modulating the signal wave - a principle of importance.)

So the powerfully amplified signal wave in the parametric amplifier is seen by the external spatial observer to be emitted from the nucleus. In short, a time-reversed and powerful scalar wave is emitted by the nucleus, passing back along the exact path taken by the original "signal wave". To a time-reversed entity, that invisible path is its path ahead of it in positive observer time. The external observer sees the emitted wave emerge as a powerfully amplified time-reversed EM wave, backtracking precisely back along the exact path taken by the signal wave, and appearing everywhere in phase spatially with

meister10.htm

### the continuous signal wave.

In 4-space, of course, the time-reversed wave is out of phase in the fourth dimension, time.

#### Four-Wave Mixing is Like a Triode

This is the mechanism by which four-wave mixing provides a powerfully amplified time-reversed replica of the signal wave.

Note that the entire process can be compared to a triode: the signal wave constitutes the grid signal, the pump wave constitutes the plate voltage, and the nucleus of the atom in the dielectric provides the self-powered cathode.

We put in the signal wave (grid signal) and get out a 180-degree phase-shifted, amplified phase conjugate replica (amplified plate signal). The difference is that the PCR is phase-shifted in time, not space.

# Negative Energy, Nuclear Binding and Transmutation

Note also that negative energy is already involved in the timereversed nucleus of the atom, as in negative time (48). Excess "negative energy" in the nucleus means "additional binding energy", which will be expressed as additional inertia and coupled onto the electron shells. In this case the "inertial mass" of the pumped material increases, inversely as the pumping frequency. Less "negative energy" in the nucleus means "decreased binding energy", which places the nucleus in an unstable state. The nucleus can actually be transmuted by this means (in many cases toward barium, which apparently has the least binding energy per nucleon). Transmutation to an isomer appears easiest, though this is not always the case (49). It seems theoretically possible to design a complex pumping mixture of frequencies and power levels which will cause a specific radioactive nucleus to undergo transition to a harmless element or combination of elements. The main point is, scalar EM allows direct production of structured electrogravitational potentials in the nucleus, opening up the possibility of direct and controlled engineering of the nucleus itself.

# Perspective

Obviously, in this short paper we have only scratched the surface. We have presented only the barest illustration of how Maxwell's original quaternion theory was actually a unified field theory of electrogravitation, where gravitation deals with the stress (enfolded and trapped forces) of the medium, and electrogravitation deals with the electromagnetic stress (enfolded and trapped EM forces) of the medium.

Of course, a great deal more work is necessary, but at least this indicates the way to go to obtain a unified field theory of electromagnetics and gravity that is practical and engineerable (50). I can only state that the indicated approach works in the laboratory, and let it go at that without further elaboration.

**Recapitulation: From Maxwell to 1900** 

In summary, Maxwell himself was well-aware of the importance and reality of the potential stress of the medium (51). However, after Maxwell's death, Heaviside - together with Hertz - was

responsible for striving to strip away the electromagnetic potentials from Maxwell's theory, and for strongly conditioning physicists and electrical engineers that the potentials were only mathematical conveniences and had no physical reality. Heaviside also discarded the scalar component of the quaternion, and - together with Gibbs - finalized the present modern vector analysis.

The scalar component of the quaternion, however, was the term which precisely captured the electrogravitational stress of the medium. By discarding this term, Heaviside (aided by Hertz and Gibbs) actually discarded electrogravitation, and the unified EM-G field aspects of Maxwell's theory. However, the theory and the calculations were greatly simplified in so doing, and this excision of electrogravitation provided a theory that was much more easily grasped and applied by scientists and engineers even though they were now working in a subset of Maxwell's theory in which gravity and EM remained mutually exclusive and did not interact with each other.

Shortly before 1900, the vectorists' view prevailed, and the

Heaviside version of Maxwell's theory became the established and universal "EM theory" taught in all major universities - and erroneously taught as "Maxwell's theory"! Though gravitation had been removed, the beautiful unification of the electrical and magnetic fields had been retained, and so the rise in applied and theoretical electromagnetics and electromagnetic devices began, ushering in the modern age.

**Impact on Einstein's General Relativity Theory** 

Unfortunately, however, the excision of electrogravitation from Maxwell's theory was later to leave Albert Einstein with a quandary: it seemed that the only way space-time could be curved measureably was by and at a huge collection of mass, such as the Sun or a star. Accordingly, in constructing his theory of general relativity, Einstein assumed that the local space-time was never curved (since obviously the observer and his lab instruments would not be sitting on the surface of the Sun or of a distant star). Consequently, he did not write an unrestricted general theory of anisotropic space-time, but instead he wrote a highly restricted sort of "special relativity with distant

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

perturbations" - which, nonetheless, was a revolutionary and epochal achievement.

Ironically, Einstein then spent the remainder of his life vainly trying to find a way to reintroduce electromagnetic fields into his general relativity, and to provide a unified field theory of gravity and electromagnetics. He failed, because his own prior assumption of a locally flat space-time had already effectively ruled out the very thing he sought.

**Effect on Western Search For a Unified Field Theory** 

Today the magical unified theory of gravitation and electromagnetics continues to elude Western scientists, because they nearly universally adhere to Einstein's rejection of a locally-curved space-time. In so doing, the West largely rules out any local, laboratory-bench development of, and experimentation with, general relativistic systems. And in turn, that relegates general relativity to a non-experimental theory and, except for cosmological observations, a sort of "special relativity with distant perturbations." The "locally flat space-time" assumption saves the conservation laws - and Western scientists have now become nearly totally dogmatic in their subservience to conservation. To challenge the conservation laws - and Einstein's restricted general relativity leads to ostracization by his peers and vigourous suppression (52).

Soviet Theory of an Anisotropic Space-time

Soviet scientists, on the other hand, regularly publish papers where Einstein's crippling "local flat space-time" assumption is removed and the anisotropy of space-time is unrestricted, strongly implying that they might have developed an experimental unified field theory (53). They also are quite frank to publish statements that in a general relativistic system, conservation laws do not apply (54).

In numerous previous papers and books, the present authour has presented extensive evidence of the Soviet weaponization of electrogravitation and hence of a unified field theory (55).

meister10.htm

#### Impact on Science and Humanity

Thus a great irony now is evident in Western science. More than 120 years ago, Maxwell wrote the first paper in his unified field theory of electrogravitation. Had Western scientists and mathematicians given greater attention to Maxwell's quaternion theory, by 1900 we should have been developing antigravity propulsion systems and interplanetary exploration vehicles.

Certainly humankind could have been lifted to much greater heights than where we are today. And along the way, we just might have avoided two great and bloody World Wars and a host of smaller ones.

In the modern geometrodynamic view, all forces are considered to arise from, and be rooted in, the curvature of space-time - in gravitation. If the curvature of space-time itself can easily be engineered and controlled by electromagnetic means, the extensive application of our present advanced state of electromagnetic development and devices can lead to control of the world of physical reality on a scale heretofore only dreamt of

meister10.htm

in the minds of our greatest visionaries.

**Consider such a vision by Albert Einstein: Quoting:** 

"It would of course be a great step forward if we succeeded in combining the gravitational field and the electromagnetic field into a single structure. Only so could the era in theoretical physics inaugurated by Faraday and Clerk Maxwell be brought to a satisfactory close."

With the mastery of electrogravitation and the control of physical reality itself in our grasp, the freeing of humankind from want, misery, and poverty would directly follow. The impact on mankind's development would be almost beyond present human conception. Consider this vision from Teilhard de Chardin of the mastery of physical reality and the elimination of man's inhumanity to his fellow man:

"Someday, after we have mastered the winds, the waves, the tides and gravity, we shall harness for God the energies of love. Then for the second time in the history of the world man will

meister10.htm

# have discovered fire."

Like Prometheus of old, in his quaternion EM theory James Clerk Maxwell produced a blazing coal of fire, literally taking the fire of gravitation from Olympus and giving it to human beings. Uncomprehending, scientists heaped ashes over the fiercely glowing coal, and only warmed themselves with the tiny trickle of electromagnetic heat that escaped the dampening ashes. For over a hundred years, the fiery coal has been quietly lying there, buried under the ashes, still glowing brightly.

It is time to be bold. For the enrichment of all mankind, let us uncover Maxwell's long-dormant fiery coal and fan into full bloom the Promethean flame of power that lies sleeping within.

### **NOTES AND REFERENCES**

1. James Clerk Maxwell was born on June 13, 1831 in Edinburgh, Scotland. In 1847 he entered the University of Edinburgh, then transferred to Cambridge in the fall of 1850. After graduation, he stayed on at Cambridge in a research position. He was elected a

meister10.htm

Fellow of Trinity College and placed on the staff of college lecturers. In 1856 he returned to Scotland, where he took up a Chair of Natural Philosophy at Marshall College, Aberdeen. In autumn, 1860 he took a new position as Chair and Professor of Natural Philosophy and Astronomy at King's College, London (a position he held to 1865, at which time he resigned).

Maxwell was economically independent. He was elected to the Royal Society in 1861, while at King's College. From 1865 to 1871 he resided at his ancestral Scottish country home, Glenlair, developing his major ideas into book form.

Maxwell returned to Cambridge in 1871, where he became the first holder of the Cavendish Chair of Experimental Physics. There he also supervised the construction and operation of Cavendish Laboratory. His treatise on electromagnetism appeared in 1873. He held his position at Cambridge until he died on Nov. 5, 1879, at age 48, of a form of stomach cancer the same ailment that had killed his mother when he was a child.

2. His famous treatise was J.C. Maxwell, "A Treatise on

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

Electricity and Magnetism", Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1873. For an elegant and readable account of Maxwell's life and achievements, see I. Tolstoy, "James Clerk Maxwell: A Biography", Canongate, Edinburgh, 1981. Maxwell's compact and powerful guaternionic expression of the general equations of the electromagnetic field are given in Article 619, Vol. 2, p. 258 of his Treatise. See also H. J. Josephs, 'the Heaviside papers' found at Paignton in 1957", "Electromagnetic Theory by Oliver Heaviside", including an account of Heaviside's unpublished notes for a fourth volume, and with a foreword by Sir Edmund Whittaker, Vol. III, Third Edition, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1971, p. 660. Just how much more powerful was Maxwell's quaternionic expression of EM theory than was Heaviside's vector interpretation, was succinctly expressed by Josephs: "Hamilton's algebra of quaternions, unlike Heaviside's algebra of vectors, is not a mere abbreviated mode of expressing Cartesian analysis, but is an independent branch of mathematics with its own special rules of operation and its own special theorems. A quaternion is, in fact, a generalized or hypercomplex number..." (Josephs, ibid., p. 660)

3. The prevailing view in physics - and in most physics textbooks - is that Faraday - himself uneducated and woefully ill-prepared mathematically discovered and formulated the concept of "lines of force", or field lines; and that Maxwell, his mathematical interpreter, then tinkered together the equations to explain electromagnetic radiation on the basis of Faraday's field concepts. However, there certainly can be serious ground for contesting this simplified view. As White states, "The mathematics which Maxwell used to develop Faraday's results came out of a body of work which had as its implicit subject unified field theory. Leonhard Euler, Pierre-Simon Laplace, Joseph-Louis Lagrange, and Karl Friedrich Gauss prepared these mathematical and theoretical foundations, elaborated by Sir William Hamilton, which shaped the positive content of Maxwell's work... As the story goes, Maxwell first elaborated the equations which describe the magnetic effects of an electrical current and the ability of a magnet in motion to induce electricity, and then, by algebraic substitution, came on the wave equations. In fact, James MacCullagh, a collaborator of Sir William Hamilton and Franz Neumann, a collaborator of Gauss,

Wilhelm Weber and Bernhard Riemann, produced these same equations between the years 1839 and 1848, at least a decade before Maxwell began his scientific career... Field theory, as it was developed through the work of Euler and Lagrange, elaborated by Gauss, and totally redefined by Riemann, depends upon the concept of potential energy," (Carol White, "Energy Potential: Towards a New Electromagnetic Field Theory", with excerpts from two original works by B. Riemann, Campaigner Publications Inc., New York, 1977, p. 19-20). White gives a critical discussion of the way in which standard textbooks have assigned credit for priorities and conceptual contributions in the foundations of theoretical electromagnetics. Extending Riemann considerations, White focuses strong attention on the potentials and on a new approach to electromagnetics.

There is certainly a great deal wrong with modern EM theory, as is well-known to a small but growing circle of scientists. As Dr. Domina Spencer of the University of Connecticut states, "Since the turn of the century there has been a lot of first class experimental and theoretical work that reveals problems with relativistic electromagnetic theory, but this work has been virtually ignored by the mainstream physicist". Dr. Spencer and her colleagues are embarked on a thorough review of all the experimental work that has been performed on electromagnetic phenomena since Ampere published his first results in 1824. (Note that Soviet scientists did such a review immediately after World War II.)

For more on the subject of what's wrong with the present foundations of EM theory, see particularly Peter Graneau and P.N. Graneau, "Ampere-Neumann Electrodynamics of Metals", Hadronic Press, Nonantum, Massachusetts 1985; P. Graneau and P.N. Graneau, "Electrodynamic Explosions in Liquids", Applied Physics Letters, 46, 1985, p. 468. See also H.E. Puthoff, "Ground State of Hydrogen as a Zero-Point-Fluctuation-Determined State", Physical Review D, 35 (10), May 15, 1987, p. 3266-3269; Puthoff, "Zero-Point Fluctuations of the Vacuum as the Source of Atomic Stability and the Gravitational Interaction", Proceedings of the British Society for the Philosophy of Science International Conference, "Physical Interpretations of Relativity Theory",
Imperial College, London, Sept. 1988. Also see very important work by Dr. Henry Monteith, referenced elsewhere in this paper. See also Cynthia Kolb Whitney, "Electromagnetic Fields Near Dynamic Systems of Charged Particles", Hardonic Journal, 10, 1987, p. 299-301; Whitney, "Field-to-matter Energy Transfer", "Manifest Covariance in Relativistic Potential Theory", Physics Essays, 1 (1), 1988, p. 15-17; Whitney, "Generalized Functions in Relativistic Potential Theory", Hadronic Journal, 10, 1987, p. 91-93. For a lay description of some of the exciting work and problems of foundations of electromagnetic theory, see articles by Chappell Brown in Electronic Engineering Times: "Anomalies in Electromagnetic Law Spur Debate", Sept. 14, 1987; "Railgun **Research Shoots Holes in Lorentz's Theory**, Apr. 6 1987; "Electrons and Conduction: Not So Simple After All", Dec. 28, 1987. Finally, it is hoped that this present paper will help shed at least a little light on the subject.

## 4. J.C. Maxwell, A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1873.

5. For confirmation that the Heaviside equations - which

presently are erroneously called "Maxwell's equations" - are not to be found anywhere in any of Maxwell's books or papers, see Josephs, ibid., p. 647. See also Sir Edmund Whittaker, "Oliver Heaviside", Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, 20, 1928-1929, p. 202. See also Paul J. Nahin, "Oliver Heaviside: Sage in Solitude", IEEE Press, New York, 1988, p. 9, note 3. Today - ironically - most engineers and scientists who study and utilize "Maxwell's equations" have examined neither Maxwell's original work nor the theory of quaternions.

6. Oliver Heaviside was born in poverty on May 18, 1850 in Camden Town, the youngest of four children. Young Heaviside was forced to drop out of high school and go to work. His aunt, however, had married well, to Professor Wheatstone of King's College, London - who was later to become Sir Charles Wheatstone, F.R.S. By his uncle's influence, Heaviside was appointed to a telegrapher's position at Newcastle in 1868. Gradually, he began to theoretically attack the problems in telegraphy, but was forced by increasing deafness to resign in 1874 and return to live with his parents in London.

meister10.htm

Heaviside never possessed a formal university degree, but was much later in the early 20th century - to be awarded an honorary doctorate.

Studying mathematics on his own, Heaviside had begun to write improvements for telegraphy, and in 1873 began using calculus. He also studied differential equations and made regular contributions to the Telegraphic Journal, the English Mechanic, and the Philosophical Magazine, with seven papers by 1874.

Heaviside was astounded by Maxwell's "Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism", published in 1873, and Maxwell became his undying hero. Heaviside mastered the manuscript in two years something few men have done to this day.

With the invention of the telephone in 1877, Heaviside began also to study telephonic transmission. Then Maxwell died in 1879. In 1885-87 Heaviside published in the Electrician a series of articles under the title "Electromagnetic Induction and Propagation", where for the first time he gave a clear and modern vector exposition of Maxwell's theory. Heaviside was

violently opposed to the potentials, however, remarking that they were "metaphysical" and that it was even "best to murder the lot". He focused strongly on the EM force fields as the primary EM causative entities. This attitude was to spread and condition generations of electrical scientists -that the EM potentials were only mathematical conveniences.

Though self-educated, Heaviside was a true genius. He also developed the energy flow in the EM field, developed the skin effect, speculated analytically on faster-than-light charged particles, discovered the theory of distortionless signal transmission, and articulated the concept of inductively loaded circuits including self-induction. He had difficulty in getting his papers accepted for publication, since he made use of unusual methods of his own in solving problems. But in 1892 his collected papers were published in two volumes under the title of "Electrical Papers". Later his "Electromagnetic Theory" also dealt with a number of important problems.

Heaviside, followed by Gibbs, attacked the quaternionist expression of Maxwell's theory, though he held the highest

regard for Maxwell himself. By 1892-3 the controversy between the multiplying vectorists and the few remaining quaternionists exploded into a duel to the death, and the vectorists quickly won. Interest in quaternions then dropped sharply, and vector EM theory in accordance with Heaviside's interpretation came to be universally accepted.

Heaviside also had his bitter opponents, and even his EM theory was very slow in being accepted by the mathematical physicists, many of whom snobbishly considered Heaviside crude and uneducated. In his writings Heaviside himself often subtly railed at the rigour demanded by the mathematicians, and sometimes essentially used brute force to get the correct results even though mathematical rigor suffered.

Heaviside made major improvements in electrical transmission theory, propagation theory, and advanced the operational calculus to study transients. In "Electromagnetic Theory" (1893-1912), he postulated that the mass of an electric charge would increase as its velocity increased, anticipating one aspect of special relativity. In 1902, he predicted the ionosphere and the

meister10.htm

Earth-ionospheric duct. Eventually he was awarded an honorary doctorate and was once considered for the Nobel Prize.

Heaviside, ever the outcast and apart from his peers, died in a nursing home at Torquay on Feb. 3, 1925.

7. It is little known that, in his later years, Heaviside may again have turned to guaternion operations, and even developed a "unified" theory of electromagnetics and gravity. These papers were never published, but were reported found in 1957 where Heaviside had lived for some years (some electrical scientists, however, continue to dispute the authenticity of the papers). Little or no adequate review of this unified theory has been made, though several writers have not hesitated to express judgements pro and con as to its authenticity, its promise, or its usefulness (e.g., see Josephs, ibid.; H. J. Josephs, "History Under the Floorboards", Journal of the IEE 5, Jan. 1959, pp. 26-30; H.J. Josephs, "Postscript to the Work of Heaviside", Journal of the IEE 9, Sept. 1963, p. 511-512; B.R. Gossick, "Heaviside's 'Posthumous Papers"', Proceedings of the IEE 121, Nov. 1974, p. 1444-1446; Paul J. Nahin, "Oliver Heaviside: Sage in Solitude",

20/10/2011 meister10.htm **IEEE Press, 1988, p. 305-307).** 

My own comment is that this (purportedly Heaviside's) unified theory should be examined experimentally, not just mathematically, to ascertain whether or not it works. Certainly Heaviside had long considered localization of energy: e.g., in 1893 ("Electromagnetic Theory", p. 455), he wrote: "To form any notion at all of the flux of gravitational energy, we must first localize the energy... whether this notion will turn out to be a useful one is a matter for subsequent discovery". At least he understood the requirement for a local change in the energy density of the medium by electromagnetic means.

Ironically, then, the man who almost single-handedly "slew" Quaternions and Maxwell's quaternion theory, may eventually have returned to them to try to capture the elusive gravity, which - by the present authour's thesis - inadvertently he had discarded earlier when he struck down the scalar component of the quaternion and converted it to a vector.

8. J.C. Maxwell, "A Dyamical Theory of the Electromagnetic

meister10.htm

Field", Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London 155, 1865, p. 459512. (Presented in 1864).

9. For an excellent discussion of the Development of Vector Analysis, see M.J. Crowe, "A History of Vector Analysis: The Evolution of the Idea of a Vectoral System ", University of Notre Dame, Indiana, 1967.

10. IN 1835, Sir William Rowan Hamilton's memoir, "On a General Method in Dynamics", was published, expressing the equations of motion in a canonical form that captured the duality between the components of momentum and the coordinates. The deep significance of this duality was not fully appreciated until the rise of quantum mechanics nearly 100 years later. In 1843, Hamilton discovered guaternions, though his investigations in algebra had begun 10 years earlier. In 1853, his "Lectures on Quaternions" - a most difficult and awkward book - appeared. Hamilton spent the remaining 22 years of his life developing the algebra of Quaternions and its applications. His quaternion work was published posthumously in 1866 as "The Elements of **Ouaternions**". The mantle for "guaternion champion" then

passed to Professor Peter Guthrie Tait, who had patiently delayed publication of his own book on quaternion theory until after the book of Hamilton, his mentor, was published. See P.G. Tait, "An Elementary Treatise on Quaternions", Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1875.

11. Hamilton's quaternion algebra was a landmark; for the first time, it freed algebra from the commutative postulate of multiplication.

12. See Paul J. Nahin, ibid., p. 100-101. See also Freeman Dyson, "The Maxwell Equations", in M.S. Berger, "J. C. Maxwell, The Sesquicentennial Symposium, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam, 1984, p. 17-22. Quoting:

"... the mathematicians of the nineteenth century failed miserably to grasp the great opportunity offered to them in 1865 by Maxwell. If they had taken Maxwell's equations to heart as Euler took Newton's, they would have discovered, among other things, Einstein's theory of special relativity, the theory of topological groups and their linear representations, and

probably large pieces of the theory of hyperbolic differential equations and functional analysis. A great part of twentieth century physics and mathematics could have been created in the nineteenth century, simply by exploring to the end the mathematical concepts to which Maxwell's equations naturally lead."

13. Heinrich Hertz discovered (proved) the existence of Maxwell's electromagnetic waves in 1888, almost a decade after Maxwell's untimely death.

14. In 1880 Hertz received his doctorate from the University of Berlin, where he had studied under the renowned physicist Hermann von Helmholtz. He began serious study of Maxwell's electromagnetic theory in 1883. While professor of physics at the Karlsruhe Polytechnic, between 1885 and 1889, he produced electromagnetic waves in the laboratory, just as predicted by Maxwell. In his lab, he was able to measure the wavelength and velocity of these waves, and he showed that their susceptibility to refraction and reflection was the same as that of light and heat waves. This established that light and heat are

electromagnetic waves, which until then was only an unproved theory. With experimental confirmation by Hertz, Maxwell's theory especially as interpreted in a much simpler vector form by Oliver Heaviside and Hertz - became predominant.

**15.** See Roger Penrose, "Integrals for General-Relativistic Sources: A Development From Maxwell's Electromagnetic Theory", M.S. Berger, ibid., pp. 211-243. Quoting:

'With the notable exception of Faraday before him, no other major physicist of his day had apparently regarded the concept of 'field' as anything more than a convenient mathematical auxiliary to the prevailing point-particle-action-at-a-distance view of physical reality. The idea that 'disembodied fields' can propagate through empty space carrying energy as they go, was as startling and revolutionary an idea at the time as radio is commonplace to us today."

16. Maxwell had a distinguished academic background. He received a mathematics degree from Trinity College in 1854, became Professor of Natural Philosophy at Marshall College,

Aberdeen, Scotland in 1856, and in 1860 was appointed to King's College in London. After a short retirement, he became the first Cavendish Professor of Physics at Cambridge.

17. See note 12 above with respect to the delay in physics occasioned by the leading mathematicians of Maxwell's day ignoring the impact of Maxwell's theory. For another cogent argument about what might have been discovered much earlier in physics if quaternions had not also been cast aside, see James D. Edmonds, Jr., "Quaternion Quantum Theory: New Physics or Number Mysticism?", American Journal of Physics, 42 (3), Mar. 1974, p. 220-223. For yet another argument about what quaternions might have had to say about gravitation and a unified field theory, see this present paper.

18. Of course there were exceptions, but most engineers of the day were little skilled in mathematics. Electrical theory, instruction, and knowledge was particularly primitive. Most electrical engineers desperately needed something as simple as possible, to solve their signaling and power transmission problems. Even many eminent electrical scientists, such as J.J.

Thompson, themselves never quite grasped what Maxwell's theory was all about. Also, the prevailing electrodynamics theories of the time were action-at-a-distance models, such as those of Karl Friedrich Gauss and later by Wilhelm Weber. The mathematicians of Maxwell's time had developed a taste for quite different directions of endeavour, and those who had themselves lost touch with physics could not assess the merits of Maxwell's theory (see Dyson, ibid., p.21). Maxwell's own presentations were obtuse and difficult; since he used a mechanical model of the ether, his presentations were filled with clunking gears, ratchets, and distracting machinery - sufficient to route all but the hardiest theorists. Until Hertz proved Maxwell's EM waves in 1888, (over 20 years after Maxwell began publishing his theory), most scientists felt very constrained by the action-at-a-distance competition to Maxwell's theory.

19. Oliver Heaviside's clear and simplified vector exposition of Maxwell's theory began to be published in The Electrician. With Maxwell's untimely death, Heaviside became his tireless

meister10.htm

successor and unyielding advocate, though other brilliant scientists such as Gibbs, Hertz and Lorentz also made great contributions.

20. In "A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism", Maxwell did not develop the analytical consequences of the energy concept. Instead, his paper is filled with descriptions of early Victorian ideas about the nature of electrical energy, expressed in a maze of symbols representing quaternion formulations of scalar and vector potential functions, etc. As a result, engineers of the day found Maxwell's chapter on the general equations of EM field theory quite unreadable.

Even years later in the 1880's, it was still almost impossible to find a teacher who comprehended Maxwell's electrodynamics. Michael Pupin, for example, travelled from the US to England in vain, seeking such a professor. Finally, in Berlin he found one Helmholtz - who was able to teach him Maxwell's theory (See Dyson, ibid., p.21).

21. In 1892, Heaviside's series of papers in The Electrician and

elsewhere were published as his "Electrical Papers", MacMillan and Co., in two volumes. Much later, this book provided a basis for his "Electromagnetic Theory", The Electrician Publishing Co., London and New York, 1922. The second edition with an introduction by E. Weber was published at New York in 1950. The third edition with a foreword by Sir Edmund Whittaker was published by Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1971.

22. Heaviside railed at the elusive idea of the potential, and focused electromagnetics upon the force fields, as did Hertz and Gibbs. Scientists and the literature were strongly indoctrinated with the dogma that the potentials were only mathematical conveniences. (Before one censors Heaviside, Gibbs and Hertz too strenuously for their shortsightedness, one should recall that, classically, forces and force fields - not energy - had been uppermost in scientific theory.)

It was not until 1959 that scientists were goaded once again into facing the unpleasant fact that the potentials were the primary reality and the translation force fields were simply made from them by operations. See Y. Aharanov and D. Bohm,

meister10.htm

"Significance of Electromagnetic Potentials in the Quantum Theory", "Physical Review", Second Series, 115 (3), Aug. 1, 1959, p. 485-491.

Even so, this latter view was still not fully accepted until the mid-1980's, and it is only recently that the potentials - so beloved and emphasized by Maxwell himself - are once again accepted as the heart and soul of electromagnetics. See Bertram Schwarzschild, "Currents in Normal-Metal Rings Exhibit Aharonov-Bohm Effect", Physics Today, 39 (1), Jan. 1986, p. 17-20 for confirmation that the AB effect has been proven to the satisfaction of all but the most diehard skeptics.

Even so, the primacy of the potentials is still fully accepted only by a handful of scientists. See S. Olariu and I. Iovitzu Popescu, "The Quantum Effects of Electromagnetic Fluxes", Reviews of Modern Physics 57 (2), April 1985 for an exhaustive discussion of the Aharonov-Bohm effect (which proves the physical reality and primacy of the potential) and an extensive list of references.

23. Particularly in his earlier papers in The Electrician, and in his

meister10.htm

## "Electrical Papers" in 1892.

24. In addition to being an excellent experimentalist, Heinrich Rudolf Hertz (1857-1894) was also a noted theorist - and one who also died at an untimely early age. Hertz also made a theoretical reformulation of Maxwell's theory, removing the potentials and focusing on the force fields, as did Heaviside. In his "Electrical Waves", (see Dover, New York, 1962, p. 196- 197; first published in English in 1893), Hertz stated:

"... I have been led to endeavour for some time past to sift Maxwell's formulae and to separate their essential significance from the particular form in which they first happened to appear. The results at which I have arrived are set forth in the present paper. Mr. Oliver Heaviside has been working in the same direction ever since 1885. From Maxwell's equations he removes the same symbols (the potentials) as myself; and the simplest form which these equations thereby attain is essentially the same as that (at) which I arrive. In this respect, then, Mr. Heaviside has the priority... "

25. For Tait's quaternion theory, see P.G. Tait, "An Elementary Treatise on Quaternions", Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1875, 1st edition.

26. For details of the long struggle Heaviside had with his adversary Tait, see "The Great Quaternionic War", Nahin, ibid., p. 187-215. See also M. J. Crowe, "A History of Vector Analysis", University of Notre Dame Press, Notre Dame, 1967, passim. See also A.M. Bork, "Vectors Versus Quaternions - The Letters in Nature", American Journal of Physics, 34, Mar. 1966, p. 202-211.

27. With the availability of excellent and extensive expositions of the vector interpretation of the translation force-field subset of Maxwell's theory by Heaviside and Hertz, and with the nearly insurmountable difficulty associated with the complex quaternions and potentials which few scientists understood, the rejection of the quaternionic form of Maxwell's theory and the acceptance of the vector subset was inevitable.

28. Again recall that even Heaviside, the mighty mouse of a man who, together with Gibbs slew quaternions, much later may

have again turned to quaternions to grapple with the elusive gravity.

To deal with curved space-time, one must deal with potentials, for - in a strict sense - a potential is a curvature of space-time. It is also a trapped spatio-temporal stress, the nature of the stress being determined by the nature of the stressing fields comprising the potential. The stress may be either compressive or tensile, and may contain a complex infolded structure of infinite variability. Gravity is not determined by force fields (the escape of curvature of space-time), but by potentials (the stabilized presence of curvature of space-time).

In addition, one runs headlong into the need for negative energy and negative time. For example, if two like charges are brought together, energy is required to overcome the repulsion, and this energy "goes into the field" to give a positive energy density of space. Two masses, however, attract each other; it takes the exertion of energy to keep them apart - or, in other words, the field energy is negative in this case. Maxwell was much perplexed by this problem, as was Heaviside - and as has been

## meister10.htm

most other physicists who struggled with it, down to and including the physicists of today.

Actually, if we accept the negative field energy requirement, we can expect to meet negative energy when time is reversed, since the fundamental quantum (photon) is composed of  $(\nabla E)(\nabla t)$  and the time-reversed quantum (anti-photon) is composed of  $(-\nabla E)(-\nabla t)$ . Therefore the main involvement of gravitation should be with a time-reversed region - such as the positively charged (time-reversed) atomic nucleus - and it is.

As early as 1898 Carl Barus - in a paper titled "A Curious Inversion in the Wave Mechanism of the Electromagnetic Theory of Light", American Journal of Science 5 (Fourth Series), May 1898, p. 343348 - showed an interpretation of Maxwell's electromagnetic wave equations that could "make the wave run backward". His paper was ignored, but it may have been the first indication of what today in nonlinear phase conjugate optics is known as the time-reversed EM wave.

In the early 1970's Western scientists discovered a strange

thing in the open Soviet literature: the production of a timereversed (TR) wave in nonlinear optics. Indeed, such a wave is a solution to the wave equation, and so the solution applies to all manner of waves (it has been accomplished, for example, with sound waves).

Time-reversed EM waves were controversial at first, since many physicists (even today!) naively equate time reversal with the science fiction notion of "traveling backwards in time". It is nothing of the sort, of course. For an object to travel backwards in time, the entire universe sans the object would have to be time-reversed to a previous state, so that the "present object" is seen as being present in a "past state of the universe". Timereversing a single wave means that only the single wave is seen by the external observer to be affected by the reversal process. He sees (in his own forward time) a successive series of spatial positions of the reversing wave. He sees the rest of the universe moving forward in time in a normal fashion.

Since time is not observable, we simply see such a TR wave, not as time-reversed, but as spatially-reversed. (We see a time-

meister10.htm

reversed particle as both spatially-reversed and chargereversed.) Though much is still unsure about time reversal, it is legitimate and has been in physics for decades. (See Robert G. Sachs, "The Physics of Time Reversal", University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1987, for a broad and comprehensive coverage of the role of time-reversal in physics, and its clear distinctions from space reversal and velocity reversal. For an excellent introduction to the nonlinear optics time-reversed EM wave, see David M. Pepper, "Nonlinear Optical Phase Conjugation", Optical Engineering, 21 (2), Mar./Apr. 1982, p. 156-183.)

So today we are aware that a time-reversed EM wave can readily be produced. The present author has already pointed out that an EM wave carries both energy and time, and that a time-reversed EM wave has both its energy and time content reversed in sign. Such a TR wave carries negative energy and negative time. So a normal (forward-time) photon must be considered as comprised of  $(+\nabla E)(+\nabla t)$ , while an anti-photon (time-reversed photon) must be considered by the external observer as comprised of (- $\nabla E$ )(- $\nabla t$ ). (See Bearden, "Extraordinary Physics" in: "AIDS:

Biological Warfare", Tesla Book Co., Greenville, Texas 1988, p.74-203.) For important involvement of negative time/negative energy in the nucleus, see C.W. Rietdijk, "How Do 'Virtual' Photons and Mesons Transmit Forces Between Charged Particles and Nucleons?", Foundations of Physics, 7 (5-6), June 1977, p. 351-374. As early as 1973, the present authour pointed out the involvement of negative time in mass; see Bearden, "Quiton/Perception Physics: A Theory of Existence, Perception and Physical Phenomena", NTIS, AD 763210, 1973. For a beautiful consideration of negative energy in a theory of gravitation, see Frederick E. Alzofon, "Antigravity With Present Technology: Implementation and Theoretical Foundation", in AIAA/SAE/ASME Joint Propulsion Conference, 17th, Colorado Springs, Colorado, July 27-29, 1981, New York: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Report Number AIAA-81 - 1608, 1981.

In an atom, normally photons are emitted by radiation from negative charges, while anti-photons are emitted by radiation from positive charges - such as the positively charged nucleus of meister10.htm

## an atom.

EM mixing stress and time reversal can be utilized to create an amplified time-reversed replica of a small input signal wave. When EM wave mixing stress is rhythmically applied to the atom, a scalar EM stress wave system is formed with zero E and B vector resultants. This wave passes through the electron shells and pumps the nucleus to an excited state. Input of another EM wave to the atom modulates the scalar pump wave, in turn modulating the pumping of the nucleus and accomplishing 4-wave mixing. The time-reversed (positivelycharged) nucleus acts as a pumped phase conjugate mirror and emits a phase conjugate (time-reversed) EM wave, which travels out of the nucleus as a modulation upon the scalar pump wave "bridge to the outside".

As is well-known in four-wave mixing, the emission of a phase conjugate replica does not change the momentum of the mirror; i.e., it does not cause recoil. When it strikes another stressed atom, however, it modulates the stressing scalar waves and penetrates into the nucleus, where it is absorbed. Absorption of

the negative energy/negative time wave in the nucleus does cause recoil negatively! Thus all atomic nuclei are continually being drawn to each other by negative recoil from four-wave mixing reactions. This is the genesis of gravity.

Of course, since time is not observable, we do not observe reversed time - we do not at all see any sort of "travel into the past", as explained previously. In a universe moving in positive time, we will simply see the time-reversed wave as spatially reversed - since time itself is not observable - and exhibiting very peculiar behaviour and negentropy by proceeding from disorder back to order. We will also see such a TR wave converging upon its path rather than diverging which characteristic itself is a move from disorder to order, and negentropic.

As can be seen, when we include TR waves, the second law of thermodynamics (which assumes only positive-time EM energy) must be reversed, so that it becomes the law of negentropy. This present second law is only half the case; addition of the law of negentropy completes the other half of it.

Note also that random time-reversed EM waves (time-reversed "heat") when added to normal heat, cools the region (reduces the algebraic size of the positive heat). It reduces the disorder of the region by multi-photon (multi-wave) mixing. Electrostatic cooling should be reexamined in light of this characteristic union of disordering and ordering.

29. The negative energy solutions and potentials of quaternion theory are particularly interesting, though they have been little pursued by theorists. It is also to be highly regretted that very early work on "reversed EM waves", such as the paper by Barus, ibid., was not vigourously followed up at the time.

30. As will be seen, the scalar component of the quaternion can infold and capture the stress energy of a zero-translationresultant electromagnetic stress system, which constitutes the capture of an electrogravitational potential. Regular periodic oscillations (in magnitude, relative components, phase, etc.) of this potential constitute powerful standing gravitational waves of local curvature in space-time. Direct and significant local general relativity (GR) then exists in the laboratory, and direct

meister10.htm

GR experiments may readily be conducted.

31. In Heaviside EM theory, we are taught to discard zero translation resultant electromagnetic stresses. We are taught to discard those aspects of EM that: (1) form gravitational and inertial effects, and (2) are capable of directly reaching and engineering the atomic nucleus in a controlled fashion. Consequently, our present crude "engineering" of the nucleus is largely restricted to introducing a whole neutral particle or violently striking the atom with a speeding particle "hammer". With scalar EM we should be able to tune, change and control the nucleus like fine-tuning a precision watch.

32. See also James D. Edmonds Jr., "Quaternion Quantum Theory: New Physics or Number Mysticism?", American Journal of Physics, 42 (3), Mar. 1974, p. 220-223. See also his paper, "Maxwell's Eight Equations As One Quaternion Equation", American Journal of Physics, 46, Apr. 1978, p. 430-431.

**33.** See also Dyson, ibid. and Josephs, ibid. Also, Dr. Henry Monteith of Sandia National Laboratories has independently

discovered that Maxwell's quaternion theory contained a unified field theory of gravitation and electromagnetics. See Monteith, "Dynamic Gravity and Electromagnetic Processes: Parts I and II", July 1988. See also Monteith, "Visualization and Duality in Mathematical Physics", Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, April 15, 1986. Monteith has extended quaternion theory to include the hyperbolic quaternion, and has shown that his extended theory contains both spinor and twistor theory as subsets, and is a full theory of anisotropic space-time. Presently, he is preparing a major book on this subject, and he may very well be the scientist who writes the great new unified EM-G field theory so long sought by physicists.

34. See papers by T.E. Bearden, published by the Tesla Book Co., POB 1469, Greenville, Texas: "Comments on the New Tesla Electromagnetics: Part 1: Discrepancies in Present EM Theory", 1982; "Part III: Clarifying the Vector Concept", 1983; Part IV: "Vectors and Mechanisms Clarified", 1983; "Solutions to Tesla's Secrets and the Soviet Tesla Weapons", 1981; "Soviet Weather Engineering Over North America", 1-hr. videotape, 1985; "Star Wars Now! The Bohm - Aharonov Effect, Scalar Interferometry, and Soviet Weaponization", 1984; "Far-de-Lance: A Briefing on Soviet Scalar Electromagnetic Weapons", 1986; Chapter 4: "Extraordinary Physics" in "AIDS: Biological Warfare", 1988, p. 74-203. See also Bearden, "Tesla's Electromagnetics and Its Soviet Weaponization", Proc. 1984 Tesla Centennial Symp., International Tesla Society, Colorado Springs, Colorado 1984; Bearden, "Soviet Phase Conjugate Weapons: Weapons That Use Time-Reversed Electromagnetic Waves", Bulletin, Committee to Restore the Constitution, POB 986, Ft. Collins, Colorado 80522, Jan. 1988.

35. Of interest in EM theory is the appearance of closed circuital fluxes of EM energy in a region, which has bothered a very great number of physicists and electrical engineers including Maxwell and Heaviside. Heaviside's derivation of the Pointing vector with a vector G term describing these "close-loop energy traps" was published in The Electrician on Feb. 21, 1885. Heaviside wrestled with this G-vector, but dismissed it as an unnecessary and useless introduction of an auxiliary circuital flux. Actually,

such a trapped closed-loop energy flow constitutes a special kind of dynamic structure internal to an electromagnetic potential, in the view of the present author. Further, since this EM potential has a definite deterministic pattern and structure, it may be regarded as an artificial potential, in contradiction to a normal potential with a random energy flux structure. If this view is correct, then Heaviside (and other electrical physicists, subsequently) may again have discarded one part of electrogravitation - because, after all, in modern general relativity, gravitation primarily consists of a number of potentials, trapped energy density of vacuum constitutes a curvature of space-time, and closed circuital fluxes of EM energy represent dynamic internal energy-density structures.

Such closed loop energy flows actually exist and are definitely real.

For example, the Earth's atmosphere experiences  $\overline{E}$ -field lines pointing radially downward, and magnetic field lines directed from the Magnetic North Pole to the South Pole. The  $\overline{E} \times \overline{H}$ expression gives a perpetual energy flow from East to West, in

closed circle loops, even G = 0. H. Skilling ("Fundamentals of Electric Waves", John Wiley, New York, 1948, p. 132) called the idea that such loops have physical significance "absurd". Richard Feynman ("Lectures On Physics", Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts 1964, p. 17-5 to 17-6 and 27-11) showed that such an energy flow is required by the conservation of angular momentum. It has also been shown for the Earth (E.M. Pugh and G.E. Pugh, "Physical Significance of the Pointing Vector in Static Fields", American Journal of Physics 35, Feb. 1967, p.153-156) that Feynman's thought experiment is quantitatively correct.

36. E.T. Whittaker, "On an Expression of the Electromagnetic Field Due to Electrons By Means of Two Scalar Potential Functions", Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., Series 2, Volume 1, 1903, p. 367-372.

37. Even today it is still in vogue in physics and electrical engineering. Specifically, engineers almost never try to design equipment that utilizes potentials in the absence of the force fields. There are a few notable exceptions, of course. The author

and Frank Golden did work in the 1970's with "free A-field" equipment developed by Golden, and Dr. William Tiller did important theoretical work in curl-free vector potentials (free Afield). See his US Patent No. 4,447,779, "Apparatus and Method For Determination of a Receiving Device Relative to a Transmitting Device Utilizing a Curl-Free Magnetic Vector Potential Field", fan. 31, 1981; US Patent No. 4,429,280, "Apparatus and Method For Demodulation of a Modulated Curl-Free Magnetic Vector Potential", fan. 31, 1984; US Patent No. 4,432,098, "Apparatus and Method For Transfer of Information By Means of a Curl-Free Magnetic Vector Potential Field", Feb. 14, 1984. For an exhaustive discussion of the Aharonov-Bohm effect (which establishes the reality and primacy of the potentials), see S. Olariu, "The Quantum Effects of Electromagnetic Fluxes", Reviews of Modern Physics, 57 (2), Apr. 1985, p. 339.

38. Indeed, so far as is known to the present author, there is still not a single EM textbook that even recognizes and addresses the issue of whether or not a zero-resultant force

vector system can be exclusively equated to - and totally replaced by - a zero translation vector resultant. The reason may be that, unconsciously, physicists have wished to avoid the incredible implications of infolded and inwardly structured EM energy (as perhaps witnessed by the rather short shift given to David Bohm's fundamental and revolutionary "hidden variable" theory of quantum mechanics). Not only does one face the implications of the internal structuring of EM energy, but one also faces the implications of curving local space-time (violating Einstein's general relativity) and deterministically substructuring that curvature of space-time, vacuum potentials, and the very vacuum itself.

This profoundly affects one of the fundamental assumptions of quantum mechanics: that the nature of quantum change is totally statistical. With internally structured potentials and direct control and manipulation of "hidden variables", one can engineer quantum change itself, even before collapse of the wave function. That is, one can speak of engineering physical reality itself.

Indeed, one would now be dealing with physics on a notion of the "information content" of hidden physical processes, where the hidden informational content of interacting energies can produce startling and unusual phenomenology, including violation of all present macroscopic conservation and exclusion laws. Very strange effects are already known in quantum mechanics, which conceivably may be due to the interaction of such infolded information structures. For example, one can sometimes even influence or decide the outcome of at least one type of experimental interaction after it has apparently already happened. In the two-slit experiment, one can wait until after the interactions with the electron are completed, and still select whether the electron will exhibit a classical particle or a quantum interference (wave) nature in the interaction. See, for example, John Archibald Wheeler, "The 'Past' and the 'Delayed-Choice' Double-Slit Experiment", in A.R. Marlow, ea., "Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Theory", Academic Press, N.Y., 1978.

Soviet scientists have particularly focused on the infolded

structure of electromagnetic waves, referring to this structure as the "information content" of the fields. They have intensely applied this approach to the study of biological systems; for example, see N.D. Devyatkov and M.B. Golant, "Prospects for the use of millimeter-range electromagnetic radiation as a highly informative instrument for studying specific processes in living organisms", Soviet Technical Physics Letters, 12 (3), Mar. 1986, p. 118-119; See also N.D. Devyatkov (ed.), Applications of lowintensity millimeter-wave radiation in biology and medicine (in Russian), IRE Akad. Nauk. SSR, Moscow 1985. Further, each type of cellular disease has its particular EM radiation structure; it has been shown that the EM radiation structure (the EM information) emitted by diseased cells are capable of inducing that same disease physiology and symptomology in distant cells. See Vlail Kaznacheyev, "Electromagnetic bioinformation in intercellular interactions", PSI Research, 1(1), Mar. 1982, p.47-76. It follows that time-reversing (phase-conjugating) the mitogenetic "disease" information signal could provide a "healing" signal for a specific cellular disease condition (See Bearden, "AIDS: Biological Warfare", 1988 for an extended

discussion, and appreciable details of the Priore device which utilized such an approach to demonstrate nearly 100% cures of terminal cancers, leukemias, and other diseases in laboratory animals).

The weapon implications using modulated electromagnetic carriers are obvious, and it is significant that: (1) over-thehorizon (OTH) beams from Soviet giant microwave OTH radars continually intersect over North America, (2) the world's greatest expert in EM induction of cellular disease at a distance V. Kaznacheyev - is associated with two secret institutes in the outskirts of Moscow which produce microwave-directed energy weapons, and (3) extensive health changes have occurred over the decades in personnel in the US Embassy in Moscow, where weak microwave radiation has been beamed against the building since the early 1950's. Actual measured EM field data inside the Embassy reveals a strong correlation between the locations where induced health problems in Embassy personnel occurred, and the locations where the EM force fields from the Soviet microwave radiation were minimal or zero. Note that the areas
where EM force fields are absent or minimal represents those areas where the potentials are strongest. The high correlation of disease induction to those specific areas, strongly indicates that the Soviets have deliberately used structured EM potentials in the microwave radiation to induce diseases in Embassy personnel. It is obvious that this has been a continuing test stimulus to see (by US response at the site or lack of it) whether or not we are knowledgeable in scalar EM and in structured EM potential disease induction technology and weapons. Installation of aluminum screens over the windows merely decreased the force field components, not the potentials. **Obviously we have continually certified our ignorance of scalar** EM.

**39.** The physical interpretation of the zero vector is interesting. To the external observer, a zero translation vector applied to an object or point merely means the absence of observed translation of that object or point. If, in addition, no infolded finite vector components exist in the zero-vector, then no internal stress due to the zero-vector exists internal to the

object or point. If, on the other hand, infolded finite vector components exist in the zero-vector (that is, if it is a zerovector-resultant system of multiple non-zero translation vectors), then internal stress due to the zero-vector system exists internal to the object or point. In other words, there are two kinds of zero-translation vectors: (1) those that have no infolded internal finite structure, and (2) those that do have an internal, infolded finite structure. One of these zero vectors is stress-free, while the other is a stressing system. The latter class of zero translation vector constitutes a potential, contains a massless charge, and represents a direct curvature of local space-time with a deterministic, infolded structure that affects a surrounding region of space-time.

40. See also R. Chen, "Cancellation of Internal Forces", American Journal of Physics, 49(4), Apr. 1981, p. 372 for a discussion of summation vectors and internal vectors. Internal forces occur in equal and opposite pairs (i.e., as stresses), so they contribute nothing to the sum (i.e. to external translation).

# **41. Unfortunately Einstein studied the prevalent Heaviside**

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

version of Maxwell's electromagnetic theory in university. Therefore he studied only a subset, and one in which EM and G are mutually exclusive a priori. Since potential with curvature of space-time, that meant that he would of necessity assume that EM forces did not curve local space-time. After all, EM forces simply radiate away as EM radiation, fleeing at the speed of light. So no EM force was going to be around long enough to warp space-time.

Accordingly, Einstein was left with the weak gravitational force between masses as the only force with which to curve spacetime. The mass-attraction G-force is so weak that only adjacent to a stupendous collection of mass - such as the Sun or a star would there be sufficient space-time curvature to even detect. Einstein reasoned that the observer and the laboratory would never be on the surface of the Sun or at the surface of a star; consequently, he assumed that - where the observer and the laboratory were located - the local frame would be a Lorentz frame and local space-time would never be curved. In other words, Einstein did not write a general theory of curved (anisotropic) space-time at all. Instead, he wrote a severely restricted subset of such a theory. He wrote a sort of special relativity with distant perturbations, where all the "general" relativity occurs at an appreciable distance from the observer, and then only at or near a huge collection of mass.

This had several results (considered advantages by orthodox) scientists). (1) it strongly implied that one would never have a direct experimental science of general relativity on the laboratory bench. After all, if the local space-time is uncurved, it means there is no local general relativity. (2) it assumed that it was impossible to utilize the far stronger EM forces (which are some 10exp36 to 10exp42 times as strong as the G-force) to make gravitational potential. And with the denigration of the EM potentials as having no physical reality, Heaviside's force fieldoriented EM theory diverted one directly away from considering the constitution of EM potentials as having any relevance to anything physical. (31 it saved the sacrosanct conservation laws, which had been raised to a dogma.

Ironically, after assuming that local space-time was never

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

curved, Einstein spent the rest of his life futilely striving to get electromagnetics back into general relativity fold, to form a unified field theory. He failed - never realizing that it was his own assumption of a locally-uncurved space-time that doomed all his efforts to failure.

In the West, Einstein's severely restricted general relativity has itself become tantamount to dogma, and any challenge to the sacrosanctity of the conservation laws results in immediate alarm. This is not true at all in the Soviet Union, where leading academicians regularly publish papers detailing aspects of an unrestricted general relativity, where local space-time can be curved and where all conservation laws can be violated.

For example, see A.A. Vlasov and V.I. Denisov, "Einstein's formula for gravitational radiation is not a consequence of the general theory of relativity", Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 53 (3) June 1983 (English translation; Russian publication Dec. 1982), p. 406-418. Quoting: "... in general relativity there are no energy-momentum conservation laws for a system consisting of matter and the gravitational field."

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

See also V.I. Denisov and A.A. Logunov, "New theory of spacetime and gravitation", July 1982, p. 3-76. This paper (p.3) points out that "... the gravitational field in general relativity is completely different from other physical fields and is not a field in the spirit of Faraday and Maxwell.".

A 1984 Soviet paper by senior Russian physicist C. Yu. Bosgoslovsky, "Generalization of Einstein's Relativity Theory for the anisotropic space-time", is also very relevant. See also V.I. Denisov and A.A. Logunov, "The inertial mass defined in General Relativity has no physical meaning", preprint p. 0214, Institute of Nuclear Research, USSR Academy of Science, Moscow, 1981.

For documentation of a near-conspiracy in the West against refutation of Einstein's restricted general relativity, see Ruggero Maria Santilli, "Ethical Probe on Einstein's followers in the U.S.A.: An Insider's View", Alpha Publishing, POB 82, Newtonville, MA 02160, 1984.

The assumed mutual exclusion of EM and G can be theoretically shown to be false. See R.M. Santilli, "Parsons and Gravitation:

meister10.htm

Some puzzling questions", Annals of Physics, 83 (1), Mar. 1974, p. 108-157. It can also be experimentally proven to be false.

For some years John Hutchison of Vancouver, Canada has performed experiments where he places a sample (material or object) between two giant Tesla coils, then violently activates the coils. The two coils provide "strong bucking EM force fields" into and onto the sample, with many, many frequencies and randomly varying multi-wave interactions. The irradiation also produces strong, fluctuating ELF components, in and on the central object. Gravitation in a mass varies as a function of the magnitude of the  $\nabla_1$  carried by the interacting pump photons, hence inversely as the energy and frequency of the pump photons.

When phasing conditions and target internal conditions are just right, nonlinearities in the central target object act to a certain degree as a nonlinear medium of sufficient reflectivity to be considered a pumped phase conjugate mirror (though certainly one of very low efficiency). Under fortuitous conditions, the object is levitated, since its nuclei are being pumped with an ELF

scalar wave and forced to produce a great deal of excess negative time. In negative time, masses repel rather than attract; hence the more  $\nabla t$  in the photons, the more antigravity produced and built up in the nucleus.

While Hutchison's experiments are relatively uncontrolled, he has produced verifiable results: for example, a major German laboratory has found alterations in the metal of his sample that are previously unknown, and which cannot be duplicated by any other known procedure. His approach is essentially no cruder than the "get a bigger hammer" approach of high energy physics; he just does not have the facilities, funds, and team of supporting scientists to meticulously instrument his results and stabilize his fields. And he certainly has suffered great derision from orthodox engineers and scientists who do not at all understand the principles utilized in his experiments. Nevertheless, Hutchison is right and all the deriding pundits are wrong.

# 42. Utilizing the microstructure of the vacuum is something which orthodox scientists have never even tried. For

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

confirmation, see Tsung Dao Lee, "Particle physics and Introduction to Field Theory", Harwood Academic Publishers, N. Y., 1981, Second printing with corrections, 1982, p. 1957.

43. The sine-squared wave is of extraordinary importance. Its characteristics were independently discovered by John Bedini experimentally, as a special means he discovered and utilized to greatly enhance and control EM effects on cells and cellular structures. In a nonlinear medium such as living tissue, an ordinary EM sine-squared wave of appropriate frequency causes generation of its own phase conjugated replica in the body. The two waves are locked together by the modulation effect, and form a sine-squared scalar EM wave which penetrates widely throughout the body, even to the atomic nuclei, with greatly decreased power levels required. By modulating this wave with specific "healing photons" designed for a specific disease, internal EM healing via structured EM potentials (i.e., by the specifically-tailored information content of the EM potentials) can be introduced throughout the body's own "cellular information system". Photobiology, little known in the West,

meister10.htm

nevertheless has great promise and offers a potential for healing a great many diseases presently impossible or difficult to cure.

44. Along the lines developed in Bearden, "AIDS: Biological Warfare", Tesla Book Co., 1988.

45. For a comprehensive engineering overview of the theory of four-wave mixing, see David M. Pepper, "Nonlinear Optical Phase Conjugation", Optical Engineering, 21 (2), Mar./Apr. 1982, p. 150-183.

46. For a detailed, cautious overview of time-reversal in physics in general, see Robert C. Sachs, "The Physics of Time Reversal", University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1987.

47. For a useful discussion of the theory of parametric oscillation, see V.V. Migulin et al, "Basic Theory of Oscillations", Ed. V.V. Migulin, translated from Russian by George Yankovsky, Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1983 (revised from the 1978 Russian edition).

48. See Bearden, "Extraordinary Physics", ibid. for a discussion of negative time.

49. A close associate, John Bedini, has accomplished such transmutation of elements in the laboratory in proprietary experiments. Indeed, transmutation can be accomplished by scalar EM means at extremely low power and energy - at levels so weak that living systems can and do accomplish limited transmutation of elements. Louis Kervran was a Nobel nominee in 1977 for proving just that. For example, see his "Biological transmutations", Crosby Lockwood, London, 1972; "Transmutations Biologiques", Libraire Maloine, Paris, 1962; "Transmutations a faible energie (naturelles et biologiques)", Libraire Maloine, Paris, 1972.

50. For an extended discussion, the reader is again referred to Bearden, "Extraordinary Physics" in "AIDS: Biological Warfare", 1988.

51. Maxwell himself was well aware of the importance of EM stress in the medium, though he had apparently not realized that

meister10.htm

this represented electrogravitational potential. For example, quoting from his "Treatise", Vol. 1 3rd edition, (New York, 1954), p. 10: "There are physical quantities of another kind which are related to directions to space, but which are not vectors. Stresses and strains in solid bodies are examples, and so are some of the properties of bodies considered in the theory of elasticity and in the theory of double refraction. Quantities of this class require for their definition nine numerical specifications. They are expressed in the language of quaternions by linear and vector functions of a vector."

Note that, since Maxwell assumed a material ether, he obviously assumed it to have such stress and strain characteristics, and knew that this situation was captured by the quaternions.

52. Santilli, "Ethical Probe... ", 1984.

53. Denisov and Logunov, "New Theory...", ibid.; Vlasov and Denisov, ibid., Bosgoslovsky, ibid.

54. Denisov and Logunov, "The Inertial Mass...", ibid.

55. See the several weapons references by Bearden, previously listed above.

Orgone energy as a motor force

Richard A. Blasband, M.D. P. O. Box 0870 INVERNESS, California 94937 United States of America

In 1940, the course of investigations into the nature of the emotions, the psychoanalyst Wilhelm Reich discovered a heretofore little known cosmic energy that functioned within biological systems as the life energy. Further investigations over the next seven years revealed that this energy, "orgone energy", could be accumulated from the atmosphere, concentrated within an enclosure, and used as a motor force.

In 1937, believing that human emotions were essentially bioelectrical in nature, Reich studied changes in electrical skin potentials on subjects in various states of emotive expression (1). This study confirmed his clinical impression and theoretical concept that in emotional states "something moved" within the organism. This "something", however, while measurable in electrical terms, could not be electricity per se, as the large quantities of feelings felt and expressed by subjects in the study could not be accounted for by the few dozens of millivolts registered on the skin surface. Furthermore the application of electricity to the body was always perceived as alien and disturbing.

In order to further delineate the nature of the "something", Reich studied biological energy sources, foodstuffs, under the microscope. He discovered that all foods, regardless of their nature, when subjected to boiling, broke down into microscopic vesicles that moved from place to place, showed internal pulsation, and had a bluish glimmer in their transparent, liquid content. Reich named the vesicles "bions" (2). Reich then found that inorganic materials such as earth, iron fillings, carbon and sand, when subjected to autoclavation or incandescent heat, would also break down into bions similar in appearance to those

meister10.htm

obtained from organic substances. Furthermore, when placed in nutrient media, the carbon and sand bions could be cultured.

The bions showed some remarkable properties. These included the ability to immobilize or destroy bacteria, produce a strong inflammatory reaction when placed close to the skin, to ruminate, and to charge rubber with static electricity. The atmosphere in Reich's laboratory in Oslo was always "heavy"; metallic instruments spontaneously became magnetized; photographic plates spontaneously fogged; Reich tanned, even in the winter, and felt unusually strong and well, except for an inflammation of the eyes, that was apparently related to observing the bions through the microscope.

### Orgone energy from bions and the atmosphere

Reich was, however, concerned that the cultures might be radiating radioactively. He consulted a radiation specialist, who ruled out radioactivity. Experiments over the following months convinced Reich that the radiation from the bion cultures could not be accounted for by any known conventional form of energy.

He was forced to conclude that he was working with a natural force previously unknown to Western science. He named it "orgone energy" because of its ability to be absorbed by organic materials and the fact that his research began with the clinical study of the function of the orgasm in humans.

The orgone energy ruminated in the form of "purple fogs" and fine, lightning-like, whitish sparks. In order to better visualize the rumination Reich placed bion culture dishes inside a metallined, wooden box, thinking that the metal would reflect the radiation to the inside of the box, thereby making it more visible, while the wood would prevent the radiation from escaping. Through a glass plate in one side of the box he was, indeed, better able to see the rumination. To his surprise, however, he found the light effects persisted after removal of the cultures and even after thoroughly airing and washing the enclosure. It was then that Reich realized that the orgone energy was everywhere and that in some way the structure of the enclosure made it possible to concentrate the energy from the atmosphere.

Through further experimentation he found that orgone energy was atracted to and repelled from metals and absorbed by nonmetallic substances. Therefore, an enclosure consisting of alternating layers of non-metallic and metallic materials with the metal innermost would establish a gradient of energy from the atmosphere to the interior of the enclosure. Most often the materials wed were celotex, rock wool, steel wool and galvanized iron, although one could also use plastic, fiberglass and other metals. (Figure 1).

Aluminum could be used in strictly physical experimentation, but could be toxic in living organisms. Reich later found by objective measurement that a box consisting of six alternating layers of material would concentrate orgone energy eight times what it was in the surrounding atmosphere.



Figure 1. Section of the basic design of an Orgone accumulator

To = temp above accumulator; Ti = temp within; T = control

20/10/2011

```
(room temp) El = electroscope; > = direction of radiation. Size: 1 cubic foot
```

The interior of the "orgone energy accumulator" as Reich called the enclosure felt warm and tingling even though the inner metal wall felt cold. This subjective impression was objectively confirmed by measuring the temperature within the accumulator. It was always warmer than the ambient air or a suitable control box by several tenths of a degree to up to two degrees Centigrade (3).

Reich knew the tremendous significance of this finding. It was a violation of the Second Law of Thermodynamics, which was considered inviolable by classical physics. The accumulator could raise its own temperature without work being done to do so. A variety of controlled studies by Reich and, in recent years by his students, has confirmed this phenomenon (4,5,6).



Another means of objectifying the presence of an anomalous energetic force is the behaviour of a static electroscope placed within the enclosure. The so-called "natural leak" of charge from a statically-charged electroscope is significantly slowed down within the accumulator (7). No known classical electrical process can account for this phenomenon. Reich came to see static electricity as being a common manifestation of orgone energy. The natural leak from the electroscope within the accumulator is slowed because the electroscope discharges into a higher orgone concentration than exists in the outside air.

Slowing of the electroscopic discharge rate and the temperature increase within the accumulator (temperature within the accumulator minus temperature of the ambient air, To - T) parallel each other. Both are dependent on external energetic factors, most significantly the weather, and can be used to forecast coming weather changes.

The genesis of the orgone energy motor

In 1947, following seven years of investigation of the biological

and physical properties of orgone energy, Reich acquired a Geiger-Muller field meter in preparation for studying the interaction between orgone energy and radioactivity. At his laboratory in Rangely, Maine, Reich found that the GM counter initially reacted normally, registering the background count caused by natural radioactivity and gamma radiation of cosmic origin. It was, however, unresponsive to proximity to orgone energy accumulating structures. Within a few days, inexplicably, the instrument appeared "dead", being unresponsive to background radiation and even to a small x-ray source.

The device was checked periodically, but remained completely unreactive until about two months later, when on routine check the pointer of the impulse recorder began instantly to rotate at the rate of one full turn per second, a great velocity for this device. This corresponded to about 100 impulses per second, an enormous reaction compared to the normal background count of 15 - 25 counts per minute. On further testing Reich obtained counts of six to eight thousand counts per minute (cpm), yielding 1.15 rotations per second, a continuous rotation of the

meister10.htm

recorder (8). At that time the highest counts ever obtained with radioactive substances was 3000 cpm with that brand of GM counter. Reich realized that he was witnessing a possible motor force in orgone energy. The orgone energy was, somehow, through the GM counter, being transformed into electromagnetic and mechanical energy. By a detailed functional dissection of the GM effect and the use of special vacuum tubes to intensify the concentration of orgone energy, Reich later found the way to more directly run an electric motor on orgone energy.



Figure 3. Geiger effect of orgone energy by cpm and voltage

meister10.htm

#### increases.





laboratory for one month.

Reich found that the metal outer cylinder of the counter tube of the GM device attracted orgone energy from the atmosphere and that the GM effect could be killed by simply removing the metal cylinder from the glass counting tube. The motor effect reappeared instantly upon replacing the metal cylinder or on putting the naked glass counter tube into an orgone energy accumulator. The effect would diminish before rainstorms and recover after a storm had passed through. This was consistent with earlier observations of temperature and electroscopic changes within the accumulator in varying weather conditions.

These and other observations convinced Reich that the motor effect occurred because the counter tube had "soaked up" orgone energy through constant exposure to the high ambient orgonotic charge in the laboratory. Since the counter tube consists of an inner metal cathode and an outer nonmetallic protective coating the counter tube is essentially an orgone energy accumulator. The orgone energy within the counter tube was then excited to a lightning-like state of pointed rays by the electrical stimulus from the GM device. In this state, orgone

energy could be counted by the device. A variety of control experiments demonstrated that the GM motor effect of high cpm could be explained only by the excitation of high concentrations of orgone energy within the counter tube.

Reich obtained a more sophisticated GM counter that permitted varying the voltage to the counter tube. Like the field meter it initially counted only the background radiation, but within three days registered 3600 cpm in bursts and at four weeks showed continuous rotation of the impulse counter, close to 2000 cpm at 1000 volts of excitation.

Figure 2 shows the power of concentrated orgone energy when compared to a radioactive source (radium), and so-called cosmic radiation (9).

Figure 3 shows the non-mechanical, functional qualities of orgone energy by the non-linear changes in cpm with increases in voltage (10).

Figure 4 shows the capacity of orgone energy to charge itself.

Counts were made with the GM tube placed within a 1 cm lead and 1/4 cm iron cylinder within a one cubic foot orgone energy accumulator. The GM counter was operating during six consecutive minutes at a steady 950 volts. Note the sharp increase in impulses after 2 minutes without any additional voltage to excite the energy in the counter tube (11).

Figure 5 shows the reaction of a control tube kept 100 feet away from the laboratory for one month. Despite the distance it had soaked up sufficient orgone energy to yield a rotary motor effect by merely being in the energy field of the laboratory (12).

The classical view of the operation of a Geiger-Muller Counter is that radioactivity triggers the gas within the counter tube into an ionized state. The ionization then lowers the resistance to the passage of electricity between a cathode and anode within the tube. A circuit amplifies the electrical flow so that it may be read out and thus register indirectly the quantity of radioactivity passing through the counter tube. In the classical view then, the incident radiation indirectly produces the impulse which activates the recorder. Reich's next task was to determine

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

whether or not this theory held true for the motor phenomenon with which he was working. Or, could it be possible, he asked, that atmospheric orgone energy impulses counted by the GM counter tube, were directly activating the electromagnetic system of the impulse recorder?

To answer this question Reich performed an ingenious series of experiments wherein he functionally dissected the orgonecharged GM system utilizing calibrated electroscopes and a voltammeter attached in a variety of ways to the counter tube and GM amplifier. In this way he found that the amount of energy coming from the tube ranged from 100 to 500 electrostatic volts, a tremendous amount of voltage, which could not in no way be accounted for by classical ionization theory. He also found the energy entering the amplifier from the counter tube was different from the energy leaving it, that it was in the process of moving through the amplifier that orgone energy was transformed into electromagnetic energy.

Reich felt that the motor reaction could be improved if he could simplify the whole system by eliminating everything that stood

in the way of the direct transformation of orgone energy into a mechanical motor force. His first step was to try an orgone charged, gas-free counter tube in the GM counter. This failed to produce any reaction. But when he used a specially constructed vacuum tube that functioned like an orgone energy accumulator (the "Vacor" tube), he got a powerful reaction. It was constructed with inner parallel aluminum plates, attached to the cathode and anode respectively. The vacuum was 1/2 micron of pressure, sufficient to rule out the presence of any gas.

After soaking in an orgone energy accumulator for several weeks, despite the absence of gas, this tube ruminated a deep blue colour when excited by an orgone-charged plastic rod. With excitation by an electrical tension of 100 to 1000 volts, the ruminating colour in the tube went through changes identical to that seen as the night sky changes to dawn, and then full daylight. It seemed very likely to Reich that dawn and daylight rumination on the planet were a result of excitation from the Sun, triggering changes in the orgone energy field of the Earth (13).

With the hook-up to the GM counter, the Vacor Tube yielded thousands of impulses per second at 350 - 500 volts of tension. This was much higher than the yield from the usual GM counter tube, which required 750 - 1000 volts to trigger 100 - 130 counts per second, at best. Elimination of the high voltage circuit between the Vacor Tube and the impulse counter permitted even higher counts to come through from the tube, up to 20 - 25,000 counts per second. An electroscope measured the tension between two aluminum plates in the Vacor Tube. It was an extremely high 34,000 volts.

In 1949 Reich reported his success with the Orgone Energy Motor Force:

On June 24th, 1948, at 1 p.m., I succeeded in setting a motor (Western Electric, KS-9154, Serial No. 1227) into motion by means of the Orgone Energy Motor Force which I had discovered by way by way of the Geiger-Muller counter on August 8th, 1947... An activated filament of electronic amplifiers, without any high voltage, is sufficient to transmit the ORGONOTIC MOTOR FORCE.

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

In order to set the Orgone Motor into motion, a certain function, called Y. is necessary. This function cannot be divulged at the present time.

The sources of orgone energy used hitherto are the following:

- a) Orgone-charged Vacor tubes
- b) Atmospheric Orgone
- c) Earth Orgone
- d) Organismic Orgone Energy

No material as is being used in the process of nuclear fission is required. The succession of impulses can be regulated. The sequence of impulses is even and continuous. The relation of the amount of used orgone energy to the tremendous reservoir of the Cosmic Energy Source is minimal.

The speed of the motor action can be regulated. It depends on:

- a) the number of vacor tubes connected,
- b) weather conditions in accordance with orgonotic functions

found hitherto, such as temperature difference To-T, speed of electroscopic discharge, etc., c) Function Y.

The functions of the vacuum tubes (vacor tubes), refute the theories of "empty space." Field actions are due to the activity of the universal cosmic orgone energy. The strength of the energy field within the vacuum tube can be demonstrated and measured with a specific functional set-up (14).

Reich demonstrated the motor to reliable witnesses including a reporter from a local newspaper. He died, however, without revealing the nature of function Y. because he felt the world was not prepared to assume responsibility for what would be an unlimited source of power.

Bibliography

## 1. Reich, W., "Experimental Investigation of the Electrical Function of Sexuality and Anxiety". The Journal of Orgonomy. 3: 1. 1969.

2. Reich, W., The Cancer Biopathy. Orgone Institute Press. N.Y., 1948. p.11.

3. ibid. p.95.

4. Risenblum, C.F. (pseudonym for C.F. Baker). "The Orgone Accumulator Temperature Difference: Experimental Protocol". J. Orgonomy. 6:1. 1972.

5. Blasband, R.A.. "Thermal Orgonometry". J. Orgonomy. 5:2. 1971.

6. Seiler, H.P. "New Experiments in Thermal Orgonometry". J. Orgonomy. 16:2. 1982.

7. Reich, W.. The Cancer Biopathy. p.108.

8. Reich, W.. "The Geiger-Muller Effect of Cosmic Orgone Energy (1947)". Orgone Energy Bulletin. 3:4. 1951. p.201.

9. ibid. p.231.

10. ibid. p.230.

- 11. ibid. p.232.
- 12. ibid. p.233.
- 13. ibid. p.249.

14. Reich, W.. "A Motor Force in Orgone Energy". Orgone Energy Bulletin. 1:1. 1949. p.7.

The Hutchison effect - a lift and disruption system

George D. Hathaway, P. Eng. Hathaway Consulting Services 39 Kendal Avenue TORONTO, Ontario M5R 1L5 Canada

The following may shed light on a most unusual phenomenon which we have called the "Hutchison Effect". It is a very strange arrangement of technologies including those of Nikola Tesla and
Robert Van de Graaf. This is a topic that is very conducive to wandering because it brings in all of the most amazing kinds of effects that one would love to have in their basement, such as material levitating and floating around, being able to break steel bars without the use of your bare hands, and all sorts of other weird and wonderful things.

Pharos Technologies Ltd. was a company formed by myself and a gentleman by the name of Alex Pezarro, who you may recall made a presentation at the 1983 2nd International Symposium on Non-Conventional Energy Technology in Atlanta. Alex talked about one of his pet projects, which was oil and gas discovery by novel means. In 1980, we formed this small company to try to promote what we then called the Hutchison Effect. We also termed it in our early presentations: LADS or the Lift and Disruption System. The following series of graphs were created in 1984 to present to various parties interested in funding this technology. The first graph indicates the topics covered in these presentations.

# I INTRODUCTION HISTORY

meister10.htm

L.A.D.S. IS CAPABLE OF:	
- INDUCING LIFT AND TRANSLATION IN BODIES OF ANY	PROPULSIVE
MATERIAL	
- SEVERELY DISRUPTING MOLECULAR BONDS IN ANY	ENERGETIC
MATERIAL RESULTING IN CATASTROPHIC DISRUPTIVE	
FRACTURING	
- CAUSING CONTROLLED PLASTIC DEFORMATION IN	
METALS	
- CREATING UNUSUAL AURORA-LIKE LIGHTING EFFECTS	
IN MID-AIR	
- INDUCING APPARENT URGE-SCALE MAGNETIC	
MONOPOLES IN METALS	
- CAUSING CHANGES IN CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF	
METALS	
- OTHER LONG-RANGE EFFECTS	
ALL AT LOW POWER AND AT A DISTANCE	

# The Lift and Disruption System or the Hutchison Effect is divided primarily into two categories of phenomena: propulsive and

meister10.htm

energetic. The system is capable of inducing lift and translation in bodies of any material. That means it will propel bodies upwards, and it will also move them sideways. There are actually 4 kinds of trajectories which are capable of being produced and I'll explain these shortly. It also has very strange energetic properties including severely disrupting intermolecular bonds in any material resulting in catastrophic and disruptive fracturing, samples of which are described here. It is also capable of causing controlled plastic deformation in metals, creating unusual aurora-like lighting effects in mid-air, causing changes in chemical composition of metals (it varies the distribution of the chemical content), and other long-range effects at distances up to around 80 feet (24 metres) away from the central core of the apparatus - all at low power and at a distance.

I INTRODUCTION + HISTORY	II VISUAL EVIDENCE - FILM + STILLS
- UNPRECEDENTED ACTIVITY	- OVERVIEW OF CROWDED,
FROM A SINGLE SYSTEM	PRIMITIVE ORIGINAL LAB

20,	/10/2011 meiste	r10.htm	
	- TRUE SYSTEM WITH MANY	- STATE OF	- POOR
	INTERRELATED PARTS	ORIGINAL	CONNECTIONS
		SET-UP:	- HAND WOUND
			COILS ETC.
			- LACK OF
			INSTRUMENTATION
	- DEVELOPED TOTALLY	- DIFFERENCE	BETWEEN
	FORTUITOUSLY FROM	ORIGINAL AND	LATEST
	EXPERIMENTATION WITH EARLY	LABORATORIE	S
	A.C. AND STATIC MACHINES		
	- BASED OK IDEA OF INDUCING	- BASEMENT O	F HOUSE - L.A.D.S.
	"SWIRL" OR ROTATION IN EM.	DRAWS MAXIM	IUM OF 1.5 KW.
	FIELDS	FROM HOUSE	MAINS
	- EARLY EVIDENCE OF POWER OF	- BEST LIFT EP	ISODES IN EARLY
	L.A.D.S.	BASEMENT LA	3
	- PHASE 0 DEVELOPMENT OF	- POOR PHOTO	GRAPHIC RECORD
	PROGRAM BY PHAROS	IN EARLIEST T	RIALS
	TECHNOLOGIES LTD.		
		]	
	- UNPREDICTABILITY OF L.A.D.S.	- HIGHLIGHT -	BURNOUT OF

20	/10/2011 meiste	r10.htm
	IN EARLY TRIALS	ARMATURE + FIELD COILS OF
	- RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF L.A.D.S. LAB UNDER PHASE 1 PROGRAM	- MANY MATERIALS CAPABLE OF BEING SELECTIVELY INFLUENCED
	- SUCCESS AT RE-CONSTRUCTING L.A.D.S. IN NEW ENVIRONMENT	- INDEPENDENT QUALIFIED WITNESSES

The system is a single entity, made up of many discrete components. It has many interrelated parts, unfortunately continually being added to by the inventor. It was discovered fortuitously by Hutchison, who was experimenting with early Tesla systems and static machines such as Van de Graaf generators.

The earliest explanation was given by Mel Winfield of Vancouver, whose name may be familiar from Dr. Nieper's 1988 Congress in Germany. He suggested that the explanation for the phenomena was due to a method of making the electro-magnetic fields spin or swirl in some unknown way.

Pharos Technologies was involved in three phases of

development, the first phase of which was in the basement of a house in Vancouver. This is where John Hutchison's original work was done. The collection of apparatus which will boggle the mind can be seen on the video (shown during the lecture and available from the publisher) and replicated in Figures 11 and 12. That was the Phase 0 development. Phase I was when we stepped in with some money and took the equipment from the original location and put it in a more reasonable setting. Phase II was a third location prior to its being dismantled and put into storage by John.

The main thing about this technology, apart from its unusual phenomenology, is that it is highly transitory. The phenomena come and go virtually as they please. One has to sit with this apparatus from between six hours and six days before one actually sees something occurring. This makes it virtually impossible to interest someone who would like to try to develop it, to assist in funding, for instance. You can't assume that someone will sit there who is ready to help develop a technology, and have him wait and wait, and perhaps nothing

will happen. It's unusual to ask someone to wait six days for a phenomena that they're interested in developing commercially. So one can imagine that we've had some difficulty in the past in financing this program.

Note in Figure 11 one of the Tesla coils in the foreground. The main coil is 4 1/2 feet (1.4 m) high. It was extremely difficult to get around in the first lab (Phase 0). The first laboratory in Vancouver was so densely packed with equipment that you could not find a place to put your foot down. You had to step around all sorts of objects that were put on the floor.

**Disruptive phenomena** 

In the video a bushing is shown breaking up. It was a steel bushing about 2 inches (5 cm) in diameter by 3 to 4 inches (9 cm) long. John still has that in his lab and I have some to show as well (Figures 1 and 2).

The next part of the video is well known. I will try to explain some of its phenomenology. It starts with John warming up the

system. To determine where the optimum place for positioning the test objects, which will either take off or burst, he put coins and bits of styrofoam where he believes is going to be the active zone. The first thing that happens is a quarter (\$.25 coin) starts to flip and vibrate. Now he knows he should concentrate putting specimens in that zone and he does so. We see some water in a coffee cup that appears to be swirling, although it's not. It is merely the surface rippling by some electromagnetic means and the coffee cup is dancing around the top of a yellow milk carton. It's another way for him to determine where the zone is. Then we see a flat file 8 inches (20 cm) long breaking apart. This file broke into four more or less equal-length sections. Normally, if you break a bar magnet, you know that you break it north-south, north-south, north-south, etc.. So the parts tend to stick back together again. In this case the segments were magnetized the wrong way by some phenomena I do not know and they repel each other when they're put together at the breaks. This may be indicative of the development of large-scale monopolar regions that are of such intensity that they disrupt the material itself. It's as reasonable an explanation as I've been able to come up

meister10.htm

#### with, or anyone else.

#### Lifting phenomena

We then proceed to document some lifting phenomena. The objects that are lifted in the first part of this section are on the order of a few pounds. All of them lift off with a twist. They spiral as they lift off. There has to be a particular geometry with respect to down (gravity) for them to take off. Some objects, if you lie them on their sides, won't take off. If you turn them on their ends, they will take off. The geometrical form of the objects, their composition and their relationship to their environment, the field structure around them that is being created by the device, all play a part in how these things take off.

There are four main modes of trajectory that these objects can follow if they do choose to take off. There's a slow looping arc where the objects will basically take off very slowly in a matter of a couple of seconds and loop and fall back somewhere else It is almost as if the Earth moves underneath them while they are

in flight, and they fall back in different locations. The second type of trajectory is a ballistic take-off. In other words, there's an impulse of energy at the beginning of the trajectory with no further power applied to the lifting thereafter, and the object hits the ceiling and comes back down. A third type of trajectory is a powered one where there appears to be continuous application of lifting force. I have some evidence taken from the video. The fourth trajectory is hovering - where objects just rise up and sit there. The objects can be of any material whatsoever: sheet metal, wood, styrofoam, lead, copper, zinc, amalgams and they all either take off or they burst apart, or they do nothing that's 99% of the time.

#### Lighting phenomena

Following that is a strange lighting phenomenon. This only occurred once but fortunately, while John was filming. Incidentally, this early film, with the most spectacular results observed, was taken by John himself. It was taken in 1981 and all of a sudden a sheet of iridescence descended between the camera and some of the apparati and one sees that sheet of

light. It has a strange pinkish centre to it and hovered there for a while, and then disappeared. John thought he was hallucinating, but when we developed the film it turned out something was definitely there.

In this same video, we observe heavier objects taking off, including a 19-pound (8.6 kg) bronze bushing and water in a cup that's dancing around, the surface of which is vibrating. There are no ultrasonic or sonic devices in this particular series of experiments. There are no magnetic components underneath or over top. There are no field coils underneath over top or anywhere within 6 feet (1.8 m). These images were taken while the apparatus was performing at peak, and shows best results for the earliest experiments.

Sometimes, instead of lifting objects, John will purposely try to destroy them. In one case, a 1/4" round rattail file rests on a plywood base and is held down from taking off by two plywood pieces. Beside it are some quarter and penny coins. The file is glowing white hot and yet there is no scorching of the wooden plywood pieces which are holding it down. Neither are any of

the coins affected. This is explainable in terms of RF heating theory because you can have eddy current heating on the surface and it's almost cool to the touch very shortly thereafter. It's still unusual that there is no conductive heat transferred to the wood.

From time to time there are scorch marks on the boards from other experiments. The apparatus makes fire spontaneously in parts of the lab if you're not careful.

The original (Phase 0) lab set-up was primitive, crowded, had poor connections, and had hand-wound coils. However, the films that have most of the best lift episodes were done in this early set-up, drawing a maximum of 1.5 kilowatts continuously from house-mains.

**Disruption effects** 



20/10/2011	meister10.htm		
- A WEALTH OF	WATER	- B.C.	- HARDNESS
CONFIRMATORY	ALUMINUM	INSTITUTE OF	-
PHYSICAL SAMPLES	IRON, STEEL	TECHNOLOGY:	BRITTLENESS
INCLUDING:	MOLYBDENUM		& DUCTILITY
	STEEL		- OPTICAL
	WOOD		MICROSCOPY
	COPPER,		
	BRONZE		
	+COMBINATIONS		
	OF ABOVE		
- ALL SHAPES, SIZES.	AND MASSES	- B.C. HYDRO	- SCANNING
,		R/D	ELECTRON
		LABORATORY:	MICROSCOPY
			- ENERGY
			DISPERSIVE
			ANALYSIS
- CERTAIN MATERIALS	SUBJECT TO	- U. OF	- SCANNING
CERTAIN INFLUENCES	PREFERENTIALLY	TORONTO	ELECTRON
		DEPARTMENT	MICROSCOPY
		OF	- ENERGY

20/10/2011	meister10.htm		
		METALLURGY:	DISPERSIVE ANALYSIS (X-RAY)
	-	- LOS ALAMOS	TESTS

The disruption part of this Lift and Disruption System has produced confirmatory physical samples that include water, aluminum, iron, steel, molybdenum, wood, copper, bronze, etc., with many shapes, sizes and masses. Certain materials are subject to certain influences depending on shape, composition and other factors.

We have tested various pieces that have broken apart for hardness, ductility, etc.. We have used optical and electron microscopes. We have taken SEM's with EDA's (Energy Dispersive Analysis) to determine the composition at various points.

Two samples of aluminum are shown, one of which is in the centre of Figure 1, which is twisted up in a left-handed spiral,

and in Figure 2 on the left which was blown into little fibers. Lying on the ruler in Figure I to the left of centre is a molybdenum rod used in nuclear reactors. These things are supposed to withstand temperatures of about 5,000° F. We watched these things wiggle back and forth, and stopped the apparatus halfway through a wiggle and that's the result. Figure 2 (left) shows the piece of cast aluminum that burst apart.

In general, Figure 1 shows a collection of pieces of metal that have been blasted apart or twisted. The largest piece (in the background) is about 12 to 13 inches long. It's two inches in diameter, of regular mild steel, and a 3/8 of an inch long part was blasted off the end and crumbled like a cookie. Fragments have been analyzed to have anomalously high silicon content although the original material was not a silicon steel. The standing piece on the left is 5 - 6 inches tall, 1 and 1/4 inches in diameter. It is a piece of case-hardened steel. The casehardening has been blown off at the top and about 3/4" of it vapourized during an experiment. Then there are various pieces of aluminum and steel. On the right of Figure 2 is a boring bar.

meister10.htm

You can still see the old tool bit that John was using through it. It was on a shelf about 10 feet away from the centre of the apparatus and he did not see it happen. It just bent up into a tight U and deposited a quantity of copper at the bend. The copper seemed to somehow magically come out of the solid solution, if it was ever in solution in the first place, and agglomerate as globs at the break. As far as the aluminum is concerned, it's a volume effect, not merely an eddy-current surface effect. The whole thing is blasted right through.

Figures 3 to 6 show some of the scanning electron microscope photos taken by the University of Toronto. Figure 3 shows an aluminum specimen at about 70 times magnification and the whole surface is torn apart, as if it was gouged randomly by some mechanical means. It has not been smoothed and polished and subject to x-ray or dispersion analysis yet. A piece of iron is shown in Figure 4, and was analyzed for composition which showed anomalously high amounts of copper.

With a little higher magnification for Figures 7 and 8, we see what happens in a polished aluminum sample under the SEM.

#### meister10.htm

#### Figure 7 shows two main horizontal fracture zones.

This is a polished sample, that is why it looks nice and clean. Notice the unusual globules forming (positions B & C). We examined these particular globules and they're virtually pure elements. One is copper, another is manganese and others are different elements. These globules seem to arrange themselves along planes and these planes are no doubt the ones that split apart and delaminate into fibers.

Figures 9 and 10 show the relative elemental abundances of locations H and D of Figures 7 and 8. Normally, the aluminum comes out looking like Figure 9. The average is mostly aluminum, of course, but with a bit of copper in it. And yet (Figure 10) shows an area around where the fractures occur and we see we have actually located one of the copper blobs, plus some chlorine from our fingers. Usually you see some chlorine and sodium from salt in your hands if you're touching samples. It's certainly telling us that something unusual is happening. I have not seen another apparatus which makes the alloying material in an alloy come out of the solid solution. Usually it's

meister10.htm

totally dispersed in the melt but in this case we're "undispersing" it somehow.

### The Pharos experimental set-up for the Hutchison effect

## **PHASE 1: PHYSICAL LAYOUT**



The field-shaping unit is basically an elevated aluminum sphere about 11 inches in diameter. The essential ingredients of the power supply are two 15 kilovolt neon transformers. Large steel masses were all over the place. In his first and most effective experiments, John had a 400 kilohertz continuous wave generator instead of the small Tesla coil. It's basically a low frequency radio transmitter that he had switched on for the operation, and it had a 3-foot whip antenna. Later he replaced that, likely because it broke, with the small Tesla coil, which is about three feet off the ground and is about I 1/2 feet high.

This lab was set up to try to attract some more funding and I personally put it together, trying to pick the essential bits of the apparatus out and assemble them myself. That is the lab from which a number of these samples came.

Spark gaps and tank circuits line one wall. There's a 21 kilovolt transformer in front of the inductors from a Picker X-ray machine which powers a number of these spark gaps. The gaps fire at 60 cycle rep rate. There is a double-ended "dumbbell" Tesla coil suspended from the ceiling. The large Tesla coil, the

field-shaper, Van de Graaf generator, and a Tesla disruptive discharge coil are also shown. This latter is a double-ended, iron-core transformer. The distance is approximately 12 feet between the large Tesla coil and the small Tesla coil. Between them is what is called the active area, and that is basically a platform on which we put objects of whatever material we wish, and hope that they'll leap to the ceiling or burst apart. The main tuning control consists of several high-voltage variable capacitors and various inductors.

Figure 11 shows the lab that I set up in 1983. I admit it is rather messy. I tried to set it up exactly as John had set it up, and so I did not make nice connections, etc.. I wanted it to be just the same as what he had done, except I tried to use a minimum number of components. The large Tesla coil is 4 1/2 feet tall (secondary), a few thousand windings of number 27 or 30 enameled. It has a toroidal coil of about 12 gauge resting near its top. The Van de Graaf is about 250,000 volts DC maximum. It has an approximately 11 to 13 inch diameter ball. Also visible are various tuning capacitors. You can see high voltage

transmitting caps of very large capacity and RF coils here and there. Overhead is the double-ended "dumbbell" Tesla coil with its electrodes with the double toroid primary. Down below, out of sight, is a spark gap that snaps every 40 seconds or so, and in the back corner is the small Tesla coil. It's a double 807 triode Tesla coil which has a nice spot frequency of about 760 kilohertz. The large Tesla coil, when powered normally, resonates at somewhere around 330 kilohertz.

Figure 12 shows another photo of a later set-up (Phase 2) in early 1987, where several unusual phenomena were filmed by a television crew and was shown on the national new This was John's lab before he tore it apart. It is shown merely to suggest the size and scale of the devices.



**Block - Circuit Diagram** 

The general block diagram shows the Van de Graaf by itself on the left and it goes through a gap and a capacitor. The gap is

never firing to ground! The small Tesla coil is shown underneath. It is a little experimental Tesla coil powered all by itself (dual 807 tubes). All components are powered from a single 15 Amp, 110 volt, 60 Hz supply. The main spark gap shown by itself is about 3/8" wide which is powered by a 15 kilovolt DC supply across a capacitor. It snaps every 40 seconds or so and causes a great blast. There is no time correspondence between the snapping of that gap and objects taking off or dismembering themselves.

Neither John nor I know the specific function of any of this apparatus in producing these phenomena, and one of the primary reasons for this presentation is to foster collective investigation leading an understanding of what is going on. I do not know the mechanism whereby this assemblage of components causes objects to lift. I can come to some reasonable conclusions and explanations as to why this assemblage of apparati causes things to burst apart. What is not understandable is how it causes objects to lift.

### Field strength readings

I should mention some of the field strength readings that we have taken. Some of these results are shown in Figures 13 and 14. The magnetic field is taken with a strength field meter using an 8" vertical loop. Electric field measurements were also made. The top two traces of Figure 13 show the 60 cycle bursts, a classical kind of Tesla decaying waveforms. The bottom four traces are spectral analyses. The middle left shows the small Tesla coil by itself with a little side band, but its main peak is approximately 760 kHz. (CTR is the centre frequency used in spectrum analysis terminology). In this case, centre frequency: 760 kilohertz; dispersion: 10 KHz, and the vertical scale is relative strength. The large Tesla coil shown bottom left, (centre frequency: around 350 kilohertz), is a very messy, noisy spectrum because the large Tesla coil is not powered in the normal way. It is powered merely inductively. There is also a peak around 610 kilohertz (middle right) which is probably a side band. Bottom right has a centre frequency of 300, probably from the fluorescent lights. We tried to scan from low frequency right up to several megahertz.

Figure 14 shows field strength measurements at approximately 350 kilohertz. We took a relative field strength reading from which I have imputed a strength in microvolts per metre, the vertical scale going up to about 7,000. The solid line indicates the measurements that we made with approximate error bounds, and the horizontal scale is in feet from the centre of the apparatus. The dotted line is an inverse square line just for reference. There is nothing very unusual here.

Tom Valone (Buffalo, New York): Are you actually telling us that you only have 2,000 microvolts per metre as the peak? Its amazing, I expected at least kilovolts per metre.

George Hathaway: The maximum, if we extrapolate that curve is about 100K microvolts per metre right in the centre of the active area. I should caution: this measurement was taken when the apparatus was not working to full potential. Whether, when major events happen, the field strength goes way up, I'm not sure. This was a normal run where some slight movement was happening to make sure the apparatus was functioning, but nothing major was occurring.

meister10.htm

Tom Valone: When you say the field strength may go way up, how far do you mean?

George Hathaway: 1 have no idea. We were not able to have the field strength meter at the time as the best lifting was taking place or disruption was taking place. Therefore, I cannot tell you what the electrical field strength would be when the major phenomenon was occurring. I could only imagine based on engineering principles that it would be much higher than 0.1 volts/metre. Don't forget this is only the AC portion of the field.

Something I have a little more control over is an analysis of the lifting capability. Figure 15 shows a strip of the 8 mm film of that 19-pound bronze bushing taking off in slow motion. This is what I consider the powered take-off and its confirmed by the measurements. I measured the distance between the bottom end in its resting position and the bottom end when it actually leaves the frame and plotted that.

Marcel Vogel (San Jose, California): Look at the right-hand side at the series of patterns that you are seeing there. (Figure 15)

George Hathaway: That's the pattern of the milk carton on which this sample is sitting.

Marcel Vogel: Is it a milk carton or is it a reflection from that surface?

George Hathaway: That's a milk carton. If you wish me to run the video again with this particular segment, I will and you can confirm that.

Marcel Vogel: If it was a beat wave you would have a very valuable bit of information.

George Hathaway: That's true. We also have another valuable bit of information in the length of the breaks of the file. That gives us an indication of the wavelength of impinging fields, but nowhere near the kinds of frequency that I would expect to be required to do any of this. But that's a good point. One should always analyze the spatial distribution of how things break for the clues as to the range of operating frequencies.

Now if we plot this take-off and derive an acceleration versus time graph we get Figure 16. I do not have my error analysis so I can't give you a standard deviation on some of these points, but the result is that there is a linearly-rising acceleration curve. There is increasing power being provided to the object as it lifts! It's a 19 pound bushing!

Increasing propulsive power is being applied to this as witnessed by this increasing acceleration curve. These are the actual measurements to about 0.16 seconds and beyond is an extrapolation. The -9.1 in the acceleration equation is merely an artifact of my measuring problem, analyzing that film strip. Keep in mind, this means that when it hits the ceiling, this 19 lb. bushing is traveling at 20 m/sec. (45 mph, 72 kmh) and increasing!

I am at sea in trying to determine how the device can provide a lift. In this "Theoretical background" listing, I mention a few names that might have something to do with an explanation of it. meister10.htm

# DISCUSSION OF CURRENT & EARLY THEORIES IN CLASSICAL & QUANTUM PHYSICS

ENERGETIC EFFECTS	PROPULSIVE EFFECTS
- G. LeBON	- HOOPER
- VALLEE	- HOLT
- BOYER	- GRAHAM & LAHOZ
- PRIGOGINE	- ZINSSER/PESCHKA
PLUS MANY OTHERS NO	OT MENTIONED HERE

Finally here is a listing of a few potential applications of this effect if it can be produced in such a format that it is repeatable and controllable: rocket payload assist, materials handling and warehousing, floating things into position, materials handling of hot objects, objects that are highly radioactive or dangerous, forging and casting, extruding of metals, alloying, power production, conversion, etc., and defence applications.

In conclusion, this is an extremely difficult technology to wrap

one's mind around. I have had a great deal of difficulty in convincing scientists to think about this possibility, let alone try to provide some mechanisms for understanding its operation.

### **APPLICATIONS**

PROPULSIVE	- MICRO-GRAVITY ENVIRONMENTS ON EARTH	
:	- ROCKET PAYLOAD BOOST ASSIST	
	- MATERIALS HANDLING & WHAREHOUSING	
ENERGETIC :	- FORGING, CASTING, EXTRUDING OF METALS	
	- ALLOYING	
	- POWER PRODUCTION, CONVERSION &	
	TRANSMISSION	
OTHER :	- DEFENSE APPLICATIONS	
ETC. ETC. ETC.		

I hope I'll be able to engender some interest so that people will think about it. Perhaps some will, if they have some equipment, do some experiments as well.

meister10.htm

I must caution anyone who is pursuing this that it is an extremely dangerous apparatus. It has never knocked any of my fillings out, but it certainly has a potential for doing so. It has smashed mirrors, in one of its incarnations, 80 feet away. It has overturned a large metal object about 50 or 60 pounds about 100 feet away. And its effects can't be pinpointed unless we're lucky. We try to find the active area and then we hope that something will happen but perhaps something very far away will happen. The apparatus is capable of starting fires anywhere. It will start fires in concrete, little bursts of flame here and there and it will cause your main circuits to have problems. We've blown fuses out as well as circuit-breakers and large lights.

It also tends to destroy itself and a classic case of that is when we had some important potential investors looking to help develop it. In the morning of its being shown, it blew one of its own transformers apart, and so, needless to say, we could not do a successful demonstration.

Marcel Vogel: Congratulations. I find it exceedingly exciting and interesting. I too have experienced the generation of power like

meister10.htm

this with a crystal. Just a single, natural guartz crystal cut in a special form. I generated fields which have knocked out electrical equipment and generated power which has destroyed matter. My suggestion to you is to do specific gravity measurements on the pieces of metal on the beginning and end of the specimen. What I think is happening is that there is intervibrational activity going on; namely, you're stimulating the lattice motion, and when it gets to a critical space, the lattice collapse and then you get that stratification that is characteristic. I saw it in a series of metal samples. They look like they was leafing in the aluminum and metal. That should be critically studied as it is a very important thing that can help you to understand.

George Hathaway: You're suggesting specific gravity measurements?

Marcel Vogel: Absolutely.

# Jacques Gagnon (Montreal, Quebec): Were there any of these effects when John was not there?

George Hathaway: None of the large effects have occurred when John was not there. We had some minor occurrences when I was personally adjusting the set-up, but I can't suggest that these were the same kinds of things that you saw because they could easily be blamed on merely electrostatics. And anyone can do lots of funny things with electrostatics. They were rather unusual, but I cannot claim to have seen anyone else, including myself, make the apparatus work. Basically that translates into: have the patience to sit with it and adjust it without John being there himself for hours and hours.

Jacques Gagnon: Roughly what is his background? Did he study how he thinks he is doing this?

George Hathaway: That's a good point. John has a high school education, and he does not have any formal electrical or university training. He has been experimenting with Tesla coils. In fact, the way he stumbled upon this was to try to duplicate Tesla's transmission of electrical power without wires. At an experiment, he inserted the Van de Graaf generator which he was repairing for a friend.

He cannot explain these things in terms that people who've had training in these fields would like to use. He talks about energy fields, he talks about energy moving around and being transported from one place to another. He talks about interaction between energy and gravity. That is the extent to which he can explain what his understanding is. He has an incredible intuitive capacity to follow the flow of energy that he is trying to manipulate. Something far beyond me. I have no concept of the kind of understanding that he has. He's been at it since he was about 6 or 7 years old, continuously. He has a government pension for a medical problem so he has lots of time. Time is necessary to develop that kind of technology, if you are not concerned about particular results in getting somewhere. Unfortunately, most of the rest of us don't have that kind of time and we want to produce something that is tangible, something usable, something that we can develop into useful products. That is of very little interest to John per se. He's interested certainly in getting the technology moving, but not at our pace. And that has been one of the causes of having this thing sitting in storage and taking a long time to develop. So he

has a good intuitive feel of what is going on. He cannot explain it in words that you and I could understand, and he's been at it for so long that it doesn't really matter. He has no need to converse with us in those kinds of terms, and I doubt that he could.

Dr. Harold Aspden (University of Southampton, England): I've been greatly impressed by this, of course. It's incredible. I would not have believed this from a distance, but it's great to see the demonstration and I have the confidence now that this is a real effect. My first reaction is that I would want to look at the breaking of the specimens with an eye to what is called the exploding phenomena. This is where you pass very rapidly, very big currents through the various wires and they break up into very tiny mm sections, as if they had been chopped up, with no evidence of melting. This is a phenomena being studied by Peter Graneau particularly and that should be considered in regard to rupturing process. I cannot escape from the fact that there must be some evidence, there must be some action of the ether in this activity.

I think the relevance of the tornado to this is of very great

interest because there is evidence of patterns in fields, circular patterns in special groups and that has something to do with the magnetic fields that are created. That, to me, is evidence that you can get some kind of vortex or spin in the ether itself and I would look at this phenomenon perhaps arising from the induction of filamentary vortices in spins which tend to pull up these specimens. Having said that, and suspecting that there's another way, I would never go over a cup of coffee that's vibrating with a camera just above it, because my poor head would get in the way of these things and I'd be very scared to go anywhere near that type of activity. So I am a bit concerned that you can have all these things happening, and then moving with a camera to take those pictures! How close did you dare go to the real centre of activity?

George Hathaway: We were within 6 to 8 feet of it. John respects his apparatus when it's going, and he will not enter into it. He knows the limits of it and he tells us what the limits are, and we stay outside those limits. I suffered a severe migraine headache after my first two encounters with it, but I
cannot ascribe them directly to the apparatus. I was so excited after seeing this thing work for the first time, and the second time, that my mind was going at 1200 miles per hour, and that is what I attribute my headaches to. John, on the other hand, has complained of microwave clicks deep inside his head. The microwave clicks are a phenomenon that has occurred in radar technicians, where for some time they hear clicking sounds deep inside their heads. John has complained about that but he has not complained about any major effects. We perhaps have just been lucky, or perhaps somehow, he has been protecting us. I don't like to bring up the PK (psychokinetic) end of all this but it certainly may be relevant.

Regarding Peter Graneau's work, I have discussed this with him and he is aware of what is going on. He is very interested in following it up, and as regards tornadoes, it's something as well that might be relevant. There is film evidence of the fact that tornadoes have very interesting electromagnetic phenomena going on inside them. Bodies levitating, going up and down very slowly in the eye of a tornado, and emitting showers of sparks.

meister10.htm

Marcel Vogel: I want to add one more thing as a word of caution. Just taking water and spinning it around a crystal in the wrong direction I did but once in my life in 1984 and I was flung 10 ft. away from the experiment against the wall and the next day my face was burnt as if exposed to intense radiation. My eyes were closed. It was witnessed by five persons. That was only letting 100 cc of water spin around a crystal that was charged. So you must proceed cautiously these forces. I speak with experience.

Bernard Grad (Institut Armand Frappier, Montreal, Quebec): Just one comment. First of all, let me explain that I'm no physicist. I've had conventional university training in physics but I'm essentially a biologist and I'm especially interested in the energy fields of living things. The immediate thing that struck me about your talk is that the phenomena is very reminiscient of poltergeist activity. I don't want you to begin to think mystically as soon as I say this: I myself see a lot of poltergeist activity as a direct result of intense and disturbed energy fields in people living under specific circumstances. The fact that you noticed

that this phenomena is seen only in the presence of this man and has been working in this from a very early age implies to me that his organism has a specific need in this regard.

I can tell you one little experiment. I've done work in relation to the energy. A healer was onstage, and to his side (the audience was facing him) was his wife sitting at a table, such as you are, with a microphone. The healer was there, and his wife was sitting in front of the microphone there. Over on the side of the stage was a generator. This was an unusual situation in the sense that the generator was there. While he was healing, to the surprise and astonishment of everyone, a waveform appeared directly towards the motor to such an extent that it frightened and astonished everybody, but the thing was able to be dampened as soon as he stopped healing and as soon as she turned the microphone away. I just want to put some focus on this direction. I think these are very interesting phenomena, by no means mystical phenomena, I want to emphasize, but phenomena that can be investigated scientifically. Another total surprise: he's a person who never had a formal education, but

#### meister10.htm

he constantly speaks of energy field which is, by the way, the way many healers speak.

George Hathaway: We had considered that kind of approach (the PK psychokinetic approach) as a possible explanation as well. We tended to downplay that for a number of reasons including the fact that John was very excited about two particular demonstrations we were going to give for rather high-powered investors. On both occasions the apparatus failed. One could say that there was some kind of negative influence, and John's one unconcious side was fooling his other unconcious side into saying that he was not going to proceed with this. But he certainly was excited and he wanted to get going again.

Anonymous: My wife and I are in touch with John Hutchison regularly and we have a large archive of his information and he has stated that he does not wish this technology to be used for any destructive or military means and that he has kept certain information, so that it will not be able to be used by other people. And this may be one of the reasons why no one else has been able to replicate exactly what he has done, because he has

not told anyone everything that he is doing, so that's one point I wanted to make and that may be why no one else has been able to replicate this.

Bernard Grad: Have you tried to selectively isolate components in the electrical experiment so as to pinpoint whatever may be the cause of this?

George Hathaway: We were going to embark on a program of doing just that in our phase of work in 1982, but unfortunately things fell apart contradictorly with John and we were not able to continue that research. John has an interest in putting more things into the apparatus, not less. Unfortunately we were not able to continue.

meister10.htm



Figure 1. Examples of disruptive phenomena, including a broken bushing.



Figure 2. Two samples of disruptive phenomena: contorsion and segmentalisation



meister10.htm



Figure 3. Aluminium specimen from one of John Hutchison's

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

## meister10.htm experiments October 1984 (70x magnification)



Figure 4. Fractured iron rod/bar which includes regions which were mapped by x-ray: see also figures 9 and 10



Figure 5. Scanning electron microscope photo taken at the

meister10.htm

# University of Toronto of an aluminium sample subjected to the Hutchison effect



Figure 6. Scanning electron microscope photo taken of an iron sample subjected to the Hutchison effect

meister10.htm



Figure 7, Figure 8. Higher magnification of polished aluminium sample with pure element globules emerging after Hutchison effect





Figure 13. Field strength reasings during experiments. Trace: 60 Hz bursts with classical Tesla coil decays.



Figure 13. Field strength reasings during experiments. Trace: 60 Hz bursts with classical Tesla coil decays.



Figure 13. Field strength reasings during experiments. Small coil peaking at 760 KHz;



Figure 13. Field strength reasings during experiments. 610 KHz sideband.



Figure 13. Field strength reasings during experiments. Large coil at 610 KHz.



Figure 13. Field strength reasings during experiments. A 300 KHz emission source



Figure 14. Field strength measurements during Hutchison effect experiments at about 350 KHz, showing strength versus distance from source



meister10.htm



Figure 15. Strip of 8 mm film of a 19 pound bronze bushing in powered take-off, in slow motion.

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm



D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

20/10/2011

Figure 16. Plot of linearly-rising powered take-off of a 19 pound bushing calculated on an acceleration / time graph.

**Developments in inertial thrust** 

J. Scott Strachan 6 Marchhall Crescent EDINBURGH EH 16 5HN United Kingdom

The obvious primary target for inertial thrust is "parity", i.e. the ability to lift its own weight, and then an improvement of efficiency and reliability. However, any demonstration of parity would inevitably allow unlimited funds for development. It should be noted that even without parity but with high reliability, the system would be far and away the most useful dirigible satellite power source yet created and would have a substantial market straight away for this purpose.

It is my opinion that the RLF project in particular is of critical

meister10.htm

importance at this time. It is a complex project and many problems lie between our present level of knowledge and a practical system. If we were to embark on this project and fail, the whole field would be set back by many years. It must not fail.

## **Theoretical Framework**

The question immediately thrown up by Professor Eric Laithwaite's "Through the Looking Glass" experiments was: "Was Newton wrong?". To answer this question it is worthwhile looking at exactly what the assertions were, and what implications are general and which specific. The assertions are:

1. that a processing massive spin plane has no inertia in the direction of precession;

2. that consequently there is no apparent centrifugal force (implying no centripetal force?);

3. that the forced precession of a massive spin plane will result in an inertia-less precession at right angles to the force; 4. that this means that displacement of a mass can occur

meister10.htm

without an equal and opposite reaction.

It can be seen that the proof of assertion (1) leads inevitably to the veracity of assertions (2), (3) and (4).

Consider a flywheel mounted on a shaft which is itself mounted on a second shaft by means of a gimbal such that:

shaft 1 may pivot in the plane in line with shaft 2, and shaft 2 can be rotated. This forms a system which precesses about the axis of shaft 2 in response to a force on the flywheel in line with shaft 2 (Fig.1).



The behaviour of a flywheel mounted such that its precession and spin planes are about the same point is well-known. The behaviour of a system such as that defined above, where the spin plane of the flywheel and the precession axis are separate, has given rise to a number of interesting observations, some of which it has been claimed would make Newton "turn in his grave". It is the purpose of this paper to explain these observations and to demonstrate that some of the hopes raised by the said observations, of the realization of a linear force from a rotating system, are valid but that Newton's laws remain substantially intact, the effect, as will be seen, being the result of a more rigourous definition of mass than was hitherto. thought necessary.

### Mathematical Expression for the Precessing System

The problem of finding a mathematical expression for the behaviour of the processing system described above is one of defining a consistent frame of reference from which the forces and velocities can be measured. To this end, I have chosen the axis and spin plane of the flywheel as the origin of measurement of force and velocity. Thus the spin speed of the flywheel is expressed in the conventional  $_{\odot}$  i.e. radians per second, but the velocity of the flywheel through space is expressed in metres per second. The importance of this becomes clear when it is realized that the radius of precession measured to a point on the rim of the flywheel in the precession plane is greater than the radius of precession at the axis of the flywheel. Thus the velocity of a point on the rim of a stationary flywheel is greater than the

meister10.htm

velocity of the axis of the flywheel. Thus in terms of these velocities a point in the rim of a rotating flywheel can be said to accelerate at a rate defined by (from Figure 2):





Figure 2.

This acceleration is in the direction of precession as the point moves from a vertical position in line with the precession axis to a horizontal position in line with the precession plane. It is counter to the direction of precession as the point moves from

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

## the horizontal to the vertical position.

Now, the important point is that at both sides of the flywheel in precession plane the velocity of the point relative to the precession axis is greater than at the vertical position. If the rotation of the flywheel is subtracted, this is perfectly valid since we are considering only the acceleration as defined by the precession velocity.

The resultant of this effect is best appreciated by doing a simple thought experiment or, if you prefer, by doing the actual experiment described, on a record turntable. Imagine a flywheel constructed of a number of hollow spokes each containing a measured mass. Now imagine the flywheel rotating at a constant speed. At a point in its rotation a mass on side of the wheel is displaced towards the axis. At the opposite side, at the same time, a mass is displaced away from the axis. It can be seen that the Coriolis force from this displacement is in the same direction on both sides and if the displacement is equal the acceleration of the masses is equal, in the sense that one mass is decelerated in the opposite direction to the other mass's acceleration.

The magnitude of acceleration is defined: sin<sub>0</sub>R1 - R2 on one side and sin<sub>0</sub> R1 + R2 on the other side, bearing in mind that the actual vector of velocity is opposite on each side of the flywheel. In this case, provided that no other force is applied to the masses (that is other than the new centripetal force the masses now experience), the displacement so caused will be returned to origin during the period 90° 180° later. The system will then oscillate about its axis due to the imbalance. But if the axis is allowed to displace in response to the said Coriolis force and the masses are continually displaced in the manner described, but are allowed to return to their original position, it can be seen that a total displacement of the axis of the flywheel is achieved in direct response to the force applied to the said masses but at right angles to the applied force. This occurs because, provided the masses balance 90° after the impulse, the reaction takes place out of phase.

**Defining the Flywheel Process** 

In a real flywheel the process is slightly more complex. Effectively, the acceleration between the precession plane and

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

the precession axis plane can be considered as an addition and subtraction to the centripetal force on the mass points on the flywheel rim as defined by:

 $M\frac{V^2}{R}$ 

Since we are dealing with acceleration only M can be dispensed with for the time being. Since there is no actual displacement of mass with respect to the axis of the flywheel and we are dealing only with the apparent acceleration caused by:

 $\frac{V^2}{R} + a$ 

a giving a resultant V at right angles to a (Vr):

$$Vr^2 = \frac{V^2}{R} + a \times R$$

$$a\uparrow = \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta t}$$
 and  $\Delta V = Vr - V$ 

meister10.htm

At it can be seen that a vertical is directly proportional to V at the precession plane rim of the flywheel minus V at the axis of the flywheel, provided that the velocities are measured as a vector through the plane of the flywheel. It can be seen therefore a vertical is proportional to the angular velocity of the precession and the spin velocity of the flywheel which defines the  $\Delta t$  of the acceleration between V at a point on the rim of the flywheel vertical to the axis of precession to V at a point horizontal to the plane of precession. Hence, if we use the following notation:

Spin velocity = spin of flywheel in rads/s = Precession radius at axis of flywheel = p Precession radius at rim of flywheel on the precession plane = L Precession velocity at rim of flywheel = Z Precession velocity at axis of flywheel = V Radius of flywheel = R

it can be seen that  $\frac{(\cos(\arctan\frac{R}{P}))}{(\cos(\arctan\frac{R}{P}))}$  the vector of  $\Lambda$  velocity through the flywheel plane. So a vertical (notation a) is:

meister10.htm

$$a\uparrow - \frac{(\cos(\arctan{\frac{R}{P}})) \times (Z - V)}{\pi{\frac{R}{2n}}}$$

**N.B.**  $\pi \frac{R}{2\omega} = \Delta t$  for 90° rotation of the flywheel. 2(1)

The force required is defined by:

$$F \rightarrow -M \times \frac{(\cos(\arctan\frac{R}{P})) \times (Z - V)}{\pi \frac{R}{2m}}$$

being the resultant vector of force in the flywheel plane. This vector is used to change the  $_{00}$  of the flywheel and increases the resistance to the motion of the flywheel precession.

The equations can be simplified using  $_{00}$  flywheel and  $_{00}$ ' R1 and R2 for the precession, but in this form the "nuts and bolts" of the theory are more readily seen.

It should also be noted that the conventional rotation of the

meister10.htm

flywheel plane about its axis at right angles to an induced rotation about its axis still takes place, and this results in any movement of the flywheel following a path rotating about the axis of precession which, as can be seen from the above equations, results in a constant angular velocity in a plane at right angles to the applied force. Note that when the flywheel is under an acceleration equal to:

$$F \rightarrow -M \times \frac{(\cos(\arctan\frac{R}{P})) \times (Z - V)}{\pi \frac{R}{2m}}$$

it need not displace vertically for the force to balance, but that if a is greater than any vertical acceleration (such as gravity) it must displace in the path described. If it does not, then the force applied results in a direct acceleration of the flywheel with the force F = Ma modified by:

$$\mathsf{F} \rightarrow -\mathsf{M} \times \frac{(\cos(\arctan\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\mathsf{P}})) \times (\mathsf{Z} - \mathsf{V})}{\pi \frac{\mathsf{R}}{2m}}$$
the difference between F and F  $\rightarrow$  being absorbed in the rotation of the flywheel just as before.

It should also be apparent that if R is greater than P then the conventional precession rotation will result in a net downward force on the axis of precession, and if R is less than P the precession rotation will result in a net side force on the axis of precession which if restricted returns us to the losses described in the discussion on restricted displacement above.

Use of these equations can predict the behaviour of all of the observed phenomena described in Professor Laithwaite's "Engineer Through the Looking Glass" and reveal a number of useful methods of exploiting the effects. I should point out, of course, that these equations deal with an idealized flywheel where all mass is on the rim and that for the real world the acceleration is an integration between Z and V. A simplification is to define the effective mass radius and calculate Z from the effective R. For instance, for a flat disc flywheel effective, R would equal:

# $\frac{20}{10}$

being the radius of the effective centre of mass at the effective rim of the flywheel. Obviously for a very good flywheel where most of the mass is on the rim, the basic equations are quite adequate and will give results within a few percent of the measured values. If a test of accuracy of these equations is desired, then the use of the flat disc flywheel with the radius correction taken into account results in exact values although, as the equations show, the efficiency of such a system in terms of force for mass is pretty poor.

### **Working Systems**

One system is described in the accompanying synopsis of my patent and is, in terms of duty cycle, one of the most dramatic. However, its efficiency is not great and the immense stresses caused in the structure from wasted energy make it difficult to scale up to a more useful power.

The simplest system makes use of the displacement principle

and consists of a system such as the one described throughout the paper, driven in the following manner. A limit is placed on the precession vertical to the precession axis and means are provided to drive the precession through approximately 90° around the precession axis. The resultant reaction moves the system opposite to the direction of the flywheel during the driven portion of precession. The force required to drive through the 90° is, of course, equal to the mass of the flywheel times its acceleration plus:

$$\mathsf{F} \rightarrow -\mathsf{M} \times \frac{(\cos(\arctan\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\mathsf{P}})) \times (\mathsf{Z} - \mathsf{V})}{\pi \frac{\mathsf{R}}{2\mathsf{m}}}$$

 $F \rightarrow$  is always through the plane of the flywheel so that this force behaves as friction to the motor. The displaced mass of the flywheel is, however, decelerated by the internal force, as discussed earlier, giving the effect of the disappearance of the inertia of the flywheel as soon as the 90 forward precession period is over. Thus the system axis continues at the velocity attained in each pulse as a reaction to the mass of the flywheel until the next pulse. It can be seen that the duty cycle of this system depends on the force applied to the flywheel vertical to the precession axis. Thus, if the force is gravity, the duty cycle will be poor but can be improved by the use of a separate force on the flywheel vertical to the axis of precession such as a powerful spring. This allows the system to operate at any angle as well.

The forces on this system are very direct and thus the efficiency is quite high despite the losses due to:

$$\label{eq:F} \mathsf{F} \rightarrow -\mathsf{M} \times \frac{(\cos(\arctan\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\mathsf{P}})) \times (\mathsf{Z} - \mathsf{V})}{\pi \frac{\mathsf{R}}{2m}}$$

It should be noted that in this system R must equal P. otherwise the precession axis would tend to wobble alarmingly and the losses would be greatly increased.

# **Flywheel Apparatus**

meister10.htm

第二日 6/20 55 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Sebere 1
Scheme T

The apparatus comprises a pair of flywheels (30a and 30b) each

rigidly mounted on a respective shaft (32a and 32b) so as to pivotably movable in a vertical plane about a central point (34). It is preferred that the distance (D) between the centre of the flywheel (30) and the central point (34) be equal to the radius (R) of the flywheel. The shafts (32a and 32b) are each journalled in bearings (36a and 36b) fixed to arms (38a and 38b), mounted by pivot bearings (40) to a central tubular shaft (42). The tubular shaft (42) is journalled in bearings (41) in a base frame (43). A stationary central shaft (45) is secured to the base frame (43), and bearings (47) are provided between it and the tubular shaft (42).

Means are provided for driving the flywheels in rotation. In this embodiment, each flywheel (30a and 30b) is driven by a respective electric motor (44a and 44b) via pulleys (46) and flexible (e.g. rubber) bands (48). The motors (44) are secured to a beam (49) fixed to tubular shaft (42) to rotate herewith.

Means are provided to rotate the central pivot bearings (42) so that the flywheels (30) may be forcibly precessed. A critical aspect of the invention is that these means for forcibly

meister10.htm

processing the flywheels must be capable of rotating the assembly at a fixed speed irrespective of the forces acting upon it, and most particularly it must not be possible to appreciably accelerate the forced precession. One suitable method for achieving this is shown in the present embodiment, in which the tubular shaft (42) is driven in rotation by a motor (52) via a substantial worm and pinion reduction transmission (54, 56) which prevents back transmission of force.

Further, means are provided for rapidly displacing the flywheels (30) downwards against their precession resultant at least one position in the precession path. Such means may be, as shown in the present embodiment, a cylindrical cam (58) acting on cam followers (60) on the arms (38), the cam (58) being mounted on the stationary central shaft (45).

Preferably, the cam (58) has a profile (58a) which forces the flywheels (30) down twice in each precession revolution at 0° and 180° positions, and allows them to rise to a maximum height at 90° and 270° positions. Alternatively, solenoids or other linear actuators may be used for this purpose.

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

The precession resultant will hereinafter be referred to as the precession lift. Since the precession lift does not result in an equal and opposite down force on the pivot (40), the cam (58) which accelerates the flywheels (30) downwards experiences a precession reaction upwards. At the bottom of the cam form (58a), the flywheels (30) are again swept upwards, with the reaction-reduced precession lift resulting in a net linear force. This action depends on the precession not being allowed to accelerate as the flywheels are forced downwards.

The energy for the work done comes from the flywheel spin inertia, and thus from the motors (44) or other means driving the flywheels. It is necessary for this drive to be delivered in a manner which does not restrict the vertical motion of the flywheels. The use of the rubber band (48) has teen found to impose an acceptably small restriction on this motion in a smallscale system. Other possible means of delivering drive would include flexible shafts, and forming the flywheels with blades driven by gas jets.

It is believed that the efficiency of the system -

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

# (linear force)/(power supplied) x 100%

is approximately 40%, disregarding mechanical losses in the drive.

"EZKL" - Energy zoned kinetic leverage: next generation propulsion system

Brandson Roy Thomson Fortune Ventures Inc. 118 Emerald Grove Drive WINNIPEG, Manitoba R3J 1H2 Canada

The Energy Zoned Kinetic Leverage (EZKL) propulsion system is referred to as the next generation because its principles differ from all presently used propulsion systems applied to transportation. These propulsion force systems develop a force imposed with friction against an external medium to cause a reaction that translates as a propulsion force or thrust. We are familiar with these because they are the only ones manufactured

#### meister10.htm

### for cars, trucks, trains and aircraft.

This is the only form of system we have available at present because the perspective of the engineered design of all systems is focused upon the accepted concept that a "medium must be present to produce a propulsion force by way of reaction". The reason this perspective is focused upon mediums, is basically founded upon the acceptance that Sir Isaac Newton's Laws of Motion must be applied verbatim, and it is interpreted that a medium is absolutely necessary. The EZKL system's principles do not violate any of these laws of motion in physics, rather this new propulsion force relies upon the dependability of the second half of Newton's First Law of Motion "unless it is compelled to change that state by forces impressed upon it", which subjects the rigidity of all laws to conditional change.

The concept of converting rotary motion into linear motion producing no reaction is not a new idea, but it is assumed to be impossible as it appears to violate certain laws in physics, and its pursuit, up until now, deemed to be foolhardy.

meister10.htm

EZKL converts rotary motion into linear motion producing thrust with no apparent external reaction. Internally manipulated masses cause vibratory centripetal forces to be imposed upon each wheel's axle in a controlled manner. This centripetal force is the same force that causes that potent action termed as "vibration" which is usually viewed as a negative and destructive element. A single wheel is "out of balance" and if rotated simply oscillates around its centre of mass or balance (Figure 1).



meister10.htm

# Converting oscillating motion to linear motion

The first step is to convert this oscillating motion into linear motion confined in two directions. Then, by joining two wheels to a common plane with their axles parallel and causing the wheels to be turned symmetrically opposite, all of these centripetal forces are regimented to impose these forces in four equal directions. (Figure 2)



The forces imposed in the direction along the common plane

allows no movement along that direction, as they are neutralized by equal and opposite forces produced from within each opposing wheel, but the forces imposed perpendicular to the plane are imposed in an alternating manner and causes the common plane to vibrate. A bias of the perpendicular centripetal forces imposed is caused, and produces a continuous resultant force being imposed in a single direction that affects the whole of the mass of the system, and causes the mass of the system to be propelled and affects any mass to which it is attached.

### The EZKL concept

If a six ounce mass is attached to a 2 1/2" arm, which is fixed to an axle that is being turned at 1,000 revolutions per minute, it is observed that the 6 ounce mass is attempting to pull the axles in that direction toward the mass and is caused by centrifuge (Figure 3).



We determine the strength of that centripetal force imposed upon the axle by first determining the mass velocity in feet per second:

 $v = 2\pi r \times 1000 / 60 = (2\pi 25 / 12 \times 1000) / 60 = 218166$  ft/sec

and secondly the centripetal force in pounds using:

 $Fc = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 = (\frac{1}{2} \times 6 / 16 \times 218166)^2 = 44.62128$  **Ib** 

meister10.htm

Examining one EZKL wheel module and its components, we see internally mounted a 1.25" pitch diameter sun gear which is held stationary by a shaft protruding through its axle as the wheel is rotated (Figure 4).



Two like gears mounted on opposite sides of the sun gear inside the wheel are bearings mounted to the wheel and caused to rotate two revolutions for each wheel revolution, as they are meshed with the fixed sun gear (Figure 5: "p"). A crankshaft throw located at the centre line of mesh of each planetary gear causes the throw to continuously alter its distance from the centre of the wheel axle. Thus, its velocity continuously accelerates and decelerates, relative to the wheel axle centre,

meister10.htm

producing an inverted heart-shaped orbit (Figure 5: throw "t", torbit "tO").

Attaching a free-swinging, pendulum-shaped planet mass to each throw in which the centre of mass is equidistant to the centre of the planetary gear axle, and causing the wheel to turn, allows the planet mass to describe a concentric orbit path with a continuously changing degree of curve. This causes the planet to describe a loop over the centre of the wheel's axle, causing the wheel to be out of balance, and oscillate around its centre of mass (Figure 5: planet path "pO"). meister10.htm



Relative to the centre of the wheel's axle (which will be referred to as the common plane), it is observed that the velocity of the planet is continuously accelerating and peaking at that furthest

meister10.htm

distance from the wheel's axle, which position is referred to as the major arc peak. This produces the greatest centripetal force upon the axle (Figure 6: v"M").

Upon passing the peak position of the major arc, the planet velocity continuously decelerates relative to the axle centre until the crank throw arrives at the "point" of its inverted heartshaped orbit and the velocity of the throw ceases momentarily as the planet describes the minor arc over the wheel axle. This produces the lowest centripetal force imposed upon the axle in the same direction as the major arc (Figure 6: v"m").



Passing this "point", the throw causes the planet velocity to return to the acceleration phase in the planet orbit, and begin to increase the centripetal force strength that is imposed upon its axle.

Determining the velocity of the planet mass at that moment its position is at the peak of the major arc, is accomplished in two

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

20/10/2011

meister10.htm

## steps:

1) A spot is determined upon the inside of the wheel that locates the centre of the planet mass, and its radius from the wheel's centre is calculated as 2 1/2", assuming the wheel is turning at 1,000 rpm. We determine the velocity of the spot as (equation):

 $v = (2\pi 25/12 \times 1000)/60 = 218166$  ft/sec

2) The planetary gear is rotating at 2,000 rpm, and the radius from the planetary axle to the centre of mass of the planet is 1 1/4". Therefore, the planet is being carried past this "spot" at:

 $2v = (2\pi 125/12 \times 1000)/60 = 218166$  ft/sec

Therefore, the velocity of the planet mass at this position relative to the axle, which is part of the common plane, is determined as:

 $v^{\mu}$  = 21.8166 + 21.8166 = 43.6332 feet/second

The centripetal force imposed upon the axle or common plane at

meister10.htm

# this moment in time in the direction toward the six ounce planet mass, is determined as:

 $Fc^{M} = \frac{1}{2}mv^{2} / 2 = \frac{1}{2} \times .375 \times (43.6 + 332)^{2} / 2 = 178.486$  **Ibs.** 

Determining the velocity of the planet mass at the peak of the minor arc is calculated from the centre of the crank throw as it has come to rest at the "point" of the throw orbit, but the planetary gear axle maintains a uniform 1,000 rpm. As the radius from the throw centre to the planetary axle centre is equal in length to the centre of the planet mass, it is determined that the radius of the circle described by the planet around the throw would be 0.625 inches, and the velocity of the planet mass at the peak of the minor arc is calculated as:

 $v^{M} - (2\pi.625/12 \times 1000)/60 - 5.454$  feet/second.

Therefore the centripetal force imposed upon the wheel's axle in the direction of the planet mass at the peak of the minor arc is calculated at: 20/10/2011 meister10.htm  $Fc^{m} = \frac{1}{2}mv^{2}/2 = \frac{1}{2} \times 375 \times (5.454)^{2})/2 = 2.7888$  **Ibs.** 

# Aggregating the forces for concurrent imposition

Therefore, the centripetal force imposed upon the wheel's axle is continuously changing as its velocity continuously changes, and its strength is dependent upon the planet location in its orbit, as its velocity is relative to the axle centre. The total force imposed upon the axle by the major and minor arc peak positions by the two planets at the same time aggregate to:

### 178.48651 + 2.7888 = 181.2 lbs

are imposed upon the common plane in one direction toward the planet at the major arc position away from the common plane.

To allow continuance of centripetal force calculations of the other arcs, two of these wheels are joined with parallel axles to a common plane and turned symmetrically opposite, and allowing the major arc in each wheel to impose their force at the same moment in a direction perpendicular to, and away from,

meister10.htm

#### the common plane (Figure 7)



Figure 7: Four equal arc centrifugal forces imposed in one direction away from the common plane

It is observed that the centripetal forces imposed in the direction along the common plane are neutralized by equal and opposite like forces produced from within each opposing wheel and prohibits each wheel axle from movement along the

direction of the common plane. Also, when each wheel turns 90 degrees away from the peak of the major arc, each of the four planets are turned 180 degrees, and describe four arcs which radii are all equal in length as the axle of each planetary gear align their centres to the common plane.

It is determined that the velocity of each of the planets in this equal arc position are equal to each other, and that as each planet is equal in mass size and weight (6 oz. each), by way of axiom, the centripetal force transferred and imposed upon the common plane in their direction are equal to each other.

The force produced by the major and minor arc of each wheel causes the common plane to be propelled in that direction perpendicular to this plane, and at I ,000 rpm, it was determined that each major arc imposed 178.48 lbs. and each minor arc 2.78 lbs. This totalled 181.26, and as two wheels are imposing this force upon this plane, the common plane is propelled in this direction with a force of 362.53 lbs., and is imposed over that length of time each planet occupies this zone. Newton's Third Law of Motion indicates that the four equal arcs imposing their

centripetal force in the opposite direction to the two major and two minor arcs, are aggregated and are equal and opposite with a total force of 362.53 lbs. Therefore, each equal arc produces a centripetal force of:

 $Fc^{F} = 362.53/4 = 90.6$  **lbs.** 

The common plane is now in a state of vibration, as a force of 362 lbs is imposed alternately in opposing directions as a result of joining two out-of-balance wheels at their axis, and converting their rotational oscillation around the centre of their mass into a vibratory action in two directions only. Obviously, this vibration would cause a two-wheel contraption to selfdestruct. Therefore, this vibratory action is neutralized by attaching two additional like wheels to the common plane, with their rotation advanced 90 degrees, so that the second pair are imposing their equal arc centripetal force at the same time the first set of wheels are describing the two major and two minor arc centripetally imposed forces. This neutralizes all forces in all directions affecting the whole of the system's mass, and vibratory movement ceases (Figure 8).

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm



We observe the four wheels rotating symmetrically opposite, and the system remains stationary as all internally imposed centripetal forces affecting the whole of its mass are at present neutralized by equal and opposite like and unlike forces.

meister10.htm

## Role of magnetic force

Located directly prior to the wheel's axle centre are two plunger poles for each planet of a unique but powerful electromagnet between which each planet passes in an alternating manner. These poles are referred to as the planet trap, and when activated impress a magnetic force upon the planet mass, causing its movement to cease prior to reaching the peak of the minor arc, and converts its momentum into kinetic energy which is transferred to the wheel's axle through the medium of the electromagnetic field. The kinetic force imposed upon the axle is along that direction of the common plane where it is neutralized by equal and opposite like forces produced from within the opposing wheel (Figure 9).



The magnetic force continues to be impressed upon the planet mass as the wheel is rotated 90 degrees from that position of the peak of the minor arc. The planetary gear has at this position been rotated 180 degrees, and although the crank throw is in that position where the planet describes an equal arc, it is prohibited from describing this equal arc because the centre line of the planet mass is taken out of alignment to the planetary gear axle at the throw of 105 degrees. Since this arc is not described, the normal centripetal force of this equal arc is not produced nor imposed upon each axle. Therefore only two of the

20/10/2011

meister10.htm

four equal arc centripetal forces are imposed upon the common plane (Figure 10).



The captive planet is released when the throw reaches the peak position of the equal arc, and as the wheel continues to rotate, the planetary gear rotating at double the wheel rpm causes the throw to pull the planet with a whip-like action, returning it to its planet orbit position prior to the major arc peak position being reached. The new forces causing this whip-like action are imposed in that direction along the common plane, where they are neutralized by equal and opposite like forces produced at the same time by the opposing wheel.

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

Prohibiting two equal arcs from being described reduces the total centripetal force of 362.53 lbs. to 181.26 lbs being imposed in the direction of the equal arcs perpendicular to the common plane. Therefore, a bias of vibration is caused as the reinstated major arc is described and the minor arc is described and trapped, producing an aggregated centripetal force of 362.53 lb imposed in their direction, and overcomes the opposing force of 181.26 lbs imposed at the same moment in the opposite direction (Figure 11).



Therefore, a resultant force of 181.26 lbs is caused and imposed upon the common plane, in a single direction away from the common plane, in the direction of the peak of the major arc at a frequency of four 181 lbs force applications per revolution of the wheels.

At 1,000 rpm, there are 66 2/3 applications of 181 lbs force strength imposed in one direction per second, causing the system to be propelled with continuous acceleration. The magnet field must produce a shear force sufficient to overcome the kinetic value produced at the peak of the minor arc as its momentum is converted to kinetic energy and the strength of this kinetic force is: (v = 5.454 ft./see relative to the common plane; m =.375)

 $Ek = 1/2mv^2 = 1/2 \times .375 \times (5.454)^2 = 5.5773968$  **Ibs.** 

Experimental research has determined that the kinetic force is overcome by doubled shear force. The shear force strength of the planet trap is now developed to produce a range from 5 lbs to 40 lbs, utilizing a 12 volt current supply to the magnet coils.

meister10.htm

The working prototype being developed at personal expense measures 18" x 18" x 10", with a gross weight of 85 lbs. A 12 volt battery supplies the magnet coils and the prime force turning the wheels is a 3/8" chuck rechargeable Mikita drill. This prototype is designed to operate in the range of 500 to 1,500 rpm providing the availability of 40 lbs of thrust being imposed 33 times per second, varying up to 400 lbs if thrust being imposed 100 times per second with instant reverse available.

### **EZKL** advantages

The advantages of the EZKL system are noteworthy. These are attractive for numerous applications. In the case of recreational boating these are:

- 1. Elimination of accidental injury from a propellor blade
- 2. Fuel consumption reduction reduces hydrocarbon emissions
- **3.** Noise pollution is reduced due to lesser prime force required.

Similarly, advantages have been noted for helping manned gliders remain airborne, new designs for air planing vehicles

meister10.htm

designed to exploit continuous acceleration characteristics.

Suitability has been recognized for long-distance urban bus and tractor trailer transportation, taking advantage of the 2 to 3,000 lb force capability. Fuel consumption is expected to be reduced by approximately 70%. Because the force can be instantly reversed, the vehicle could be pulled to a stop without relying upon friction between the rubber tires and the road surface, thereby increasing passenger and cargo safety, even on icy terrain.

### The EZKL models

The photo to the left shows the simplified version built specifically to demonstrate that a bias of the centripetal forces does in fact produce a resultant linear propelling force converted from rotary motion. The photo to the right shows the first working model for practical transportation application.



The simplified version

meister10.htm



The first working model for practical transportation application.



# 2-wheel system (1983) showed self-propulsion on foam, across water; and (1989) to swing to one side only in a pendulum test.
meister10.htm



### Troller, 30 - 40 rpm, 60 lbs., self-contained with 12V battery. Propelled author 200 on 10' aluminium canoe 200' (360 lbs. mass).

meister10.htm





4-wheel pan (1988) built to observe interaction of the internal masses during operation and to study the advance and retard of planet trapping and release at varying rates of rotation. Weight: 45 lbs.

The structuring of fluidic materials by crystals

Marcel J. Vogel, Ph.D. Jennet Grover Birthe Madsen P.R.I. 1725 Little Orchard Street C SAN JOSE, California 95125 United States of America

This paper deals with the formation in fluidic materials of an intermediate state which is given the term a "lyotropic mesophase system". This system, once achieved, may be

20/10/2011

detected by means of melting point determination under cross field polarized light and spectrophotometry, ultraviolet, visible and infrared. We have further examined the water specimens with the Omega 5 Metatronics Machine, a modified radionic unit, and have been able to detect the differences between:

- A) different crystal shapes (i.e., 6-sided, 8-sided and 13-sided)
- B) crystals with and without a program
- C) projecting colour through the Omega 5 to the fluid
- D) information transfer from one crystal to another

### Laboratory Equipment

- 1. Perkin-Elmer Model 267 Infrared Spectrophotometer
- 2. Cary Model #15 Ultraviolet/Visible Spectrophotometer
- **3. Fisher Surface Tensiomat Model #21**
- 4. BE-Vincent Machine for pH and rH measurements
- **5.** Fisher Electrophotometer #11
- 6. Amber Conductivity Meter # 64
- 7. Zeiss Ultraphot IIIB Microscope with

**Microspectrophotometric Attachment with Computer** 

meister10.htm

8. Omega 5 Metatronics Machine (instrument designed to detect and measure fields stored in crystals.

**Industrial Unit** 

The industrial unit consists of a coil of stainless steel tubing 3/4" in diameter, 7 turns housed in a wooden box. In the center of the coil the crystal is mounted in a replaceable mounting. The crystals that were used are the following:

A) In the laboratory unit (large unit):

6-sided double-terminated crystal 6 1/4" x 2 1/4" (15.875 x 5.7 cm)
 8-sided double-terminated crystal 5 3/4" x 2" (14.6 x 5.1 cm)
 13-sided double-terminated crystal 5 3/4" x 2" (14.6 x 5.1 cm) cm)

B) In the Omega 5 unit:

4. 6-sided double-terminated crystal 4 1/4" x 1 3/4" (10.8 x

meister10.htm

```
4.45 cm)
5. 8-sided double-terminated crystal 4 1/2" x 1 1/2" (11.4 x 3.8 cm)
```

The fluids used are:

Water:

a) Alhambra Purified Water for all distilled water purposes, sodium-free

- b) Tap water
- c) Reverse Osmosis tap water

Wine: Varietal red and white wines

**Experimental Procedure** 

1000 cc samples of the three waters listed above were obtained. Each water was poured once around the large crystal in the industrial unit. The crystal was cleared from any charge and program when it left the laboratory. A sample of the processed water was collected (50 cc) in a plastic vessel and another sample was taken for spectrophotometry in its plastic cuvette.

The control runs were:

a) water samples as received with no treatment;

b) water samples run through industrial unit with crystals cleared;

c) water samples run through the industrial unit and projecting from the Omega 5 unit with the 6- and 8-sided charged crystals;
d) water samples run with the Omega 5 unit turned "off"; and,
e) water samples run with Omega 5 unit turned "on".

This series of runs establishes a set of base line measurements which can be used for comparisons. Runs d) and e) are a repeat of b) and c) for repeatability check.

**Colour Experimentation** 

Next, we broadcast colours with the Omega 5 through both the 6

meister10.htm

- and 8-sided crystals. The colours chosen were: F. Red #25, H. Orange #23, J. Yellow #12 L. Green #89, N. Blue #80, P. Purple #48, and R. Black Lite (4 watt UV lamp).

Between each colour transmission, a run was made with the Omega 5 unit turned "off". The run numbers assigned to these procedures were the following: G.,I.,K.,M.,O.,Q.,S..

The results of the Omega 5 readings from transmission of colour projected and amplified via a charged 6-sided crystal to a 6sided crystal in the industrial unit which picks up a charge. The results measured one week after the runs were completed, are shown in Figure 1. They indicate the energy level stored in the water after treatment. meister10.htm



Figure 1. OM-5 readings 6 to 6 projection.

The following observations can made:

a. Red suppresses any field stored in the water.

b. The fields increase in each colour until we have a maximum at the UV or black light region.

- c. The greatest effect is with the Alhambra Purified Water.
- d. The next greatest effect is with Reverse Osmosis water.
- e. Energy can be transferred to tap water by projecting purple or W.
- f. When the projection was turned "off", the field decreased to 0 (zero).

This experiment offers a good indication that the fields which crystals emit can be transferred to and stored by fluids, especially water.

The same procedures were then followed in the laboratory with 8-sided crystals being used in the industrial unit and the OM-5 machine. A primary observation is an overall increase in the energy storage in the waters and the very high capacity of the UV mode to store energy in tap water.

Many of the events did not come down to zero. We are studying these results and feel that what may have happened is that there was a "contamination" of the waters we used from the previous broadcasting in the 6  $\rightarrow$  6 experiment.

Taking each of the water samples and doing an off-line test, gave the following results. Freshly acquired, untreated samples (controls) gave zero readings with the OM-5 instrument. When we broadcast purple #48 through the 8-sided crystal in the presence of these samples, the samples changed in value from the broadcasting. We then erased the water samples with the bulk demagnetizer and they all returned to their original values. These values are listed below: (RO = reverse osmosis water sample)

#### Water controls:

ALH-	111	000	000	Untreated samples, OM-5 Readings
RO-	000	000	000	
TAP-	000	000	000	
ALH-	454	111	111	Broadcasting charged 8-sided
RO-	454	000	000	crystal with purple #48 for 10
TAP-	454	000	000	seconds and then measured.

ALH-111 000 000 Demagnetized same sample sand D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

20/10/2011	meister10.htm	
RO- 000 000 000	re-measured.	
TAP-000000000		

In summary of our 8 > 8 projection (Figure 2): a. Alhambra Purified Water gave the strongest set of stored fields. b. Greatest effect is with UV c. There was very little difference between RO and tap water.



Figure 2. OM-5 readings 8 to 8 projection.

When projecting from a 8-sided to a 13-sided crystal in the industrial unit, it was observed that there was a further shift away from the baseline and that, surprisingly, Red (F) did not suppress as it did in the other two sets of runs (Figure 3).



	meister10.htm	
499	.8487 +	
410	.961 +	
424	.8417 +	
434		
444		
456		•
468	. 1776	
478	1512	- <b>•</b>
488	1874	-
488	284	-
340		•
316	3471	
320	. 2331	
530	.2378	
548	.2691	
35P	.236	•
368	. 2362	•
378	.2361	•
300	.2332	•
378	.2368	•
688	.2513	•
610	.243L	•
629	.2376	+
638	.2317	+
648	. 2293	•
638	.7282	•
668	.2381	•
678	.2346	•
698	.2491	•
698	. 2337	•
	4 7 4	_

The dotted lines represent areas that we did not run as we ran out of Alhambra water. The highest reading took place through the projection of purple # 48 (P) to the water. Readings were high on all three samples.

**Microspectrophotometry of the Water Samples** 

A Zonax attachment to the Zeiss Ultraphot IIIB Microscope gave the ability to make transmission spectrophotometric readings of the water samples. A typical reading can be found in Figure 4.



From all the readings run on the samples, we took the following areas of the spectra and plotted the changes we have noticed in the samples. At all times we compared in the same graph the three samples of water - Alhambra Purified water, reverse osmosis water and tap water. The wavelengths selected for evaluation were:

#### 440 510 610 690 (in nanometers)

meister10.htm

and the transmittance plotted as a function of: a) change after colour transmission and b) charge or no charge to crystal.





Figure 5. Transmittance values obtained with 6-sided crystal

The graph in Figure 5 gives the transmittance values for the four wavelengths as obtained with a 6-sided crystal. Note that tap water and the RO water match. The Alhambra water is different. These graphs set the standard for comparison to all subsequent work with the 6-sided crystal.



meister10.htm

Figure 6. Transmittance values obtained with 8-sided crystal

In Figure 6, transmittance values were obtained with a 8-sided crystal. Here we find a very close matching of the three samples with a deviation at 690 nm. This deviation was the same with the 6-sided crystal, with a reversal absorbency at 690 nm for the Alhambra water. This is the standard of comparison for the 8-sided crystal.

With the application of a 13-sided crystal, we find a similar pattern, with the Alhambra water showing the highest value. The feeling is that this change results from the bottled water picking up a charge as we progressed in our experimentation. This is also true of the RO water which was in a container.

The Omega 5 readings on the control waters were:



	000	000	000					
A- 8-sided samples -								
ALH-	454	000	000					
RO-	454	000	000					
TAP-	454	000	000					
A- 13-sided samples								
ALH -	454	4.54	x 10					
RO-	454	111	111					
TAP-	454	000	000					

meister10.htm

Notice the large variation in the field in the in the control water to start. This was not known at the start of the experiment as these readings were all done one week after the series were run in the laboratory. This can help to account for the variation of the samples at the start of the experiment. As Fred Allan Wolf speaks about the Quantum Effect between humans and matter, so too we are seeing this Quantum Effect between matter itself.

Spinning of Water Around The Crystal

This set of results is a control for the broadcast of colours each of the crystals. What was done here was to spin 1000 cc of each of the waters around the 6, 8 and 13-sided crystals. Each of these crystals was cleared of any charge, as far as we knew at that time. In these graphs, we summarize the effect of the 6, 8 and 13-sided crystals.

In the Alhambra Purified Water - B. the greatest deviation noted was with 6-sided crystal. As we progressed to the 8 and 13sided crystals, there seemed to be an imprinting in the equipment from the first run. The same thing occurs with reverse osmosis water - B. that is, a shift from 6 to 8 and 13sided crystals. There is a greater variation between the three waters at 690 nm.

With tap water, the same effect occurs. The dates of each sample run are noted.



Figure 7. Transmittance values obtained with 13-sided crystal







meister10.htm

# Figure 9. Imprinting in the equipment from first spinning "run" with reverse osmosis water



meister10.htm

#### Figure 10. Imprinting in the equipment from first spinning "run" with tap water

**Projecting colours** 

We next went to projecting colours from a remote unit, the Omega 5, through to a 6 and 8-sided crystal. These crystals were charged beforehand. We then transmitted red, orange, yellow, green, blue, purple and ultraviolet. Between each run, the Omega 5 was turned "off" and a blank run was made.

The 6  $\rightarrow$  6 results on Alhambra Purified water show that, when compared to the B control, the red (F) stands out and the purple (P) and the yellow (J) stand out. These show the greatest deviation from the normal.

The 8  $\rightarrow$  8 results on Alhambra Purified water indicate a significant drop in transmittance throughout the visible spectra of all samples compared to the standard Alhambra curve. We feel that this is an indication of a change in state in the water. With this phenomenon appearing in 6 separate samples, this

could not be due to chance nor an accidental event. Red and orange showed the greatest deviation while green was the lowest. When compared to the 6  $\rightarrow$  6, the same difference was noted.

The 8  $\rightarrow$  13 series indicates transmission values normalizing around the standard. The projection of blue (M) and red (F) have increased absorbency, whereas yellow (J) shows a lowering of value.

In summary, in treating Alhambra water with projected colours through an 8-sided crystal, the most significant result was with the 8  $\rightarrow$ 8 projection giving an overall lowering of the absorbance of the water. This meant that the sample became denser when compared to the other samples.

**Colour treatment with Reverse Osmosis and tap waters** 

For the 6  $\rightarrow$  6 cycle, when compared to the standard, all of the samples had lower absorbance than the standard run except for orange (H). The major deviation lower was purple (P). For the 8

meister10.htm

> 8 run, a significant drop in absorbance in all the values of transmission from red to purple. This was very similar to the 8
 > 8 run with Alhambra water. In the 8 → 13, we are back to the same values as with the standard, as with the Alhambra water.

The 6  $\supset$ 6 colour treatment with tap water indicates very little difference. noticed against standard. Purple (P) is the only run which stands out in a lower value. For the 8  $\supset$ 8, all values were significantly lower in absorbance than the standard. This corresponded with the other two samples. This is consistent all through the run. With 8  $\rightarrow$ 13, we are back to the same absorbance as with the previous waters. It is surprising to measure such consistency.



meister10.htm

## Figure 11. Projecting colours from OM-5 6 to 6 on Alhambra water



meister10.htm

## Figure 12. Projecting colours from OM-5 8 to 8 on Alhambra water


meister10.htm

# Figure 13. Projecting colours from OM-5 8 to 13 on Alhambra water



meister10.htm

## Figure 14. Projecting colours from OM-5 6 to 6 on reverse osmosis water





meister10.htm

Figure 18, 19. pH and conductivity measurements on 8 to 8 "runs" with colour projections, with lab model (left) and with industrial unit (right)

In summary, in projecting 8  $\rightarrow$ 8 with the colours, there is a lowering of the absorbance in all three water samples. This is not true in 6  $\rightarrow$ 6 or the 8  $\rightarrow$ 13 projections. The major source of influence here is the crystal geometry (8-sided doubleterminated to 8-sided double terminated crystal). There is a real communication link which we have measured by spectrophotometry.

**pH and Conductivity Measurements** 

We measured the pH, conductivity and surface tension of each of the water samples which we prepared. In the 8  $\rightarrow$ 8 colour run made with the small laboratory model projecting to an 8-sided crystal, there were significant pH changes in the Alhambra water with no change in the conductivity. There were large changes in conductivity in the tap and RO waters with little change in pH. We cannot comment on this at present.

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

In the industrial unit colour runs, we see in the 8  $\rightarrow$ 8 a repeat of the pH changes with the Alhambra water when projecting red (pH 6.0) and orange (pH 8.3). These units were in separate rooms, a good 15-20 feet (6 to 8 m) apart. These were equally dramatic events with the tap water and reverse osmosis waters in their changes in conductivity with projected colour. Analysis and understanding will come from further experimentation.

**Summary and Conclusions** 

1. Water can be modified in its conductivity and pH by spinning the fluid around a crystal tuned to a particular chromatic frequency.

2. This frequency can be transmitted from one location to another by using a matched pair of crystals.

3. Different groups of crystals produce significant variation in the characteristics of the water treated by them.

4. The fields that are stored in the water by crystals can be

meister10.htm

detected by Radionic type measurements.

5. These fields have a magnetic characteristic and can be erased by an AC bulk eraser.

6. The fields stored in water by crystals and colour are capable of doing useful work in purifying water and enhancing the flavour of wines, beverages and foodstuffs.

7. The fields that are created in the water are a permanent part of the system, unless deliberately erased.

8. Water may be boiled and not lose this charge.

Home"" """"> ar.cn.de.en.es.fr.id.it.ph.po.ru.sw

New Energy Technology (PACE, 1990, 60 p.)
 Clean energy developments
 Solar energy - The nature of natural and ''EWEC''

meister10.htm

solar collectors

The electro-resonance generator

Advances with Viktor Schauberger's implosion system

Plastic engine technology

A novel D.C. motor

Permanent magnet motors - A brief overview

Aneutronic energy - Search for nonradioactive

nonproliferating nuclear power

LUMELOID\* solar plastic film and LEPCON\* submicron dipolar antennae on glass

- Charged aerosol air purifiers for the suppression of acid rain
- Clean engines A combination of advanced materials and a new engine design

Developments on the flexible mirror

New Energy Technology (PACE, 1990, 60 p.)

meister10.htm

#### **Clean energy developments**

Solar energy - The nature of natural and "EWEC" solar collectors

Philip S. Callahan 2016 N. W. 27th Street GAINESVILLE, Florida 32605 United States of America

The physical symbol for energy is E, and is defined as that property of a system that is a measure of its capacity for doing work. It is hardly necessary to point out that every farm crop, grassland, forest and creature there is, plus all the seas of the world, depend on the sun for energy. It would be impossible to even begin to calculate a value for E that represents the amount of work in joules that spreads across the face of the Earth each second of each day. Imagine, for instance, the amount of work accomplished each day by each tree, each insect, each monkey, etc. etc., being multiplied by the thousands of different species, and the millions, or billions upon billions, of these "working"

constantly informed by energy "experts" that there is not enough energy from the Sun to run the miserly few electrical systems in my home.

As the Japanese haiku poem by the gracious poet Shiko states:

"Through scarlet maple leaves. the western rays Have set the finches' flitting wings ablaze."

The poets eyes, of course, were the collectors of that flitting scarlet blaze, and the eye is composed of a lens and numerous spines, or rod and cones if you prefer.

In this paper I use the word spine to designate any natural object that takes the form of an elongated lens. In other words, a dielectric material (insulative substance) that geometrically may be considered as a lens that is taken at its center point and pulled out into an elongated rod, cone, pyramid, etc., such as helical cones on the legs of some mites (Figure 1).

meister10.htm



Figure 1. An insect helical, dielectric waveguide receiving

meister10.htm

antenna, called spine or sensilla responding to specific infrared wavelengths.

Spines on living systems are often set in complex patterns somewhat as in the case of manmade antennae (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Scanning electron photograph of tapered sensilla.

meister10.htm

The amount of energy from the Sun impinging on collectors depends on the angle of the Sun on the horizon, the presence of water vapour and other atmospheric constituents and atmospheric radiance itself (Figure 3).



meister10.htm

Solar cells presently have a conversion efficiency of about 15%. Thus, if 1,000 watts of sunlight fall upon one square meter of solar cell material, the resulting DC output is 150 watts.

The EWEC promises some major advantages over solar cells. Early calculations show that EWEC may have a theoretical conversion efficiency rate of 50 - 70%. Mechanical flexibility appears inherent in the EWEC if the absorber elements are mounted on a flexible substrate, while solar cells often crack and lose efficiency if not mounted on a sheet-roll process - a feat of economy not presently achieved in solar cells.

The biggest problem is not in miniaturizing the small collector spines, but rather in coupling into the collected infrared energy, and converting it to electricity. From my observations of insects and plants, there is no doubt this can be done by copying nature!

My experiments indicate the hairs on the leaves of plants are really dielectric waveguide-antennae for collecting energy in the form of infrared or microwave signals from the Sun. Bailey and I have excellent models in plants and insects, for our solar energy

meister10.htm

research. (The Sun has millions of narrowband radiating emissions in all portions of the spectrum.)

I am suspicious of a researcher who is not infatuated with the elegance of nature, spines being a part of that elegance. Electromagnetic waves are as much a part of nature as are the insects and the plants themselves. I am disappointed in Hertz, for whom the electromagnetic waves are named. He was not alone in the discovery of radio waves - Hughes deserves equal recognition - and he once wrote to the Dresden Chamber of Commerce that all research into the characteristics of electromagnetic waves should be discouraged, because they could not be utilized to any good purpose. So be it!

#### A working model

The Sun gives off tremendous amounts of radiation in the 3 to 10 cm region. Lately, I have been utilizing a Gunn diode to generate 3 cm radiation and modeling wax-coated dielectric spines to test their efficiency as open resonator collectors of specific radiation bands (Figs. 4 & 5). I have demonstrated that

long tapered spines, as occur on insect antennae, are directional (Fig. 4) whereas short curved spines, as occur on many plants (called trichomes) are omni-directional (Fig. 5). They collect and amplify in a circular (omni-directional) pattern. Such dielectric open resonators increase by focusing radiation (or amplify) up to 4 times, e.g. from a baseline of 10 micro A to between 30 to 45 micro A (Figs. 4 & 5). As pointed out in our work, an array of such spines or cones, matched to a solar cell, would increase its efficiency from 15 or 20% to 70 or 80%, well within practical economic utilization.



Figures 4 and 5. Polar plots of long, smooth tapered and short steeply bent sensilla. Long sensillum is highly efficient directional end aperature antenna and has 4x amplification. Short one is omnidirectional and has 2x amplification.

The atmosphere does indeed complicate the study of solar radiation considered as an energy source. The fact that the transmission of solar energy through the atmosphere is a

#### meister10.htm

complex problem should not be used, as it often as is, to proclaim resonant solar collector systems impractical.

As I pointed out in my book "Tuning in to Nature" (1), the candle in many ways resembles the Sun. It gives off numerous narrow band visible and infrared emissions. If we tune to a candle from across a few yards of space, we should be able to tune to the Sun across a few million miles of space. To accomplish tuning in to the sun, we will without a doubt have to consider doing it with micrometer-long spines, or pits, in the same manner that plants and insects accomplish this.

Spinelike projections, considered as waveguides for collecting energy, have been thought about by other researchers. There are numerous historical examples of researchers in various parts of the world having the same idea at the same time. The best example is the simultaneous American and Soviet invention of the laser. My own work is also a good example of two researchers working on different projects, but with the same theoretical viewpoint: both of us were doing research on the same campus at the University of Florida, totally unaware of one meister10.htm

### 20/10/2011 **another! ,**

Professor Robert Bailey, of the Electrical Engineering Department, had designed and produced a metal model of what he calls an Electromagnetic Wave Energy Converter (EWEC). In 1973, he obtained a patent through NASA for his EWEC.

The drawing from his patent, which is a design for one that works in the microwave portion of the spectrum, is very similar to the tapered insect dielectric spines. When Professor Bailey was told about my work by another researcher who had heard my seminar before a meeting of electrical engineers, he immediately recognized the similarities of the problems involved in our respective research fields. He crossed the campus - a distance of approximately one mile - to tell me about his work. As fate would have it, we were slightly closer together than were the American and Soviet developers of the laser.

The EWEC was designed for the specific purpose of collecting the Sun's electromagnetic energy and converting it directly into electricity for domestic use. Professor Bailey believes, as do 1, that to efficiently tune into the Sun we must miniaturize his EWEC down to visible and infrared wavelengths where the greater portion of the Sun's energy lies. This means utilizing dielectric materials, not metals, as dielectrics are the best resonators at these short waves. We must design the EWEC as a dielectric antenna-collector of radiation.

The current chief solar energy conversion technique is the silicon solar cell, an established technology created for the space program. Sunlight impinging upon these smooth-surfaced cells causes electrons to flow, and thereby creates direct current.

#### **The EWEC Converter**

In essence, Professor Bailey's patent consists of a series of dielectric absorbers of solar radiation (Fig. 6). As he points out, there are three main considerations for such a solar collector modeled after natural insect and plant spine-like absorbers and focusers.

Patented Sept. 18, 1973

0,760,257

F16.1



Figure 6. The EWEC (Electromagnetic Wave Energy Converter)

meister10.htm

#### US Patent Number 3,760,257 issued to Prof. Robert L. Bailey on September 18, 1973. It consists of a series of dielectric absorbers.

1. They are one of the principal elements of the invention. Without them, the invention is useless.

2. The least is known about such absorbers of any element used in the invention. Considerably more precise scientific and engineering knowledge is needed before practical converters could be designed with assurance they would work.

3. Knowledge learned about absorbers probably will have useful spinoffs to other areas, e.g. solar thermal absorbers for terrestrial and space use. There is some preliminary indication that better solar thermal absorbers could be made by not just "roughing up" the surface as is now done, but by causing the surface to have a coating of uniform height cones appropriately arrayed.

#### 4. An additional spinoff from this research may accrue to the

meister10.htm

agricultural area of insect control. The understanding of absorbers from this research will have direct application to insect antennae and may lead to super-efficient electronic means of trapping insects, preventing crop and food destruction.

Information about the Electromagnetic Wave Energy Converter (EWEC) and its stage of development can be found in Professor Bailey's US Patent No. 3760257. Sept. 18, 1973 NASA. It is hoped other researchers will continue the development of this elegant concept.

References

1. Callahan, Philip S.. Tuning in to Nature. Devin Adair. Greenwich. 1975.

2. Callahan, Philip S.. Dielectric waveguide modeling at 3.0 cm of the antenna sensilla of the lovebug, Plecia neartica Hardy. Applied Optics. 24, April 15, 1985. p. 1094-1097

3. Engineering and Industrial Experiment Station, University of

meister10.htm

Florida, Gainesville. Final Report: Electromagnetic Wave Energy Conversion Research to NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt MD. UF Project 2451 -E43. September 30, 1975. 49p.

The electro-resonance generator

Leon R. Dragone P.O. Box 175 SPRINGFIELD, Massachusetts 01101 United States of America

The basic equation governing the operation of this device is:

```
Eb=LI+RtI+1/C\int Idt+Ep (1)
where:
```

L is the inductance of the coil,

Rt is the total resistance including the coil's, load's and battery's,

meister10.htm

### Eb is the battery voltage,

C is the total capacitance in the circuit, parasitic and otherwise,

Ep is the voltage across the arc.

Now it is an established fact that an arc has a negative dynamic resistance. Much empirical work on the electrical characteristics of the arcs was carried out during the period 1920-40. One typical voltage current relation for an arc is:

 $Ep = A + B / I^n, A > 0, B > 0, n \sim 1$ 

Using this, we can write (1) as:

 $Eb = LI + RtI + 1/C \int I dt - A + B/I''$  (2)

It is clear that the power consumed as Joule heating of the resistances in the circuit is  $P = RtI^2$  and the electrical energy consumed as heat during the time  $\tau$  is:

20/10/2011 $Q = \int Rtl^2 dt$ 

meister10.htm

Now let us differentiate (2) with respect to time:

0-LÏ+Rtl+1/Cl-nB/I<sup>n+1</sup> (3)

This can be put into the form (set n = 1 for simplicity)

 $0 - L\ddot{I} + (Rt - B/I^2)\dot{I} + 1/CI$  (4)

Now: 0-Li+1/Cl

is the equation of an undamped oscillator and the term  $(Rt+B/l^2)$ i is the damping term. It is clear that  $(Rt+B/l^2)$  can be positive (dissipative), zero (superconductive), or negative. If negative, the second term acts to produce a negative Joule heating effect; i.e. heat is absorbed from the surroundings by the circuit, and produces a spontaneous increase of the current in the circuit. Clearly, this is in violation of the Second Law of Thermodynamics. When I increases to the point where 20/10/2011|>  $\sqrt{B/Rt}$  meister10.htm

the oscillations become damped out. The decaying exponential  $e^{t}/\tau sinwt$  is actually observed on the scope during operation of our device and we can write:

 $|=ce^{-10^7}tsin2\pi \times 10^8t$  (c = constant)

as an empirical equation of this dissipative part of our current oscillations. During these decaying oscillations, the overall resistance in the circuit acts to damp out the current. This is a conventional and well-understood phenomenon - there is no free energy production in this mode.

The new or anomalous behaviour occurs when  $|\sqrt{B/Rt}|$  for then the so-called dissipative term clearly acts to sustain and build the amplitude of the current oscillations. (In this part of our analysis, only positive currents are used; i.e., I > 0). This increase in current amplitude is due to the negative resistance Rt - B/I<sup>2</sup><0, and since we can identify resistance times current squared as a negative Joule heating effect; i.e., instead of

meister10.htm

electrical energy as heat, we are absorbing heat and converting it into electrical energy! To find the power dissipated, we write:

 $P = (Rt - B/I^2) I^2$ 

It is clear that if  $|<\sqrt{B/Rt}$  the coefficient of I<sup>2</sup> is negative and so the power dissipated is negative. This means that the circuit is suffering a Joule cooling effect, and adding electrical power to the system. This electrical power is evidenced by an increasing amplitude of the current (an experimentally observed fact) and by absorbing heat from the surroundings. The heat energy produced in the circuit in time  $\tau$ , is:

**Heat = Q =**  $\int_{0}^{t} (Rt + B/I^2)I^2 dt = \int_{0}^{t} RtI^2 dt - \int_{0}^{t} Bdt$ 

and when the second term predominates over the first, i.e., when I is small,

Heat = Q < 0

which shows that the circuit is producing negative heat; i.e., it is

meister10.htm

### cooling or taking in heat.

This heat is converted into an increase in amplitude of the current, i.e., into higher grade electrical energy.

This theoretical description of the system fits well into our experimental observations and can act as a guideline for further improvements. However, the system seems to demonstrate other anomalies. For example, while one part of the circuit shows negative current flow, another part simultaneously shows a positive current flow. Also, there is strong radiative coupling between various parts of the system and the surroundings. Further, two types of arcs have been experimentally discerned: the cool arc, white in colour, and the anomalous current is associated with this arc; the hot arc, blue in colour, no oscillation or anomalous current occurs with this arc.

#### Hence the identification of

 $Ep = A + B / I^n$ 

as the fundamental voltage current relation for our arc may be premature. However, the basic idea of a negative resistance (dynamic or otherwise) is fundamental to this system. These concepts are by no means new and the literature contains much on this topic. For example, Van der Pol's equation which governs the current flow in a triode is:

 $0 - \ddot{I} + \mu (I^2 - 1)\dot{I} + 1$  (5)

Here the second term can be positive, zero, or negative leading to damped, undamped, or building oscillatory solutions. We can calculate the negative or free energy directly from (5). The second, or damping term is:

μ(l<sup>2</sup> – 1)İ

# If we integrate this term with respect to time, we get the voltage:

 $V = \mu \int (l^2 - 1)\dot{l}dt = (l^3 / 3 - 1)\mu$ 

meister10.htm

This is the voltage across the circuit element which gives rise to the damping term. The energy delivered into this element in time  $\tau$  is:

Ei n =  $\int V dt = \int \mu (I^3 / 3 - I) dt$ 

Clearly if I > 0 is small, Ei n < 0 is negative, showing that the circuit element is putting out electrical energy - free energy. This calculation is an example of non-dynamic negative resistance and only shows why it is so important to discern the correct voltage current relation for our arc. The measure of the free energy produced depends on a knowledge of this relation. More research is needed to get the correct empirical relation between voltage and current for our arc.





Advances with Viktor Schauberger's implosion system

Borge Frokjaer-Jensen Danish Institute of Ecological Techniques Ellebuen 21 2950 VEDBAEK

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

### Denmark

This following confines the presentation at the 1st International Symposium on Non-Conventional Energy Technology held in Toronto in 1981. At that time I emphasized the implosion theory and some advances made by the Austrian scientist Viktor Schauberger. Now I am focusing on one of his designs - a water cleansing device, which has since been successfully developed.

When Viktor Schauberger died in 1958, at the age of 73, it was after a bitter fight against his contemporary scientific community. His ideas about the structure and function of nature were seen as controversial and difficult to comprehend. He advanced new ideas and theories about the essence of water, about plants, about agriculture and forestry and about the energy supply. Yet his ideas did not die with him; interest in his theories is spreading.

Literature about Schauberger's inventions and theories is now available. In my opinion, the best guide is Bertil Gustavsson's book "Connections between the Implosion Theory and the
classic sciences". Unfortunately, this book is in Swedish only. Another book, in German, is "Die Geniale Bewegungskraft" (The genious force of moving), by Schauberger's friend and collaborator Aloys Kokaly, who is also editor of "Kosmische Evolution". This periodical gives firsthand information of natural biological processes observed by Schauberger. Still another recommended book is written by his friend, Olof Alexandersson: "Living Water", Turnstone Press, 1982. Finally, the German periodical "Mensch und Technik - Naturgemass", has a special issue on Viktor and Walter Schauberger (issue H4, 1982, written by Harthun, Fischer, Neumann and Wieseke).

Schauberger noted that everything in nature has bipolarity: light and darkness; warm and cold; male and female; pressure and draw, etc.. Between these opposites exists a constant flow of elevating qualities running from the lower to the higher one in a logarithmically spiralling movement. There are two spiralling movements: one outward-going sucking energy away from the centrum in excentric centrifugence, and one inward-going which presses energy in a concentric centripetence towards the

#### meister10.htm

#### 20/10/2011 condensed centrum.



composing constructive movement dis-composing disintegrating movement

when both spirals work inside each other, life energies are emphasized

#### **Movements**



Natural modes of motions

The core philosophy of Schauberger could be expressed as follows: The force is life, and the secret of life is bipolarity. Without opposite poles in nature, there is no attraction and repulsion, there is no movement. Without movement, there is no life.

Each movement has its characteristic qualities: The outgoing movement is followed by an increased friction and increased

pressure with a rise of temperature, biological breakdown and decomposition. It is characterized by the processes of explosion, expansion and gravitation. The in-going movement is followed by a decreased friction, decreased pressure combined with decreased temperature and biological improvement. The corresponding processes are implosion, impansion and levitation.

Even when the two movements are naturally balanced as two opposing forces of equal strength, the inwards-going concentric spiral dominates in its reconstructive role.

The spiral movement may turn either counter-clockwise or clockwise. When the motion spirals counterclockwise, it has a structuring and formative influence on material flowing (fluid or gas) in the implosion spiral. If it turns the opposite way around, its function is decomposing and disintegrative. The greatest vitalization is obtained when both spirals move inside each other. Such resultant or double concentric spiral movement is called the implosion spiral and constitutes the essence of Schauberger's implosion theory.

Schauberger used this theory to explain certain natural phenomena such as typhoons, whirlpools, certain shell forms, the meandering of waters, and new forms of energy generators (including his levitating implosion disk).

#### The water cleansing device

The water-cleansing device is based on the implosion process. Figure 2 shows the concept presented in the 1981 Toronto symposium. Water runs from the left into an egg-shaped copper bowl through small nozzles in a spiralling copper tube. The nozzles rotate the water beams and the spiral-wrenched copper tube causes the whole amount of water to start a spiralling whirl, which spins in the bowl and down through the outlet tube. Experiments showed that impurities such as iron fillings were pressed together into small balls in the center of the tube by the impansion force. Figure 3 shows the copper spiral tube used in the prototypes. Unfortunately, this type of spiral tube could not give sufficient water velocity in the vortex.



meister10.htm

# Figure 4 shows a similar product which is made of copper. This is dangerous if such an appliance is operating continuously. Impurities in water may combine with copper and develop toxic byproducts.



Figure 5 shows the current water cleansing device, now in production. One of the participants in our research group is Irma Hoyrup. She visualized a golden pear-shaped water-cleansing

meister10.htm

device viewed externally as well as internally. In our device, water is sprayed into a golden pear-shaped bowl, and forms a counter-clockwise spiralling rotation, the implosion movement, around an electrode in a conical golden tube. This water undergoes the changes pointed out by Schauberger: namely a reduced temperature and an increased vitality. Treated water is still spiralling when it leaves the device, as can be shown in photography at shutter speeds of 1/1000 of second.

meister10.htm



The mechanical energy for the vitalizing process is supplied by the water tap pressure. The normal working range is a pressure of 3-7 bar, which corresponds approximately to 15-40 litres of water per minute, an advantage over other water-cleansing devices on the market. In the centre of the golden bowl there is an electrode made of layers of copper, silver and gold. This electrode is soldered to the bowl in order to give a good electric connection. The electrical energy for the cleansing function is directly tapped from the whirling water. The faster the implosion whirl is, the stronger is the electric current through the spiralling water in the implosion centre around the structuring electrode. Measurements carried out on water containing average pollution levels show a 140 mV potential between the negative copper/silver/gold electrode and the positive copper/gold bowl. The electrical potential depends on the rotational speed in the spiral and the current depends on the degree of pollution, reaching as high as a few milliampere in averagely polluted water. Bacteria and virus are effectively killed with this arrangement. Moreover, all the inner surfaces of the device are gold-plated, and therefore are chemically inert. The

silver electrode's surface is treated to maximize the active area. As the water turbulence is broken up by the granulated silver surface, microscopic air bubbles are formed in the implosion spiral. This mechanical treatment causes the lime in the water to decompose into carbon dioxide and released in the air, and calcium carbonate, which crystallizes into aragonite crystals, which do not precipitate as lime.

Finally, the water-cleansing and water-vitalizing function depends on a special interaction between pure materials: gold, silver and copper, which are the constituent parts of the device. Such interaction between the gold and copper on the surface of a golden copper plate apparently was known by alchemists. Irma Hoyrup informed us about this layering process and the technique of how to properly fashion the golden copper bowl.

**Test results** 

This device has no filter, no reverse osmosis membrane and there are no magnets attached. Water is pouring out at a rate of up to 50 litres per minute. But does it cleanse the water? In

#### Denmark, traditional chemical and biological analyses are made by Stein's Laboratories, authorized by the Danish government. Figure 7 shows the certificate of an analysis of polluted lake water before and after passing through the device.



# meister10.htm Certificate of an analysis.

The main function of the device is to kill bacteria. Colon and faeces bacillus are reduced to 15% of the originally very high numbers. Several biologists have confirmed that these results are unique, especially since there is no filter or semipermeable membrane in the device. Furthermore, the pH-value is increased from 7.3 to 7.5, or greater alkalinity.

#### Vitalised water

Viktor Schauberger stated that water could be "dead" or "alive". Water becomes dead after passing through kilometers of straight iron pipes. Such water loses biological effects and does not add support to living organisms. Living water may be found in wells and in unpolluted rivers with meandering flows. And, water spiralled through an implosion whirl is biologically active.

#### Table 1

Extract of the results carried out by Stein's Laboratory with the

meister10.htm

### improved Schauberger-type of water cleansing device

#### **Reduction of minerals:**

Permanganate, calcium, sodium, bicarbonate, sulphate, nitrate, nitrite and phosphorous (These reductions are minor)

**Reduction of bacteriological counts:** 

Colon bacillus:	542 to 79 per 100 ml
Faeces bacillus:	542 to 79 per 100 ml
Number of germs:	7200 to 4500 per ml
Fluorescent germs:	400 to 100 per ml
pH value:	7.3 to 7.5

There exist several methods of measuring biological activity. More than 100 Kirlian photographs have been used by us to evaluate water before and after passing through the device. In Figure 8, the photo to the left shows the pretreated tap water. meister10.htm



Pretreated tap water.

The treated water has at least three important differences:

1) The blue belt of light around the treated water is broader than around the untreated water;

2) the sparks penetrating the blue belt of light are more indistinct or fuzzy in the tap water; and

3) the sparks are measured to be approximately 25% longer

meister10.htm

#### around the treated water

The little red circle in these photos indicates where the lead from the high-voltage source has touched the drop of water placed on the back of the film. The exposure is made with 10 short single sparks of 15 kilovolts from a piezoelectric crystal. When specialists on Kirlian photography are requested to interpret these results, they concur that the water has improved its biological quality. Such water appears to have been structured as the one treated by the method employed by Dr. Marcel Vogel.

The water has been tested by the Helix Centre for Liquid Flow Research in Odense. This research centre applies nonconventional methods, such as those developed by Dr. Rudolph Steiner and kinesiological muscle tests, which have been used in the following analyses. In Table 2, the left column indicates the ideal rating. Then comes the analysis with tap water, followed by reverse osmosis water and our device's. Water treated by our device parallels the ideal case rating.

## Table 2

#### Comparative analysis of water for vitality,

#### Helix Centre, Odense (June 15, 1988 data)

	Ideal	Тар	R O	Device		
COLD TAP WATER						
Parathyroid	+	+	+	+		
Overstress	+	/	+	+		
Allergy	/	+	/	/		
Thymus	100/100	/	94/100	100/100		
BOILED WATER FROM COLD TAP WATER SOURCE						
Parathyroid	+	/	+	+		
Overstress	+	/	+	+		
Allergy	/	+	/	/		
Thymus	100/100	/	92/100	100/100		

	TED	meister10.htm			
Parathyroid	+	+	n a	+	
Overstress	+	/	n a	/	
Allergy	/	+	n a	/	
Thymus	100/100	/	n a	10/100	

The device appears to perform better than a reverse osmosis aggregate, which is the best commercial competitor. An analysis of hot tap water indicates that such water is so spoiled that it is impossible to regenerate it, even with our device.

#### **Sprouting tests**

A good way of testing biological vitality of water is to grow seeds in it. Different seed varieties have been used and the overall observation is that the sprouts from the seeds grown in treated water are somewhat stronger and thicker than sprouts grown in tap water. In Figure 9, the left photo shows beans grown five days in tap water. Notice the mould growths. Smell of putrefaction was pronounced. Beans grown in treated water

meister10.htm

have sprouts that are stronger and longer. There is no sign of mould and no bad smell.



Beans grown.

In treated water the seeds consume about 20% less water than when grown in tap water. These results are measured after 20 hours, at statistically significant levels (99.9%). It is possible that the seeds require less of the biologically active water to grow.

In a "Future Technology" gathering in Berlin, Dr. Hacheney from Dortmund, while presenting a paper on Kirlian photography of water, said: "We are living in a community where it is necessary to have a centralized supply of water, even though we know that the quality of tap water is not good for drinking. Therefore, we are forced to develop a device for water improvement which can be installed in the water supply at the consumers' end". Preliminary tests show that we really have got something special. Furthermore, it gives an excellent reduction of microorganisms.

Plastic engine technology

#### Covert Harris PETCO

meister10.htm

## KINGSTON, Ontario Canada

This paper will take a look at a short history of PETCO (Plastic Energy Technology Corporation), a leading firm in the development of plastic engines, as well as a quick look at the many advantages of portable plastic engines over the conventional two-cycle engines that are presently used in 5 H.P. and down applications.

PETCO was founded in July 1985 by its first president, Gerry McKendry, along with senior vice-president and director of engineering, Lee Lilley. Lilley, the technical mastermind of PETCO, used to design and drive race cars, thus has a great natural understanding and appreciation for the importance of producing light parts, as lighter means faster, which is the bottom line for car racing. Because of this, he first started experimenting with injection-moulded thermoplastics, and was able to move up to seventh place overall in the Formula I Grand Prix circuit. Lilley later designed an engine that runs 200 degrees cooler than conventional two-cycle engines. With the

meister10.htm

increasing thermodynamics, however, the temperature of the engine may become less of a factor even though plastic engines run cooler than conventional ones.

When PETCO first came into existence, and after building its first successful prototype in May of 1986, they established a plant in Kingston. The facilities were quite small, only 33,000 square feet, with an ability to produce 250,000 units annually. Even with the acquisition of a plastics company, which greatly improved self-sufficiency, PETCO was limited in its potential due to the small size of the plant. However, in the fall of 1988, a new 157,000 square ft. plant was opened with a capability for producing 2 million units per year.

Figure 1 shows the basic design of a small working plastic engine. The connecting rod, crankcase, the gearcases, everything but the cylinder, piston and crankshaft, are plastic. Since this design, the crankshaft is made of plastic as well. The standard two-cycle engine consists of two strokes, where the piston goes up and compresses the gases, the gases are burned, the piston descends, forcing out the burnt gas, and goes up

bringing in fresh gas, and so on. Most of these engines bring in the gas on one side of the cylinder, about midway, and expel the gas out on the other side, again about midway. This makes it inevitable that they will mix to some degree, so it is impossible to keep the gas completely pure. Lilley instead decided to run the gas into the crankcase below, timed, and thus synchronized by a rotary poppet valve, ran into the crankshaft through a series of holes, so the air from the outside would actually cool the crankshaft from 300 degrees. meister10.htm



Plastic engine.

The holes help atomize the gas even further as well, so the gas would then be even easier to burn than in a conventional engine. From the poppet valve in the head, fuel, as well as incoming air, are sprayed directly at the bottom of the sparkplug, generally the hottest part of the engine. That squirting of the air actually cools the engine on top, without negatively affecting its operation efficiency. With the cool gases drifting towards the bottom they push the spent gases out the end holes. This expels almost all of the burnt gas before it can contaminate the fresh gas.

#### Efficient, cleaner

Due to this greater purity, the gas can also burn more efficiently. Instead of burning at about 50% in conventional internal combustion engines it burns at 95% efficiency. This, in turn, creates carbon dioxide instead of carbon monoxide, so it is not only environmentally cleaner, but utilizes the fuel more thoroughly.

More advantages with plastic include being able to hold tighter

tolerances than with die-cast metal parts, as the plastic doesn't have to be machined nor ground, which is not as consistent in substance as injection-moulding, as is done with plastic used in engine parts. At this point, we can make engines up to 70% plastic, and we are aiming for a much higher percentage in the future. This allows manufacturers of products that use light engines, such as lawnmowers and chainsaws, to not have to design around the engine since the plastic is more reliable.

Heat insulation also doesn't take its toll on plastic engines the way it does on the standard internal combustion two-cycle engines. The plastic doesn't crack as easily, and the plastic won't suffer from structural fatigue. Noise is also better contained within the plastic, unlike metal which tends to transmit, and even amplify it.

On the question of lifetime, our first prototype at PETCO lasted for more than 6,000 hours before we dismantled it. And even then, it was not dismantled due to deterioration, but because of obsolescence. The standard two-cycle engine in the 2 to 5 H.P. range will rarely last for longer than 300 hours.

There are generally three different plastics used by PETCO in building our engines, and these are normally supplied to us by I.C.I. (International Chemicals, Inc), as well as from our whollyowned subsidiary, Paragon Plastics (1983) Limited. The main one of these plastics is known as Feutron, a compound of reinforcing glass-fiber with polyetherimide thermoplastic resin, is actually 50% glass, in 10 mm glass fibers, which gives this plastic great strength, and is excellent against vibrations, as well as being heat-resistant to 257 degrees Celsius. There are also higher resistance factors to fuels and fuel mixtures.

So the definite advantages of plastic engines and the practicality of their applications make this a wide open field for greater advancement for decades to come. At the present time, it has been restricted to usage for portable motors rarely more than 20 H.P., and for the most part, no more than 5 H.P. However, as plastic engines become more even more energy and costefficient, large applications will undoubtably be explored.

#### A novel D.C. motor

#### Gareth Jones Talcen Eiddew, Carreglefn AMLWCH, LL68 OPW United Kingdom

This paper describes the design of a novel electric motor with unique performance characteristics. The motor was designed originally as a scientific experiment to verify certain conclusions following studies into the behaviour and properties of magnetic fields and therefore the approach to electric motor design is, to say the least, unorthodox, however, it is believed that one would not arrive at this very successful design if the designer adhered rigidly to the tenets of electromagnetic theory.

Indeed such is the present state of knowledge and understanding of magnetic fields that all too often an electromagnetic machine, designed in accordance with accepted theory, fails to live up to its expectations in practice and this is such a common occurrence that electric motor manufacturers show a marked reluctance to develop radically new machines and prefer instead to innovate the tried and tested designs, 20/10/2011 meister10.htm some of which are over a century old.

This paper also describes how existing doubly excited machines such as alternators and synchronous motors can be converted easily and cheaply to DC motors with a performance at least comparable with their conventional counterparts. Incredibly, therefore, electric machine manufacturers have been making alternators and DC motors for over a century not knowing that one machine, the alternator, the cheapest and easiest to make, could fulfill both roles. However, it should also be borne in mind that a new theory does not make a new design possible, it has always been possible to design the motor described in this paper, it was only theoretical predictions that prevented it from happening sooner.

**Overview of the Electric Motor** 

Electric motors are energy converters converting electrical energy to mechanical energy in two stages, first, electrical energy is converted into magnetic energy and secondly the magnetic energy is converted into mechanical energy. There are

meister10.htm

numerous ways in which these conversions can be achieved and subsequently there are numerous designs of electric motors.

All known electric motors have one property in common, which is that mechanical energy is produced from the interaction between two magnetic fields, a primary field and a secondary field. It is not always obvious that two magnetic fields are involved but closer examination will invariably prove this to be the case. Cases of doubt such as reluctance motors, for example, can often be resolved by considering a coil wound around a component and establishing whether or not an e.m.f. will be induced in the coil.

The primary or main field is produced from a winding connected either directly to the supply or through switches such as brushes or solid state devices. The secondary field can be produced in three different ways:

- a) by induction from the main magnetic field;
- b) by having a second winding connected to the supply;
- c) from a permanent magnet.

Electric motors are often categorised by the method used to produce the fields, motors in which the secondary field is induced from the primary field, for example, are known as induction motors and those that produce a second magnetic from a secondary winding or a permanent magnet are called doubly excited motors.

To design an electric motor from first principles we need to consider three activities:

- 1) producing the main or primary magnetic field,
- 2) producing the secondary magnetic field,

3) producing a mechanical torque from the interaction between the two fields.

#### **The Primary Field**

To provide a continuous rotating torque, the current in the main field winding of every multi-pole electric motor must be interrupted or reversed at the end of each half cycle, and of course with a.c. supplies this is achieved automatically, but in

DC machines the current has to be switched or commutated and this can be accomplished either by mechanical switches such as brushes and commutator, or by electronic switches such as: thyristors and transistors.

At first glance, therefore, a primary field connected to an AC supply would appear to be the "best" main field winding as this would obviate the need for switching devices, and for most domestic and industrial applications this is true, but many applications require a variable speed over a wide range, but since the frequency of the supply is fixed this is not easily achieved with AC motors, and therefore an AC motor has to run at or near-synchronous speed. In general AC motors are constant speed low torque machines.

To produce a variable speed drive with full torque throughout the speed range, the main \_ winding of an electric motor has to be switched when the two fields are in a predetermined position relative to one another, and if we take an extreme case where a high continuous torque is required under stall conditions, then clearly a DC motor offers the best solution.

From the performance viewpoint, conventional doubly excited brushed DC motors are probably the "best" all-round motors since the speed can be varied over a wide range, or, if the application requires, the speed can be maintained constant within fine limits over the full power range by providing incremental control of the field current. By connecting the field windings in series or in parallel or in a mixture of the two, called a compound connection, a very wide range of operating characteristics can be obtained, and, certainly of all the hitherto known motor designs, offers the greatest variety of performance characteristics as well as the highest torque from a given volume.

With so many advantages in its favour, and the ease and the ease and low cost of converting AC supplies to DC, one would expect conventional DC motors to be the most popular drive for both domestic and industrial applications, but, in fact, this is not the case because conventional DC motors suffer from very serious design limitations, which make them expensive to manufacture compared to an AC induction motor, and also the

meister10.htm

brushes and commutator need regular maintenance, which add to operating costs and decrease reliability.

**The Specification** 

From the performance viewpoint the conventional brushed DC motor is the motor to emulate, and the specification for this design is a motor that:

a) will perform as well if not better than a conventional brushed DC motor,

- b) is cheaper to manufacture than conventional DC motors,
- c) is relatively maintenance-free.

To make the machine cheaper to manufacture and reduce maintenance, it is desirable to have the main field on the stator for ease of access to the power supply, otherwise, with the main field on the rotor, brushes will be needed to carry the full load power, and, as well as taking up space, these components require regular maintenance. To provide continuous rotation and to avoid large variations in torque, it is desirable to have a rotating magnetic field on the stator, which Is achieved by switching the stator windings in sequence as in AC motors, and the most efficient way of achieving the effect is with three phase windings. Three phase windings are standard industrial windings, and can also be easily wound on automatic weapons which further reduce production costs.

For a motor operating on a DC supply, the secondary field cannot be induced, and besides, having a separate secondary field allows incremental changes in performance, which is a useful characteristic to have in many applications, and therefore, a separately excited secondary field can be desirable although brushes and slip-rings would be required. Alternatively, the secondary field can be produced from permanent magnets fixed to the rotor. In this design, therefore, the secondary field can be produced by a wound field or permanent magnets to suit the application.

#### **Construction of the Jones Motor**

meister10.htm

# The basic construction of the motor is now described and a typical example is illustrated in Figure 1.



The stator is fabricated from steel laminations having semienclosed slots to accommodate windings, and is wound with three phase windings connected in a wye configuration. The manufacture and cost of the stator is therefore the same as that of a three phase AC motor.
The rotor magnetic field can be produced from either a winding connected to the supply through brushes and slip-rings as in rotating field alternators, or from permanent magnets attached to the rotor as shown in Figure 1.

Hitherto, it has not been possible to commutate large windings such as are found on AC motors because of the very highinduced e.m.f.'s involved. When the current passing through a coil is interrupted, a high voltage is induced in the coil, a phenomenon put to good use in boiler igniters and automobile ignition systems, but in electric motors, generating a spark often leads to catastrophic failure by flashover in brushed DC motors and rupture in solid state devices.

Commutation is effected in brushed DC motors by shortcircuiting the segments to which the coil is connected, and this produces the desired rapid decrease in current and flux in the coil. Unfortunately, in the case of DC motors, this rapid change in magnetic field produces a very undesirable large e.m.f. in the coil proportional to the rate of change of current, and if commutation is not completed by the time the short circuit is

removed, then sparking will occur with consequential damage to the brushes and commutator.

It has been established that sparkless commutation cannot be achieved unless the inductive e.m.f. is limited to about 10 volts per coil and the mean voltage between commutator segments does not exceed about 20 volts. Clearly, these factors impose a severe design limitation on the design of conventional DC motors because even without a factor of safety, a 240v DC motor would require a minimum of 24 segments on the commutator, and since each segment has to carry the full load current, the magnitude of the problem can be appreciated.

These design restrictions are largely overcome in conventional DC motors by producing the main field from several small coils connected to several commutator segments, rather than a few large coils, and in that way, the induced e.m.f. per coil is reduced to a safe value. This solution, however, determines the characteristic rotating armature design of conventional DC motors having a large number of coils connected to several commutator segments, because it would be impractical to have

### a large number of coils on the stator, particularly for large motors.

The question, therefore, arises as to how commutation is going to be achieved in the present design.

**How it Works** 

To answer this question the operating principle of the Jones motor will be described in simple terms with reference to Figure 2 which shows a simple rotating field two pole motor. With the rotor poles near alignment with the stator poles, the stator winding can be energized in one of two directions, either to produce stator poles which attract the rotor poles as shown in Figure 2a, or to produce stator poles which repel the rotor poles as shown in Figure 2b. The question is, "which method will produce the best motor?"



From conventional concepts, the "best" method would be to energize the stator poles to attract the rotor poles, and this conclusion can be established by considering the energy stored in two coils La and Lb having mutual inductance M then the energy stored:

 $E = 1/2 \text{ Lala}^2 + 1/2 \text{ Lblb}^2 + \text{Mlalb}$  (1)

when M=k<sub>v</sub>(LaLb)

20/10/2011

meister10.htm

#### and k = coupling coefficient.

When the coils are energized to attract, the energy (Ea) stored in the circuit is:

```
Ea = 1/2 Lala^{2} + 1/2 Lblb^{2} + Mlalb (2)
```

and the mutual inductance M is a maximum when the poles are in alignment, and consequently, at the end of a cycle, when the force of attraction is a maximum and we need to commutate the windings, the stored energy in the windings is also a maximum. When the coils are energized to attract, therefore, the greater the force of attraction, the greater the energy to be dissipated.

From theoretical considerations, this is a logical conclusion, and it appears that since the electrical energy is a maximum when the coils are attracting, the mechanical energy is also a maximum, and therefore the greater the electrical energy converted, the greater the mechanical energy produced, and the "best" method must be to energize the stator poles to attract the rotor poles as shown in Figure 2a, and all classical electric

meister10.htm

#### motors operate on this principle.

When the coils are energized to repel, the energy stored in the circuit (Er) is:

 $Er = 1/2 Lala^{2} + 1/2 Lblb^{2} - Mlalb$  (3)

which is less than the energy stored when the coils are attracting (Ea) by a factor of 2MIaIb.

Let us now consider the mechanical forces on the rotor. In a practical machine, the stator windings are inserted in slots around the inside diameter of the stator, and when a currentcarrying conductor is placed in a magnetic field, it experiences a force in accordance with the fundamental equation:

F = BI1 (4)

From this equation and indeed from the S.I. definition of the ampere, we can deduce that the magnitude of the force acting on a current-carrying conductor in a magnetic field is the same

meister10.htm

irrespective of the direction of the current.

We therefore have an anomaly, because, as we have seen from the above equation and from the definition of the ampere, the magnitude of the force between two coils is the same whether the coils are attracting or repelling, but the amount of energy required to produce a force of attraction, from equation (2) is much greater than that required to produce a force of repulsion, from equation (3) and therefore, since the function of an electric motor is to produce a torque from the force between two magnetic fields, the "best" method now appears to be a force of repulsion as shown in Figure 2b rather than a force of attraction as shown in Figure 2a.

If the coils are identical and connected in series so that the current of both coils has the same magnitude, then it can be shown that when the coefficient of coupling is unity, the energy is required to produce a force of attraction from equation (2) simplifies to:

 $E_{\text{attraction}} = 2l^2 + 2l^2 = 4l^2$  (5)

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

## and the energy required to produce a force of repulsion from equation (3) simplifies to:

 $E_{repulsion} = 2i^2 - 2i^2 = zero(6)$ 

Another advantage of using repelling fields, is that the mutual inductance is a maximum when the force is a maximum, but this occurs at the beginning of the cycle and not at the end, and also, with repelling fields and assuming unity coefficient, the stored energy is zero, and no matter what magnitude of force we produce by increasing the current, the stored energy will remain zero.

So much for producing a force, but we still have a commutation problem. Or do we? Let us now consider the induced e.m.f.'s, and to simplify the description the magnetic flux produced as a result of current in the stator coil will henceforward be referred to as the stator flux and similarly, the flux produced as a result of current in the rotor coil or from a permanent magnet, will be referred to as the rotor flux.

meister10.htm

With the stator coil de-energized, and the rotor flux threading the stator, the flux in the stator will be referred to as rotor flux, as it originates in the rotor.

Consider the stator winding de-energized and the rotor poles in alignment with the stator poles as shown in Figure 2c. The stator provides a low reluctance path for the rotor flux, and therefore most of the rotor flux locates in the stator.



When the stator winding is energized to repel the rotor, the stator flux makes the stator a high reluctance path for the rotor flux, which is expelled from the stator as shown diagrammatically in Figure 2d. However, the two fields exert a force on one another in the airgap, and an increase in stator flux is accompanied by a reduction in rotor flux, linking the stator, and similarly a decrease in stator flux causes an increase in rotor flux linking the stator winding.

This is an important concept in this invention and indeed to electromagnetic theory, since it is implied that magnetic fields do not expand to infinity as is generally believed, and also that magnetic fields are compressible. These were some of the concepts that the motor was originally designed to demonstrate.

To understand the principles involved, consider the rotor locked in the alignment position and the stator winding energized to, say, 50% current, then an increase in stator current and consequently stator flux is accompanied by an equal reduction in rotor flux linking the stator, and similarly, a decrease in stator current is accompanied by an increase in rotor flux linking the

meister10.htm

stator, because of the reduction in stator flux.

Contending fields and fluxes in the airgap

In practice, the boundary between the fields is in the airgap, and an increase in one field will compress the other, and similarly a decrease in one field will cause the other to expand. These simple concepts are, of course, contrary to electromagnetic theory, and an anathema to modern physicists, but the facts are that each phenomenon described can be proved quite easily by monitoring the e.m.f.'s induced in the windings. However, further discussion of the theory involved is beyond the scope of this paper.

Let us now consider the e.m.f. induced in the stator winding. Again consider the locked rotor position. When the stator current is increased producing more stator flux, the e.m.f. induced in the stator winding, in accordance with Lenz's Law, opposes the applied e.m.f. induced in the stator winding and therefore, acts in a direction which will tend to reduce current in the stator winding. At the same time, the increase in stator flux

causes a decrease in rotor flux linking the stator and, again, from Lenz's law, the e.m.f. induced in the stator winding due to the receding rotor flux will be in a direction which will oppose the change; i.e. in a direction which will cause an increase in current.

#### Annulling the opposing fluxes

The induced e.m.f.'s are therefore in opposite directions, the growth of stator flux producing an opposing e.m.f. and the receding rotor flux producing an assisting e.m.f., and since the change in rotor flux linking the stator winding is caused by changes in the stator flux, the e.m.f.'s induced in the stator are equal and opposite and sum to zero, which allows the stator winding to be interrupted without causing the high inductive e.m.f.'s, which have plagued conventional DC motors for well over a century. For all intents and purposes, therefore, the stator winding of a Jones Motor presents a resistive load to the supply, and the commutation problems associated with commutating DC motors are obviated.

Figures 3 through 11 illustrate how the principle is applied to a practical machine. Figures 3, 6 and 9 show a cross-section through a two-pole motor and the current distribution around the stator with the rotor shown in different positions. In practice, the motor would be connected to the DC supply through an electronic inverter, but for descriptive purposes a mechanical inverter is shown in Figures 4, 7 and 10, which is also a practical solution. Indeed, sparkless commutation has been achieved on a 4Kw two-pole machine, with just two commutator segments and 380 volts between the segments. Figures 5, 8 and 11 show the effective connections to the supply for the different rotor positions of Figures 3, 6 and 9, with the switches omitted for clarity.





Figures 3 to 11.

A mechanical commutator has as many segments as there are field poles, and the machine illustrated has two field poles. The commutator therefore has two segments 6a and 6b in Figure 4. The segments are connected to the terminals of the supply

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

through slip-rings and brushes, which are not shown, with segment 6a connected to the positive terminal and segment 6b to the negative terminal.

Description of the current distribution in the Jones Motor

Three brushes 7a, 7b and 7c are symmetrically distributed around the commutator, and connect the segments to the stator windings; brush 7a is connected to winding 2a, brush 7c is connected to winding 2b, and brush 7c is connected to winding 2c as shown in Figures 4, 7 and 10.

At the instant shown in Figures 3, 4 and 10, the start of winding 2a is connected via brush 7a to the positive segment 6a, the start of winding 2b is also connected to the positive segment 6a via brush 7b, and the start of winding 2c negative as shown in Figure 5.

Current distribution around the stator at this instant is shown in Figure 3. The conductors on one half of the stator carry current in one direction, and those on the other half carry current in the

opposite direction so that two stator poles are formed having a North pole between slots 2 and 3, and a South pole between slots 8 and 9. The stator North pole exerts a force of repulsion in the clockwise direction on the rotor North pole, and the stator South pole exerts a force of repulsion in the clockwise direction on the rotor South pole.

As the rotor and the commutator segments advance in the clockwise direction, brush 7b disengages from segment 6a and makes contact with negative segment 6b. The start of winding 2b is now connected to negative segment 6b via brush 7b, so that at this instant the start of winding 2a is connected to the positive terminal, and the start of windings 2b and 2c connected to the negative terminal as shown in Figure 8. The current distribution around the stator at this instant is illustrated in Figure 6 and again the conductors on one half of the stator carry current in one direction, and those on the other half carry current in the opposite direction so that again two stator poles are formed, a North pole between slots 4 and 5, and a South pole between slots 10 and 11.

The stator North pole exerts a force of repulsion in the clockwise direction on the rotor North pole, and similarly the stator South pole exerts a force of repulsion in the clockwise direction on the rotor South pole, and again the rotor advances in a clockwise direction until brush 7c disengages from segment 6b and makes contact with segment 6a and the cycle is repeated as illustrated in Figures 9, 10 and 11. In this way, the stator poles produced by the three phase windings advance around the stator "pushing" the rotor as illustrated in Figures 3, 6 and 9.

From Figs.3, 6 and 9, it can also be seen that all the stator conductors are energized at any given instant just as in a conventional brushed DC motor, and again like a conventional DC motor, all the conductors are in the secondary magnetic field, and all contribute to producing a torque on the rotor. The torque per amp conductor produced by a motor operating on the Jones principle is, therefore, the same as that produced in conventional DC motors.

The mechanical commutator can be replaced with an electronic commutator or inverter, by switching a three-phase inverter in

meister10.htm

synchronism, and in phase with the rotor position and a diagram of conditions is shown in Figure 12:



Advantages of the Jones Motor - brushless, more power, smaller

The torque per amp conductor produced by the motor is, therefore, the same as that in conventional DC motors, and in an electronically commutated version, the Jones Motor has the advantage that it does not require brushes and commutator, and therefore, a Jones motor will produce the same power from a smaller volume. The design allows the main winding or armature to be the stator component which has the advantages of more conductor diameter and lower winding resistance, and a greater surface area, which increases heat dissipation, all factors which contribute to reducing the size of motor for a given power, and therefore, a motor operating on the Jones principle is substantially smaller than a DC motor of the same rating.

The same is true in the case of conventional brushless DC motors, because in this case only two of the three phases are energized at any given instant, and therefore, the power produced is appreciably less than from a Jones Motor of the same volume, and, as was shown above, when the magnetic fields are attracting, not all of the input energy is utilised to produce a useful mechanical energy output, although the construction is similar and these motors can be operated on the Jones principle. Also, since the back e.m.f. waveform is trapezoidal, conventional brushless DC motors produce a high ripple torque which is a severe disadvantage in applications, otherwise suited to this machine, however, the ripple torgue in a well-designed motor operating on the Jones principle, like its

a.c. counterpart, has been found to be very low and negligible for most applications.

**Performance Characteristics** 

Apart from presenting a resistive load to the supply, producing torque from the reaction between two opposing magnetic fields provides another unique effect. Consider the effect of an increase in field current and consequently of the rotor flux, there will then be a reduction in stator current owing to the increased back e.m.f., but the force exerted on the fields will be greater because of the additional rotor flux. The result is a decrease in stator current and the additional force will either cause an increase in speed if the torque remains constant, or an increase in torque if the speed is maintained constant. The motor, therefore, has the unique characteristic that both speed and torque are proportional to the field current.

This unique property of a motor operating on the Jones principle can be also established from equation (6) which shows that the energy stored in the circuit remains constant irrespective of

changes in current, but in a conventional motor a change in current is accompanied by a change in stored energy in accordance with equation (5) and therefore, in conventional motors only a portion of the input energy is converted to produce useful mechanical energy; the remainder being stored as magnetic energy, which has to be dissipated at the end of each cycle.

The result of this phenomenon is that when the field current is increased, the input power decreases because of the increased back e.m.f., but the output power increases because of the additional force produced between the two fields, and therefore, the efficiency of a Jones Motor is proportional to the field current.

This characteristic, as far as it is known, is unique to motors operating on this principle, known as the Jones principle, and it was to demonstrate this phenomenon that the motor was originally designed. The efficiency of a motor can be increased by increasing the field current, and this phenomenon can be demonstrated until the back e.m.f. exceeds the applied e.m.f. over a portion of the cycle, and the machine acts as a generator. When this occurs, the speed decreases, reducing the back e.m.f., and the machine reverts to motor action again. This cycle occurs in a very short time interval, and to all intents and purposes, the machine speed is constant.

#### **Designing a Jones Motor**

The design and construction of a Jones motor is similar to that of a rotating field three phase alternator, and indeed conventional rotating field alternators can easily be adapted to operate as DC motors operating on the Jones principle.

Typical performance characteristics are shown in the graphs of Figure 13, which are the curves obtained with a 12 volt car alternator which are also three phase rotating field machines. The design of three phase alternators is well known, and it would be useful, therefore, to describe the performance of three phase alternators after conversion to operate as Jones motors, and in this way direct comparisons can be made on cost and performance.



Adapting Three Phase Alternators and cancelling inductive e.m.f.'s

Three phase rotating field alternators are converted to DC motors operating on the Jones principle by simply adding inexpensive, low resolution rotor position sensors and driving the motor through a three phase DC/AC inverter. Three rotor position sensors are required, one for each phase, and the signal from each sensor is connected to the inverter which, in turn, connects the phase winding to either the positive or negative DC

supply terminal depending on the signal from the rotor position sensor.

The rotor position sensors are arranged such that they can be adjusted in relation to the stator, and it is clear from the above that correct phasing is essential to ensure the inductive e.m.f.'s cancel one another. An approximate setting may be established as follows:

1) Energize the field winding.

2) Inject DC current into one phase, making the phase terminal negative, and provide sufficient current to cause the rotor to move into alignment with the stator poles produced by the phase winding.

3) The rotor position sensor is adjusted so that switching occurs at this pole alignment point and the position of the rotor sensor, as well as the phase and sensor, are marked.

4) The sensor is then connected to the inverter, such that the

output of the inverter switches from negative to positive when the rotor is caused to move through this position in the required direction of rotation.

5) Repeat for the other two phases.

6) Connect an anmeter in series with the supply to the stator windings.

The phase sequence of the inverter as determined by the rotor position sensors will now be correct but the switching position may not be the optimum, and high inductive e.m.f.'s can still be produced when switching. It is therefore recommended that initially the motor be energized from a low voltage supply or through resistors to limit current and with the motor running, the rotor position sensor adjusted to give a minimum current reading on the anmeter.

When adjusting the motor at this stage, an incorrect setting can produce a weak field effect reminiscent of series connected DC motors, which will cause both the stator current and the speed

meister10.htm

to increase. This is a condition which should be avoided by ensuring that the sensor is adjusted to the location which gives minimum stator current.

Once this setting has been found, the current can be increased by removing the temporary resistors, or connecting the motor to the normal supply voltage, and loading to full load torque. Again the rotor position sensor is adjusted to give a minimum current. The dip in current is quite pronounced, and is similar to adjusting the field of a synchronous motor from leading to lagging power factor. Once this location has been found, the sensors can be locked in this position as no further adjustment is required.

**Performance Calculations** 

Whether we start with a purpose designed machine or with an alternator, the finished machine will have three parameters which will give a good approximation of its performance as a DC motor:

meister10.htm

1. The back e.m.f. constant, Ke, measured in v rms 1/red 1/see (at a given field current in the case of a wound field machine).

2. The resistance of the stator winding.

3. The iron and mechanical losses due to friction and windage and brush friction, where applicable.

Since it is a DC motor, it is convenient to convert the parameters to their DC equivalent, and we begin with the back e.m.f. The back e.m.f. is measured by driving the machine with another motor and measuring the open-circuit terminal volts (r.m.s. lineto-line), at the rated field current (wound field machine) and the speed of rotation.

### The back e.m.f. constant (Ke) is found from:

 $Ke(AC) = \frac{Volts(rms)line - line}{speed(1/rad 1/sec)} = Vrma 1/rad 1/sec$  (6)

# but since we are interested in the back e.m.f. reflected to the DC supply:

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

Ke(DC) = 1.35 Ke(AC) = V.DC 1/rad 1/ sec (7)

Probably the most important parameter for a DC motor is the torque constant Kt, and as in conventional brushed DC motors, the torque constant and back e.m.f. constant are numerically equal:

Kt = Ke(DC) = Nm 1/amp (8)

The second parameter is the resistance of the windings, and from Figures 5, 8 and 11 it can be seen that at any given instant, the phases are connected in a series parallel combination so that if Rp is the resistance per phase, then the resistance (R) reflected to the DC supply:

R = 1.5 Rp = Ohms (9)

The third parameter is the iron and mechanical losses of the machine, which are the same as for other comparable machines. The performance can then be found from the classical DC equation:

 $\frac{20/10/2011}{I = \frac{V - E}{R} = Amps}$  (10).

Permanent magnet motors - A brief overview

D.A. Kelly Technidyne Associates P.O. Box 11422 CLEARWATER, Florida 34616 United States of America

The quest to develop more efficient means of producing energy has mushroomed in recent years. Continued industrial expansion worldwide, the deleterious impact on the environment of current energy systems and diminishing resources are pressing clean energy solutions. The ideal solution would be a low-, or even a no-power, non-polluting, unlimited resource device that could, upon mass production and deployment, keep up with the growing technology in other fields.

One avenue that is being explored, but without any real tangible success are Permanent Magnet Motors (PMMs).

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

Of course the PMM is, in a sense, a basically simple notion. It involves the idea of placing magnets in such a position that when they repel each other, they create a spinning motion. What still has to be solved, however, is the ability to have this create enough power to be a viable source of energy.

The first documented PMM was built in 1269 by Peter Peregrinus. However, only in the mid 20th century have real gains been made in this research field.

There was a PMM built in the late '40's which used a 4wheel/quad design. Each 18" diameter magnetic wheel weighed about 150 lbs.. A picture of this device is shown (Figure 1). That photograph was published in "Transverse Paraphysics" by J. Gallimore in 1982 and his book gave no text explanation.

In 1954, Lee Bowman of California built a small-scale model PMM (Figure 2). The device consisted of three parallel shafts supported in bearings within end plates secured to a solid base plate. Three gears were secured at one end of each of the three shafts, at a two-to-one ratio, with one larger gear on the central

shaft. At the opposite end, three discs were secured to the shaft ends with one larger disc on the central shaft, and the two equal size smaller discs on the two outer shafts. The discs were also fixed at a two-to-one ratio, the same as the ratios at the opposite shaft ends. Eight Alnico rod permanent magnets were equally spaced on the large one disc, and four magnets each on the two smaller discs, so that they would coincide in position when the three discs were revolved. The elongated Alnico permanent magnets were placed on each of the discs so that they revolved parallel to the shafts, and their ends passed each other with a close air gap of about .005". When the discs were moved by hand, the magnets passing each other were so phased as to be synchronized at each passing position.

meister10.htm



meister10.htm

Figure 1. Table-top, 4-Wheel/Quad design Permanent Magnet Motor.

Estimated construction date: late 1940s to early 1950s, shown in, "Transverse paraphysics", 1982 by J. G. Gallimore (Tesla Book Company). Estimated diameter of each magnetic wheel: 15" to 18". Estimated weight of each wheel 150 lbs.

The operation of the magnetic device required the positioning of a single cylindrical permanent magnet which was placed at an angle relative to the lower quadrant of the end discs. This single magnet acted as the actuator magnet which caused the rotation of the disc by unbalancing the magnetic force of the three magnetic discs.

While these early demonstrations did spark some interest, Bowman never received enough financial support to continue his research and his PMM was eventually dismantled and destroyed.

Probably the best-known attempt at a single-rotor PMM was by Howard Johnson (Patent # 4,151,431). But its failure to reach

any degree of commercial acceptance must be attributed to its natural slow speed of rotation.

Johnson's model was divided into two types of distinct units, one linear and one rotary, with the most interest directed toward the rotary version due to the possibility of it leading to a no-power input generator. However, after considerable effort and exaggerated success reports, it was obvious that the modest torque produced too low HP.



Figure 2. Bowman Permanent Magnet Motor.

The Johnson rotary PMM consists of multiple, equally spaced rectangular permanent magnets secured to a rotor component, with multiple arcuate, or banana-shaped (special) permanent magnets evenly spaced as the stator P/M's causes a positive preponderance of magnetic force vectors to act on the rotor P/M's, thus causing rotation in one direction. The main problem with these special types of P/M's is that it is impossible to get a major preponderance of magnetic force vectors to act the rotor magnets, so that a severe operational tradeoff must be made in order to achieve some degree of positive rotation.

A magnetic force preponderance of around 25% is about all that can be expected as an optimum value for this type of design, and there is very little that can be done to improve on this basic geometric configuration. Some performance improvement and slight cost-reduction can be expected by switching from the high cost samarium-cobalt P/M's to the latest NIB's (neodyniumiron-boron) P/M's but this factor will not overcome the basic deficiencies of this PMM concept. This research thus proved the impracticality of the single-rotor PMM's.

Another PMM was by Kure-Tekko of Japan. The compound P/M-E/M motor function' in a high-speed motor hybrid environment. The unit consists of a high-induction permanent magnet (samarium/cobalt P/M) located within a plain rotor component which is given an initial rotating impulse by a precisely-timed
meister10.htm

# electromagnetic station slightly offset from top-dead center of the stator.



Figure 3. Kure-Tekko type of permanent magnet motor, with top spinner.

Later, there was a modified version of the Kure-Tekko unit, with a top magnetic attraction spinner added. This unit revolves independently of the main rotor to attract each of the rotor magnets and drives them into a small air gap to start each rotational cycle. The spinner is powered by a 12-volt DC motor.

While there is some potential here, and there is a continuous operation of 60 rpm for the main rotor, it cannot be truly considered as completely successful since a major portion of the rotor's torque is from the spinner (and its motor).

Another PMM prototype built in Japan was a large, multi-wheel model built by Kuhei Minato of Tokyo. The interesting feature of Mr. Minato's PMM geometry is that the angling of the magnets on both wheels provides a uniformly shifting attitude between the opposite magnet sets, above and below the wheel centerlines. This uniformly shifting geometry is used to advantage in this concept.



Figure 5. Large multi-wheel prototype by Kouhei Minato of Tokyo.

The most unique feature of the P/M section is the novel uniformly opening spiral path of permanent magnets arrayed as the stator of the unit. This uniformly diminishing repulsive magnetic path directly interacts with the SAM P/M segment, causing a rotational "squeeze" on the rotor, so that it revolves rapidly. Another way to consider the reaction is that the rotor's P/M segment is forced to revolve from a higher, magnetic

meister10.htm

# repulsive potential to a lower one, by natural magnetic potentials.

The specific permanent magnet motor application overcomes one of the serious deficiencies in all previous permanent motor designs, bar none! The usual permanent magnet motor design, such as the Johnson PMM are very much handicapped by low speed operation. However a compound or hybrid E/M P/M unit can result in a significant operating arc over the whole 290 degrees, and this full arcuate motion translates directly into high speed.



Figure 6. Minato's permanent magnet motor. Multi-wheel set up overcomes slow speed problem while torque outputs of rotors become cumulative.

The present dual rotor-spinner PMM concept being developed at Technidyne features the use of a relatively high-speed, motordriven magnetic "spinner" as the input drive means. The spinner concept was first used on the Kure-Tekko PMM unit, but its form is elongated in this design. The unit employs stationary reactive permanent magnet sets in an arc around the lower portion of the main rotor (not shown). These stator magnets assist the

#### <sup>20/10/2011</sup> revolving of the main rotor.



meister10.htm

Figure 7. Dual rotor and spinner permanent magnet motor by Technidyne, Florida. A high-speed motor-driven magnetic spinner is used as input drive means, after the Kure-Tekko system. The goal is to achieve over-efficiency.

Aneutronic energy - Search for nonradioactive nonproliferating

meister10.htm

20/10/2011 nuclear power

Bogdan Maglich The Tesla Foundation Inc. P. O. Box 3037 Princeton, New Jersey 08543 United States of America

Can we design a nuclear power source that -- like Robbie in Asimov's classic tale "I, Robot" -- is preprogrammed never to harm a human?

Can there be a nuclear process whose fuel will never be converted into nuclear weapons?

The recent report (1) of a special committee of the US National Research Council implies that the world may be only one step away from being able to say "yes" to both of these questions. Conclusions of the First International Symposium on Feasibility of Aneutronic Power, held at the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton in the Fall of 1987, suggest that this last step may 20/10/2011 well be imminent.

meister10.htm

What is aneutronic?

Energy-releasing nuclear reactions involving nonradioactive nuclei (both as the reactants and reaction products) and producing no neutrons have been known for half a century. The first one discovered was the fission of lithium-7 by protons, p+7 Li  $> 2 \alpha + 17$  MeV, observed at the Cavendish Lab by Cockroft and Walton in 1932. A number of similar fission and fusion reactions were subsequently found. They can be divided into three classes: fission of light metals by protons, fission of light metals by 3He nuclei, which produces protons, and fusion reactions involving 3He, which produce protons. This is why these reactions have been referred to as the "proton-based fuel cycle." We will refer to them as aneutronic reactions.

We define a nuclear reaction as "aneutronic" if not more than 1% of the total energy released is carried by neutrons and if not more than 1% of the reactants ("fuel") and reaction products ("waste") are radionuclides. The definition is somewhat

arbitrary and serves only as a guideline. Aneutronic reactions are neither conventional fusion. Their final product in all cases is predominantly helium, a nonradioactive inert gas.

Before the 1970's, no effort was made to develop a reactor based on aneutronic fuels as a power source, even though these reactions have the potential to release twice as much power per fuel weight as uranium fission. This neglect was due to the absence of the necessary technology and lack of ecological or political motivations. Owing to the absence of chain reactions, aneutronic power production has no weapon (i.e., explosive) applications, hence there has no military interest either.

Stimulated by the energy crisis, vigorous studies of aneutronic energy processes were begun in the 1970's by one individual (J. Rand McNally, Jr., Oak Ridge) and six groups worldwide. Described as "advanced-fuel fusion" (1-3), the research encompassed a broad class of reactions ranging from the neutronic DD fusion to the pure aneutronic fusion of Helium-3 or fission of light metals by protons. McNally showed that protonand 3He-induced fission of light metals could be made into a

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

chainlike reaction, though different from the uranium fission chain (4). Theoretical studies were carried out at the University of Illinois (5), UCLA (6-8), TRW, the Technical University of Graz, Austria (9), and the University of Buenos Aires (10). Experimental work (1,8,9,11,12), supported by theory, was done by Aneutronic Energy Labs of United Sciences, Inc. at Princeton ("AELabs," formerly known as Fusion Energy Corp. a.k.a. Aneutronix).

In 1978, the Department of Energy's Ad Hoc Committee on Fusion, headed by John Foster of TRW and Burton Richter of SLAC, strongly recommended research on the proton-based cycles as an area "in which significant breakthroughs might be made that might change the whole picture" (13). In 1980, the Department of Energy's Research Advisory Board, led by S. Buchsbaum and involving NASA Director J. Fletcher, M. Goldberger and W. Panofsky, et al., recommended a "strong program on the proton-based cycles." (14)

Between 1972 and 1984, 93% (about \$21.5 million) of the \$23 million in funding for aneutronic research came from the private

sector: EPRI (\$1.5 million) and AELabs, Inc. (\$20 million). Seven percent (\$1.5 million) came from the DOE. EPRI and DOE funding of all advanced fuel research was stopped, however, in 1980, after TRW and UCLA groups concluded jointly that Tokamak-type thermal plasma fusion machines could not burn aneutronic fuels (15).

Independently, an MIT study commissioned by the NSF concluded that (a) DT reactors would not be economically competitive with the next generation of fission reactors, and (b) advanced fuel could not burn in a Maxwellian plasma (16). The revival of interest in this energy source in the mid-1980's resulted from the introduction of new concepts that were borrowed from particle physics research.

Success of the Migma IV experiment

AELabs continued its experimental and theoretical efforts, based on a technique derived from colliding beams known as selfcolliding orbits or migma-plasma (17). Migma-plasma, a nonthermal (non-Maxwellian) system, appeared to be free from

the problems endemic to thermal plasma. It is a hybrid physical state between colliding beams and plasma, but it does not meet the definition of either. In an experiment carried out in 1982, referred to as Migma IV, AELabs demonstrated that a 1-MeV deuteron migma can be neutralized by oscillating electrons and exceed the space charge limit density without instabilities. It was confined for 30 seconds at the fuel densities at which thermal plasma undergoing similar confinement ("simple mirror") breaks down due to disruptive instabilities (18). The technical figure of merit known as the triple product (temperature x confinement time x density) reached 4x10 14 keV per cubic centimeter in Migma IV, exceeding that reached by tokamaks. Fuel density of migma was 1000 times lower than that of the best tokamak but migma's temperature was 100 times higher than that of the best tokamak and its confinement 15 times longer, so that their product is 1,500 times higher than that in tokamak. The migma program had spent \$23 million over 10 years. The Western world has spent \$10 billion on the conventional plasma fusion program over the past 30 years. Describing this breakthrough in an article entitled "Clean

meister10.htm

Nuclear Power?" MIT's Technology Review reported in 1982:

"A growing community of physicists believes it may be possible to develop a type of nuclear power that does not require radioactive fuel and does not produce radioactive waste. Unlike today's fission plants or the fusion plants or the fusion plants generally promoted by government-sponsored research, a nuclear power plant of this sort could not be converted to an atomic bomb factory." (19)

At about the same time, Professor Bruno Coppo of MIT showed theoretically that 3He-based fusion, which is nonradioactive and nearly aneutronic, could ignite in a tokamak (20).

**Reflecting this development, the Senate's Energy Appropriations Committee stated in 1982:** 

"To date, basic research in the field of nuclear fission and fusion has largely overlooked the potential for aneutronic nuclear alternatives using light metals, such as lithium, that produce no radioactive side effects. The Committee recommends that the

meister10.htm

Department of Energy give higher priority to this nonradioactive and nonproliferative nuclear potential. The Department should allocate the funds necessary to conduct a feasibility study to test the conditions necessary to produce a prototype aneutronic reactor, and to fully examine the theoretical principles of self-sustaining aneutronic energy." (21)

The DOE has not responded to the recommendation.

In 1984, Congress asked the Defense Department to undertake a feasibility study of aneutronic energy as a space power source (22). Since an aneutronic reactor would be small and light, unencumbered by massive shielding, it could offer a power source for aerospace, among other applications. Funds for aneutronic energy research were included in the budget for FY 1985. The initial phase of the program sought to establish the concept's feasibility and identify the subsequent steps needed to develop an aneutronic electrical power source for space applications.

# In 1985, the US Air Force contracted Aneutronic Energy

Laboratories of United Sciences, Inc., to conduct \$1 million worth of plasma and energy balance simulation studies using two state-of-the-art CRAY supercomputers. The early results, presented at APS meetings in Washington in May 1986, and in Baltimore in November 1986, indicated that: (a) proton- and 3He-based fission of lithium-6 is indeed a chaining process; (b) in a diamagnetic migma, the scientific power out-to-input ratio Q could exceed 1, as compared to Q  $10^{5}$  in the thermal plasma case; and (c) the 3He+d fusion reactor, as calculated by Coppi, seems feasible with a "scientific"  $Q = 10^{\epsilon}$  and with 100 times less radioactive waste and 20 times less neutron flux than uranium fission or tritium fusion (23,24).

In 1987, encouraged by the initial results of these computer simulation studies, the Air Force-sponsored R&D program calls for \$1.5 million in further feasibility studies for 1987-88, aimed at conceptual point design of an aneutronic reactor for space uses, to be done by AELabs, with Bechtel National, Inc., and others as subcontractors.

In 1986, the US Air Force asked the Air Force Studies Board of

the National Academy of Science to form a Committee on Aneutronic Fusion to "provide an assessment of the merits of pursuing aneutronic research for space-based propulsion applications." The committee report was released in the Fall of 1987.

The NRC report singled out one nuclear reaction that is the borderline case of this definition as most promising and closest to realization: the reactor fueled with a mixture of deuterium and helium-3, which is 1-3% neutronic. We refer to it as "DeHe-3 reactor". The report describes it as "more feasible and attractive for select Air Force applications," and states that, for it, "... no insurmountable technical problems are envisioned" after the "... uncertainty of the physics of the fuel containment" is resolved. According to the report, if "further research" on aneutronic fusion fuels like the mixture of deuterium and light helium ("DeHe-3") should "demonstrate that substantial improvements in plasma lifetimes, density, and energy can be obtained," it would become a "viable option" since "no other insurmountable technical problems are envisioned." This means

that, in the case of aneutronic fusion, its scientific demonstration will be practically the engineering demonstration, because of the use of aneutronic fuels "to reduce neutrons would reduce shielding requirements, radiation damage to materials, and radioactivity." This is in sharp contrast to the ongoing conventional fusion program (tokamak), which is based on radioactive tritium fuel, which is believed to require 30 years from its scientific to engineering demonstration.

The "further research" referred to in the report is the only active laboratory research program of its kind in the world: that of Aneutronic Energy Labs, Princeton, New Jersey, presently working under USAF funding. It has completed four out of five stages of its migma program of aneutronic energy at a cost of \$23,000,000 (see diagram of progress). Its planned fifth and last stage of research is designed exactly to demonstrate the "substantial improvements" cited by the committee as the last step needed to prove the viability. But already in the fourth stage of its research (completed in 1982), the Aneutronic group has exceeded in the technical figure of merit the performance of

meister10.htm

the 30-year-old deuterium tritium fusion program, on which the Western world alone has spent over \$10,000,000,000.

The self-collider or migma device is the only device built, so far, in which the ability to burn aneutronic fuel has been demonstrated. The "uncertainty" referred to in the NRC report arises from the fact that high fuel density is required for net power production, while only low and medium fuel densities were demonstrated in Self-Collider. High fuel densities have been achieved in plasmas but not in a migma; a plasma cannot burn aneutronic fuels because it is not sufficiently hot. Four increase-in-density experiments on self-collider devices were successfully completed by United Sciences, Inc. in the period 1973-82, at a cost of \$23,000,000 (1987 dollars), all of which came from private sources. In these experiments, referred to as Migma I, II, III, and IV, the fuel density increased 100 millionfold (see Diagram of Progress). Another 1000-fold density increase is required for a reactor demonstration.

International symposium on aneutronic power

At the initiative of AELabs, the First International Symposium on the feasibility of Aneutronic Power was held at the Institute of Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ, on September 10-11, 1987. About 100 nuclear and plasma scientists from twenty countries the meeting. The keynote speaker was Professor Murray Gell-Mann of Cal Tech, Nobel Laureate in Physics.

The important results of the Symposium were:

- Independent confirmations of the results of United Sciences were reported by research groups (Japan, Austria, MIT and Science Applications International) obtained under its USAF contract on the aneutronic reactor simulation: that the DeHe-3 reactor is only 0.5% radioactive and 1 -2% neutronic, that is, 200 times less radioactive and 100 times less neutronic than any other nuclear power system, fission or fusion.

- Professor M. Rosenbluth, director of the Center for Fusion Studies, University of Texas at Austin, who is considered to be the nation's leading plasma physicist, has produced a theory of migma stability. The results of his research group were

meister10.htm

### presented at the Symposium.

The symposium proceedings are published as a book entitled "Aneutronic Energy" by the international Journal Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, which has the largest circulation in the nuclear sciences.

Strategic and commercial ramifications of aneutronic power

**A:** Aerospace

(1) Reactor weight. The absence of neutrons and radioactivity obviates the need for shielding. Since the weight of shielding in a nuclear fission reactor is at least 100 times greater than that of the reactor itself, a very large power-to-weight ratio (specific power) is projected: I megawatt per ton versus I megawatt per 100 tons with a uranium reactor (Bechtel's engineering studies are aimed at checking this).

(2) Fuel weight. As in all nuclear systems, aneutronic fuel energy is 100,000 times more concentrated than that of non-

nuclear fuels, and the fuel weight is negligible: one kilogram of an aneutronic fuel such as helium-3 is equivalent to approximately 100 metric tons of fuel oil.

(3) Cost of fuel is projected to be 10% of the cost of uranium, as displayed below:

	Fuel	Supplier	Purity(%)	Cost \$K/kg	Unit Fuel Cost (FBU= 1.0)
					, mil/kw(th) hr
Fusion	D	S.R.L.	99.1	1	0.0008
Conventional Fusion	Т	M.L.	94	7,500	42
Aneutronic Fusion	3He	M.L.	99.9	750	4.5

(4) Fuel availability. Helium-3 exists in nature in small quantities, but it can be bred in the same reactor that it fuels. The US government has 500 kilograms in reserve, which would run 200 space-based reactors for 20 years. Helium-3 is bred,

meister10.htm

and the price quoted is the breeding price. (The proposals to mine it on the moon where it is 10 times more abundant are unnecessary, as any reactor that can burn helium can breed helium from hydrogen).

(5) Plant capital cost per kilowatt capacity is projected to be less than 10% of the fission reactor cost for a large reactor, dropping to 1% for a small reactor (small fission reactors are uneconomical).

(6) Heat loss (waste heat or "heat pollution"). Almost all energy produced in aneutronic reactions is converted into electricity, versus 33% in conventional nuclear reactors. What to do with waste heat with a space reactor is a major technical problem, as the waste heat has nowhere to go (there is no air to conduct it away). An aneutronic plant's waste heat is 10-15% of the total energy generated, while for fission the figure is 67%.

(7) Modular. Units as small as 1 megawatt may be economical; thus a large reactor consisting of many small nuclear power units becomes feasible.

meister10.htm

## **B.** Power supply for radar and telecommunications

The smallest aneutronic power plant (30 KWe), similar in size to the proposed Migma V, would have a wide application: this is the power needed to run a radar or CCC station.

#### **C.** Naval application

The advantage of lightweight aneutronic power production also applies to ship propulsion, where specific power is less critical than in the aerospace case, and where some shielding (allowing some neutronicism) can be tolerated.

### D. Terrestrial applications for utilities

First, an aneutronic reactor can be small, producing 1-10 megawatts of electric power (MWe), while the minimum economical size of a fission or (projected) fusion power plants is about 1000 MWe. Hence, the small nuclear power plant, impossible today, becomes feasible. A small power unit implies mass production, which results in a much lower capital cost per kilowatt of capacity than with large reactors that are built one or two at a time. (Initial capital cost is one of the major barriers to nuclear energy in developing countries and smaller communities of developed countries). Second, there are clear environmental advantages of nonradioactive fuel, nonradioactive waste, and the absence of waste heat (heat pollution).

#### **E.** Nonproliferation

Absence of neutrons means that the aneutronic reactor cannot breed plutonium for nuclear weapons. The weapons proliferation issue has been a second major barrier to the free sale of nuclear power plants to the developing world. The combination of a small power unit (small capital cost) and absence of proliferation restrictions would open the way for American nuclear industry for massive export of power density.

Since radioactive fuel, radioactive waste, heat pollution, and proliferation are the main current environmental and political issues for nuclear power, the implications of aneutronic nuclear energy for the environment are obvious: not only an acceptable

meister10.htm

but an attractive nuclear power technology.

References

1. "First Symposium on Clean Fusion (Advanced Fuel Fusion) 1976". Nuclear Instruments and Methods. 144, p. 1-86. 1977.

2. "Proceedings of the EPRI meeting on Advanced Fuel Fusion, 1978." Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California.

3. Ashworth, C. P.. "A user's perspective on fusion" Part II, 1977. AAAS Meeting, Denver (Pacific Gas and Electric Co., San Francisco). 1977.

4. McNally, J.R. Jr.. Nuclear Fusion. 11. p. 187. 1971; ibid 18, p. 133. 1978.

5. Miley, G. Nuclear Instrument and Methods. 144, p. 9. 1977.

6. Conn, R., et al.. 8th International Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research. Brussels 1-10 July 1980. IAEA-CN-38/v-5.

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

7. Conn, R. and J. Shuy, "p+6 Li ignition and multipoles as advanced fuel cycle reactors". Nuclear Eng. Dept, University of Wisconsin. UWFDM-262. 26 sept. 1978.

8. Dawson, J.. "Advanced fusion reactors". Fusion. Vol. 1,B Academic Press. 1981.

9. Harms, A. and M. Heindler. Acta Phys. Austriaca. 52. p. 201. 1980.

10. Gratton, F. Atomkernenergie (in English) 32. p. 121. 1978.

11. Ferrer, J. et al. Nuclear Instruments and Methods. 157. p. 269. 1978.

12. Maglich, B.. Atomkernenergie (in English). 32. p. 100. 1978.

13. Foster, J.S. et al. "Final Report of the ad hoc experts group on fusion. U.S. Dept. of Energy. Washington, D.C. June 7, 1978. Summarized in Phys. Today. Sept. 1978. p. 85.

14. Buchsbaum, S. J. et al. "Report on the DOE magnetic fusion

meister10.htm

program prepared by the Fusion Review Panel of the Energy Research Advisory Board". August 1980.

15. Gordon, J.D. et al. TRW Energy Division Group TRW-FRE-006 and EPRI RP 1663-1. 1981. (unpubl); S. Tamor, SAIC-85/3005/APPAT-63. 1986. (unpubl).

16. Lidsky, L.D. (MIT). "End product economics and fusion research program priorities". Study prepared for National Science Foundation. 1982.

17. Macek, R. and B. Maglich. "Particle accelerators. 1. p.121. 1970.

18. Salameh, D. Al et al. Physical Review Letters. 54. p. 796. 1985; L. Lara and F. Gratton. Physics of Fluids. 29. p. 2332. 1986.

19. Technology Review. Nov. -Dec. 1982. p. 46.

20. Atzemi, S., B. Coppi, "Comments". Plasma Physics. 6. p. 77.

meister10.htm

1980; B. Coppi. Physica Scripta, T2. p. 590. 1982.

21. 98th Congress, Senate Report 98-153. June 16, 1983.

22. 98th Congress, H.R. Report of the Comm. on Appropriations 98- 1086. p. 240.

23. "Final report of phase 1 aneutronic power source feasibility study and simulation". USAF Contract F49620-85-C-0098. 1986. United Sciences Report UNS-82-042.

24. Bulletin of the American Physical Society. 31. No. 9, 1557-8. 1986. (Baltimore PPD meeting).

LUMELOID\* solar plastic film and LEPCON\* submicron dipolar antennae on glass

\* a registered trademark of Phototherm, Inc.

## Dr. Alvin M. Marks

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

### Advanced Research Development, Inc. 359R Main Street ATHOL, Massachusetts 01331 United States of America

A most profound change in the electric utility industry could be wrought by a commercially available, low-cost, efficient source of electric power from the sun.

Examples of such forthcoming solar energy conversion technologies are the LEPCON\* and LUMELOID\* systems, which are the trademarks of Phototherm, Inc. of Amherst, New Hampshire, a public corporation (OTC), dedicated to the research, development, manufacture and marketing of these products.

Glass panels and plastic sheets of LEPCON\* and LUMELOID\* respectively convert sunlight to electric power with an efficiency of 70 to 80%, at a cost of \$ 0.01 to \$ 0.02 per kwhr. The investment in I square meter of a LEPCON\* glass panel is about \$ 250.00. It produces 500 W of electric power in bright sunlight. The investment then is \$ 0.50/W, spread over a life expected to exceed 25 years.

The investment in LUMELOID\*, a thin, continuously cast polymer film, including electrodes, and lamination to a supporting sheet is about \$ 5/sq m. The investment cost will be \$ 0.01/w, spread over an expected life of 6 to 12 months in strong sunlight.

LEPCON\* panels are particularly applicable to large-scale solar/electric power farms. They may be sited to produce an average of 400 w/sq m or 400 Mw/sq km during the daytime, for example, in New Mexico, Nevada and similar regions where clouds seldom obscure the sun. An area 200 km x 200 km will produce 16 million Mw at \$ 0.01 /kwhr during the daytime hours. Two-thirds of this energy must be stored for use during the dark hours. Electric energy storage technologies are known, and are being developed, which would serve this requirement. This would be enough to supply the electric grid for the entire U.S..

# (\* a registered trademark of Phototherm, Inc.)

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

Alternatively, LUMELOID Sheets will be utilized by many consumers of electric power to produce their own electric power. Such sheets may be installed on roofs or building sides, and connected through an electric storage device and an AC/DC inverter to directly provide electric power for all domestic needs at a few cents per kwhr. Excess electric power may be fed into the grid and charged to the local electric company, which will provide standby power to the consumer. The existing electric power grid will be essential, however, for industry and urban use, particularly in those areas where the sunlight is frequently obscured by clouds.

The economic implications

To totally convert the electric utility industry to solar electric power farms using LEPCON\* panels will require an investment of trillions of dollars over many years. The economic and health benefits to the nation will be enormous:

#### **1.** Lower energy costs

meister10.htm

### 2. Elimination of nuclear hazards

3. Elimination of the need to burn coal or oil fuel, thus diminishing air pollution, and preventing a disastrous Greenhouse Effect.

4. Decreased dependence on foreign oil imports, with consequent improvement in our balance of trade and reduction of the federal deficit.

5. A substantial increase in useful employment on a vast longterm project, which will enable a cutback in the funding of the wasteful military industrial complex.

6. If the electric utility industry becomes involved, as it must, then it can benefit from the large profits to be made in this huge endeavour. To start, it must provide the funds for the R & D, manufacturing facilities and the installation of the LEPCON\* and LUMELOID\* technologies.

# The Technologies

meister10.htm

Figure 1 shows a conventional metal-insulator-metal (MIM) tunnel diode, in which two dissimilar metals are separated by a small gap of about 30 Angstroms. Electrons can pass easily in one direction but not in reverse. Each metal has a different work function or natural electric barrier surrounding the metal. An electron moves readily in a metal, as though it were in empty space, but it bounces off a wall of the metal due to the potential barrier at the wall.



# Figure 2 shows a potential diagram for an MIM diode.



Figure 2. Potential diagram for MIM (metal-insulator-metal)

Figure 3 illustrates a quantum property of electrons known as "tunnelling". As an electron approaches a barrier with a small insulating gap, and an electric potential difference across it, it is either transmitted or reflected across the gap without loss of energy. In an MIM diode the electron can move more readily in one direction than in the other.


Figure 3. Quantum-mechanical tunnel penetration of a barrier. A plot of potential energy versus distance for a symmetrical rectangular barrier. E is the kinetic energy of the approaching particle; V is the barrier height above the particle energy; b is the barrier width.

Figure 4 shows a submicron rectenna element of an array in a LEPCON\* panel. This element is also known as an "antenna-well

20/10/2011



Figure 4. Submicron rectenna element, or "antenna-well diode"

The light photon has an electric field with its direction at right

20/10/2011

angles to the light ray direction. The photon energy is totally absorbed by an electron in a metal strip. The photon transfers its energy without loss to the electron as kinetic energy in the mostly empty space in the metal. The electron moves parallel to the electric vector of the light, to the right or to the left. If the electron moves to the right, it bounces off the high-potential barrier at the wall, and the moves to the left. So all the electrons eventually approach the tunnel diode on the left, where the electron is either transmitted or reflected without energy loss, as shown in Figure 3; all electrons being eventually transmitted through the tunnel diode without energy loss. However, a potential difference across the diode will convert the kinetic energy to electric energy which will just equal the photon energy. Thus the light photon energy is converted to electric energy without loss.

This differs from the conventional photovoltaic device, which requires that the electron move parallel to the light beam into a semiconductor layer, which it can only do after losing energy, and so the photovoltaic devices are fundamentally flawed. There are also present many low energy (thermal) electrons in the metal strip which do not take part in this energy conversion except to provide an extra electron for photon-electron interaction; and from another part of the circuit to replace the electrons being transmitted through the diode. In bight sunlight, about one photon-electron energy conversion will occur on the average of every nanosec.

Figure 5 shows a LEPCON\* Series-Parallel configuration. Light is resolved into two electric vectors; a first electric vector parallel to the array axis is totally absorbed and converted to electric power; and a second electric vector normal to the array axis is totally transmitted as polarized light. Previous work with polarized light materials and microwave rectannae arrays shows the system to be about 80% efficient. In this system, 40% of the light is then converted to electric power by the antenna array, and 40% is transmitted. The transmitted light may be passed through a second LEPCON\* array at right angle to the first, which will convert 80% of the transmitted component to electric power, thus converting a total of 72% of the incident light to

## electric power.



Figure 5. Series-parallel configuration

Figure 6 shows how a single LEPCON\* array may be used in combination with a quarter-wave retardation sheet and light-reflecting layer to accomplish the complete conversion of the incident light to electric power at about the same efficiency.



Figure 6. Array with retardation, sheet

#### LUMELOID\*

A LUMELOID\* sheet is a light/electric power converter. The sheet is a thin (8 micrometers, or .0003") polymeric film. The polymer film is prepared by a method similar to that now employed commercially in the manufacture of polarized film, and using much the same equipment, but with a different chemistry,

#### meister10.htm

## and with electrodes embedded in the film to gather electric power.

Recent work in the field of photosynthesis in green plants has resulted in the synthetic chemicals which mimic the natural process. In the plant the photosynthetic chemical comprises an antenna which is similar to a long-chain carbon molecule, known as polyacetylene. This is attached to an electron donor acceptor complex, here shown as a large ring and a small ring, respectively known as porphyrin and quinone. The long-chain molecule acts as a conductive antenna, which resolves and converts one-half of the photon energy to electron energy, and transmits the other one-half, as described above for the LEPCON\*. The electron energy is stored on the large donor ring, and transmitted by tunneling across a small gap which is the insulating chain of carbon atoms, between the large donor ring and the small acceptor ring. The small acceptor ring is then holding the electron at a greater potential, than at the start. So far this is analogous to the LEPCON\*.

Figure 8 shows an energy diagram typical of a Donor-Acceptor

meister10.htm

Complex, which is analogous to the energy diagram shown in Figure 2 for a LEPCON\*.



Figure 8. Energy diagram of a typical Donor-Acceptor Complex

#### meister10.htm

However, in the green plant, natural photosynthesis uses the electric energy it has stored on the acceptor to drive the chemical synthesis of the carbohydrates and other complex chemicals in the living cell.

Figures 9 to 12 inclusive show the similarities and differences of LUMELOID\* compared to natural photosynthesis. The basic difference is that the chemical synthesis step of the natural photosynthesis process is eliminated, and an entire photosynthetic molecule such as is shown in Figure 7 is connected head-to-tail to another such molecule. This is shown in Figure 12, where the long-chain conductor molecules (52) and the donor-acceptor molecular diodes (53), are oriented parallel to each other and connected head-to-tail within the polymer sheet.



meister10.htm

**Figure 7. A synthetic molecule which mimics photosynthesis** 

Figure 9 shows a cross-section of the polymer sheet parallel to the long axis of the photosynthetic molecule. Electrodes 41 and 42 are shown in Figures 9, 11 and 12, connecting the electric power output to the load 75. The light power input is represented by the photon 2, and the direction of the resolved electric vector is along the X-axis.





Figure 10.

meister10.htm





Figure 12.

Figure 10 is a cross-section through the XOZ plane. The conductive chains are shown as large dots.

The manufacturing process

The manufacturing process resembles the conventional commercial manufacture of polarizing film. In Stage 1, a viscous polymer solution is made with these chemical constituents of polarizing film:

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

- 1) Solvent molecules;
- 2) long-chain polymer molecules;
- 3) iodine molecules;

4) cross-linking chemicals to tie the chains in a bundle after they are aligned;

5) (OH) groups on the side of the polymer chains to react with the cross-linkers.

In Stage 2, the polymer solution is cast on a moving belt of a non-reactant metal, partially dried to eliminate most of the solvent, and stretch oriented. The result is that the polymer chains are drawn parallel and the cross-linkers hold them that way. The separate iodine molecules now crystallize in the spaces between the parallel chains forming a linear electrical conductor. These react with light photons as described above, only in this case, since polarizers lack molecular diodes and electrodes, the electric power is dissipated internally as heat.

Figure 6 shows the first step in the manufacture of a LUMELOID\* film, which is the preparation of the polymer solution similar to that used in the manufacturing process. In this case, however,

there is a constituent No. 6 added: the molecular diode. When a molecular diode is exposed to light, its electric charges separate and it acquires a dipole moment; that is, experiences a torque to align it parallel to the direction of the applied electric field.

The final stage in the manufacture of the LUMELOID\* is similar to that described for polarizing film, except the additional steps of simultaneously illuminating the film and applying an electric field are utilized in the stretching step, and the electrodes are then subsequently applied.

#### References

The following US Patents include extensive bibliographies: 4,445,050 (LEPCON\*) and 4,574,161 (LUMELOID\*). Additional patents have been filed, which will issue in due course, in the US and foreign countries, which contain additional references.

Charged aerosol air purifiers for the suppression of acid rain

Alvin M. Marks

### Advanced Research Development, Inc. 359R Main Street ATHOL, Massachusetts 01331 United States of America

The emission of acid fumes from the combustion of fossil fuels has led to "Acid Rain". The emissions comprise fumes containing sulphur and nitrogen oxides which are converted to sulphuric and nitric acid, organic and metal carcinogens, solid and liquid particulates and carbon dioxide. The fumes originate in the industrial areas of the US and are carried by the wind to other states and to Canada, with a detrimental effect on the environment and health. The increase in carbon dioxide and other chemicals is causing a "Greenhouse effect", with a general warming of the climate of the Earth and possibly disastrous effects on agriculture. Moreover, the ozone layer is being depleted, and harmful ultraviolet rays from the Sun is causing an increase in skin cancer. "Acid Rain" does the most visible damage to trees, lakes, fish, and other wildlife. In recent years, the public has become aware of these problems, and is now

meister10.htm

# aroused. Political action is demanded to clean up this air pollution.

However, proposed methods for the elimination of acid rain and other pollutants have been to costly, or ineffective, and this has impeded progress on the cleanup.

During the early 1940's I became interested in charged aerosols as a means for the direct conversion of the heat-kinetic/power to electric power in a moving gas stream. A result of many years of R & D on charged aerosols are an efficient means to cleanup air pollution. In 1965, 1 appeared before the Los Angeles Air Pollution Control Board and suggested that the smog afflicting that city could be eliminated by a charged aerosol spray from airplanes (6.3.1). In 1967, dramatic demonstrations of the charged aerosol air purifier were made to the predecessor of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Cincinnati Air Pollution Control Agency, and to the U.S. Senate, fully reported in the extensive testimony of record (10). After all this effort, no action was taken, until in the late 1970's there was a large-scale use by an industrial giant (TRW, Inc.) using micron-sized (not

submicron) charged droplets. Many electric power and chemical plants in the U.S., Japan and probably Canada and elsewhere were equipped with large-scale charged aerosol purifiers, resulting in millions of dollars in sales. I endeavoured to collect a royalty without success, because litigation was prohibitive. TRW designed equipment using a charged droplet with too large a diameter. The surface area of the droplet was too small, thus decreasing its absorption effectiveness.

On March 31, 1970, U.S. Patent 3,503,704 was granted to Alvin M. Marks, entitled: "Method and apparatus for suppressing fumes with charged aerosols" for air purification and other uses. Droplets from a capillary tube are passed through an electric field having an intensity just below breakdown. The droplets are thereby broken into minute particles and produce a fine spray. The large surface area of the spray effectively absorbs noxious gases. A simple device was invented based on this principle which should be placed on the smokestacks of every home, building and factory to eliminate noxious fumes at their source. It can be made in small manufacturing facilities, and its use

should be mandated by law (2). When a charged aerosol source is placed in a moving gas stream, electric power can be generated in excess of that needed to operate the apparatus. A flow of clean air may be introduced around the capillary tube and charging electrode to avoid fouling and shorting (3). High temperature gases in another arrangement are first partially cooled before introduction to the charged aerosol.

Experimental work on charged aerosol air purifiers is reported (3). A flow of noxious gases and particulates is passed through a conduit pipe. One or more ring-shaped electrodes are mounted downstream of the capillary tubes, and an intense electric field is applied between the capillary and the ring electrodes. A charged aerosol comprising monopolar charged liquid droplets passes through the ring electrode and mixes with the stream of noxious gas. The charged aerosol droplets have a very great surface area and rapidly and substantially completely absorb the noxious gases. As the liquid droplets progress through the conduit, they mutually repel each other by reason of their like charge, and coalesce upon the inner walls of the conduit from

which they are collected as a liquid, together with the entrained and absorbed pollutants. Various apparatus employing charged aerosols, mathematical physics equations relating the variables, operating conditions and ranges to achieve high efficiency are derived, and the parameters experimentally evaluated.

It is shown that two charged aerosol air purifiers can be used simultaneously, interconnected as electric generators, so the electric power required to operate the devices is obtained from the gas stream and so is free (3).

Why are charged aerosols so effective, when other methods are relatively ineffective? Because by charging the water droplets, they break up into submicron droplets with over 10,000 times the surface area of the volume of uncharged water droplets; this greatly increases the speed and the amount of noxious gases and particulates absorbed and reacted. Alkaline reactants may be included in the submicron water droplets to neutralize the acid in the noxious gases. The alkaline reacts with sequesters and renders harmless the noxious gases. In stationary air purifiers, chemicals of considerable value may be recovered

meister10.htm

#### from the reactants.

Charged aerosol purifiers are simple, low-cost and effective means to eliminate "Acid Rain" which should be attached to every stack or chimney exhausting noxious gases.

#### **Explanation of the Figures**

Figure 1 a is a cross-section of a simple charged aerosol air purifier (CAAP). It comprises a single grounded capillary tube mounted axially within a tube. An input of polluted air into the tube passes through a ring electrode charged to about 6 kv (+ or -). When a jet of fluid (water, water solution or suspension) issues from the capillary tube, a spray of submicron monocharged droplets is produced into the polluted air. The charged droplets have an enormous surface area which quickly absorb with the gas and particulates. The charged droplets and their load of pollutants repel each other and deposit on the wall of the tube where they coalesce to a liquid film which runs off into a waste container. Only clean air is emitted (1).



Figure 1 b is a sectional view of a CAAP mounted on the top of a chimney. The chimney could be on a house burning a fuel such as wood which produces a polluted effluent. The upward flow of

meister10.htm

the polluted air is redirected downward and passes through a plurality of capillaries which produces a charged aerosol spray. The charged droplets containing the pollutants collect on the wall of the bottom container and run off as waste fluid. Clean air is drawn upward through as central tube aided by a small fan if necessary (2).



Figure 2 shows an airplane with two charged aerosol air purifiers, each mounted under one wing. One or more tanks are

meister10.htm

provided to hold a supply of alkaline water. Calcium hydroxide may be used as alkali.

However, due to its low solubility in water (7), to obtain a high concentration (for example, 20%), a calcium hydroxide sol should be employed (8). Calcium hydroxide is often used as a fertilizer; and, when reacted with nitrogen oxides produces other fertilizers such as calcium nitrate. Calcium sulphate and calcium sulphite also precipitate, and may be refined to provide pure sulphur. Hence the waste effluent recovered from stationary CAAP may have considerable commercial value. The CAAP with calcium hydroxide in a charged aerosol droplet will react with CO<sub>2</sub> in the air and form insoluble calcium carbonate, which precipitates the water solution.

Calcium oxide is a major industrial chemical. In the commercial manufacture of calcium oxide, carbon dioxide is given off when calcium carbonate rock is heated (9). This CO<sub>2</sub> goes into the atmosphere and contributes to the Greenhouse Effect. The trend could be reversed if this carbon dioxide were absorbed in

### greenhouses where useful plants are grown at accelerated rates due to a high concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> or if other processes utilizing it in organic synthesis were incorporated in the cycle.



In Figure 2, a positive (left) and a negative (right) charged aerosols are produced and meet in the atmosphere downstream of the airplane, where they may partially or wholly neutralize the droplets.

Alternatively, it may be advantageous to produce charged aerosols of one charge only. When sprayed over foliage, the charged aerosol particles are attracted to any surface, top or bottom, and may be effective in neutralizing deposited acid on such surfaces. In this case charged aerosol of one sign may be emitted but the airplane will become oppositely charged and must be periodically or continuously discharged to the atmosphere. This may be done, for example, by ion-emitting points. The ion-emitting points may be located at a distance from the charged aerosol source on the wings or fuselage, or in a wire which may trail at a considerable distance. Another method is to alternately emit a positive- and a negative-charged aerosol from the same source (not shown).

meister10.htm

Previous experimental work on the Charged Aerosol Wind/Electric Generator Project (4) resulted in a single capillary design suitable for laboratory tests. From this test device the data shown in Figure 3 were obtained, showing that capillaries made by a photo-lithographic process in a 12  $\mu$ m thick stainless plate were suitable, and would result in excellent efficiencies of 70% with low water pressures of less than 5 psig.



Figure 4 shows a charged aerosol source comprising a plurality of capillaries formed in thin stainless steel plates; and, Figures 5a and 5b show front and cross-sectional side views. Figure 6 shows a plurality of charged aerosol sources mounted in one

meister10.htm

square meter frames, which may be suspended under the airplane (instead of the simple, single capillary shown in Fig. 2).

Calculations were based on these assumed conditions: 1) A spray of 1000 kg of 20% calcium hydroxide suspension in water, to cover one square kilometer of ground or lake; 2) an airplane traveling at 200 m/s; 3) the spray covers a width of 25 m. The results of a calculation shows: About 200 s (3.4 min.) is required to deposit 200 mg/sq m of Ca(OH)2. The charged aerosol is emitted at the rate of 5 cubic meters at 5 psig pressure 33,000 newtons/sq m (Pascals). The array which may comprise 75 Em orifices shown in Figure 6.



In this example about 25 KW of electric power is required by the pumps and electrical system. The electric power may be supplied by a charged aerosol generator placed downstream of the charged aerosol jets, as shown in Figure 2. The example is illustrative. The parameters may be modified by actual environmental data on acid rain.

#### References

1. U.S. Patent 3,503,704 issued to Alvin M. Marks on March 31, 1970. "Method and apparatus for suppressing fumes with charged aerosols"

2. U.S. Patent 3,502,662 issued to Alvin M. Marks on July 14, 1970. "Smokestack aerosol gas purifier".

3. U.S. Patent 3,960,505 issued to Alvin M. Marks on June 1, 1976. "Electrostatic air purifier using charged droplets".

4. Marks, Alvin M.. "A charged aerosol wind/electric power generator using induction electric charging with a microwater jet". 17th IECEC Proceedings. 1982.

5. List of 18 patents in field of charge aerosols.

6. Additional information on: papers, Patents and publicity, by categories:

.1 Wind/Electric Power Generator

.1.1 ibid 4.

.1.2 Science and Mechanics. Winter 1980, Cover Story

"Incredible power fence" by James Hyypea.

.1.3 New York Times, January 25, 1984, "Generating low cost electricity"

.1.4 Patents: 4,206,396 and 4,443,248

.2 Heat/Electric (Tin-Aerosol) Generator

.2.1 17th IECEC Proceedings, 1982 "An Electrothermodynamic Ericsson Cycle heat/electric generator" by Alvin M. Marks. .2.2 Science and Mechanics. September-October 1983. "Amazing tin aerosol generator" by James Hyypea

.2.3 Patents 4,395,648, 4,523,112 and 4,677,326
.2.4 19th IECEC Proceedings. 1984. "Electrothermodynamic equations of a charged aerosol generator"

.3 Charged Aerosol Air Purifier

.3.1 Los Angeles Herald-Examiner, January 25, 1965. "Instant rain to kill smog"

.3.2 Modern Plastics Magazine, August 1970. "More help for solid-waste disposability"

.3.3 Chemical Engineering. August 21, 1972.

.3.4 Patents 3,503,704, 3,520,662 and 3,960,505

7. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. 65th Ed. 1984-1985, Page B 82, No. c 124, Calcium Hydroxide Solubility in Water": 0.186 g/100 g of water at 0 C; 0.077 at 100 C. Molecular Weight 74.09.

8. The Merck Index Tenth Edition. 1983. p. 1657. No. 1663, Calcium Oxide.

9. General Chemistry, 2nd Edition. McQuarrie and Rock, W.H. Freeman and Company. p. 120-1. meister10.htm

10. Hearings before the Subcommittee on Antitrust and Monopoly of the Committee on the Judiciary, United States Senate, Ninetieth Congress First Session Pursuant to S. Res. 26; Part 6; "New technologies and concentration", October 2,3,4,6, 1967; Testimony of Alvin M. Marks, p 3340-60; on the air purifier, illustrations p 3352-53; discussion p 3360.

Clean engines - A combination of advanced materials and a new engine design

James E. Smith Randolph A. Churchill Jacky Prucz West Virginia University MORGANTOWN, West Virginia 26506 United States of America

The initial concept behind the Stiller-Smith Engine was stimulated by a children's toy called a "do-nothing" machine. The toy is a simple wooden block divided into quarters by two grooves through which two small wooden pieces slide when the

meister10.htm

handle is turned (Figure 1). If the linkage that constitutes the handle is rotated at constant angular velocity the action of the sliders is sinusoidal, linear motion.

The "do-nothing" machine is in essence a kinematic inversion of a Scotch yoke often called an eliptic trammel. Or, in Beyer (1) it is referred to as a double slider or swinging cross slider crank device (Figure 2). The principal of this device was used by Leonardo da Vinci as his "oval-former" to shape and cut ellipses. Further work on identifying the motion of this device was described in 1875 by Reuleaux (2) and also by Prechtel (3).

To understand the Stiller-Smith Mechanism first consider the motion of the double-cross slider. Figure 2 is a pictorial representation illustrating that the center point of the crossslider link travels in a circular path.

Previous attempts to utilize a double-cross slider took advantage of this translation component. Figure 2 further indicates how the area around point B rotates in a direction counter to that of the translation. It is this rotational

meister10.htm

phenomenon which is harnessed by the Stiller-Smith Mechanism. Note that all points on the connecting "bar" travel in an ellipse; point B being unique, travels in a circle.

#### **Engine description**

Though the Stiller-Smith Mechanism is planar and the resulting engine has planar characteristics, there is a certain 3dimensionality to the actual construction. The mechanism may be used in a number of configurations, however, the fundamental version involves four cylinders in a cruciform layout. Each piston is directly connected to another piston across from it via a non-articulating connecting rod. This arrangement promotes an efficient exhaust cycle opposite the firing cylinder. The two connecting rods are normally perpendicular to each other (Figure 3) (4). meister10.htm



meister10.htm





Figure 3. Engine component layout model

At the center of each rod is a yoke for a radial bearing. The trammel link is now a circular gear with pins protruding, one in each direction. This lets the "floating gear" sit between the two connecting rods with a pin in each bearing/yoke. Output shafts are placed in opposite corners from each other with eccentrically mounted gears meshed with the floating gear. Figure 4 illustrates this layout as it appears in the actual

20/10/2011 **prototype.** 

meister10.htm



Figure 4. Stiller-Smith engine prototype floating gear mechanism

The block has linear bearings for the connecting rods to pass through which serve to isolate the combustion process from the mechanism. They also provide a scavenging area behind each piston for two-cycle operation, if preferred. (Two-cycle cylinders were easily adapted to the prototype shown by bolting "off-theshelf" cylinders onto the square block.) The completed engine with mounts and test stand is shown in Figure 5. The engine is in an upright configuration to facilitate testing but it may also be placed and oriented in a variety of positions both vertical and horizontal.

#### meister10.htm



Figure 5. Stiller-Smith engine prototype.

#### **Device advantages**

Exciting advantages are predicted using this mechanism in an internal combustion engine. Performance reliability and user familiarity must first be developed before large-scale commercialization can be realized. Until that time this type of engine may find application in research fields as a test frame for materials or other engine systems (5). Potential advantages of this device can be summarized as follows:

# **Table 1. Potential Advantages**

1.	Increased tap/weight ratio
2.	Fewer moving parts
3.	Improved balancing characteristics
4.	Sinusoidal piston motion
5.	Orientation variability
6.	Isolated combustion/motion conversion processes
7.	Non-articulating connecting rod
8.	Improved ignition delay characteristics
0	Common ality of common anto

# 10. Decreased maintenance/down-time

These potential advantages are viewed as fundamental requirements for improvements in efficiency and in the processes relating to environmental improvements. Being lighter and smaller reduces vehicle size requirements and decreases the fuel consumption rates. The utilization of some of the specialty materials will allow for higher combustion chamber temperatures and thus the use of heavier or multiple fuels. In addition to the end-use benefits derived by the consumer using this engine, the user-transparent expenses (both monetary and environmental) of producing the engine and the fuels to operate it would be greatly reduced.

To make an engine of this type, or any engine, effective in a clean energy environment it must be able to operate reliably at its maximum efficiency. To achieve this goal new materials and techniques must be adopted. The following section briefly details some of the materials and processes that will be used in the future to maximize the effective use of fuel resources.

meister10.htm

## **Ceramic materials**

Tremendous achievements in materials science have been presented for several years; no longer is a designer restrained to using simple irons and metals. New materials, however, both complicate and enhance the design procedure. The breadth of material possibilities and speed of new material introduction makes it difficult to remain up-to-date with available products. With this expansive selection though comes the possibility of vastly improving current products and promoting new ones. Plastics, polymers, ceramics, composites, and even organic materials are quickly being used in more applications.

The application of ceramic materials to internal combustion engines has become an important research and production strategy. High insulating characteristics of these ceramics are tested in an attempt to reduce the heat transfer away from the hot combustion gases. Ceramics have other advantages such as lower density which decreases moving mass. (This eases valve train requirements.)

Progress in raising combustion temperatures in the early days of engine design was restricted by the limitations of cast irons and other construction materials. Thick walled combustion chambers were built to conduct heat away from the burning gases in the cylinder. Little else was accomplished in the fields of reducing heat transfer and raising engine cylinder temperatures until after World War II. Materials that were then examined included glass derivatives and others thought to have low thermal conductivities (6). Glass has excellent insulating qualities, low expansion ratios, low cost, but unfortunately lacks sufficient strength for engines. Interest in glass (ceramic) coatings for engine components dates back to the 1950's (7). Some ceramics have been used in engines in small quantities, for example spark plug cases. (These act as an electrical insulator more than a thermal insulator.)

Not until the mid 1970's was significant progress made in combustion chamber materials. At this time compounds of silicon-carbide (SIC) and silicon-nitride (Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>) were made and used in cylinder construction. In Table 2 are listed some popular

meister10.htm

engine materials and their properties (8).

For example, SiC is a common abrasive used industrially. Advantages of SiC include good wear, half the density of steel (reduced inertia), high temperature capabilities (sintered at 2200 C), low coefficient of friction, low cost fabrication, good corrosion resistance (9). Disadvantages are the material's brittleness, notch sensitivity, 18 % shrinking during sintering. SiC also is a poor insulator causing large induced thermal stresses. It is used in some high temperature internal combustion engine applications and is to some degree successful. Efforts with SiC now center on monolithic structures (one piece construction) because of their low cost and ease of manufacturing.

## Table 2. Engine structural and insulating materials

		Ultimate Flexure Strength	Den	Young's Modulus 1260^K	Coeff of Therm Exp. 300- 1260^K	Coeff of Therm Cond		
	Material	MPa	a/cc	GP3	10 <sup>-€</sup> ^K	W/m^K		
D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe//meister10.htm 51								

20/10/2011			meister10.htm				
		יכ		10			
Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	300	3.1	300	3.2	12		
SiC	450	3.15	400	4.5	40		
AMS	20	2.2	12	0.6	1		
ZrO2	300	5.7	200	9.8	2.5		
Al <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> ,	20	3.2	23	3.0	2		
TiO <sub>2</sub>							
Cast	275	7.6	85	10	75		
Iron							

Silicon Nitride is still a popular constituent for engines and is currently being investigated by GTE laboratories (10). GTE has developed a composite structure which is a silicon nitride matrix with a silicon carbide whisker component. Such structure should decrease ceramic brittleness in engines. Increased resistance to cracking and breaking are advantages of a whisker reinforced material over a non-reinforced one. As with SiC the low densities of these materials make them especially adaptable to reciprocating or oscillating parts such as pistons and valves.

meister10.htm

Aluminium titanate  $(Al_2O_3, TiO_2)$  has a desirable low thermal conductivity. However the low strength of the material requires that a supporting base material be used such as a metallic substrate. The low density of this material makes it a desirable material for oscillating parts where component mass is an a important consideration. Piston inserts and exhaust system liners are good applications of aluminum titanate.

Aluminum magnesium silicate (AMS) is another candidate that has a low coefficient of thermal expansion but poor strength. A particularly low coefficient of thermal expansion and a high resistance to thermal shock make this material applicable to situations of transient changing thermal loads but not mechanical loads. Difficulty in joining with metal structure would be encountered due to large discrepancies in expansion coefficients.

Another ceramic application, although not shown in the table, should be presented. That is the use of ceramic fibers of aluminum oxide as reinforcing material in nonferrous metals meister10.htm

(11). Fiber quantities of 35-40% by volume in cast aluminum parts show rigidity improvements of three or four times the conventional. The problem with this concept is production cost.

Opinions differ slightly on what the desired requirements should be for new materials in engine components. The largest discrepancies are in thermal conductivity. Some researchers like the concept of high conductivity and others low (12,13). Another consideration is the thermal capacity of the material. Ceramics have about half the capacity of metal substance (11). This is possible because of the lower density and conductivity. Lower thermal conductance means that less heat is dissipated into the metal structure from a ceramic lining or insert. A ceramic combustion chamber surface will reach cyclic operating conditions faster than a similar metal surface.

Zirconia is a ceramic material that has very low thermal conductivity values, good strength, thermal expansion coefficients similar to metals, and is able to withstand much higher temperatures than metals. Full stabilization of zirconia

meister10.htm

# can be accomplished with the addition of CaO, MgO, or $Y_2O_3$ .

Alloys with 20% yttria or 5% calcia create fully stabilized zirconia with good thermal coefficients of expansion (14). Unfortunately, these tend to crack and spell off quickly. Resistance of yttria to fuel impurities is low which decreases its reliability in an engine cylinder environment.

Magnesium or nickel have been added to PSZ to improve strength and ductility characteristics respectively. Magnesia partially stabilized zirconia (MgPSZ) has a thermal expansion coefficient and elastic modulus close to that of iron and steel and is suitable for liners, valve guides and seats, hot plates, tappet inserts, and piston caps in the engine cylinder. MgPSZ is made of 20-24% magnesia. This has the highest fracture toughness of all the PSZ materials yet developed. Curing of MgPSZ is performed at 1700 C with an after density of 5.70-5.76g/cubic cm.

Nickel PSZ has been developed in hopes of producing a material that is more ductile than other ceramics. In the harsh transient

atmospheres of an IC engine this quality will help compensate for the induced thermal and mechanical stresses. Nickel acts as a spherical ball bearing on the molecular level, due to its size, that allows the molecules of the material to slide over each other.(15,16) This decreases the possibilities of sudden brittle failure.

Another promising material is Syalon (Si-Al-O-N) ceramics systems of silicon, aluminum, oxygen, and nitrogen (17). Silicon Nitride mentioned above is one derivative of this classification. A major advantage of the material is the low creep characteristics at high temperatures. Properties are held to around 1400 C. The materials also has a low density and low coefficient of friction. This will be good for reciprocating parts such as valves and bearings.

Several other materials are presently being investigated for possible use in engine applications. One such coating material which exhibits very promising, high emissivity characteristics is called, simply, Ceramic Refractory type CT (18,19). High emissivity actually decreases the heat transfer effects by

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

reflecting much of the heat back at the combustion process. Figure 6 illustrates the emissivity of common materials.



At 3000 F the emissivity is listed at 98%. This material is water

based silica-alumina and is only good as a coating over an existing structure. Monolithic pieces have not yet been developed. It is now used in furnaces, boilers, on quench baskets and racks, and potentially may improve fuel efficiencies. Recent developments in surface finishes and hardness have made this material attractive to engine designers. Exact finishes are possible and the coating is relatively easy to apply to the structure.

Note that emissivity is considered more important than the heat transfer characteristics. Reflecting the heat away from the surface can be achieved without a dangerous rise in the surface temperature. Adding Carbon Black will further increase emissivity at the expense of some strength. These materials were also designed to resist corrosion, be very durable, and be non-toxic or flammable.

This refractory material also has an interesting cured structure that is worth presenting. The material is sprayed on much as a paint would be. Drying takes between 12 and 24 hours and then the piece is cured. This involves bringing the piece up to

D:/cd3wddvd/NoExe/.../meister10.htm

meister10.htm

operating temperature. With this curing process the coating divides into three distinctive layers as shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Layered structure of silica-alumina based mineral

Closest to the base material is a bonding or fusion layer that forms a chemical bond with the surface. This has proven to be very durable and able to withstand any corrosion. The middle layer is one of expansion. This accommodates any difference in thermal expansions of the other two layers. The outer layer will be under more severe thermal stresses and want to expand more than the others. This layer allows this to happen without impeding the bonding layer. The outer layer is case hardened to provide a hard surface against wear. The material is also shown to decrease the amount of deposition onto cylinder walls, due to the non-reactive nature of the surface. The manufacturer indicates that Caterpillar put this material onto a cylinder wall in a test engine and that Purdue University also did some work with this material in engines.

Other ceramic, composite, or advanced materials are being developed for different engine applications. Use of the lighter weight ceramics should improve response time in moving parts. Engine drive train components may use ceramic materials due to their improved hardness and wear capabilities. Friction coefficients and contact surfaces may also be improved. Some of the materials listed above may also work well as components in exhaust turbines, as rotors and port linings. Particulate filters may be another useful application of ceramics or composites.

While the information listed above is only a cursory review of ceramics and their potential importance to clean engines, it is presented to stress the complexity and breadth of the art and

the importance of expanding this science. Each of these materials, a combination thereof or one yet to be discovered, will help solve the combustion chamber materials problems, but only after exhaustive years of research.

#### **Composite materials**

Analysis and use of composite materials in internal combustion engines has become an important research topic (20). Several modeling approaches examine the elasto-dynamic response of composite connecting rods in an engine. The emphasis is on tailoring the material system and lay-up to the need of reducing the magnitude and elasto-dynamic oscillations of bearing loads. This constitutive modeling technique for composite links is, consequently, more complete and accurate since it tries to account for strength characteristics, extensional deformations and elastic coupling effects not included in prior efforts (21,22).

As an example the physical model and notation selected for the analysis of an in-line slider-crank mechanism are shown in Figure 8. The crank-shaft is rotating at a constant angular

velocity and there is no energy dissipation in the system, due to either friction or material damping. The fiber-reinforced connecting rod is the only elastic link of the mechanism (Figure 9); other links are made of steel and considered rigid. A largemass flywheel is presumed to be attached to the crank-shaft in order to keep its angular velocity constant.

A parametric study has been carried out on the analytical model described above. Its primary objective is to investigate the effects of the material design selected for the connecting rod on the dynamic response of the overall mechanism (20). The response characteristic chosen for this analysis is the vertical bearing load in the wrist-pin, which determines the side-wall force on the piston. The main input parameters are material properties and laminate lay-up of the fiber-reinforced connecting rod.



Figure 8. Physical model and notation.

The time variation of the side-wall force on the piston during a one cycle operation is shown in Figure 10 as reductions in sidewall force magnitude; which is due to superior longitudinal strength and lower mass density.

These results indicate an upper limit for such benefits as they correspond to unidirectional fiber orientation along the connecting rod axis, which is the optimal lay-up for the particular loading case considered here. Lower benefits should be expected for different lay-ups which may be required by more general loading conditions. Besides mass characteristics, elastodynamic oscillations of the side-wall force magnitude are governed in this model primarily by the extensional and flexural stiffness of the connecting rod along its longitudinal axis. Since steel is stiffer than fiber-reinforced composites selected for this investigation, the elasto-dynamic benefits of using composite, rather than steel, connecting rods are due to reduced inertia effects.

#### Summary

Research and technology are at hand to create new concepts in internal combustion engines. To take advantage of these, redirection of present manufacturing is required, while not demanding the retooling of a whole industry, only rethinking about the way we convert our fuel to power.



Figure 9. Schematic of the ith ply in the connecting rod.



Figure 10. Side-wall forces predicted from rigid-body dynamics for various materials.

The composite material model presented permits a quantitative evaluation of the elasto-dynamic effects associated with material systems and lay-ups selected for fiber-reinforced tubular connecting rods in engines. It may be regarded as a step toward the development of a rational methodology for tailoring

meister10.htm

the directional dependent properties of composite-made machine parts to functional requirements of high-speed linkages. This is only one of many new techniques that are presently being used or emerging.

The Stiller-Smith Engine could revolutionize internal combustion engine design. The need for cleaner energy conversion and improved performance makes this engine very attractive for further study. What will make it become a leader in clean energy conversion will be the effective use of advanced materials, which is the primary goal of this on-going project.

References

**1**. Beyer, Rudolf. "The kinematic synthesis of mechanisms". McGraw Hill, New York, p. 62.

2. Reuleaux, F.. "Theoretische kinematik". Friedrich Veiweg & Sohn. Braunschweig. 1875. p. 336.

3. Prechtel, "Technologische Enzyklopadie". (4) p. 425; (7) p.

#### 20/10/2011 **246.**

4. Smith, James E.. "The dynamic analysis of an elliptical mechanism for possible application to an internal combustion engine with a floating crank". Dissertation. West Virginia University. 1984.

5. George, Aaron C.. "A method for predicting cylinder pressure in the Stiller-Smith or other sinusoidal type engines". Thesis. West Virginia University. 1988.

6. Kamo, R.(Cummins) and Bryzik, W. (U.S. Army TARADCOM). "Adiabatic turbocompound engine performance prediction". SAE 780068.

7. French, D.D.J. (Ricardo Consulting Engineers) "Ceramics in reciprocating internal combustion engines". SAE 841135.

8. Kamo, R. and Bryzik, W., "Uncooled, unlubricated diesel?" Automotive Engineering, Vol. 87, n. 6. June 1979. pp. 59-61. 9. Timoney, S., (University College of Dublin, Ireland) and Flynn, G. (Carborundum Resistant Materials Co.). "A low friction, unlubricated SiC diesel engine". SAE 830313.

**10.** "Ceramic Composite". Automotive Engineering. December **1986.** p. 22.

**11.** Walzer, Peter, Harmut Heinrich, and Manfred Langer. "Ceramic components in passenger-car diesel engine". SAE 850567.

12. Bryzik, Walter and Roy Kamo. "TACOM/Cummins adiabatic engine program". SAE 830314.

13. Marmach, M., et al. "Toughened PSZ ceramic: their role as advanced engine components". SAE 830318.

14. Moorhouse, Peter and Michael P. Johnson. (NEI-International Research and Development Co. Ltd.). "Development of tribological surfaces and insulating coatings for diesel engines". SAE 870161. SP-700. 15. Larson, D.C., J. W. Adams, L. R. Johnson, A. P. S. Teotia, and L. G. Hill. "Ceramic materials for advanced heat engines". Noyes. New Jersey. 1985.

16. Carr, Jeffrey and Jack Jones (Kaman Sciences Corp.). "Post densified Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Coatings for adiabatic engines". SAE 840432. SP-571.

17. Lumby, R. J., P. Hodgson, N. E. Cother and A. Szweda (Lucas Cookson Syalon Limited). "Syalon ceramics for advanced engine components". SAE 850521.

18. Hellander, John C. (Ceramic-Refractory Corporation, Transfer, PA). SAE Pittsburgh Section. 2/17/87.

19. Ceramic-Refractory Corp. information sheet, Pittsburgh, PA.

20. Prucz, Jacky., Joseph D'Acquisto, James Smith. "Performance enhancement of flexible linkages by using fiberreinforced composites", American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., 1988. 21. Thompson, B.S., D. Zuccaro, D. Gamache and M. V. Gandhi. "An experimental and analytical study of a four bar mechanism with links fabricated from a fiber-reinforced composite material". Mechanism & Machine Theory. (18) 2. 1983. p. 165-71.

22. Sung, C.K., B. S. Thompson. "Material selection: an important parameter in the design of high-speed linkages". Mechanism & Machine Theory. (19) 4/5. 1984. p. 389-96.

23. Churchill, R.A., J.E. Smith, N. M. Clark and R. Turton. "Lowheat rejection engines - a concept review". SAE 880014 (International Congress and Exposition). Feb 29 - Mar 4, 1988.

**Developments on the flexible mirror** 

Scott Strachan 6 Marchhall Court, EDINBURGH EH 16 5HN United Kingdom

Over the past few years our engineering group in Scotland have been working with the concept of optical grade variable focus mirrors.

A group at Strathclyde University had devoted considerable research effort to the problem of generating a parabolic figure from a pressure-stressed membrane and had expanded on the work of Mueller in this field, mainly by introducing a separate stretching frame in addition to the mounting rim of the membrane mirror itself.

Our group concluded that this approach was probably limited, in that even if successful, the cost of the final structure was unlikely to be competitive with conventional glass mirrors.

We therefore adopted the alternative approach of aiming at maximum repeatability of a predictable figure even if this figure was not ideally parabolic.

We believed that the error from parabolic and the inevitable slight astigmatic distortion could probably be corrected at low
20/10/2011

meister10.htm

cost with a small diameter distortable plastic miniscus lens.

We found that provided the membrane was bonded to a rim of sufficient accuracy, that is approximately 1/60000th of the diameter as a tolerance in the height of the rim and 1/6000th circularity tolerance, that the figure was entirely predictable for any membrane which has a thickness of between 1/1000th and 1/5000th of the diameter.

Such mirrors form near parabolic figures in the focal range of F5. to F8. The parabolic error can be corrected by a miniscus lens at a cost of less than \$10.00. The resulting mirror has a performance equivalent to a glass mirror with a figure accuracy of I/8th of a wave of (yellow) light.

We are now in small scale production of these mirrors and have even at our present level of production achieved the low cost of \$600.00 for a variable focus 300 mm mirror with an uncorrected accuracy of 1/6th of a wave.

These mirrors have many uses. Examples are as light intensifiers

thermal amplifiers, laser colimators, variable focus-cutting laser optics and, of course, telescopes. Though the mirror accuracy and stability and will never seriously compete with the best glass mirrors the advantage of variable focus means that there are many applications not open to glass. When the low cost is taken into account, there are many applications for large aperture mirrors which were simply not worth the cost of a glass mirror.



