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## 1 Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics are used to quantitatively describe the main characteristics of the data. They provide meaningful summaries computed over different observations or data records collected in a study. These summaries typically form the basis of the initial data exploration as part of a more extensive statistical analysis. Such a quantitative analysis assumes that every variable (also known as, attribute or column) in the data have a specific level of measurement.

The measurement level of a variable, often called as variable type, can either be scale or categorical. A scale variable represents the data measured on an interval scale or ratio scale. Examples of scale variables include 'Height', 'Weight', 'Salary', and 'Temperature'. Scale variables are often referred to as continuous variables. In contrast, a categorical variable denotes the data with a limited number of distinct values or categories. Examples of categorical variables include 'Gender', 'Region', 'Hair color', 'Zipcode', and 'Level of Satisfaction'. Categorical variables can further be classfified into two types, nominal and ordinal, depending on whether the categories in the variable can be ordered via an intrinsic ranking. For example, there is no meaningful ranking among distinct values in 'Hair color' variable, while the categories in 'Level of Satisfaction' can be ranked from highly dissatisfied to highly satisfied.

### 1.1 Univariate Statistics

## Description

Univariate statistics are the simplest form of Descriptive Statistics in quantitative analysis. They are used to quantitatively describe the main characteristics of each variable or column in the data. For a given data set, the Univariate.dml script computes all relevant univariate statistics for each variable in the data. The variable type governs the exact set of statistics computed for that variable. For example, the statistic average can only be computed on a continuous variable like 'Height' and 'Temperature'. It does not make sense to compute an average of a categorical attribute like 'Hair Color'.

For a scale or continuous variable, the Univariate.dml script computes the following univariate statistics:

## - Order statistics:

- minimum: The smallest among all values.
- maximum: The largest among all values.
- range: The difference between the largest and the smallest value. It provides information about the spread of values.
- median: The middle or center value that separates higher half of the data (in a sorted order) from the lower half. Note that there can be a unique median only when the total number of data points is odd. When the number of data points is even, the median is computed as the mean of two middle values. For example, the median of $\{1,5,6,8,10\}$ is 6 whereas the median of $\{1,5,6,8,10,14\}$ is $\frac{6+8}{2}=$ 7. Median is same as the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile or $2^{\text {nd }}$ quartile.
- $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartiles: Quartiles are the data points that divide an ordered/sorted set of data points into four equal groups. The $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile or the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile splits sorted data into lowest $25 \%$ and highest $75 \%$. In other words, it is the middle number between the smallest value and the median. Similarly, the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile or the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile divides the sorted data into lowest $75 \%$ and highest $25 \%$ - i.e., it is the middle number between the median and the largest value in the data set.
- Measures of Central Tendency:
- mean: The sample mean of values in the variable, which is computed as the ratio between sum of values and the number of values. It is also often referred to as average. Mean is often used as a "typical" value - for example, while imputing missing values.
- standard error in mean: It is the standard deviation of the sample mean, which is an estimate of population's true mean. It is computed using the following formula: $\frac{s d}{\sqrt{n}}$, where $s d$ is the estimate of population standard deviation that is computed using sample values, and $n$ is the sample size i.e., number of values in the data set.
- Inter Quartile Mean: It is the mean of values between $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartiles i.e., lowest $25 \%$ and the highest $25 \%$ of the values are not considered for the computation of this measure.


## - Measures of Dispersion:

- variance: It measures how the values in the given data set are spread out around the mean. Variance is same as the the second central moment.
- standard deviation: It is the square root of variance.
- skewness: It measures how symmetrically the values are spread out around the mean. If the left tail (the distribution of given values below mean) is longer than the right tail then the value of skewness will be negative. On the other hand, a positive value indicates the right tail is longer than the left tail. Skewness is a function of third centeral moment. For a perfectly normal distribution of values, the value of skewness will be close to zero.
- standard error in skewness: The ratio of skewness to its standard error can be used as a test of normality (that is, you can reject normality if the ratio is less than -2 or greater than +2 ). A large positive value for skewness indicates a long right tail; an extreme negative value indicates a long left tail.
- kurtosis: kurtosis is also a measure of shape of the distribution of values. In particular, it measures the "peakedness" of the distribution. In other words, it quantifies how tall and sharp the central peak is, relative to a standard bell curve. It is a function of fourth central moment.
- standard error in kurtosis: The ratio of kurtosis to its standard error can be used as a test of normality (that is, you can reject normality if the ratio is less than -2 or greater than +2 ). A large positive value for kurtosis indicates that the tails of the distribution are longer than those of a normal distribution; a negative value for kurtosis indicates shorter tails (becoming like those of a box-shaped uniform distribution).


## Usage

-f path/univar-stats.dml -nvargs X=path/file TYPES=path/file STATS=path/file

## Arguments

- X: Location (on HDFS) of input data.
- TYPES: Location (on HDFS) of a 1 -row matrix whose $i^{\text {th }}$ column contains the type (measurement level) of the $i^{t h}$ attribute in the data. See details to find out how to indicate what type.
- STATS: Location directory (on HDFS) where all computed statistics will be stored.


## Details

Given an input matrix X , this script computes the set of all relevant univariate statistics for each attribute or column in X. The list of statistics to be computed depends on the type or measurement level of each column. TYPES argument specifies the type of all columns in a 1 row matrix. The types must be provided as per the following convention:

- For scale, 1.
- For nominal, 2.
- For ordinal, 3.

STATS argument points to the location on HDFS where the output matrix of computed statistics is stored.

## Returns

The output matrix containing all computed statistics is of size 17 rows and as many columns as in the input matrix X. Each row corresponds to a particular statistic, according to the following convention:

- Row 1: Minimum.
- Row 2: Maximum.
- Row 3: Range.
- Row 4: Mean.
- Row 5: Variance.
- Row 6: Standard deviation.
- Row 7: Standard error of mean.
- Row 8: Coefficient of variation.
- Row 9: Skewness.
- Row 10: Kurtosis.
- Row 11: Standard error of skewness.
- Row 12: Standard error of kurtosis.
- Row 13: Median.
- Row 14: Inter quartile mean.
- Row 15: Number of categories.
- Row 16: Mode.
- Row 17: Number of modes.

The first 14 statistics are applicable for scale columns, and the last 3 statistics (including 'Number of categories', 'Mode', and 'Number of modes') are applicable for categorical i.e., nominal and ordinal columns.
Examples

```
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f univar-stats.dml -nvargs X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx
                                    TYPES=/user/biadmin/types.mtx
                                    STATS=/user/biadmin/stats.mtx
```


### 1.2 Bivariate Statistics

## Description

The bivar-stats.dml script computes common bivariate statistics, such as Pearson's-r correlation and chi-squared statistic, for many pairs of variables.

## Usage

-f path/bivar-stats.dml -nvargs $\mathrm{X}=$ path/file index $_{1}=p a t h / f i l e ~ i n d e x ~=p a t h / f i l e ~$ types $_{1}=p a t h /$ file types $_{2}=p a t h / f i l e ~ O U T D I R=p a t h / f i l e ~$

## Arguments

- X: Location (on HDFS) of the data whose inter-column correlations are required.
- index $_{1}$ : Location (on HDFS) of a 1-row matrix whose $i^{\text {th }}$ column contains the first index of the $i^{\text {th }}$ pair whose correlation needs to be computed.
- index $_{2}$ : Location (on HDFS) of a 1-row matrix whose $i^{\text {th }}$ column contains the second index of the $i^{t h}$ pair whose correlation needs to be computed.
- types ${ }_{1}$ : Location (on HDFS) of a 1-row matrix whose $i^{\text {th }}$ column contains the type of the first column in the $i^{t h}$ pair whose correlation needs to be computed. See details to find out how to indicate what type.
- types $2_{2}$ : Location (on HDFS) of a 1-row matrix whose $i^{\text {th }}$ column contains the type of the second column in the $i^{t h}$ pair whose correlation needs to be computed. See details to find out how to indicate what type.
- OUTDIR: Location directory (on HDFS) where all results will be stored.


## Details

This script takes an input matrix X and can perform for each pair of columns the relevant correlation computation. In general, numerous kinds correlations have been proposed. Most of these test how strongly or weakly correlated the values in the two columns are and thus, are also referred to as statistical significance tests. This script includes the following statistical significance tests:

- For a pair of scale columns (numeric values including fractions), Pearson'sR.
- For a pair of nominal columns (with finite-sized, fixed, unordered domains), chi-squared test.
- For a pair consisting of one scale column and one nominal column, F-test.
- For a pair of ordinal columns (ordered domains depicting ranks), Spearman's Rho.

| Type | Code |
| :---: | :---: |
| Scale | 1 |
| Nominal | 2 |
| Ordinal | 3 |

Table 1: Types with their codes.

| Name | Row=1 | Row=2 | Specialized Rows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bivar.scale.scale.stats | Column ID 1 | Column ID 2 | Pearson's R (Row 3) |
| bivar.nominal.nominal.stats | Column ID 1 | Column ID 2 | Chi-squared statistic (Row 3) <br> Degrees of freedom (Row 4) <br> P-value (Row 5) <br> Cramer's V (Row 6) |
| bivar.nominal.scale.stats | Column ID 1 | Column ID 2 | Eta (Row 3) <br> F statistic (Row 4) |
| bivar.ordinal.ordinal.stats | Column ID 1 | Column ID 2 | Spearman's Rho (Row 3) |

Table 2: Description of output matrices containing significance test results.

A user can feed as input the matrix X containing all columns whose correlations need to be computed. Using the index ${ }_{1}$ and index ${ }_{2}$ arguments, one can pass in a set of indices referring to the columns in X whose correlations are of interest. Note that both index $x_{1}$ and index $x_{2}$ should consist of 1 row each. In each iteration, the script will pick an index from index ${ }_{1}$ and index ${ }_{2}$ and access those columns from X. Correspondingly, the types of each pair should be provided in the types ${ }_{1}$ and types $_{2}$ arguments which should also each consist of 1 row. Table 1 lists the types the script supports.

The script orgainizes its results into (potentially) four output matrices, one per type of test. The type of the test is defined using the types of the columns that were used for the test. Table 2 describes what each column in each output matrix contains.

Note that, in Table 2 "Column ID 1" and "Column ID 2" refer to column indices of the data matrix $X$ which were used to perform the test. Moreover, if the output matrix does not contain a value in a certain cell then it should be interpreted as a 0 (sparse matrix representation).

## Returns

A collection of (potentially) 4 matrices. Each matrix contains correlations resulting from a different type of test. There's one each for scale-scale (Pearson's R), nominal-nominal (chi-squared test), nominal-scale (F-test) and ordinalordinal (Spearman's Rho) correlation results. If any of these matrices is not produced then no pair of columns required the corresponding type of test.

## Examples

hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f bivar-stats.dml -nvargs X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx

| Month of the year | October |  | November |  | December |  | Oct - Dec |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Customers, millions | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| Promotion (0 or 1) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Avg. sales per 1000 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 |

Table 3: Stratification example: the effect of the promotion on average sales becomes reversed and amplified (from +0.1 to -0.5 ) if we ignore the months.

```
index1=/user/biadmin/S1.mtx
index2=/user/biadmin/S2.mtx
types1=/user/biadmin/K1.mtx
types2=/user/biadmin/K2.mtx
OUTDIR=/user/biadmin/stats.mtx
```


### 1.3 Stratified Bivariate Statistics

## Description

The stratstats.dml script computes common bivariate statistics, such as correlation, slope, and their p-value, in parallel for many pairs of input variables in the presence of a confounding categorical variable. The values of this confounding variable group the records into strata (subpopulations), in which all bivariate pairs are assumed free of confounding. The script uses the same data model as in one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), with strata representing population samples. It also outputs univariate stratified and bivariate unstratified statistics.

To see how data stratification mitigates confounding, consider an (artificial) example in Table 3. A highly seasonal retail item was marketed with and without a promotion over the final 3 months of the year. In each month the sale was more likely with the promotion than without it. But during the peak holiday season, when shoppers came in greater numbers and bought the item more often, the promotion was less frequently used. As a result, if the 4-th quarter data is pooled together, the promotion's effect becomes reversed and magnified. Stratifying by month restores the positive correlation.

The script computes its statistics in parallel over all possible pairs from two specified sets of covariates. The 1 -st covariate is a column in input matrix $X$ and the 2-nd covariate is a column in input matrix $Y$; matrices $X$ and $Y$ may be the same or different. The columns of interest are given by their index numbers in special matrices. The stratum column, specified in its own matrix, is the same for all covariate pairs.

Both covariates in each pair must be numerical, with the 2-nd covariate normally distributed given the 1-st covariate (see Details). Missing covariate values or strata are represented by "NaN". Records with NaN's are selectively omitted wherever their NaN's are material to the output statistic.

## Usage

## -f path/stratstats.dml -nvargs $\mathrm{X}=$ path/file $\mathrm{Xcid=path/file} \mathrm{Y}=$ path/file Ycid=path/file $\mathrm{S}=$ path/file $\mathrm{Scid=int} \mathrm{O}=$ path/file fmt=format

## Arguments

X: Location (on HDFS) to read matrix $X$ whose columns we want to use as the 1-st covariate (i.e. as the feature variable)
Xcid: (default: " ") Location to read the single-row matrix that lists all index numbers of the $X$-columns used as the 1 -st covariate; the default value means "use all $X$-columns"

Y: (default: " ") Location to read matrix $Y$ whose columns we want to use as the 2-nd covariate (i.e. as the response variable); the default value means "use $X$ in place of $Y$ "
Ycid: (default: " ") Location to read the single-row matrix that lists all index numbers of the $Y$-columns used as the 2-nd covariate; the default value means "use all $Y$-columns"
S: (default: " ") Location to read matrix $S$ that has the stratum column. Note: the stratum column must contain small positive integers; all fractional values are rounded; stratum IDs of value $\leq 0$ or NaN are treated as missing. The default value for $S$ means "use $X$ in place of $S$ "
Scid: (default: 1) The index number of the stratum column in $S$
0: Location to store the output matrix defined in Table 4
fmt: (default: "text") Matrix file output format, such as text, mm, or csv; see read/write functions in SystemML Language Reference for details.

## Details

Suppose we have $n$ records of format $(i, x, y)$, where $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ is a stratum number and $(x, y)$ are two numerical covariates. We want to analyze conditional linear relationship between $y$ and $x$ conditioned by $i$. Note that $x$, but not $y$, may represent a categorical variable if we assign a numerical value to each category, for example 0 and 1 for two categories.

We assume a linear regression model for $y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i, j}=\alpha_{i}+\beta x_{i, j}+\varepsilon_{i, j}, \quad \text { where } \quad \varepsilon_{i, j} \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $i=1 \ldots k$ is a stratum number and $j=1 \ldots n_{i}$ is a record number in stratum $i$; by $n_{i}$ we denote the number of records available in stratum $i$. The noise term $\varepsilon_{i, j}$ is assumed to have the same variance in all strata. When $n_{i}>0$, we can estimate the means of $x_{i, j}$ and $y_{i, j}$ in stratum $i$ as

$$
\bar{x}_{i}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} x_{i, j}\right) / n_{i} ; \quad \bar{y}_{i}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} y_{i, j}\right) / n_{i}
$$

If $\beta$ is known, the best estimate for $\alpha_{i}$ is $\bar{y}_{i}-\beta \bar{x}_{i}$, which gives the prediction error sum-of-squares of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(y_{i, j}-\beta x_{i, j}-\left(\bar{y}_{i}-\beta \bar{x}_{i}\right)\right)^{2}=\beta^{2} V_{x}-2 \beta V_{x, y}+V_{y} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Col.\# | Meaning | Col.\# | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $X$-column number presence count for $x$ global mean ( $x$ ) global std. dev. $(x)$ stratified std. dev. ( $x$ ) $R^{2}$ for $x \sim$ strata adjusted $R^{2}$ for $x \sim$ strata p-value, $x \sim$ strata reserved |  11 <br>  12 <br> $\stackrel{0}{0}$ 13 <br> .0 14 <br> .0 14 <br> 0 15 <br> 0 16 <br> . 17 <br> . 18 <br>  $19-20$ | $Y$-column number presence count for $y$ global mean ( $y$ ) global std. dev. (y) stratified std. dev. (y) $R^{2}$ for $y \sim$ strata adjusted $R^{2}$ for $y \sim$ strata p-value, $y \sim$ strata reserved |
|  | presence count ( $x, y$ ) regression slope regres. slope std. dev. correlation $= \pm \sqrt{R^{2}}$ residual std. dev. $R^{2}$ in $y$ due to $x$ adjusted $R^{2}$ in $y$ due to $x$ p -value for "slope $=0$ " reserved reserved |  | presence count $(x, y, s)$ regression slope regres. slope std. dev. correlation $= \pm \sqrt{R^{2}}$ residual std. dev. $R^{2}$ in $y$ due to $x$ adjusted $R^{2}$ in $y$ due to $x$ p -value for "slope $=0$ " \# strata with $\geq 2$ count reserved |

Table 4: The stratstats.dml output matrix has one row per each distinct pair of 1 -st and 2 -nd covariates, and 40 columns with the statistics described here.
where $V_{x}, V_{y}$, and $V_{x, y}$ are, correspondingly, the "stratified" sample estimates of variance $\operatorname{Var}(x)$ and $\operatorname{Var}(y)$ and covariance $\operatorname{Cov}(x, y)$ computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{x} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(x_{i, j}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2} ; \quad V_{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(y_{i, j}-\bar{y}_{i}\right)^{2} \\
V_{x, y} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(x_{i, j}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(y_{i, j}-\bar{y}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

They are stratified because we compute the sample (co-)variances in each stratum $i$ separately, then combine by summation. The stratified estimates for $\operatorname{Var}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Var}(Y)$ tend to be smaller than the non-stratified ones (with the global mean instead of $\bar{x}_{i}$ and $\bar{y}_{i}$ ) since $\bar{x}_{i}$ and $\bar{y}_{i}$ fit closer to $x_{i, j}$ and $y_{i, j}$ than the global means. The stratified variance estimates the uncertainty in $x_{i, j}$ and $y_{i, j}$ given their stratum $i$.

Minimizing over $\beta$ the error sum-of-squares (2) gives us the regression slope estimate $\hat{\beta}=V_{x, y} / V_{x}$, with (2) becoming the residual sum-of-squares (RSS):

$$
\mathrm{RSS}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(y_{i, j}-\hat{\beta} x_{i, j}-\left(\bar{y}_{i}-\hat{\beta} \bar{x}_{i}\right)\right)^{2}=V_{y}\left(1-V_{x, y}^{2} /\left(V_{x} V_{y}\right)\right)
$$

The quantity $\hat{R}^{2}=V_{x, y}^{2} /\left(V_{x} V_{y}\right)$, called $R$-squared, estimates the fraction of stratified variance in $y_{i, j}$ explained by covariate $x_{i, j}$ in the linear regression model (1). We define stratified correlation as the square root of $\hat{R}^{2}$ taken with the sign of $V_{x, y}$. We also use RSS to estimate the residual standard deviation $\sigma$
in (1) that models the prediction error of $y_{i, j}$ given $x_{i, j}$ and the stratum:

$$
\hat{\beta}=\frac{V_{x, y}}{V_{x}} ; \quad \hat{R}=\frac{V_{x, y}}{\sqrt{V_{x} V_{y}}} ; \quad \hat{R}^{2}=\frac{V_{x, y}^{2}}{V_{x} V_{y}} ; \quad \hat{\sigma}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{RSS}}{n-k-1}} \quad\left(n=\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}\right)
$$

The $t$-test and the $F$-test for the null-hypothesis of " $\beta=0$ " are obtained by considering the effect of $\hat{\beta}$ on the residual sum-of-squares, measured by the decrease from $V_{y}$ to RSS. The $F$-statistic is the ratio of the "explained" sum-ofsquares to the residual sum-of-squares, divided by their corresponding degrees of freedom. There are $n-k$ degrees of freedom for $V_{y}$, parameter $\beta$ reduces that to $n-k-1$ for RSS, and their difference $V_{y}-$ RSS has just 1 degree of freedom:

$$
F=\frac{\left(V_{y}-\mathrm{RSS}\right) / 1}{\operatorname{RSS} /(n-k-1)}=\frac{\hat{R}^{2}(n-k-1)}{1-\hat{R}^{2}} ; \quad t=\hat{R} \sqrt{\frac{n-k-1}{1-\hat{R}^{2}}} .
$$

The $t$-statistic is simply the square root of the $F$-statistic with the appropriate choice of sign. If the null hypothesis and the linear model are both true, the $t$-statistic has Student $t$-distribution with $n-k-1$ degrees of freedom. We can also compute it if we divide $\hat{\beta}$ by its estimated standard deviation:

$$
\operatorname{st.dev}(\hat{\beta})_{\text {est }}=\hat{\sigma} / \sqrt{V_{x}} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad t=\hat{R} \sqrt{V_{y}} / \hat{\sigma}=\beta / \operatorname{st} \cdot \operatorname{dev}(\hat{\beta})_{\text {est }}
$$

The standard deviation estimate for $\beta$ is included in stratstats.dml output.

## Returns

The output matrix format is defined in Table 4.

## Examples

```
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f stratstats.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx Xcid=/user/biadmin/Xcid.mtx
    Y=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx Ycid=/user/biadmin/Ycid.mtx
    S=/user/biadmin/S.mtx Scid=2 0=/user/biadmin/Out.mtx fmt=csv
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f stratstats.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/Data.mtx Xcid=/user/biadmin/Xcid.mtx
    Ycid=/user/biadmin/Ycid.mtx Scid=7 0=/user/biadmin/Out.mtx
```


## 2 Classification

### 2.1 Multinomial Logistic Regression

## Description

Our logistic regression script performs both binomial and multinomial logistic regression. The script is given a dataset $(X, Y)$ where matrix $X$ has $m$ columns and matrix $Y$ has one column; both $X$ and $Y$ have $n$ rows. The rows of $X$ and $Y$ are viewed as a collection of records: $(X, Y)=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ where $x_{i}$ is a numerical vector of explanatory (feature) variables and $y_{i}$ is a
categorical response variable. Each row $x_{i}$ in $X$ has size $\operatorname{dim} x_{i}=m$, while its corresponding $y_{i}$ is an integer that represents the observed response value for record $i$.

The goal of logistic regression is to learn a linear model over the feature vector $x_{i}$ that can be used to predict how likely each categorical label is expected to be observed as the actual $y_{i}$. Note that logistic regression predicts more than a label: it predicts the probability for every possible label. The binomial case allows only two possible labels, the multinomial case has no such restriction.

Just as linear regression estimates the mean value $\mu_{i}$ of a numerical response variable, logistic regression does the same for category label probabilities. In linear regression, the mean of $y_{i}$ is estimated as a linear combination of the features: $\mu_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i, 1}+\ldots+\beta_{m} x_{i, m}=\beta_{0}+x_{i} \beta_{1: m}$. In logistic regression, the label probability has to lie between 0 and 1 , so a link function is applied to connect it to $\beta_{0}+x_{i} \beta_{1: m}$. If there are just two possible category labels, for example 0 and 1 , the logistic link looks as follows:

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left[y_{i}=1 \mid x_{i} ; \beta\right]=\frac{e^{\beta_{0}+x_{i} \beta_{1: m}}}{1+e^{\beta_{0}+x_{i} \beta_{1: m}}} ; \quad \operatorname{Prob}\left[y_{i}=0 \mid x_{i} ; \beta\right]=\frac{1}{1+e^{\beta_{0}+x_{i} \beta_{1: m}}}
$$

Here category label 0 serves as the baseline, and function $\exp \left(\beta_{0}+x_{i} \beta_{1: m}\right)$ shows how likely we expect to see " $y_{i}=1$ " in comparison to the baseline. Like in a loaded coin, the predicted odds of seeing 1 versus 0 are $\exp \left(\beta_{0}+x_{i} \beta_{1: m}\right)$ to 1 , with each feature $x_{i, j}$ multiplying its own factor $\exp \left(\beta_{j} x_{i, j}\right)$ to the odds. Given a large collection of pairs $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=1 \ldots n$, logistic regression seeks to find the $\beta_{j}$ 's that maximize the product of probabilities $\operatorname{Prob}\left[y_{i} \mid x_{i} ; \beta\right]$ for actually observed $y_{i}$-labels (assuming no regularization).

Multinomial logistic regression [1] extends this link to $k \geq 3$ possible categories. Again we identify one category as the baseline, for example the $k$-th category. Instead of a coin, here we have a loaded multisided die, one side per category. Each non-baseline category $l=1 \ldots k-1$ has its own vector $\left(\beta_{0, l}, \beta_{1, l}, \ldots, \beta_{m, l}\right)$ of regression parameters with the intercept, making up a matrix $B$ of size $(m+1) \times(k-1)$. The predicted odds of seeing non-baseline category $l$ versus the baseline $k$ are $\exp \left(\beta_{0, l}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i, j} \beta_{j, l}\right)$ to 1 , and the predicted probabilities are:

$$
\begin{align*}
l<k: \quad \operatorname{Prob}\left[y_{i}=l \mid x_{i} ; B\right] & =\frac{\exp \left(\beta_{0, l}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i, j} \beta_{j, l}\right)}{1+\sum_{l^{\prime}=1}^{k-1} \exp \left(\beta_{0, l^{\prime}}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i, j} \beta_{j, l^{\prime}}\right)}  \tag{3}\\
\operatorname{Prob}\left[y_{i}=k \mid x_{i} ; B\right] & =\frac{1}{1+\sum_{l^{\prime}=1}^{k-1} \exp \left(\beta_{0, l^{\prime}}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i, j} \beta_{j, l^{\prime}}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The goal of the regression is to estimate the parameter matrix $B$ from the provided dataset $(X, Y)=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ by maximizing the product of $\operatorname{Prob}\left[y_{i} \mid x_{i} ; B\right]$ over the observed labels $y_{i}$. Taking its logarithm, negating, and adding a regularization term gives us a minimization objective:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(B ; X, Y)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \operatorname{Prob}\left[y_{i} \mid x_{i} ; B\right]+\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1}\left|\beta_{j, l}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \min \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optional regularization term is added to mitigate overfitting and degeneracy in the data; to reduce bias, the intercepts $\beta_{0, l}$ are not regularized. Once the $\beta_{j, l}$ 's are accurately estimated, we can make predictions about the category label $y$ for a new feature vector $x$ using Eqs. (3) and (4).

## Usage

-f path/MultiLogReg.dml -nvargs $\quad \mathrm{X}=$ path/file $\quad \mathrm{Y}=$ path/file $\quad \mathrm{B}=$ path/file $\mathrm{Log}=$ path/file icpt=int $\mathrm{reg}=$ double $\mathrm{tol}=$ double moi=int mii=int $\mathrm{fmt}=$ format

## Arguments

X: Location (on HDFS) to read the input matrix of feature vectors; each row constitutes one feature vector.
Y : Location to read the input one-column matrix of category labels that correspond to feature vectors in X. Note the following: - Each non-baseline category label must be a positive integer.

- If all labels are positive, the largest represents the baseline category.
- If non-positive labels such as -1 or 0 are present, then they represent the (same) baseline category and are converted to label $\max (\mathrm{Y})+1$.
B: Location to store the matrix of estimated regression parameters (the $\beta_{j, l}$ 's), with the intercept parameters $\beta_{0, l}$ at position $\mathrm{B}[m+1, l]$ if available. The size of B is $(m+1) \times(k-1)$ with the intercepts or $m \times(k-1)$ without the intercepts, one column per non-baseline category and one row per feature.
Log: (default: " ") Location to store iteration-specific variables for monitoring and debugging purposes, see Table 5 for details.
icpt: (default: 0) Intercept and shifting/rescaling of the features in $X$ :
$0=$ no intercept (hence no $\beta_{0}$ ), no shifting/rescaling of the features;
$1=$ add intercept, but do not shift/rescale the features in $X$; $2=$ add intercept, shift/rescale the features in $X$ to mean 0 , variance 1
reg: (default: 0.0) L2-regularization parameter (lambda)
tol: (default: 0.000001 ) Tolerance (epsilon) used in the convergence criterion
moi: (default: 100) Maximum number of outer (Fisher scoring) iterations
mii: (default: 0) Maximum number of inner (conjugate gradient) iterations, or 0 if no maximum limit provided
fmt: (default: "text") Matrix file output format, such as text, mm, or csv; see read/write functions in SystemML Language Reference for details.


## Details

We estimate the logistic regression parameters via L2-regularized negative log-likelihood minimization (5). The optimization method used in the script closely follows the trust region Newton method for logistic regression described in [6]. For convenience, let us make some changes in notation:

- Convert the input vector of observed category labels into an indicator matrix $Y$ of size $n \times k$ such that $Y_{i, l}=1$ if the $i$-th category label is $l$ and $Y_{i, l}=0$ otherwise;

| Name | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| LINEAR_TERM_MIN | The minimum value of $X \% * \% B$, used to check for overflows |
| LINEAR_TERM_MAX | The maximum value of $X \% * \% B$, used to check for overflows |
| NUM_CG_ITERS | Number of inner (Conj. Gradient) iterations in this outer iteration |
| IS_TRUST_REACHED | $1=$ trust region boundary was reached, $0=$ otherwise |
| POINT_STEP_NORM | L2-norm of iteration step from old point (matrix $B$ ) to new point |
| OBJECTIVE | The loss function we minimize (negative regularized log-likelihood) |
| OBJ_DROP_REAL | Reduction in the objective during this iteration, actual value |
| OBJ_DROP_PRED | Reduction in the objective predicted by a quadratic approximation |
| OBJ_DROP_RATIO | Actual-to-predicted reduction ratio, used to update the trust region |
| IS_POINT_UPDATED | $1=$ new point accepted; $0=$ new point rejected, old point restored |
| GRADIENT_NORM | L2-norm of the loss function gradient (omitted if point is rejected) |
| TRUST_DELTA | Updated trust region size, the "delta" |

Table 5: The Log file for multinomial logistic regression contains the above per-iteration variables in CSV format, each line containing triple (Name, Iteration\#, Value) with Iteration\# being 0 for initial values.

- Append an extra column of all ones, i.e. $(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$, as the $m+1$-st column to the feature matrix $X$ to represent the intercept;
- Append an all-zero column as the $k$-th column to $B$, the matrix of regression parameters, to represent the baseline category;
- Convert the regularization constant $\lambda$ into matrix $\Lambda$ of the same size as $B$, placing 0 's into the $m+1$-st row to disable intercept regularization, and placing $\lambda$ 's everywhere else.
Now the $(n \times k)$-matrix of predicted probabilities given by (3) and (4) and the objective function $f$ in (5) have the matrix form

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\exp (X B) /\left(\exp (X B) 1_{k \times k}\right) \\
f & =-\sum Y \cdot(X B)+\sum \log \left(\exp (X B) 1_{k \times 1}\right)+(1 / 2) \sum \Lambda \cdot B \cdot B
\end{aligned}
$$

where operations $\cdot, /$, exp, and $\log$ are applied cellwise, and $\sum$ denotes the sum of all cells in a matrix. The gradient of $f$ with respect to $B$ can be represented as a matrix too:

$$
\nabla f=X^{T}(P-Y)+\Lambda \cdot B
$$

The Hessian $\mathcal{H}$ of $f$ is a tensor, but, fortunately, the conjugate gradient inner loop of the trust region algorithm in [6] does not need to instantiate it. We only need to multiply $\mathcal{H}$ by ordinary matrices of the same size as $B$ and $\nabla f$, and this can be done in matrix form:

$$
\mathcal{H} V=X^{T}\left(Q-P \cdot\left(Q 1_{k \times k}\right)\right)+\Lambda \cdot V, \text { where } Q=P \cdot(X V)
$$

At each Newton iteration (the outer iteration) the minimization algorithm approximates the difference $\Delta f(S ; B)=f(B+S ; X, Y)-f(B ; X, Y)$ attained in the objective function after a step $B \mapsto B+S$ by a second-degree formula

$$
\Delta f(S ; B) \approx(1 / 2) \sum S \cdot \mathcal{H} S+\sum S \cdot \nabla f
$$

This approximation is then minimized by trust-region conjugate gradient iterations (the inner iterations) subject to the constraint $\|S\|_{2} \leq \delta$. The trust region size $\delta$ is initialized as $0.5 \sqrt{m} / \max _{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{2}$ and updated as described in [6]. Users can specify the maximum number of the outer and the inner iterations with input parameters moi and mii, respectively. The iterative minimizer terminates successfully if $\|\nabla f\|_{2}<\varepsilon\left\|\nabla f_{B=0}\right\|_{2}$, where $\varepsilon>0$ is a tolerance supplied by the user via input parameter tol.

## Returns

The estimated regression parameters (the $\hat{\beta}_{j, l}$ ) are populated into a matrix and written to an HDFS file whose path/name was provided as the " B " input argument. Only the non-baseline categories $(1 \leq l \leq k-1)$ have their $\hat{\beta}_{j, l}$ in the output; to add the baseline category, just append a column of zeros. If icpt=0 in the input command line, no intercepts are used and B has size $m \times(k-1)$; otherwise B has size $(m+1) \times(k-1)$ and the intercepts are in the $m+1$-st row. If icpt=2, then initially the feature columns in $X$ are shifted to mean $=0$ and rescaled to variance $=1$. After the iterations converge, the $\hat{\beta}_{j, l}$ 's are rescaled and shifted to work with the original features.

## Examples

hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f MultiLogReg.dml -nvargs
X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx $Y=/ u s e r / b i a d m i n / Y . m t x$
$B=/ u s e r / b i a d m i n / B . m t x ~ f m t=c s v ~ i c p t=2 ~ r e g=1.0 ~ t o l=0.0001$
moi=100 mii=10 Log=/user/biadmin/log.csv

## References

- A. Agresti. Categorical Data Analysis. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley-Interscience, second edition, 2002.


### 2.2 Support Vector Machines

### 2.2.1 Binary-class Support Vector Machines

## Description

Support Vector Machines are used to model the relationship between a categorical dependent variable y and one or more explanatory variables denoted X. This implementation learns (and predicts with) a binary class support vector machine ( y with domain size 2 ).

## Usage

```
-f path/l2-svm.dml -nvargs X=path/file Y=path/file icpt=int tol=double
    reg=double maxiter=int model=path/file
    Log=path/file fmt=csv|text
-f path/l2-svm-predict.dml -nvargs X=path/file Y=path/file icpt=int model=path/file
    scores=path/file accuracy=path/file
    confusion=path/file fmt=csv|text
```


## Arguments

- X: Location (on HDFS) to read the matrix of feature vectors; each row constitutes one feature vector.
- Y: Location to read the one-column matrix of (categorical) labels that correspond to feature vectors in X. Binary class labels can be expressed in one of two choices: $\pm 1$ or $1 / 2$. Note that, this argument is optional for prediction.
- icpt (default: 0): If set to 1 then a constant bias column is added to X .
- tol (default: 0.001): Procedure terminates early if the reduction in objective function value is less than tolerance times the initial objective function value.
- reg (default: 1): Regularization constant. See details to find out where lambda appears in the objective function. If one were interested in drawing an analogy with the C parameter in C-SVM, then $\mathrm{C}=2 /$ lambda. Usually, cross validation is employed to determine the optimum value of lambda.
- maxiter (default: 100): The maximum number of iterations.
- model: Location (on HDFS) that contains the learnt weights.
- Log: Location (on HDFS) to collect various metrics (e.g., objective function value etc.) that depict progress across iterations while training.
- fmt (default: text): Specifies the output format. Choice of commaseparated values (csv) or as a sparse-matrix (text).
- scores: Location (on HDFS) to store scores for a held-out test set. Note that, this is an optional argument.
- accuracy: Location (on HDFS) to store the accuracy computed on a heldout test set. Note that, this is an optional argument.
- confusion: Location (on HDFS) to store the confusion matrix computed using a held-out test set. Note that, this is an optional argument.


## Details

Support vector machines learn a classification function by solving the following optimization problem $\left(L_{2}-\mathrm{SVM}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{argmin}_{w} & \frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{i} \xi_{i}^{2} \\
\text { subject to: } & y_{i} w^{\top} x_{i} \geq 1-\xi_{i} \forall i
\end{array}
$$

where $x_{i}$ is an example from the training set with its label given by $y_{i}, w$ is the vector of parameters and $\lambda$ is the regularization constant specified by the user.

To account for the missing bias term, one may augment the data with a column of constants which is achieved by setting intercept argument to 1 (C-J Hsieh et al, 2008).

This implementation optimizes the primal directly (Chapelle, 2007). It uses nonlinear conjugate gradient descent to minimize the objective function coupled with choosing step-sizes by performing one-dimensional Newton minimization in the direction of the gradient.

## Returns

The learnt weights produced by 12 -svm.dml are populated into a single column matrix and written to file on HDFS (see model in section Arguments). The number of rows in this matrix is $n \operatorname{col}(\mathrm{X})$ if intercept was set to 0 during invocation and $\operatorname{ncol}(\mathrm{X})+1$ otherwise. The bias term, if used, is placed in the last row. Depending on what arguments are provided during invocation, l2-svm-predict.dml may compute one or more of scores, accuracy and confusion matrix in the output format specified.

## Examples

```
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f l2-svm.dml -nvargs X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx
                        Y=/user/biadmin/y.mtx
                        icpt=0 tol=0.001 fmt=csv
                        reg=1.0 maxiter=100
                            model=/user/biadmin/weights.csv
    Log=/user/biadmin/Log.csv
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f l2-svm-predict.dml -nvargs X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx
                        Y=/user/biadmin/y.mtx
                        icpt=0 fmt=csv
                        model=/user/biadmin/weights.csv
                        scores=/user/biadmin/scores.csv
                        accuracy=/user/biadmin/accuracy.csv
                        confusion=/user/biadmin/confusion.csv
```


## References

- W. T. Vetterling and B. P. Flannery. Conjugate Gradient Methods in Multidimensions in Numerical Recipes in C - The Art in Scientific Computing. W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky (eds.), Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- J. Nocedal and S. J. Wright. Numerical Optimization, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- C-J Hsieh, K-W Chang, C-J Lin, S. S. Keerthi and S. Sundararajan. A Dual Coordinate Descent Method for Large-scale Linear SVM. International Conference of Machine Learning (ICML), 2008.
- Olivier Chapelle. Training a Support Vector Machine in the Primal. Neural Computation, 2007.


### 2.2.2 Multi-class Support Vector Machines

## Description

Support Vector Machines are used to model the relationship between a categorical dependent variable y and one or more explanatory variables denoted X. This implementation supports dependent variables that have domain size greater or equal to 2 and hence is not restricted to binary class labels.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Usage } \\
& \text {-f path/m-svm.dml -nvargs X=path/file } \mathrm{Y}=\text { path/file } \mathrm{icpt=int} \text { classes=int } \\
& \text { tol=double } \mathrm{reg}=\text { double } \text { maxiter=int } \text { model=path/file } \\
& \text { Log=path/file } \mathrm{fmt=csv} \mid \text { text } \\
& \text {-f path/m-svm-predict.dml -nvargs } \mathrm{X}=\text { path/file } \mathrm{Y}=\text { path/file icpt=int } \text { model=path/file } \\
& \text { scores=path/file accuracy=path/file } \\
& \text { confusion=path/file } \mathrm{fmt=csv} \mid \text { text }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Arguments

- X: Location (on HDFS) containing the explanatory variables in a matrix. Each row constitutes an example.
- Y: Location (on HDFS) containing a 1-column matrix specifying the categorical dependent variable (label). Labels are assumed to be contiguously numbered from $1 \ldots$ \#classes. Note that, this argument is optional for prediction.
- icpt (default: 0): If set to 1 then a constant bias column is added to X .
- classes: Number of classes in the data.
- tol (default: 0.001 ): Procedure terminates early if the reduction in objective function value is less than tolerance times the initial objective function value.
- reg (default: 1): Regularization constant. See details to find out where lambda appears in the objective function. If one were interested in drawing an analogy with C-SVM, then C $=2 /$ lambda. Usually, cross validation is employed to determine the optimum value of lambda.
- maxiter (default: 100): The maximum number of iterations.
- model: Location (on HDFS) that contains the learnt weights.
- Log: Location (on HDFS) to collect various metrics (e.g., objective function value etc.) that depict progress across iterations while training.
- fmt (default: text): Specifies the output format. Choice of commaseparated values (csv) or as a sparse-matrix (text).
- scores: Location (on HDFS) to store scores for a held-out test set. Note that, this is an optional argument.
- accuracy: Location (on HDFS) to store the accuracy computed on a heldout test set. Note that, this is an optional argument.
- confusion: Location (on HDFS) to store the confusion matrix computed using a held-out test set. Note that, this is an optional argument.


## Details

Support vector machines learn a classification function by solving the following optimization problem ( $L_{2}$-SVM):

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{argmin}_{w} & \frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{i} \xi_{i}^{2} \\
\text { subject to: } & y_{i} w^{\top} x_{i} \geq 1-\xi_{i} \forall i
\end{array}
$$

where $x_{i}$ is an example from the training set with its label given by $y_{i}, w$ is the vector of parameters and $\lambda$ is the regularization constant specified by the user.

To extend the above formulation (binary class SVM) to the multiclass setting, one standard approache is to learn one binary class SVM per class that separates data belonging to that class from the rest of the training data (one-against-the-rest SVM, see C. Scholkopf, 1995).

To account for the missing bias term, one may augment the data with a column of constants which is achieved by setting intercept argument to 1 (C-J Hsieh et al, 2008).

This implementation optimizes the primal directly (Chapelle, 2007). It uses nonlinear conjugate gradient descent to minimize the objective function coupled with choosing step-sizes by performing one-dimensional Newton minimization in the direction of the gradient.

## Returns

The learnt weights produced by m-svm.dml are populated into a matrix that has as many columns as there are classes in the training data, and written to file provided on HDFS (see model in section Arguments). The number of rows in this matrix is $n \operatorname{col}(\mathrm{X})$ if intercept was set to 0 during invocation and ncol( X ) +1 otherwise. The bias terms, if used, are placed in the last row. Depending on what arguments are provided during invocation, m-svm-predict.dml may compute one or more of scores, accuracy and confusion matrix in the output format specified.

## Examples

hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f m-svm.dml -nvargs X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx

```
Y=/user/biadmin/y.mtx
icpt=0 classes=10 tol=0.001
reg=1.0 maxiter=100 fmt=csv
model=/user/biadmin/weights.csv
Log=/user/biadmin/Log.csv
```

hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f m-svm-predict.dml -nvargs X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx
$\mathrm{Y}=/$ user/biadmin/y.mtx
icpt=0 fmt=csv
model=/user/biadmin/weights.csv
scores=/user/biadmin/scores.csv
accuracy=/user/biadmin/accuracy.csv
confusion=/user/biadmin/confusion.csv

## References

- W. T. Vetterling and B. P. Flannery. Conjugate Gradient Methods in Multidimensions in Numerical Recipes in C - The Art in Scientific Computing. W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky (eds.), Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- J. Nocedal and S. J. Wright. Numerical Optimization. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- C-J Hsieh, K-W Chang, C-J Lin, S. S. Keerthi and S. Sundararajan. A Dual Coordinate Descent Method for Large-scale Linear SVM. International Conference of Machine Learning (ICML), 2008.
- Olivier Chapelle. Training a Support Vector Machine in the Primal. Neural Computation, 2007.
- B. Scholkopf, C. Burges and V. Vapnik. Extracting Support Data for a Given Task. International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (ICDM), 1995.


### 2.3 Naive Bayes

## Description

Naive Bayes is very simple generative model used for classifying data. This implementation learns a multinomial naive Bayes classifier which is applicable when all features are counts of categorical values.

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-f path/naive-bayes-predict.dml -nvargs } \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{X}=\text { path/file } \mathrm{Y}=\text { path/file prior=path/file } \\
\\
\text { conditionals=path/file } \mathrm{fmt=csv} \mid \text { text } \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\text { accuracy=path/file confusion=path/file }
\end{array} \\
& \text { probalities=path/file }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

## Arguments

- X: Location (on HDFS) to read the matrix of feature vectors; each row constitutes one feature vector.
- Y: Location (on HDFS) to read the one-column matrix of (categorical) labels that correspond to feature vectors in X. Classes are assumed to be contiguously labeled beginning from 1. Note that, this argument is optional for prediction.
- classes: Number of classes in the data.
- laplace (default: 1): Laplace smoothing specified by the user to avoid creation of 0 probabilities.
- prior: Location (on HDFS) that contains the class prior probabilites.
- conditionals: Location (on HDFS) that contains the class conditional feature distributions.
- fmt (default: text): Specifies the output format. Choice of commaseparated values (csv) or as a sparse-matrix (text).
- probabilities: Location (on HDFS) to store class membership probabilities for a held-out test set. Note that, this is an optional argument.
- accuracy: Location (on HDFS) to store the training accuracy during learning and testing accuracy from a held-out test set during prediction. Note that, this is an optional argument for prediction.
- confusion: Location (on HDFS) to store the confusion matrix computed using a held-out test set. Note that, this is an optional argument.


## Details

Naive Bayes is a very simple generative classification model. It posits that given the class label, features can be generated independently of each other. More precisely, the (multinomial) naive Bayes model uses the following equation to estimate the joint probability of a feature vector $x$ belonging to class $y$ :

$$
\operatorname{Prob}(y, x)=\pi_{y} \prod_{i \in x} \theta_{i y}^{n(i, x)}
$$

where $\pi_{y}$ denotes the prior probability of class $y, i$ denotes a feature present in $x$ with $n(i, x)$ denoting its count and $\theta_{i y}$ denotes the class conditional probability
of feature $i$ in class $y$. The usual constraints hold on $\pi$ and $\theta$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{y} & \geq 0, \sum_{y \in \mathcal{C}} \pi_{y}=1 \\
\forall y \in \mathcal{C}: \quad & \theta_{i y} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i} \theta_{i y}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of classes.
Given a fully labeled training dataset, it is possible to learn a naive Bayes model using simple counting (group-by aggregates). To compute the class conditional probabilities, it is usually advisable to avoid setting $\theta_{i y}$ to 0 . One way to achieve this is using additive smoothing or Laplace smoothing. Some authors have argued that this should in fact be add-one smoothing. This implementation uses add-one smoothing by default but lets the user specify her/his own constant, if required.

This implementation is sometimes referred to as multinomial naive Bayes. Other flavours of naive Bayes are also popular.

## Returns

The learnt model produced by naive-bayes.dml is stored in two separate files. The first file stores the class prior (a single-column matrix). The second file stores the class conditional probabilities organized into a matrix with as many rows as there are class labels and as many columns as there are features. Depending on what arguments are provided during invocation, naive-bayes-predict.dml may compute one or more of probabilities, accuracy and confusion matrix in the output format specified.

## Examples

```
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f naive-bayes.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx
    Y=/user/biadmin/y.mtx
    classes=5 laplace=1 fmt=csv
    prior=/user/biadmin/prior.csv
    conditionals=/user/biadmin/conditionals.csv
    accuracy=/user/biadmin/accuracy.csv
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f naive-bayes-predict.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx
    Y=/user/biadmin/y.mtx
    prior=/user/biadmin/prior.csv
    conditionals=/user/biadmin/conditionals.csv
    fmt=csv
    accuracy=/user/biadmin/accuracy.csv
    probabilities=/user/biadmin/probabilities.csv
    confusion=/user/biadmin/confusion.csv
```


## References

- S. Russell and P. Norvig. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. Prentice Hall, 2009.
- A. McCallum and K. Nigam. A comparison of event models for naive bayes text classification. AAAI-98 workshop on learning for text categorization, 1998.


## 3 Clustering

### 3.1 K-Means Clustering

## Description

Given a collection of $n$ records with a pairwise similarity measure, the goal of clustering is to assign a category label to each record so that similar records tend to get the same label. In contrast to multinomial logistic regression, clustering is an unsupervised learning problem with neither category assignments nor label interpretations given in advance. In $k$-means clustering, the records $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are numerical feature vectors of $\operatorname{dim} x_{i}=m$ with the squared Euclidean distance $\left\|x_{i}-x_{i^{\prime}}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ as the similarity measure. We want to partition $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ into $k$ clusters $\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right\}$ so that the aggregated squared distance from records to their cluster means is minimized:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{WCSS}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}-\operatorname{mean}\left(S_{j}: x_{i} \in S_{j}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \rightarrow \min \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The aggregated distance measure in (6) is called the within-cluster sum of squares (WCSS). It can be viewed as a measure of residual variance that remains in the data after the clustering assignment, conceptually similar to the residual sum of squares (RSS) in linear regression. However, unlike for the RSS, the minimization of (6) is an NP-hard problem [2].

Rather than searching for the global optimum in (6), a heuristic algorithm called Lloyd's algorithm is typically used. This iterative algorithm maintains and updates a set of $k$ centroids $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\}$, one centroid per cluster. It defines each cluster $S_{j}$ as the set of all records closer to $c_{j}$ than to any other centroid. Each iteration of the algorithm reduces the WCSS in two steps:

1. Assign each record to the closest centroid, making mean $\left(S_{j}\right) \neq c_{j}$;
2. Reset each centroid to its cluster's mean: $c_{j}:=\operatorname{mean}\left(S_{j}\right)$.

After Step 1 the centroids are generally different from the cluster means, so we can compute another "within-cluster sum of squares" based on the centroids:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { WCSS_C }=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}-\operatorname{centroid}\left(S_{j}: x_{i} \in S_{j}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This WCSS_C after Step 1 is less than the means-based WCSS before Step 1 (or equal if convergence achieved), and in Step 2 the WCSS cannot exceed the WCSS_C for the same clustering; hence the WCSS reduction.

Exact convergence is reached when each record becomes closer to its cluster's mean than to any other cluster's mean, so there are no more re-assignments and the centroids coincide with the means. In practice, iterations may be stopped when the reduction in WCSS (or in WCSS_C) falls below a minimum threshold, or upon reaching the maximum number of iterations. The initialization of the centroids is also an important part of the algorithm. The smallest WCSS obtained by the algorithm is not the global minimum and varies depending on the initial centroids. We implement multiple parallel runs with different initial centroids and report the best result.

Scoring Our scoring script evaluates the clustering output by comparing it with a known category assignment. Since cluster labels have no prior correspondence to the categories, we cannot count "correct" and "wrong" cluster assignments. Instead, we quantify them in two ways:

1. Count how many same-category and different-category pairs of records end up in the same cluster or in different clusters;
2. For each category, count the prevalence of its most common cluster; for each cluster, count the prevalence of its most common category.

The number of categories and the number of clusters $(k)$ do not have to be equal. A same-category pair of records clustered into the same cluster is viewed as a "true positive," a different-category pair clustered together is a "false positive," a same-category pair clustered apart is a "false negative" etc.

## Usage: K-means Script

```
-f path/Kmeans.dml -nvargs }\textrm{X}=\mathrm{ path/file }\textrm{C}=\mathrm{ path/file k=int runs=int
    maxi=int tol=double samp=int isY=int Y=path/file fmt=format
    verb=int
```


## Usage: K-means Scoring/Prediction

-f path/Kmeans-predict.dml -nvargs $\quad \mathrm{X}=$ path/file $\quad \mathrm{C}=$ path $/$ file $\mathrm{spY}=$ path/file $\operatorname{prY}=$ path/file $\mathrm{fmt=format} \quad \mathrm{O}=$ path/file

## Arguments

X: Location to read matrix $X$ with the input data records as rows
C: (default: "C.mtx") Location to store the output matrix with the best available cluster centroids as rows
k : Number of clusters (and centroids)
runs: (default: 10) Number of parallel runs, each run with different initial centroids
maxi: (default: 1000) Maximum number of iterations per run
tol: (default: 0.000001) Tolerance (epsilon) for single-iteration WCSS_C change ratio
samp: (default: 50) Average number of records per centroid in data samples used in the centroid initialization procedure
Y: (default: "Y.mtx") Location to store the one-column matrix $Y$ with the best available mapping of records to clusters (defined by the output centroids)
isY: (default: 0) $0=$ do not write matrix $Y, 1=$ write $Y$
fmt: (default: "text") Matrix file output format, such as text, mm, or csv; see read/write functions in SystemML Language Reference for details.
verb: (default: 0) $0=$ do not print per-iteration statistics for each run, $1=$ print them (the "verbose" option)

## Arguments - Scoring/Prediction

X: (default: " ") Location to read matrix $X$ with the input data records as rows, optional when prY input is provided
C: (default: " ") Location to read matrix $C$ with cluster centroids as rows, optional when prY input is provided; NOTE: if both X and C are provided, prY is an output, not input
spY: (default: " ") Location to read a one-column matrix with the externally specified "true" assignment of records (rows) to categories, optional for prediction without scoring
prY: (default: " ") Location to read (or write, if X and C are present) a columnvector with the predicted assignment of rows to clusters; NOTE: No prior correspondence is assumed between the predicted cluster labels and the externally specified categories
fmt: (default: "text") Matrix file output format for prY, such as text, mm, or csv; see read/write functions in SystemML Language Reference for details
0: (default: " ") Location to write the output statistics defined in Table 6, by default print them to the standard output

## Details

Our clustering script proceeds in 3 stages: centroid initialization, parallel $k$-means iterations, and the best-available output generation. Centroids are initialized at random from the input records (the rows of $X$ ), biased towards being chosen far apart from each other. The initialization method is based on the k -means++ heuristic from [3], with one important difference: to reduce the number of passes through $X$, we take a small sample of $X$ and run the k-means++ heuristic over this sample. Here is, conceptually, our centroid initialization algorithm for one clustering run:

1. Sample the rows of $X$ uniformly at random, picking each row with probability $p=k s / n$ where

- $k$ is the number of centroids,
- $n$ is the number of records, and
- $s$ is the samp input parameter.

| Name | CID | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TSS |  | Total Sum of Squares (from the total mean) |
| WCSS_M |  | Within-Cluster Sum of Squares (means as centers) |
| WCSS_M_PC |  | Within-Cluster Sum of Squares (means), in \% of TSS |
| BCSS_M |  | Between-Cluster Sum of Squares (means as centers) |
| BCSS_M_PC |  | Within-Cluster Sum of Squares (means), in \% of TSS |
| WCSS_C |  | Within-Cluster Sum of Squares (centroids as centers) |
| WCSS_C_PC |  | Between-Cluster Sum of Squares (centroids as of TSS |
| BCSS_C | Between-Cluster Sum of Squares (centroids), \% of TSS |  |
| BCSS_C_PC |  | Same-category pairs predicted as Same-cluster, count |
| TRUE_SAME_CT |  | Same-category pairs predicted as Same-cluster, \% |
| TRUE_SAME_PC |  | Diff-category pairs predicted as Diff-cluster, count |
| TRUE_DIFF_CT |  | Diff-category pairs predicted as Diff-cluster, \% |
| TRUE_DIFF_PC |  | Diff-category pairs predicted as Same-cluster, count |
| FALSE_SAME_CT |  | Diff-category pairs predicted as Same-cluster, \% |
| FALSE_SAME_PC |  | Same-category pairs predicted as Diff-cluster, count |
| FALSE_DIFF_CT |  | Same-category pairs predicted as Diff-cluster, \% |
| FALSE_DIFF_PC |  | For specified category, the best predicted cluster id |
| SPEC_TO_PRED | + | For specified category, its full count |
| SPEC_FULL_CT | + | For specified category, best-cluster matching count |
| SPEC_MATCH_CT | + | For specified category, \% of matching to full count |
| SPEC_MATCH_PC | + | For predicted cluster, the best specified category id |
| PRED_TO_SPEC | + | For |
| PRED_FULL_CT | + | For predicted cluster, its full count |
| PRED_MATCH_CT | + | For predicted cluster, best-category matching count |
| PRED_MATCH_PC | + | For predicted cluster, \% of matching to full count |

Table 6: The 0-file for Kmeans-predict provides the output statistics in CSV format, one per line, in the following format: (NAME, [CID], VALUE). Note: the 1st group statistics are given if $X$ input is available; the 2nd group statistics are given if $X$ and $C$ inputs are available; the 3 rd and 4 th group statistics are given if spY input is available; only the 4 th group statistics contain a nonempty CID value; when present, CID contains either the specified category label or the predicted cluster label.

If $k s \geq n$, the entire $X$ is used in place of its sample.
2. Choose the first centroid uniformly at random from the sampled rows.
3. Choose each subsequent centroid from the sampled rows, at random, with probability proportional to the squared Euclidean distance between the row and the nearest already-chosen centroid.
The sampling of $X$ and the selection of centroids are performed independently and in parallel for each run of the $k$-means algorithm. When we sample the rows of $X$, rather than tossing a random coin for each row, we compute the number of rows to skip until the next sampled row as $\lceil\log (u) / \log (1-p)\rceil$ where $u \in(0,1)$ is uniformly random. This time-saving trick works because

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left[k-1<\log _{1-p}(u)<k\right]=p(1-p)^{k-1}=\operatorname{Prob}[\text { skip } k-1 \text { rows }]
$$

However, it requires us to estimate the maximum sample size, which we set near $k s+10 \sqrt{k s}$ to make it generous enough.

Once we selected the initial centroid sets, we start the $k$-means iterations independently in parallel for all clustering runs. The number of clustering runs is given as the runs input parameter. Each iteration of each clustering run performs the following steps:

- Compute the centroid-dependent part of squared Euclidean distances from all records (rows of $X$ ) to each of the $k$ centroids using matrix product;
- Take the minimum of the above for each record;
- Update the current within-cluster sum of squares (WCSS) value, with centroids substituted instead of the means for efficiency;
- Check the convergence criterion: $\mathrm{WCSS}_{\text {old }}-\mathrm{WCSS}_{\text {new }}<\varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{WCSS}_{\text {new }}$ as well as the number of iterations limit;
- Find the closest centroid for each record, sharing equally any records with multiple closest centroids;
- Compute the number of records closest to each centroid, checking for "runaway" centroids with no records left (in which case the run fails);
- Compute the new centroids by averaging the records in their clusters.

When a termination condition is satisfied, we store the centroids and the WCSS value and exit this run. A run has to satisfy the WCSS convergence criterion to be considered successful. Upon the termination of all runs, we select the smallest WCSS value among the successful runs, and write out this run's centroids. If requested, we also compute the cluster assignment of all records in $X$, using integers from 1 to $k$ as the cluster labels. The scoring script can then be used to compare the cluster assignment with an externally specified category assignment.

## Returns

We output the $k$ centroids for the best available clustering, i. e. whose WCSS is the smallest of all successful runs. The centroids are written as the rows of the $k \times m$-matrix into the output file whose path/name was provided as the "C" input argument. If the input parameter "isY" was set to 1 , we also output the one-column matrix with the cluster assignment for all the records. This assignment is written into the file whose path/name was provided as the " Y " input argument. The best WCSS value, as well as some information about the performance of the other runs, is printed during the script execution. The scoring script Kmeans-predict prints all its results in a self-explanatory manner, as defined in Table 6.

```
Examples
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f Kmeans.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx k=5 C=/user/biadmin/centroids.mtx
    fmt=csv
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f Kmeans.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx k=5 runs=100 maxi=5000
```

```
    tol=0.00000001 samp=20 C=/user/biadmin/centroids.mtx isY=1
    Y=/user/biadmin/Yout.mtx verb=1
To predict Y given X and C:
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f Kmeans-predict.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx C=/user/biadmin/C.mtx
    prY=/user/biadmin/PredY.mtx O=/user/biadmin/stats.csv
To compare "actual" labels spY with "predicted" labels given X and C:
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f Kmeans-predict.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx C=/user/biadmin/C.mtx
    spY=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx O=/user/biadmin/stats.csv
To compare "actual" labels spY with given "predicted" labels prY:
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f Kmeans-predict.dml -nvargs
    spY=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx prY=/user/biadmin/PredY.mtx
    0=/user/biadmin/stats.csv
```
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## 4 Regression

### 4.1 Linear Regression

## Description

Linear Regression scripts are used to model the relationship between one numerical response variable and one or more explanatory (feature) variables. The scripts are given a dataset $(X, Y)=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ where $x_{i}$ is a numerical vector of feature variables and $y_{i}$ is a numerical response value for each training data record. The feature vectors are provided as a matrix $X$ of size $n \times m$, where $n$ is the number of records and $m$ is the number of features. The observed response values are provided as a 1-column matrix $Y$, with a numerical value $y_{i}$ for each $x_{i}$ in the corresponding row of matrix $X$.

In linear regression, we predict the distribution of the response $y_{i}$ based on a fixed linear combination of the features in $x_{i}$. We assume that there exist constant regression coefficients $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ and a constant residual variance $\sigma^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma^{2}\right) \text { where } \mu_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i, 1}+\ldots+\beta_{m} x_{i, m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Distribution $y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma^{2}\right)$ models the "unexplained" residual noise and is assumed independent across different records.

The goal is to estimate the regression coefficients and the residual variance. Once they are accurately estimated, we can make predictions about $y_{i}$ given $x_{i}$ in new records. We can also use the $\beta_{j}$ 's to analyze the influence of individual features on the response value, and assess the quality of this model by comparing residual variance in the response, left after prediction, with its total variance.

There are two scripts in our library, both doing the same estimation, but using different computational methods. Depending on the size and the sparsity of the feature matrix $X$, one or the other script may be more efficient. The "direct solve" script LinearRegDS is more efficient when the number of features $m$ is relatively small ( $m \sim 1000$ or less) and matrix $X$ is either tall or fairly dense (has $\gg m^{2}$ nonzeros); otherwise, the "conjugate gradient" script LinearRegCG is more efficient. If $m>50000$, use only LinearRegCG.

## Usage

```
-f path/LinearRegDS.dml -nvargs X=path/file Y=path/file B=path/file
    O=path/file icpt=int reg=double fmt=format
-f path/LinearRegCG.dml -nvargs X=path/file Y=path/file B=path/file
    O=path/file Log=path/file icpt=int reg=double tol=double maxi=int
    fmt=format
```


## Arguments

X: Location (on HDFS) to read the matrix of feature vectors, each row constitutes one feature vector

Y: Location to read the 1-column matrix of response values
B: Location to store the estimated regression parameters (the $\beta_{j}$ 's), with the intercept parameter $\beta_{0}$ at position $\mathrm{B}[m+1,1]$ if available
0: (default: " ") Location to store the CSV-file of summary statistics defined in Table 7, the default is to print it to the standard output
Log: (default: " ", LinearRegCG only) Location to store iteration-specific variables for monitoring and debugging purposes, see Table 8 for details.
icpt: (default: 0) Intercept presence and shifting/rescaling the features in $X$ : $0=$ no intercept (hence no $\beta_{0}$ ), no shifting or rescaling of the features;
$1=$ add intercept, but do not shift/rescale the features in $X$;
$2=$ add intercept, shift/rescale the features in $X$ to mean 0 , variance 1
reg: (default: 0.000001) L2-regularization parameter $\lambda \geq 0$; set to nonzero for highly dependent, sparse, or numerous ( $m \gtrsim n / 10$ ) features
tol: (default: 0.000001, LinearRegCG only) Tolerance $\varepsilon \geq 0$ used in the convergence criterion: we terminate conjugate gradient iterations when the $\beta$-residual reduces in L2-norm by this factor
maxi: (default: 0, LinearRegCG only) Maximum number of conjugate gradient iterations, or 0 if no maximum limit provided
fmt: (default: "text") Matrix file output format, such as text, mm, or csv; see read/write functions in SystemML Language Reference for details.

| Name | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| AVG_TOT_Y | Average of the response value $Y$ |
| STDEV_TOT_Y | Standard Deviation of the response value $Y$ |
| AVG_RES_Y | Average of the residual $Y-$ pred $(Y \mid X)$, i.e. residual bias |
| STDEV_RES_Y | Standard Deviation of the residual $Y$ - pred $(Y \mid X)$ |
| DISPERSION | GLM-style dispersion, i.e. residual sum of squares / \#deg. fr. |
| PLAIN_R2 | Plain $R^{2}$ of residual with bias included vs. total average |
| ADJUSTED_R2 | Adjusted $R^{2}$ of residual with bias included vs. total average |
| PLAIN_R2_NOBIAS | Plain $R^{2}$ of residual with bias subtracted vs. total average |
| ADJUSTED_R2_NOBIAS | Adjusted $R^{2}$ of residual with bias subtracted vs. total average |
| PLAIN_R2_VS_0 | *Plain $R^{2}$ of residual with bias included vs. zero constant |
| ADJUSTED_R2_VS_0 | *Adjusted $R^{2}$ of residual with bias included vs. zero constant |
| The last two statistics are only printed if there is no intercept (icpt=0) |  |

Table 7: Besides $\beta$, linear regression scripts compute a few summary statistics listed above. The statistics are provided in CSV format, one comma-separated name-value pair per each line.

| Name | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| CG_RESIDUAL_NORM | L2-norm of conjug. grad. residual, which is $A \% * \% \beta-t(X) \% * \% y$ |
|  | where $A=t(X) \% * \% X+\operatorname{diag}(\lambda)$, or a similar quantity |
| CG_RESIDUAL_RATIO | Ratio of current L2-norm of conjug. grad. residual over the initial |

Table 8: The Log file for LinearRegCG script contains the above per-iteration variables in CSV format, each line containing triple (Name, Iteration\#, Value) with Iteration\# being 0 for initial values.

## Details

To solve a linear regression problem over feature matrix $X$ and response vector $Y$, we can find coefficients $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ and $\sigma^{2}$ that maximize the joint likelihood of all $y_{i}$ for $i=1 \ldots n$, defined by the assumed statistical model (8). Since the joint likelihood of the independent $y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is proportional to the product of $\exp \left(-\left(y_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2} /\left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)\right)$, we can take the logarithm of this product, then multiply by $-2 \sigma^{2}<0$ to obtain a least squares problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} x_{i, j}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \min \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This may not be enough, however. The minimum may sometimes be attained over infinitely many $\beta$-vectors, for example if $X$ has an all- 0 column, or has linearly dependent columns, or has fewer rows than columns $(n<m)$. Even if (9) has a unique solution, other $\beta$-vectors may be just a little suboptimal ${ }^{1}$, yet give significantly different predictions for new feature vectors. This results in overfitting: prediction error for the training data ( $X$ and $Y$ ) is much smaller than for the test data (new records).

[^1]Overfitting and degeneracy in the data is commonly mitigated by adding a regularization penalty term to the least squares function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} x_{i, j}\right)^{2}+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}^{2} \rightarrow \min \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The choice of $\lambda>0$, the regularization constant, typically involves crossvalidation where the dataset is repeatedly split into a training part (to estimate the $\beta_{j}$ 's) and a test part (to evaluate prediction accuracy), with the goal of maximizing the test accuracy. In our scripts, $\lambda$ is provided as input parameter reg.

The solution to least squares problem (10), through taking the derivative and setting it to 0 , has the matrix linear equation form

$$
A\left[\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{1: m}  \tag{11}\\
\beta_{0}
\end{array}\right]=[X, 1]^{T} Y, \text { where } A=[X, 1]^{T}[X, 1]+\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{\lambda, \ldots, \lambda}_{m}, 0)
$$

where [ $X, 1$ ] is $X$ with an extra column of 1 s appended on the right, and the diagonal matrix of $\lambda$ 's has a zero to keep the intercept $\beta_{0}$ unregularized. If the intercept is disabled by setting icpt=0, the equation is simply $X^{T} X \beta=X^{T} Y$.

We implemented two scripts for solving equation (11): one is a "direct solver" that computes $A$ and then solves $A \beta=[X, 1]^{T} Y$ by calling an external package, the other performs linear conjugate gradient (CG) iterations without ever materializing $A$. The CG algorithm closely follows Algorithm 5.2 in Chapter 5 of [9]. Each step in the CG algorithm computes a matrix-vector multiplication $q=A p$ by first computing $[X, 1] p$ and then $[X, 1]^{T}[X, 1] p$. Usually the number of such multiplications, one per CG iteration, is much smaller than $m$. The user can put a hard bound on it with input parameter maxi, or use the default maximum of $m+1$ (or $m$ if no intercept) by having maxi=0. The CG iterations terminate when the L2-norm of vector $r=A \beta-[X, 1]^{T} Y$ decreases from its initial value (for $\beta=0$ ) by the tolerance factor specified in input parameter tol.

The CG algorithm is more efficient if computing $[X, 1]^{T}([X, 1] p)$ is much faster than materializing $A$, an $(m+1) \times(m+1)$ matrix. The Direct Solver (DS) is more efficient if $X$ takes up a lot more memory than $A$ (i.e. $X$ has a lot more nonzeros than $m^{2}$ ) and if $m^{2}$ is small enough for the external solver ( $m \lesssim 50000$ ). A more precise determination between CG and DS is subject to further research.

In addition to the $\beta$-vector, the scripts estimate the residual standard deviation $\sigma$ and the $R^{2}$, the ratio of "explained" variance to the total variance of the response variable. These statistics only make sense if the number of degrees of freedom $n-m-1$ is positive and the regularization constant $\lambda$ is negligible or zero. The formulas for $\sigma$ and $R^{2}$ are:

$$
R_{\text {plain }}^{2}=1-\frac{\mathrm{RSS}}{\mathrm{TSS}}, \quad \sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{RSS}}{n-m-1}}, \quad R_{\mathrm{adj} .}^{2}=1-\frac{\sigma^{2}(n-1)}{\mathrm{TSS}}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{RSS}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n}\left(y_{i^{\prime}}-\hat{\mu}_{i^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{2} ; \quad \mathrm{TSS}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n} y_{i^{\prime}}\right)^{2}
$$

Here $\hat{\mu}_{i}$ are the predicted means for $y_{i}$ based on the estimated regression coefficients and the feature vectors. They may be biased when no intercept is present, hence the RSS formula subtracts the bias.

Lastly, note that by choosing the input option icpt=2 the user can shift and rescale the columns of $X$ to have zero average and the variance of 1 . This is particularly important when using regularization over highly disbalanced features, because regularization tends to penalize small-variance columns (which need large $\beta_{j}$ 's) more than large-variance columns (with small $\beta_{j}$ 's). At the end, the estimated regression coefficients are shifted and rescaled to apply to the original features.

## Returns

The estimated regression coefficients (the $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ 's) are populated into a matrix and written to an HDFS file whose path/name was provided as the "B" input argument. What this matrix contains, and its size, depends on the input argument icpt, which specifies the user's intercept and rescaling choice:
icpt $=0$ : No intercept, matrix $B$ has size $m \times 1$, with $B[j, 1]=\hat{\beta}_{j}$ for each $j$ from 1 to $m=\operatorname{ncol}(X)$.
icpt=1: There is intercept, but no shifting/rescaling of $X$; matrix $B$ has size $(m+1) \times 1$, with $B[j, 1]=\hat{\beta}_{j}$ for $j$ from 1 to $m$, and $B[m+1,1]=\hat{\beta}_{0}$, the estimated intercept coefficient.
icpt=2: There is intercept, and the features in $X$ are shifted to mean $=0$ and rescaled to variance $=1$; then there are two versions of the $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ 's, one for the original features and another for the shifted/rescaled features. Now matrix $B$ has size $(m+1) \times 2$, with $B[\cdot, 1]$ for the original features and $B[\cdot, 2]$ for the shifted/rescaled features, in the above format. Note that $B[\cdot, 2]$ are iteratively estimated and $B[\cdot, 1]$ are obtained from $B[\cdot, 2]$ by complementary shifting and rescaling.
The estimated summary statistics, including residual standard deviation $\sigma$ and the $R^{2}$, are printed out or sent into a file (if specified) in CSV format as defined in Table 7. For conjugate gradient iterations, a $\log$ file with monitoring variables can also be made available, see Table 8.

## Examples

```
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f LinearRegCG.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx Y=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx
    B=/user/biadmin/B.mtx fmt=csv O=/user/biadmin/stats.csv icpt=2
    reg=1.0 tol=0.00000001 maxi=100 Log=/user/biadmin/log.csv
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f LinearRegDS.dml -nvargs
    X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx Y=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx
    B=/user/biadmin/B.mtx fmt=csv O=/user/biadmin/stats.csv icpt=2
    reg=1.0
```


### 4.2 Generalized Linear Models (GLM)

## Description

Generalized Linear Models [5, 7, 8] extend the methodology of linear and logistic regression to a variety of distributions commonly assumed as noise effects in the response variable. As before, we are given a collection of records $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$, $\ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ where $x_{i}$ is a numerical vector of explanatory (feature) variables of size $\operatorname{dim} x_{i}=m$, and $y_{i}$ is the response (dependent) variable observed for this vector. GLMs assume that some linear combination of the features in $x_{i}$ determines the mean $\mu_{i}$ of $y_{i}$, while the observed $y_{i}$ is a random outcome of a noise distribution $\operatorname{Prob}\left[y \mid \mu_{i}\right]^{2}$ with that mean $\mu_{i}$ :

$$
x_{i} \mapsto \quad \eta_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} x_{i, j} \quad \mapsto \quad \mu_{i} \quad \mapsto \quad y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Prob}\left[y \mid \mu_{i}\right]
$$

In linear regression the response mean $\mu_{i}$ equals some linear combination over $x_{i}$, denoted above by $\eta_{i}$. In logistic regression with $y \in\{0,1\}$ (Bernoulli) the mean of $y$ is the same as $\operatorname{Prob}[y=1]$ and equals $1 /\left(1+e^{-\eta_{i}}\right)$, the logistic function of $\eta_{i}$. In GLM, $\mu_{i}$ and $\eta_{i}$ can be related via any given smooth monotone function called the link function: $\eta_{i}=g\left(\mu_{i}\right)$. The unknown linear combination parameters $\beta_{j}$ are assumed to be the same for all records.

The goal of the regression is to estimate the parameters $\beta_{j}$ from the observed data. Once the $\beta_{j}$ 's are accurately estimated, we can make predictions about $y$ for a new feature vector $x$. To do so, compute $\eta$ from $x$ and use the inverted link function $\mu=g^{-1}(\eta)$ to compute the mean $\mu$ of $y$; then use the distribution $\operatorname{Prob}[y \mid \mu]$ to make predictions about $y$. Both $g(\mu)$ and $\operatorname{Prob}[y \mid \mu]$ are userprovided. Our GLM script supports a standard set of distributions and link functions, see below for details.

## Usage

```
-f path/GLM.dml -nvargs X=path/file Y=path/file B=path/file fmt=format
    O=path/file Log=path/file dfam=int vpow=double link=int lpow=double
    yneg=double icpt=int reg=double tol=double disp=double moi=int
    mii=int
```


## Arguments

X: Location (on HDFS) to read the matrix of feature vectors; each row constitutes an example.
Y: Location to read the response matrix, which may have 1 or 2 columns
B: Location to store the estimated regression parameters (the $\beta_{j}$ 's), with the intercept parameter $\beta_{0}$ at position $\mathrm{B}[m+1,1]$ if available
fmt: (default: "text") Matrix file output format, such as text, mm, or csv; see read/write functions in SystemML Language Reference for details.
0: (default: " ") Location to write certain summary statistics described in Table 9, by default it is standard output.
Log: (default: " ") Location to store iteration-specific variables for monitoring and debugging purposes, see Table 10 for details.

[^2]dfam: (default: 1) Distribution family code to specify $\operatorname{Prob}[y \mid \mu]$, see Table 11: $1=$ power distributions with $\operatorname{Var}(y)=\mu^{\alpha} ; 2=$ binomial or Bernoulli
vpow: (default: 0.0) When $\operatorname{dfam=1}$, this provides the $q$ in $\operatorname{Var}(y)=a \mu^{q}$, the power dependence of the variance of $y$ on its mean. In particular, use: $0.0=$ Gaussian, $1.0=$ Poisson, $2.0=$ Gamma, $3.0=$ inverse Gaussian
link: (default: 0 ) Link function code to determine the link function $\eta=g(\mu)$ : $0=$ canonical link (depends on the distribution family), see Table 11;
$1=$ power functions, $2=\operatorname{logit}, 3=$ probit, $4=\operatorname{cloglog}, 5=$ cauchit
lpow: (default: 1.0) When link=1, this provides the $s$ in $\eta=\mu^{s}$, the power link function; lpow $=0.0$ gives the $\log \operatorname{link} \eta=\log \mu$. Common power links:
$-2.0=1 / \mu^{2},-1.0=$ reciprocal, $0.0=\log , 0.5=$ sqrt, $1.0=$ identity
yneg: (default: 0.0) When $\mathrm{dfam}=2$ and the response matrix $Y$ has 1 column, this specifies the $y$-value used for Bernoulli "No" label ("Yes" is 1 ): 0.0 when $y \in\{0,1\} ;-1.0$ when $y \in\{-1,1\}$
icpt: (default: 0) Intercept and shifting/rescaling of the features in $X$ :
$0=$ no intercept (hence no $\beta_{0}$ ), no shifting/rescaling of the features;
$1=$ add intercept, but do not shift/rescale the features in $X$;
$2=$ add intercept, shift/rescale the features in $X$ to mean 0 , variance 1
reg: (default: 0.0) L2-regularization parameter (lambda)
tol: (default: 0.000001 ) Tolerance (epsilon) used in the convergence criterion: we terminate the outer iterations when the deviance changes by less than this factor; see below for details
disp: (default: 0.0) Dispersion parameter, or 0.0 to estimate it from data
moi: (default: 200) Maximum number of outer (Fisher scoring) iterations
mii: (default: 0) Maximum number of inner (conjugate gradient) iterations, or 0 if no maximum limit provided

## Details

In GLM, the noise distribution $\operatorname{Prob}[y \mid \mu]$ of the response variable $y$ given its mean $\mu$ is restricted to have the exponential family form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y \sim \operatorname{Prob}[y \mid \mu]=\exp \left(\frac{y \theta-b(\theta)}{a}+c(y, a)\right), \text { where } \mu=\mathrm{E}(Y)=b^{\prime}(\theta) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing the mean in such a distribution simply multiplies all $\operatorname{Prob}[y \mid \mu]$ by $e^{y \theta / a}$ and rescales them so that they again integrate to 1 . Parameter $\theta$ is called canonical, and the function $\theta=b^{\prime-1}(\mu)$ that relates it to the mean is called the canonical link; constant $a$ is called dispersion and rescales the variance of $y$. Many common distributions can be put into this form, see Table 11. The canonical parameter $\theta$ is often chosen to coincide with $\eta$, the linear combination of the regression features; other choices for $\eta$ are possible too.

Rather than specifying the canonical link, GLM distributions are commonly defined by their variance $\operatorname{Var}(y)$ as the function of the mean $\mu$. It can be shown from Eq. (12) that $\operatorname{Var}(y)=a b^{\prime \prime}(\theta)=a b^{\prime \prime}\left(b^{\prime-1}(\mu)\right)$. For example,

| Name | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| TERMINATION_CODE | A positive integer indicating success/failure as follows: |
|  | 1= Converged successfully; 2 = Maximum \# of iterations reached; |
|  | 3 = Input (X, Y) out of range; 4 = Distribution/link not supported |
| BETA_MIN | Smallest beta value (regression coefficient), excluding the intercept |
| BETAMIN_INDEX | Column index for the smallest beta value |
| BETA_MAX | Largest beta value (regression coefficient), excluding the intercept |
| BETA_MAX_INDEX | Column index for the largest beta value |
| INTERCEPT | Intercept value, or NaN if there is no intercept (if icpt=0) |
| DISPERSION | Dispersion used to scale deviance, provided in disp input argument |
|  | or estimated (same as DISPERSION_EST) if disp argument is $\leq 0$ |
| DISPERSION_EST | Dispersion estimated from the dataset |
| DEVIANCE_UNSCALED | Deviance from the saturated model, assuming dispersion = 1.0 |
| DEVIANCE_SCALED | Deviance from the saturated model, scaled by DISPERSION value |

Table 9: Besides $\beta$, GLM regression script computes a few summary statistics listed above. They are provided in CSV format, one comma-separated namevalue pair per each line.
for the Bernoulli distribution $\operatorname{Var}(y)=\mu(1-\mu)$, for the Poisson distribution $\operatorname{Var}(y)=\mu$, and for the Gaussian distribution $\operatorname{Var}(y)=a \cdot 1=\sigma^{2}$. It turns out that for many common distributions $\operatorname{Var}(y)=a \mu^{q}$, a power function. We support all distributions where $\operatorname{Var}(y)=a \mu^{q}$, as well as the Bernoulli and the binomial distributions.

For distributions with $\operatorname{Var}(y)=a \mu^{q}$ the canonical link is also a power function, namely $\theta=\mu^{1-q} /(1-q)$, except for the Poisson $(q=1)$ whose canonical link is $\theta=\log \mu$. We support all power link functions in the form $\eta=\mu^{s}$, dropping any constant factor, with $\eta=\log \mu$ for $s=0$. The binomial distribution has its own family of link functions, which includes logit (the canonical link), probit, cloglog, and cauchit (see Table 12); we support these only for the binomial and Bernoulli distributions. Links and distributions are specified via four input parameters: dfam, vpow, link, and lpow (see Table 11).

The observed response values are provided to the regression script as a matrix $Y$ having 1 or 2 columns. If a power distribution family is selected ( $\mathrm{dfam=1}$ ), matrix $Y$ must have 1 column that provides $y_{i}$ for each $x_{i}$ in the corresponding row of matrix $X$. When $\mathrm{dfam}=2$ and $Y$ has 1 column, we assume the Bernoulli distribution for $y_{i} \in\left\{y_{\text {neg }}, 1\right\}$ with $y_{\text {neg }}$ from the input parameter yneg. When dfam=2 and $Y$ has 2 columns, we assume the binomial distribution; for each row $i$ in $X$, cells $Y[i, 1]$ and $Y[i, 2]$ provide the positive and the negative binomial counts respectively. Internally we convert the 1-column Bernoulli into the 2 -column binomial with 0 -versus- 1 counts.

We estimate the regression parameters via L2-regularized negative loglikelihood minimization:

$$
f(\beta ; X, Y)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i} \theta_{i}-b\left(\theta_{i}\right)\right)+(\lambda / 2) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}^{2} \rightarrow \min
$$

where $\theta_{i}$ and $b\left(\theta_{i}\right)$ are from (12); note that $a$ and $c(y, a)$ are constant w.r.t. $\beta$

| Name | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| NUM_CG_ITERS | Number of inner (Conj. Gradient) iterations in this outer iteration |
| IS_TRUST_REACHED | $1=$ trust region boundary was reached, $0=$ otherwise |
| POINT_STEP_NORM | L2-norm of iteration step from old point ( $\beta$-vector) to new point |
| OBJECTIVE | The loss function we minimize (negative partial log-likelihood) |
| OBJ_DROP_REAL | Reduction in the objective during this iteration, actual value |
| OBJ_DROP_PRED | Reduction in the objective predicted by a quadratic approximation |
| OBJ_DROP_RATIO | Actual-to-predicted reduction ratio, used to update the trust region |
| GRADIENT_NORM | L2-norm of the loss function gradient (omitted if point is rejected) |
| LINEAR_TERMMIN | The minimum value of $X \% * \%$, used to check for overflows |
| LINEAR_TERM_MAX | The maximum value of $X \% * \% \beta$, used to check for overflows |
| IS_POINT_UPDATED | $1=$ new point accepted; $0=$ new point rejected, old point restored |
| TRUST_DELTA | Updated trust region size, the "delta" |

Table 10: The Log file for GLM regression contains the above per-iteration variables in CSV format, each line containing triple (Name, Iteration\#, Value) with Iteration\# being 0 for initial values.
and can be ignored here. The canonical parameter $\theta_{i}$ depends on both $\beta$ and $x_{i}$ :

$$
\theta_{i}=b^{\prime-1}\left(\mu_{i}\right)=b^{\prime-1}\left(g^{-1}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right)=\left(b^{\prime-1} \circ g^{-1}\right)\left(\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} x_{i, j}\right)
$$

The user-provided (via reg) regularization coefficient $\lambda \geq 0$ can be used to mitigate overfitting and degeneracy in the data. Note that the intercept is never regularized.

Our iterative minimizer for $f(\beta ; X, Y)$ uses the Fisher scoring approximation to the difference $\Delta f(z ; \beta)=f(\beta+z ; X, Y)-f(\beta ; X, Y)$, recomputed at each iteration:

$$
\Delta f(z ; \beta) \approx 1 / 2 \cdot z^{T} A z+G^{T} z, \text { where } A=X^{T} \operatorname{diag}(w) X+\lambda I
$$

and $G=-X^{T} u+\lambda \beta$, with $n \times 1$ vectors $w$ and $u$ given by

$$
\forall i=1 \ldots n: \quad w_{i}=\left[v\left(\mu_{i}\right) g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{i}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1} \quad u_{i}=\left(y_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)\left[v\left(\mu_{i}\right) g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{i}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

Here $v\left(\mu_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(y_{i}\right) / a$, the variance of $y_{i}$ as the function of the mean, and $g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{i}\right)=d \eta_{i} / d \mu_{i}$ is the link function derivative. The Fisher scoring approximation is minimized by trust-region conjugate gradient iterations (called the inner iterations, with the Fisher scoring iterations as the outer iterations), which approximately solve the following problem:

$$
1 / 2 \cdot z^{T} A z+G^{T} z \rightarrow \min \quad \text { subject to }\|z\|_{2} \leq \delta
$$

The conjugate gradient algorithm closely follows Algorithm 7.2 on page 171 of [9]. The trust region size $\delta$ is initialized as $0.5 \sqrt{m} / \max _{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{2}$ and updated as described in [9]. The user can specify the maximum number of the outer and the inner iterations with input parameters moi and mii, respectively. The Fisher scoring algorithm terminates successfully if $2|\Delta f(z ; \beta)|<\left(D_{1}(\beta)+0.1\right) \varepsilon$

| INPUT PARAMETERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dfam | vpow | link | Dpow | Distribution | family | Link |
| function | Cano- |  |  |  |  |  |
| nical? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11: Common GLM distribution families and link functions. (Here "*" stands for "any value.")
where $\varepsilon>0$ is a tolerance supplied by the user via tol, and $D_{1}(\beta)$ is the unit-dispersion deviance estimated as

$$
D_{1}(\beta)=2 \cdot\left(\operatorname{Prob}\left[Y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\text { saturated } \\
\text { model }
\end{array}\right., a=1\right]-\operatorname{Prob}[Y \mid X, \beta, a=1]\right)
$$

The deviance estimate is also produced as part of the output. Once the Fisher scoring algorithm terminates, if requested by the user, we estimate the dispersion $a$ from Eq. 12 using Pearson residuals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}=\frac{1}{n-m} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(y_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2}}{v\left(\mu_{i}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use it to adjust our deviance estimate: $D_{\hat{a}}(\beta)=D_{1}(\beta) / \hat{a}$. If input argument disp is 0.0 we estimate $\hat{a}$, otherwise we use its value as $a$. Note that in (13) $m$ counts the intercept $(m \leftarrow m+1)$ if it is present.

## Returns

The estimated regression parameters (the $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ 's) are populated into a matrix and written to an HDFS file whose path/name was provided as the "B" input argument. What this matrix contains, and its size, depends on the input argument icpt, which specifies the user's intercept and rescaling choice:

| Name | Link function | Name | Link function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Logit | $\eta=1 /\left(1+e^{-\mu}\right)$ | Cloglog | $\eta=\log (-\log (1-\mu))$ |
| Probit | $\mu=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} d t$ | Cauchit | $\eta=\tan \pi(\mu-1 / 2)$ |

Table 12: The supported non-power link functions for the Bernoulli and the binomial distributions. (Here $\mu$ is the Bernoulli mean.)
icpt $=0$ : No intercept, matrix $B$ has size $m \times 1$, with $B[j, 1]=\hat{\beta}_{j}$ for each $j$ from 1 to $m=\operatorname{ncol}(X)$.
icpt=1: There is intercept, but no shifting/rescaling of $X$; matrix $B$ has size $(m+1) \times 1$, with $B[j, 1]=\hat{\beta}_{j}$ for $j$ from 1 to $m$, and $B[m+1,1]=\hat{\beta}_{0}$, the estimated intercept coefficient.
icpt=2: There is intercept, and the features in $X$ are shifted to mean $=0$ and rescaled to variance $=1$; then there are two versions of the $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ 's, one for the original features and another for the shifted/rescaled features. Now matrix $B$ has size $(m+1) \times 2$, with $B[\cdot, 1]$ for the original features and $B[\cdot, 2]$ for the shifted/rescaled features, in the above format. Note that $B[\cdot, 2]$ are iteratively estimated and $B[\cdot, 1]$ are obtained from $B[\cdot, 2]$ by complementary shifting and rescaling.

Our script also estimates the dispersion $\hat{a}$ (or takes it from the user's input) and the deviances $D_{1}(\hat{\beta})$ and $D_{\hat{a}}(\hat{\beta})$, see Table 9 for details. A log file with variables monitoring progress through the iterations can also be made available, see Table 10.

## Examples

hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f GLM.dml -nvargs X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx
$\mathrm{Y}=/$ user/biadmin/Y.mtx $\mathrm{B}=/ \mathrm{user} / \mathrm{biadmin} / \mathrm{B} . \mathrm{mtx} \mathrm{fmt=csv}$
dfam=2 link=2 yneg=-1.0 icpt=2 reg=0.01 tol=0.00000001
disp=1.0 moi=100 mii=10 0=/user/biadmin/stats.csv
Log=/user/biadmin/log.csv

## See Also

In case of binary classification problems, consider using L2-SVM or binary logistic regression; for multiclass classification, use multiclass SVM or multinomial logistic regression. For the special cases of linear regression and logistic regression, it may be more efficient to use the corresponding specialized scripts instead of GLM.

### 4.3 Regression Scoring and Prediction

## Description

Script GLM-predict.dml is intended to cover all linear model based regressions, including linear regression, binomial and multinomial logistic regression,
and GLM regressions (Poisson, gamma, binomial with probit link etc.). Having just one scoring script for all these regressions simplifies maintenance and enhancement while ensuring compatible interpretations for output statistics.

The script performs two functions, prediction and scoring. To perform prediction, the script takes two matrix inputs: a collection of records $X$ (without the response attribute) and the estimated regression parameters $B$, also known as $\beta$. To perform scoring, in addition to $X$ and $B$, the script takes the matrix of actual response values $Y$ that are compared to the predictions made with $X$ and $B$. Of course there are other, non-matrix, input arguments that specify the model and the output format, see below for the full list.

We assume that our test/scoring dataset is given by $n \times m$-matrix $X$ of numerical feature vectors, where each row $x_{i}$ represents one feature vector of one record; we have $\operatorname{dim} x_{i}=m$. Each record also includes the response variable $y_{i}$ that may be numerical, single-label categorical, or multi-label categorical. A single-label categorical $y_{i}$ is an integer category label, one label per record; a multi-label $y_{i}$ is a vector of integer counts, one count for each possible label, which represents multiple single-label events (observations) for the same $x_{i}$. Internally we convert single-label categoricals into multi-label categoricals by replacing each label $l$ with an indicator vector $\left(0, \ldots, 0,1_{l}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. In prediction-only tasks the actual $y_{i}$ 's are not needed to the script, but they are needed for scoring.

To perform prediction, the script matrix-multiplies $X$ and $B$, adding the intercept if available, then applies the inverse of the model's link function. All GLMs assume that the linear combination of the features in $x_{i}$ and the betas in $B$ determines the means $\mu_{i}$ of the $y_{i}$ 's (in numerical or multi-label categorical form) with $\operatorname{dim} \mu_{i}=\operatorname{dim} y_{i}$. The observed $y_{i}$ is assumed to follow a specified GLM family distribution $\operatorname{Prob}\left[y \mid \mu_{i}\right]$ with mean(s) $\mu_{i}$ :

$$
x_{i} \mapsto \quad \eta_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} x_{i, j} \quad \mapsto \quad \mu_{i} \quad \mapsto \quad y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Prob}\left[y \mid \mu_{i}\right]
$$

If $y_{i}$ is numerical, the predicted mean $\mu_{i}$ is a real number. Then our script's output matrix $M$ is the $n \times 1$-vector of these means $\mu_{i}$. Note that $\mu_{i}$ predicts the mean of $y_{i}$, not the actual $y_{i}$. For example, in Poisson distribution, the mean is usually fractional, but the actual $y_{i}$ is always integer.

If $y_{i}$ is categorical, i.e. a vector of label counts for record $i$, then $\mu_{i}$ is a vector of non-negative real numbers, one number $\mu_{i, l}$ per each label $l$. In this case we divide the $\mu_{i, l}$ by their sum $\sum_{l} \mu_{i, l}$ to obtain predicted label probabilities $p_{i, l} \in[0,1]$. The output matrix $M$ is the $n \times(k+1)$-matrix of these probabilities, where $n$ is the number of records and $k+1$ is the number of categories $^{3}$. Note again that we do not predict the labels themselves, nor their actual counts per record, but we predict the labels' probabilities.

Going from predicted probabilities to predicted labels, in the single-label categorical case, requires extra information such as the cost of false positive

[^3]versus false negative errors. For example, if there are 5 categories and we accurately predicted their probabilities as ( $0.1,0.3,0.15,0.2,0.25$ ), just picking the highest-probability label would be wrong $70 \%$ of the time, whereas picking the lowest-probability label might be right if, say, it represents a diagnosis of cancer or another rare and serious outcome. Hence, we keep this step outside the scope of GLM-predict.dml for now.

## Usage

```
-f path/GLM-predict.dml -nvargs X=path/file Y=path/file B=path/file
    M=path/file 0=path/file dfam=int vpow=double link=int lpow=double
    disp=double fmt=format
```


## Arguments

X: Location (on HDFS) to read the $n \times m$-matrix $X$ of feature vectors, each row constitutes one feature vector (one record)
Y: (default: " ") Location to read the response matrix $Y$ needed for scoring (but optional for prediction), with the following dimensions:
$n \times 1$ : acceptable for all distributions ( $\mathrm{dfam=1}$ or 2 or 3 )
$n \times 2$ : for binomial (dfam=2) if given by (\#pos, \#neg) counts
$n \times k+1$ : for multinomial (dfam=3) if given by category counts
M: (default: " ") Location to write, if requested, the matrix of predicted response means (for dfam=1) or probabilities (for $d f a m=2$ or 3 ):
$n \times 1$ : for power-type distributions (dfam=1)
$n \times 2$ : for binomial distribution (dfam=2), col\# 2 is the "No" probability $n \times k+1$ : for multinomial logit ( $\mathrm{dfam}=3$ ), col $\# k+1$ is for the baseline
B: Location to read matrix $B$ of the betas, i.e. estimated GLM regression parameters, with the intercept at row $\# m+1$ if available: $\operatorname{dim}(B)=m \times k:$ do not add intercept $\operatorname{dim}(B)=m+1 \times k$ : add intercept as given by the last $B$-row if $k>1$, use only $B[, 1]$ unless it is Multinomial Logit (dfam=3)
0: (default: " ") Location to store the CSV-file with goodness-of-fit statistics defined in Table 13, the default is to print them to the standard output
dfam: (default: 1) GLM distribution family code to specify the type of distribution $\operatorname{Prob}[y \mid \mu]$ that we assume:
$1=$ power distributions with $\operatorname{Var}(y)=\mu^{\alpha}$, see Table 11;
$2=$ binomial; $3=$ multinomial logit
vpow: (default: 0.0) Power for variance defined as (mean) power (ignored if $\mathrm{df} a \mathrm{~m} \neq 1$ ): when $\mathrm{dfam}=1$, this provides the $q$ in $\operatorname{Var}(y)=a \mu^{q}$, the power dependence of the variance of $y$ on its mean. In particular, use: $0.0=$ Gaussian, $1.0=$ Poisson, $2.0=$ Gamma, $3.0=$ inverse Gaussian
link: (default: 0) Link function code to determine the link function $\eta=g(\mu)$, ignored for multinomial logit ( $\mathrm{dfam=3} \mathrm{):}$
$0=$ canonical link (depends on the distribution family), see Table 11;
$1=$ power functions, $2=\operatorname{logit}, 3=$ probit, $4=\operatorname{clog} \log , 5=$ cauchit

| Name | CID | Disp? | Meaning |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| LOGLHOOD_Z |  | + | Log-likelihood $Z$-score (in st. dev.'s from the mean) |
| LOGLHOOD_Z_PVAL |  | + | Log-likelihood $Z$-score p-value, two-sided |
| PEARSON_X2 |  | + | Pearson residual $X^{2}$-statistic |
| PEARSON_X2_BY_DF |  | + | Pearson $X^{2}$ divided by degrees of freedom |
| PEARSON_X2_PVAL |  | + | Pearson $X^{2}$ p-value |
| DEVIANCE_G2 |  | + | Deviance from the saturated model $G^{2}$-statistic |
| DEVIANCE_G2_BY_DF |  | + | Deviance $G^{2}$ divided by degrees of freedom |
| DEVIANCE_G2_PVAL |  | + | Deviance $G^{2}$ p-value |
| AVG_TOT_Y | + |  | $Y$-column average for an individual response value |
| STDEV_TOT_Y | + |  | $Y$-column st. dev. for an individual response value |
| AVG_RES_Y | + |  | $Y$-column residual average of $Y$ - pred. mean $(Y \mid X)$ |
| STDEV_RES_Y | + |  | $Y$-column residual st. dev. of $Y$ - pred. mean $(Y \mid X)$ |
| PRED_STDEV_RES | + | + | Model-predicted $Y$-column residual st. deviation |
| PLAIN_R2 | + |  | Plain $R^{2}$ of $Y$-column residual with bias included |
| ADJUSTED_R2 | + |  | Adjusted $R^{2}$ of $Y$-column residual w. bias included |
| PLAIN_R2_NOBIAS | + |  | Plain $R^{2}$ of $Y$-column residual, bias subtracted |
| ADJUSTED_R2_NOBIAS | + |  | Adjusted $R^{2}$ of $Y$-column residual, bias subtracted |

Table 13: The above goodness-of-fit statistics are provided in CSV format, one per each line, with four columns: (Name, [CID], [Disp?], Value). The columns are: "Name" is the string identifier for the statistic, see the table; "CID" is an optional integer value that specifies the $Y$-column index for per-column statistics (note that a bi-/multinomial one-column Y-input is converted into multicolumn); "Disp?" is an optional Boolean value (TRUE or FALSE) that tells us whether or not scaling by the input dispersion parameter disp has been applied to this statistic; "Value" is the value of the statistic.
lpow: (default: 1.0) Power for link function defined as (mean) ${ }^{\text {power }}$ (ignored if link $\neq 1$ ): when link=1, this provides the $s$ in $\eta=\mu^{s}$, the power link function; lpow $=0.0$ gives the $\log \operatorname{link} \eta=\log \mu$. Common power links: $-2.0=1 / \mu^{2},-1.0=$ reciprocal, $0.0=\log , 0.5=$ sqrt, $1.0=$ identity
disp: (default: 1.0) Dispersion value, when available; must be positive
fmt: (default: "text") Matrix M file output format, such as text, mm, or csv; see read/write functions in SystemML Language Reference for details.

## Details

The output matrix $M$ of predicted means (or probabilities) is computed by matrix-multiplying $X$ with the first column of $B$ or with the whole $B$ in the multinomial case, adding the intercept if available (conceptually, appending an extra column of ones to $X$ ); then applying the inverse of the model's link function. The difference between "means" and "probabilities" in the categorical case becomes significant when there are $\geq 2$ observations per record (with the multi-label records) or when the labels such as -1 and 1 are viewed and averaged as numerical response values (with the single-label records). To avoid any mix-up or information loss, we separately return the predicted probability of each category label for each record.

When the "actual" response values $Y$ are available, the summary statistics are computed and written out as described in Table 13. Below we discuss each of these statistics in detail. Note that in the categorical case (binomial and multinomial) $Y$ is internally represented as the matrix of observation counts for each label in each record, rather than just the label ID for each record. The input $Y$ may already be a matrix of counts, in which case it is used as-is. But if $Y$ is given as a vector of response labels, each response label is converted into an indicator vector $\left(0, \ldots, 0,1_{l}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ where $l$ is the label ID for this record. All negative (e.g. -1 ) or zero label IDs are converted to the $1+$ maximum label ID. The largest label ID is viewed as the "baseline" as explained in the section on Multinomial Logistic Regression. We assume that there are $k \geq 1$ non-baseline categories and one (last) baseline category.

We also estimate residual variances for each response value, although we do not output them, but use them only inside the summary statistics, scaled and unscaled by the input dispersion parameter disp, as described below.

LOGLHOOD_Z and LOGLHOOD_Z_PVAL statistics measure how far the loglikelihood of $Y$ deviates from its expected value according to the model. The script implements them only for the binomial and the multinomial distributions, returning NaN for all other distributions. Pearson's $X^{2}$ and deviance $G^{2}$ often perform poorly with bi- and multinomial distributions due to low cell counts, hence we need this extra goodness-of-fit measure. To compute these statistics, we use:

- the $n \times(k+1)$-matrix $Y$ of multi-label response counts, in which $y_{i, j}$ is the number of times label $j$ was observed in record $i$;
- the model-estimated probability matrix $P$ of the same dimensions that satisfies $\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} p_{i, j}=1$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ and where $p_{i, j}$ is the model probability of observing label $j$ in record $i$;
- the $n \times 1$-vector $N$ where $N_{i}$ is the aggregated count of observations in record $i$ (all $N_{i}=1$ if each record has only one response label).

We start by computing the multinomial log-likelihood of $Y$ given $P$ and $N$, as well as the expected log-likelihood given a random $Y$ and the variance of this log-likelihood if $Y$ indeed follows the proposed distribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell(Y) & =\log \operatorname{Prob}[Y \mid P, N]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} y_{i, j} \log p_{i, j} \\
\mathrm{E}_{Y} \ell(Y) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \mu_{i, j} \log p_{i, j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} p_{i, j} \log p_{i, j} \\
\operatorname{Var}_{Y} \ell(Y) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} p_{i, j}\left(\log p_{i, j}\right)^{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} p_{i, j} \log p_{i, j}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we compute the $Z$-score as the difference between the actual and the expected log-likelihood $\ell(Y)$ divided by its expected standard deviation, and its
two-sided p-value in the Normal distribution assumption $(\ell(Y)$ should approach normality due to the Central Limit Theorem):

$$
Z=\frac{\ell(Y)-\mathrm{E}_{Y} \ell(Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{Y} \ell(Y)}} ; \quad \text { p-value }(Z)=\operatorname{Prob}[|\operatorname{Normal}(0,1)|>|Z|]
$$

A low p-value would indicate "underfitting" if $Z \ll 0$ or "overfitting" if $Z \gg 0$. Here "overfitting" means that higher-probability labels occur more often than their probabilities suggest.

We also apply the dispersion input (disp) to compute the "scaled" version of the $Z$-score and its p-value. Since $\ell(Y)$ is a linear function of $Y$, multiplying the GLM-predicted variance of $Y$ by disp results in multiplying $\operatorname{Var}_{Y} \ell(Y)$ by the same disp. This, in turn, translates into dividing the $Z$-score by the square root of the dispersion:

$$
Z_{\mathrm{disp}}=\left(\ell(Y)-\mathrm{E}_{Y} \ell(Y)\right) / \sqrt{\operatorname{disp} \cdot \operatorname{Var}_{Y} \ell(Y)}=Z / \sqrt{\operatorname{disp}}
$$

Finally, we recalculate the p-value with this new $Z$-score.
PEARSON_X2, PEARSON_X2_BY_DF, and PEARSON_X2_PVAL: Pearson's residual $X^{2}$-statistic is a commonly used goodness-of-fit measure for linear models [7]. The idea is to measure how well the model-predicted means and variances match the actual behavior of response values. For each record $i$, we estimate the mean $\mu_{i}$ and the variance $v_{i}$ (or disp $\cdot v_{i}$ ) and use them to normalize the residual: $r_{i}=\left(y_{i}-\mu_{i}\right) / \sqrt{v_{i}}$. These normalized residuals are then squared, aggregated by summation, and tested against an appropriate $\chi^{2}$ distribution. The computation of $X^{2}$ is slightly different for categorical data (bi- and multinomial) than it is for numerical data, since $y_{i}$ has multiple correlated dimensions [7]:

$$
X^{2}(\text { numer. })=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(y_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2}}{v_{i}} ; \quad X^{2}(\text { categ. })=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{\left(y_{i, j}-N_{i} p_{i, j}\right)^{2}}{N_{i} p_{i, j}}
$$

The number of degrees of freedom \#d.f. for the $\chi^{2}$ distribution is $n-m$ for numerical data and $(n-m) k$ for categorical data, where $k=\operatorname{ncol}(Y)-1$. Given the dispersion parameter disp, the $X^{2}$ statistic is scaled by division: $X_{\text {disp }}^{2}=X^{2} /$ disp. If the dispersion is accurate, $X^{2} /$ disp should be close to \#d.f. In fact, $X^{2} / \#$ d.f. over the training data is the dispersion estimator used in our GLM. dml script, see (13). Here we provide $X^{2} / \#$ d.f. and $X_{\text {disp }}^{2} / \#$ d.f. as PEARSON_X2_BY_DF to enable dispersion comparison between the training data and the test data.

NOTE: For categorical data, both Pearson's $X^{2}$ and the deviance $G^{2}$ are unreliable (i.e. do not approach the $\chi^{2}$ distribution) unless the predicted means of multi-label counts $\mu_{i, j}=N_{i} p_{i, j}$ are fairly large: all $\geq 1$ and $80 \%$ are at least 5 [4]. They should not be used for "one label per record" categoricals.

DEVIANCE_G2, DEVIANCE_G2_BY_DF, and DEVIANCE_G2_PVAL: Deviance $G^{2}$ is the $\log$ of the likelihood ratio between the "saturated" model and the linear
model being tested for the given dataset, multiplied by two:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{2}=2 \log \frac{\operatorname{Prob}[Y \mid \text { saturated model }]}{\operatorname{Prob}[Y \mid \text { tested linear model }]} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The "saturated" model sets the mean $\mu_{i}^{\text {sat }}$ to equal $y_{i}$ for every record (for categorical data, $\left.p_{i, j}^{\text {sat }}=y_{i, j} / N_{i}\right)$, which represents the "perfect fit." For records with $y_{i, j} \in\left\{0, N_{i}\right\}$ or otherwise at a boundary, by continuity we set $0 \log 0=0$. The GLM likelihood functions defined in (12) become simplified in ratio (14) due to canceling out the term $c(y, a)$ since it is the same in both models.

The log of a likelihood ratio between two nested models, times two, is known to approach a $\chi^{2}$ distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if both models have fixed parameter spaces. But this is not the case for the "saturated" model: it adds more parameters with each record. In practice, however, $\chi^{2}$ distributions are used to compute the p-value of $G^{2}$ [7]. The number of degrees of freedom \#d.f. and the treatment of dispersion are the same as for Pearson's $X^{2}$, see above.
Column-wise statistics. The rest of the statistics are computed separately for each column of $Y$. As explained above, $Y$ has two or more columns in bi- and multinomial case, either at input or after conversion. Moreover, each $y_{i, j}$ in record $i$ with $N_{i} \geq 2$ is counted as $N_{i}$ separate observations $y_{i, j, l}$ of 0 or 1 (where $l=1, \ldots, N_{i}$ ) with $y_{i, j}$ ones and $N_{i}-y_{i, j}$ zeros. For power distributions, including linear regression, $Y$ has only one column and all $N_{i}=1$, so the statistics are computed for all $Y$ with each record counted once. Below we denote $N=\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} \geq n$. Here is the total average and the residual average (residual bias) of $y_{i, j, l}$ for each $Y$-column:

$$
\text { AVG_TOT_Y }_{j}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i, j} ; \quad \text { AVG_RES_Y }{ }_{j}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\mu_{i, j}\right)
$$

Dividing by $N$ (rather than $n$ ) gives the averages for $y_{i, j, l}$ (rather than $y_{i, j}$ ). The total variance, and the standard deviation, for individual observations $y_{i, j, l}$ is estimated from the total variance for response values $y_{i, j}$ using independence assumption: $\operatorname{Var} y_{i, j}=\operatorname{Var} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}} y_{i, j, l}=\sum_{l=1}^{N_{i}} \operatorname{Var} y_{i, j, l}$. This allows us to estimate the sum of squares for $y_{i, j, l}$ via the sum of squares for $y_{i, j}$ :

$$
\text { STDEV_TOT_Y }_{j}=\left[\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\frac{N_{i}}{N} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n} y_{i^{\prime}, j}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Analogously, we estimate the standard deviation of the residual $y_{i, j, l}-\mu_{i, j, l}$ :

$$
\operatorname{STDEV} \_R E S \_Y_{j}=\left[\frac{1}{N-m^{\prime}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\mu_{i, j}-\frac{N_{i}}{N} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n}\left(y_{i^{\prime}, j}-\mu_{i^{\prime}, j}\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Here $m^{\prime}=m$ if $m$ includes the intercept as a feature and $m^{\prime}=m+1$ if it does not. The estimated standard deviations can be compared to the modelpredicted residual standard deviation computed from the predicted means by

| $R^{2}$ where the residual sum-of-squares includes the bias contribution: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLAIN_R2 }_{j}= \\ & 1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\mu_{i, j}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\frac{N_{i}}{N} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n} y_{i^{\prime}, j}\right)^{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADJUSTED_R2 }_{j}= \\ & \qquad 1-\frac{N-1}{N-m} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\mu_{i, j}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\frac{N_{i}}{N} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n} y_{i^{\prime}, j}\right)^{2}} \end{aligned}$ |

$R^{2}$ where the residual sum-of-squares is centered so that the bias is subtracted:

$$
1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\mu_{i, j}-\frac{N_{i}}{N} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n}\left(y_{i^{\prime}, j}-\mu_{i^{\prime}, j}\right)\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\frac{N_{i}}{N} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n} y_{i^{\prime}, j}\right)^{2}} \quad 1-\frac{N-1}{N-m^{\prime}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\mu_{i, j}-\frac{N_{i}}{N} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n}\left(y_{i^{\prime}, j}-\mu_{i^{\prime}, j}\right)\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i, j}-\frac{N_{i}}{N} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{n} y_{i^{\prime}, j}\right)^{2}}
$$

Table 14: The $R^{2}$ statistics we compute in GLM-predict.dml
the GLM variance formula and scaled by the dispersion:

$$
\text { PRED_STDEV_RES }_{j}=\left[\frac{\text { disp }}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v\left(\mu_{i, j}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

We also compute the $R^{2}$ statistics for each column of $Y$, see Table 14 for details. We compute two versions of $R^{2}$ : in one version the residual sum-of-squares (RSS) includes any bias in the residual that might be present (due to the lack of, or inaccuracy in, the intercept); in the other version of RSS the bias is subtracted by "centering" the residual. In both cases we subtract the bias in the total sum-of-squares (in the denominator), and $m^{\prime}$ equals $m$ with the intercept or $m+1$ without the intercept.

## Returns

The matrix of predicted means (if the response is numerical) or probabilities (if the response is categorical), see "Description" subsection above for more information. Given Y, we return some statistics in CSV format as described in Table 13 and in the above text.

## Examples

Note that in the examples below the value for "disp" input argument is set arbitrarily. The correct dispersion value should be computed from the training data during model estimation, or omitted if unknown (which sets it to 1.0).
Linear regression example:
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f GLM-predict.dml -nvargs dfam=1
vpow=0.0 link=1 lpow=1.0 disp=5.67 X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx
$\mathrm{B}=/ \mathrm{user} / \mathrm{biadmin} / \mathrm{B} . \mathrm{mtx} \mathrm{M}=/ \mathrm{user} / \mathrm{biadmin} / \mathrm{Means} . \mathrm{mtx} \mathrm{fmt=csv}$
$\mathrm{Y}=/$ user/biadmin/Y.mtx $\mathrm{D}=/ \mathrm{user} / \mathrm{biadmin} /$ stats.csv
Linear regression example, prediction only (no Y given):
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f GLM-predict.dml -nvargs
dfam=1 vpow=0.0 link=1 lpow=1.0 X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx
$B=/$ user/biadmin/B.mtx $M=/ u s e r / b i a d m i n / M e a n s . m t x ~ f m t=c s v$

Binomial logistic regression example:
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f GLM-predict.dml -nvargs dfam=2 link=2 disp=3.0004464 X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx B=/user/biadmin/B.mtx M=/user/biadmin/Probabilities.mtx fmt=csv Y=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx O=/user/biadmin/stats.csv
Binomial probit regression example:
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f GLM-predict.dml -nvargs dfam=2 link=3 disp=3.0004464 X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx B=/user/biadmin/B.mtx M=/user/biadmin/Probabilities.mtx fmt=csv Y=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx O=/user/biadmin/stats.csv
Multinomial logistic regression example:
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f GLM-predict.dml -nvargs dfam=3 X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx B=/user/biadmin/B.mtx M=/user/biadmin/Probabilities.mtx fmt=csv Y=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx 0=/user/biadmin/stats.csv
Poisson regression with the log link example:
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f GLM-predict.dml -nvargs dfam=1 vpow=1.0 link=1 lpow=0.0 disp=3.45 X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx B=/user/biadmin/B.mtx M=/user/biadmin/Means.mtx fmt=csv Y=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx 0=/user/biadmin/stats.csv
Gamma regression with the inverse (reciprocal) link example:
hadoop jar SystemML.jar -f GLM-predict.dml -nvargs dfam=1 vpow=2.0 link=1 lpow=-1.0 disp=1.99118 X=/user/biadmin/X.mtx B=/user/biadmin/B.mtx M=/user/biadmin/Means.mtx fmt=csv Y=/user/biadmin/Y.mtx $0=/$ user/biadmin/stats.csv
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[^0]:    Usage
    -f path/naive-bayes.dml -nvargs $\mathrm{X}=$ path/file $\mathrm{Y}=$ path/file classes=int laplace=double prior=path/file conditionals=path/file accuracy=path/file $\mathrm{fmt}=c s v \mid$ text

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Smaller likelihood difference between two models suggests less statistical evidence to pick one model over the other.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2} \operatorname{Prob}\left[y \mid \mu_{i}\right]$ is given by a density function if $y$ is continuous.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ We use $k+1$ because there are $k$ non-baseline categories and one baseline category, with regression parameters $B$ having $k$ columns.

