

Mitigate Risk When Dealing with Confidential information and Privacy Compiance

Henry Lee SWGHK, IBM





IBM Acquires Guardium

- Joining IBM's Information Management business
- Why Guardium? Unique ability to:
 - Safeguard critical enterprise information
 - Reduce operational costs by automating compliance processes
 - Simplify governance with centralized policies for heterogeneous infrastructures
 - Continuously monitor access and changes to high-value databases







Database Monitoring: 3 Key Business Drivers

1. External threats

• Prevent theft

2. Internal threats

- Identify unauthorized changes (governance)
- Prevent data leakage

3. Compliance

- Simplify processes
- Reduce costs







IBM 🦁



Database Servers = Vast Majority of Compromised Records

% of Records Breached (2009)



2009 Data Breach Report from Verizon Business RISK Team http://www.verizonbusiness.com/resources/security/reports/2009_databreach_rp.pdf

Online data = 99.9% of all compromised records

Figure 25. Asset classes by percent of breaches (black) and records (red)							
Online Data		94% / <mark>99.9%</mark>					
End-User Systems	17% / <mark>0.01%</mark>						
Offline Data	2%/0.04%						
Networks & Devices	0% / 0%						

"Although much angst and security funding is given to offline data, mobile devices, and end-user systems, these assets are simply not a major point of compromise."





Database Danger from Within

- "Organizations overlook the most imminent threat to their databases: authorized users." (Dark Reading)
- "No one group seems to own database security ... This is not a recipe for strong database security" ... 63% depend primarily on manual processes." (ESG)
- Most organizations (62%) cannot prevent super users from reading or tampering with sensitive information ... most are unable to even detect such incidents ... only 1 out of 4 believe their data assets are securely configured (Independent Oracle User Group).



http://www.guardium.com/index.php/landing/866/

http://www.darkreading.com/database_security/security/app-security/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=220300753





The Compliance Mandate

Audit Requirements	COBIT (SOX)	PCI-DSS	ISO 27002	Data Privacy & Protection Laws	NIST SP 800-53 (FISMA)
1. Access to Sensitive Data (Successful/Failed SELECTs)		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
2. Schema Changes (DDL) (Create/Drop/Alter Tables, etc.)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark
3. Data Changes (DML) (Insert, Update, Delete)	\checkmark		\checkmark		
4. Security Exceptions (Failed logins, SQL errors, etc.)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
5. Accounts, Roles & Permissions (DCL) (GRANT, REVOKE)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark

DDL = Data Definition Language (aka schema changes) DML = Data Manipulation Language (data value changes) DCL = Data Control Language



The Complexity & Visibility Challenges

- Heterogeneous & distributed
- Multiple access paths
- Firewalls, IDS/IPS can't prevent traffic that appears to be legitimate
- Most organizations have formal data security policies but ...
 - No practical enforcement mechanisms
 - No visibility into what's really going on
 -- especially with privileged users







Top Data Protection Challenges

Where is my sensitive data - and who's accessing it (including privileged users)?





How can I enforce access control & change control policies for databases?

How do I check for vulnerabilities and lock-down database configurations?





How do I reduce costs by automating & centralizing compliance controls?





Real-Time Database Monitoring



- Non-invasive architecture
 - Outside database
 - Minimal performance impact (2-3%)
 - No DBMS or application changes
- Cross-DBMS solution
- 100% visibility including local DBA access

- Enforces separation of duties
- Does not rely on DBMS-resident logs that can easily be erased by attackers, rogue insiders
- Granular, real-time policies & auditing
 - Who, what, when, how
- Automated compliance reporting, sign-offs & escalations (SOX, PCI, NIST, etc.)





Scalable Multi-Tier Architecture





Addressing the Full Lifecycle







Provide insight such as . . .

- Who is changing database schemas or dropping tables?
- When are there any unauthorized source programs changing data?
- What are DBAs or outsourced staff doing to the databases?
- How many failed login attempts have occurred?
- Who is extracting credit card data?
- What data is being accessed from which network node?
- What data is being accessed by which application?
- How is data being accessed?
- What are the access patterns based on time of day?
- What database errors are being generated?
- What is the exposure to sensitive objects?
- When is someone attempting an SQL injection attack?







Continuous Fine-grained Auditing and Security

All SQL traffic contextually analyzed & filtered in real-time to provide specific information required by auditors







Real time report

Guardium [•]								
Standard Reports My New Reports Protect Assess/Harden Comply Discover								
AdminConsole	My First Report 🖉 🖨 💰 🕱 💌 📼							
SnitButtUsage	Start Date: 2009-11-02 20:48:11 End Date: 2009-12-02 20:48:11							
Build Queries and Reports - Activity Report -Exceptions Report -Messages Report -AcceleratorGroupBuilder -myquery -Partner Report -swn activity details CAS Saved Data CAS Change Details - CAS Alert Query Audit Process Log cef output My First Report	TimestampClient IPServer IPDB User NameTotal access2009-11-10 09:47:10.0 10.10.9.240 10.10.9.240 SYS22009-11-19 10:57:02.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM42009-11-19 10:57:12.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM42009-11-19 10:57:25.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM12009-11-19 11:01:33.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM42009-11-19 11:01:33.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM42009-11-19 11:01:43.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM52009-11-19 11:02:36.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM52009-11-19 11:02:36.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM52009-11-24 13:54:56.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM12009-11-24 13:54:57.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM82009-11-24 13:58:48.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM42009-11-24 13:58:54.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 SYSTEM52009-11-24 13:58:54.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:21.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:22.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 12009-12-01 16:00:26.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:26.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:26.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:21.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:26.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:26.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:26.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:51.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 42009-12-01 16:00:51.0 10.10.9.56 10.10.9.56 GUARDIUMDEMO 6							
	2009-12-01 16:02:55.0 10:10:9:56 10:10:9:56 GUARDIUMDEMO 4 2009-12-01 16:02:55.0 10:10:9:56 10:10:9:56 GUARDIUMDEMO 1 2009-12-01 16:03:20:0 10:10:9:56 10:10:9:56 GUARDIUMDEMO 1							
	Records: 1 to 20 of 27 🜔 🕐 🐂 🔚 🗟 🔛 📝							





Vulnerability & Configuration Assessment

- Based on industry standards (DISA STIG & CIS Benchmark)
- Customizable
 - Via custom scripts, SQL queries, environment variables, etc.
- Combination of tests ensures comprehensive coverage:
 - Database settings
 - Operating system
 - Observed behavior





Sent: Wed 4/15/2009 8:00 AM

.

Fine-Grained Policies with Real-Time Alerts

non-App Source AppUser Connection

Rule #1 Description

	≪	Category	y Security	Class	ification Breach		Severity MED 🛛	
terebonnen		Not 🔲	Server IP	<i>I</i> [and/or Group	Production Servers	
3. • Mone Cont	_	llot 🗹	Client IP	1		and/or Group	Authorized Client IPs	
V	¥	Not 🗖	Client MAC		Net. Protocol	and/c	or Group	
		Not 🔲	DB Name		ALE ALE ALE	RT DAILY RT ONCE PER SESSION RT PER MATCH RT PER TIME GRANULAR	uт _Y	
	→	Not Field I Object	DB User APPUS Name INVENTORY and DROP TABLE	SER	ALL IGN IGN LOC LOC	.0W ORE RESPONSES PER SE ORE SESSION ORE SOL PER SESSION 3 FULL DETAILS 3 FULL DETAILS PER SES 3 FULL DETAILS WITH VA	SSION SSION ALUES	
Application Server	Database Server 10,10,9,56	•	Min. Ct. 0 Continue to next R	Reset Interval (min ule 🔲 Rec. Vals.	utes) 0 L00 RES S.0	0 LOG FULL DETAILS WITH VALUES PER SESSION 0 LOG MASKED DETAILS LOG ONLY RESET SIGATE ATTACH		
10.10.9.244	CIFS	13	Action ALERT PER	R MATCH	S-G S-G S-T SKI	ATE DETLYH ATE TERMNATE AP TERMNATE P LOGGING		
	FTP IBM DB2 Z/OS IBM ISERIES IMS	Fr Ta Ca Su	om: GuardiumAlert@ : Marc Gamache : bject: (c1) SQLGUARE	pe MAJ<mark>L Mail User</mark> man ⊉guardium.com DALERT	rc_gamache@guardium	i.com	Sent: Wed 4/15/2009 8:0	
	Informix MS SQL SERVER MYSQL Oracle Sybase TERADATA		Subject: (c1) SQLGU. Category: security Cla Rule # 20267 [non-Ap Request Info: [Sessio 172.16.2.152 Client P 3.8 DB User: APPUS Application User Nam	ARD ALERT Alert ba assification: Breach Ser op Source AppUser Co on start: 2009-04-15 06 ORT: 11787 Server Po ER 1e	ased on rule ID non-A verity MED nnection] :59:03 Server Type: C ort: 1521 Net Protocol	pp Source AppUser PRACLE Client IP 1: TCP DB Protocol:	Connection 92.168.20.160 ServerIP: INS DB Protocol Version:	
IBM 😇			Source Program: JDB SQL: select * from Er	<u>C THIN CLIE</u> NT Aut nployeeTable	horization Code: 1 Re	equest Type: SQL_L	ANG Last Error:	

Identifying fraud at the application layer



DB User Name	Application User	<u>Sql</u>
APPUSER	joe	select * from EmployeeRoleView where UserName=?
APPUSER	joe	select * from EmployeeTable
APPUSER	marc	insert into EmployeeTable values (?,?,?,?,?,?,?,?)

• *Issue*: Application server uses generic service account to access DB

- *Doesn't identify who* initiated transaction (connection pooling)
- •*Solution*: Guardium tracks access to application *user associated with specific SQL commands*
 - Out-of-the-box support for all major enterprise applications (Oracle EBS, PeopleSoft, SAP, Siebel, Business Objects, Cognos...) and custom applications (WebSphere....)





Vulnerability Assessment Example



Automated Sign-offs & Escalations for Compliance

Descrip	otion	Weekly Da	itabase Chang	je Management P	Proces	Mit Vie	w	Run On	ce Now 2
Active	74	avale a cohodu	le aconciatori	with this arrass		🗳 Ch	ange Cf	300000	00000042 (Modify)
Archive	e Results	Keep for	a minimum o	with this process of 0 day	s /s or [BMC R	EMEDY	IT SEI Change	RVICE MANAGEMENT - Change Management
CSV/CE	F File Labe	l: Weekly_D	atabase_Chan	igi	_	- Quick	Links		
Receive	ers					CI Sear	ch		Process Flow Status
×	Marc Marc Gam	Receiver	Act ORevi	tion Req. ew	Te	Select (Operational Product	X A	Initiate Review & Plan & Schedule Authorize
	(Marc Gail	aciie)	😌 Sign		-1	View Br	oadcasts		Change Request Information
X	role: dba		💿 Revi	ew		View C.	alendar		Change Type* Change Y Status* Sched
art Date: 20	09-01-22 15:0	10:00 End Date: 3	2009-01-22 16:0	0:00		Functi	ons		Summary* Alter SOX revenue table Status Reason
<u>mestamp</u>	Server Type	<u>sk level</u> priorit	description	<u>chang</u> id	<u>chan</u> ;	Advan	ced Other Re	equests	Requester Classification Work Info Tasks Assignment Relation
09-01-22 08:12.0	ORACLE 0	3	Alter SOX revenue table	CRQ0000 2004	l2 crq00	Conso	les		Support Company*+ Calbro Financial Services
)9-01-22 08:21.0	ORACLE 0	3	Alter SOX revenue table	CRQ000000004	z cryoooo	00000042	allen	ALLEN	192.168.8.129 192.168.8.129 Alter table sox_sales_east add total_revenue float
)9-01-22 08:29.0	ORACLE 0	3	Alter SOX revenue table	CRQ00000000004	2 crq0000	00000042	allen	ALLEN	192.168.8.129 192.168.8.129 Alter table sox_sales_central add total_revenue float
)9-01-22 08:36.0	ORACLE 0	3	Alter SOX revenue table	CRQ00000000004	l2 crq0000	00000042	allen	ALLEN	192.168.8.129 192.168.8.129 Alter table sox_sales_west add total_revenue float
)9-01-22 08:44.0	ORACLE 0	3	Alter SOX revenue table	CRQ00000000004	2 crq0000	00000042	allen	ALLEN	192.168.8.129 192.168.8.129 Alter table sox_sales_international add total_revenue float
09-01-22 :12:39.0	ORACLE 0	0						SYSTEM	192.168.8.129 192.168.8.129 alter table allen.sox_sales_east add sum_total float
09-01-22 :14:19.0	ORACLE 0	0						SYSTEM	192.168.8.129 192.168.8.129 insert into allen.sox_sales_east (i,customer,zipcode,revenue,total_revenue,sum_total) values(?,?,?,?,?,?
09-01-22 41:44.0	ORACLE 0	0			crq0000	00000232	allen	SYSTEM	192.168.8.129 192.168.8.129 SELECT ? from dual
09-01-22 41:55.0	ORACLE 0	0			crq0000	00000232	allen	SYSTEM	192.168.8.129 192.168.8.129 Alter table sox_sales_international add total_rev float

S-GATE: Blocking Access Without Inline Appliances

"DBMS software does not protect data from administrators, so DBAs today have the ability to view or steal confidential data stored in a database." Forrester, "Database Security: Market Overview," Feb. 2009





Databases can be monitored

Supported Platforms	Supported Versions
Oracle	8i, 9i, 10g (r1, r2), 11g, 11i
Microsoft SQL Server	2000, 2005, 2008
IBM DB2 UBD (Windows, Unix, z/Linux)	8.0, 8.2, 9.1, 9.5
IBM DB2 for z/OS	7, 8, 9, 9.5
IBM DB2 UBD for iSeries (AS/400)	V5R2, V5R3, V5R4, V6R1
IBM Informix	7, 8, 9, 10,11
MySQL	4.1, 5.0, 5.1
Sybase ASE	12, 15
Sybase IQ	12.6
Teradata	6.01, 6.02

Chosen by Leading Organizations Worldwide

- 5 of the top 5 global banks
- 2 of the top 3 global retailers
- 3 of the top 5 global insurers
- 2 of the world's favorite beverage brands
- The most recognized name in PCs
- 15 of the world's leading telcos

- Top government agencies
- Top 3 auto maker
- #1 dedicated security company
- Leading energy suppliers
- Major health care providers
- Media & entertainment brands





Summary & Conclusions

- Traditional log management, network scanners, SIEM & DLP insufficient to secure high-value databases
 - No real-time monitoring at data level to detect unauthorized access
 - Inability to detect fraud at application layer
 - No knowledge about DBMS commands, vulnerabilities & structures
 - Native logging/auditing require database changes & impact performance
- Guardium is the most widely-deployed solution, with ongoing feedback from the most demanding data center environments worldwide
 - Scalable enterprise architecture
 - Broad heterogeneous support
 - 100% visibility & granular control
 - Deep automation to reduce workload
 - Holistic approach







Thank You!

