

IBM Software Group

IBM Unified Software Configuration Management on z/OS

IBM SWG Zhao Jun





Agenda

- z/OS Software Configuration Management Challenges
- IBM Solution
 - Solution Overview
 - Architecture and Deployment Model
 - Backend Technical Detail
- Case Study and Implementation Discussion





z/OS Software Configuration Management Challenges

- No change management or change management separate from z/OS asset
 - No automatic connection between change request and modified asset
 - Need audit trail for change activity (who, what, when, and where)
- No unified configuration management for composite application
 - Back-end z/OS and front-end distributed parts are controlled by different system
 - No base line for entire application and No Comprehensive inventory of all software assets
- High level parallel development depends on manual work
- Can not implement unified development process due to z/OS uniqueness





Agenda

z/OS Software Configuration Management Challenges

IBM Solution

- Solution Overview
- Architecture and Deployment Model
- Backend Technical Detail
- Case Study and Implementation Discussion





Solution Overview

- Provides single point of control, single repository of knowledge, single artifact repository, single set of user interfaces
- Provides consistent process paradigm across platforms
- Extends the power of ClearCase, ClearQuest, & Build Forge to z/OS development
 - Parallel development; powerful workflow; powerful build capabilities
- Extends the power of the Software Delivery Platform to z/OS development





IBM Rational SCM Solution Value

- Dramatically reduce TCO by moving as many as possible activities to distributed side
- Unified SCM tools, process and SCM team
- Improves developer productivity by fully supporting parallel development
- Improves availability of z/OS applications by automation of build & deploy tasks.
 - Stronger parallel development and release management
- Provides compliance and governance by providing audit ability and traceability of artifacts and their inter-relationships across the lifecycle across platforms
 - Stronger workflow capabilities: state changes, approvals, notifications, integration with other lifecycle phases including requirements & testing





Agenda

- z/OS Software Configuration Management Challenges
- IBM Solution
 - Solution Overview
 - Architecture and Deployment Model
 - Backend Technical Detail
- Case Study and Implementation Discussion





Solution Architecture







Involved Tools

- ClearCase Configuration Management
 - Version Control
 - Parallel Development Support
 - Baseline Management for Composite Applications
 - Seamless Integration with Change Management and Build&Release Management
- ClearQuest Change Management
 - Request/Development task/Change/Defect Management
 - Flexible and Customized Development Work Flow
- BuildForge Build and Release Management
 - Integrate and Automatic Compile and Release Process
 - Unified Manage Cross-Platform Build Process













Interaction Between Software Assets and Development Environment



_		
	_	
_		

Automatic Build Architecture



BuildForge and ClearCase/ClearQuest implement monitored automatic build/release platform





Interaction between ClearCase and z/OS





ClearCase TSO Client

Command ===>			
Rational Clearcase Mainframe Connectors TSO Client Select Action for Member: 2.2.1			
Option ==>			
 Check Out Check In Show Version Tree Undo Check Out Add to Source Control 			
Comment for check out and check in option:			
PF01=ClearCase Help PF03=Return to ViewFile List			
B 6 09/014			

Access ClearCase repository from ISPF environment
TSO users can use ClearCase in their comfortable environment





Two Usage Models

- On host development via ClearCase TSO client
 - Keep green screen development convention
 - Integrator works on distributed side to do build and deployment management
- Off host development via Rational Developer for System z(RDz)
 - Dramatically save CPU cost by migrating development activities to distributed side
 - Increase developers' performance by using Enterprise Modernization tool RDz
 - Take advantage of full ClearCase' power by seamless integration with RDz
- Usage model could be customized according to requirements

Agenda

- z/OS Software Configuration Management Challenges
- IBM Solution
 - Solution Overview
 - Architecture and Deployment Model
 - Backend Technical Detail
- Case Study and Implementation Discussion





17

What is ClearCase Z/OS Extensions?

Interface between ClearCase and Mainframe





Element Level Attributes

- Element level attributes What components or the pieces and parts on how the executable is created
 - PROG1
 - DB2
 - IMS
 - CICS
 - MQ Series
 - LANG





ClearCase and Element level attributes

- Attributes are assigned at either migration or when adding to source control
- Not limited to 8 characters additional metadata can be stored
- Attributes Naming Convention
 - Online =Y or N
 - LANG = COB, PL1, ASM
 - DB2 = Y or N
 - CICS = Y or N
 - $\blacksquare IMS = Y \text{ or } N$
 - MQ = Y or N
- No limit to what can be done
- Build Engine interrogates element level attributes to determine build components and the path to take through the Build Engine





Project Level Attributes

- Project level attributes Defines where the project is built or deployed to the Z/OS infrastructure used in combination with CC environment variables.
- Projects level attributes contain
 - Syslib concatenation
 - Copybook libraries
 - target load libraries
 - DB2 subsystem name
 - CICS region
 - IMS region





CC Environment Variables

 Environment Variables – used to map ClearCase architecture to the Z/OS infrastructure base on naming convention used.

■ Dev → UT → QA → PROD

- Stream name
- User name
- View name
- Delivery to Stream name
- Delivery from stream name
- Element version





Remote Build Technology Detail





ClearCase CCz Build Engine

- Processor/CCz
 - CCz version of JCL (e.g. BCL Build control language) with keywords and utilities invoked by ClearCase actions
- Processor Group/CCz
 - Element level attributes set of build/deploy components required to manipulate elements of a particular type (e.g. Cobol, JCL, PL1)
- PERL condition logic used to make choices about how to build and deploy
 - Endevor processor language, not as robust as PERL
- BCL PERL driver creates the correct BCL based on Build or Deploy components
 - Identical to Endevor to execute mainframe utilities
- RCCBUILD command sends the work to the mainframe
 - Runs the work, executes the utilities, similar to Endevor's SCL software Control language





Processors/CCz Build Engine



Can be organized in the same way by system, subsystem, Type relationship by storing metadata (attributes) search chain within structure of project or subsystem.

Build Engine Managed build from source element (BUILD,COMPILE)

- MOVE Promote (copy) outputs through the life cycle only effects at promotion time based on CQ action or BL promotion level
- DELETE Deletes outputs created by build Engine only in MF environment
- COPY Movement of source (non-compiled) simply copies source to appropriate MF environment





How does a CCz Build Engine work/Structure



Agenda

- z/OS Software Configuration Management Challenges
- IBM Solution
 - Solution Overview
 - Architecture and Deployment Model
 - Backend Technical Detail

Case Study and Implementation Discussion



26



Case Study and Implement Discussion

- PRC Case Study
- Implement Discussion



IBM Software Group | Rational software





