

Business models created by WebSphere Business Modeler can be converted to BPEL models and exported as project interchange files. The project interchange files can then be imported into WebSphere Integration Developer where the runtime services supporting the BPEL model can be created and deployed to a WebSphere Process Server runtime.

With the release of version 6.1 there are some new features in both WebSphere Integration Developer and WebSphere Business Modeler to facilitate the process of iterative model driven development.

This presentation discusses the details of some of these new features.



With business driven development, the business requirements drive the development of the applications to support the needs of the business. Business modeling captures the business requirements and the relationships between the entities within the organization. When a modeling tool such as WebSphere Business Modeler is used, the development process is called model driven business development.

With model driven development, an abstract model of the business processes is created. Then the model is passed on to a tool like WebSphere Integration Developer, which is then used to implement the model for a specific runtime environment. With WebSphere Integration Developer, the target runtime environment is the WebSphere Process Server.

With this approach, there are two models of the business process; one is the business model, created in WebSphere Business Modeler, and the other is the implementation model, created in WebSphere Integration Developer. The implementation model is described in WebSphere Integration Developer using the Business Process Execution Language, or BPEL.

During normal iterative development, keeping the two models from diverging as the separate teams make changes and updates can become a serious problem. Quite often once development of the implementation is started, no attempt is made to keep the models synchronized.

With the release of V6.1 for WebSphere Business Modeler and WebSphere Integration Developer, there are now tools available that will allow the separate development teams to keep their models synchronized.



To facilitate iterative development and model synchronization between the business and implementation models, three areas need to be considered.

First is the concept of separation of concerns. If you are developing the implementation model in WebSphere Integration Developer, it is good to separate the BPEL model from the implementations of the services that are invoked by the BPEL activities. By keeping these in separate projects, the BPEL model can be updated without affecting the service implementations.

Next, when importing subsequent iterations of the model into WebSphere Integration Developer, the new changes can be **merged** into the existing BPEL model without overwriting the existing model elements or their implementations.

Once the models have been synchronized, any changes that were made in WebSphere Integration Developer that might impact the development of the business model must be communicated back to the business analyst in WebSphere Business Modeler. This is achieved by creating a change report that can then be imported into WebSphere Business Modeler and compared against the business model.

All three of these features are fully supported in WebSphere Business Modeler and WebSphere Integration Developer V6.1.

WBIV61\_WBModelerUpdate\_Synch.ppt



Separating the BPEL implementation model from the implementations of the services it invokes is a key part of the strategy for keeping the business model synchronized with the implementation model.

Having the model and the implementation of the services in separate projects makes it clear where the integration developer should be working, that is, in the implementation project. When there are updates to the business model that need to be merged in, then the compare and merge utility only needs to work with the model project.

Keeping the service implementations in separate projects enables the integration developer to switch to alternate implementations by changing the SCA imports in the assembly diagram of the model project. The service implementations can also be developed and tested independently of the BPEL model.

Separation of concerns is primarily an issue with WebSphere Integration Developer but it begins with the export feature of WebSphere Business Modeler V6.1.

The WebSphere Business Modeler export type as shown in the next few slides supports this practice.



Shown here is an illustration of the best way to partition the implementation artifacts based on functionality.

For a given project in WebSphere Business Modeler, create three SCA modules in WebSphere Integration Developer.

The BPEL artifacts representing the business process are placed in the business logic module.

The implementations of the services that are invoked by the BPEL activities are placed in the implementation module.

And the interfaces and the data object definitions that are common to all the modules are placed in the library module.

The terminology around modules and projects can be a little confusing at this point.

WebSphere Business Modeler uses the project construct to contain related artifacts while in WebSphere Integration Developer the project is mapped to an SCA module.

IBM Software Group	)			IRM	
Export to WebS	phere In	tegration	Develop	er	
Export patterns	s supporte	ed			
<ul> <li>Recommende projects )</li> </ul>	d export op	otion ( three r	nodules an	d	
Module project	t + library p	project			
Library projec					
> none	Select the export option Recommended Export Option This option creates three related projects. It separates the business logic from the implementation details. Choose this option for best interoperability when you are working iteratively between WebSphere Business Modeler and WebSphere Integration Developer.				
WebSphere Business Modeler project (.mar)     WebSphere Integration Developer     WebSphere MQ Workflow Buildtme (.fd)     WebSphere Business Modeler XML (.rm)     WebSphere Business Modeler XML (.rm)					
Rational Data Architect	Modeler Project Name	Business Logic Module Name	Implementation Module Name	Library Name	
Delimited text (.csv, .txt)	CATOrderMgmt Corporate elements	CATOrderMgmt Corporateelements	CATOrderMgmt_impl Corporateelements_impl	CATOrderMgmt_lib Corporateelements_lib	
	Model synch	ronization	° (0)	6 2008 IBM Corporation	

When exporting a modeling project from WebSphere Business Modeler V6.1, using the WebSphere Integration Developer export type, there are four different options available. The <u>recommended export option</u> creates three related SCA modules. It separates the business logic from the implementation details. Choose this option for the best interoperability when you are working iteratively between WebSphere Business Modeler and WebSphere Integration Developer.

Notice the column headers on the target project names table. They are directly editable fields and you can change the names of the target modules if necessary.



Using the recommended export option creates three related SCA modules.

The business logic module contains the BPEL model, including elements such as the business rules and human tasks that are specified in WebSphere Business Modeler V6.1.

The implementation module holds the implementations for the services that are invoked from the BPEL activities.

If the implementation type in the technical attributes of the business model in Modeler is set to specific type, then a skeletal SCA component is created in the implementation module.

The library module contains the business object definitions, representing the business items, and the interface definitions.

With WebSphere Process Server, inter-module calls are made through SCA imports and exports, which are defined in the assembly diagram for each module. The exports, imports and the linkages are all defined and connected for you as part of importing the project interchange file.



The business logic module is the top-level module project and contains the BPEL model, including elements such as the business rules and human tasks. This module should not be edited by the integration developer unless it is absolutely necessary. Changes to the business model should be made using WebSphere Business Modeler and then merged into the business logic module using the model synchronization wizard. In the event that changes must be made to the module project in WebSphere Integration Developer, the change report can be used to communicate those changes back to the business analyst.

By default, the business logic module is created without a suffix for the project name. When using WebSphere Business Modeler and WebSphere Integration Developer V6.1 in shell sharing mode, there might be a name conflict with the business logic module. This can be fixed by manually adding a suffix to the module project when exporting from WebSphere Business Modeler.

When the module is created, the SCA assembly diagram is also created with the proper SCA imports and exports. The imports and exports are set up to link with the service implementations in the implementation module, using the SCA bindings by default. With this setup, on subsequent iterations the new changes to the business model can be merged into the business logic module without impacting the implementations in the implementation developer might have to adjust some of the links, depending on the kind of changes that were made in the business model.



The implementation module contains the implementations for the services that are invoked from the BPEL activities.

By default, the implementation module name is appended with "\_impl". This can be changed when exporting it from WebSphere Business Modeler if necessary.

If the implementation type in the technical attributes of the business model in WebSphere Business Modeler is set to a specific type, then a skeletal SCA component is created in the implementation module. Otherwise, except for the assembly diagram and the exports and imports, this module is empty.

This is where the integration developer works, creating the service implementations.



There are additional export options available to meet different needs.

The <u>Module Project + Library Project</u> option creates a module project containing the implementations, and a library project containing the business objects and interfaces that can be shared. Choose this option if you do not need to separate business logic from implementation details, for example if all the tasks are invoking existing Web services.

The <u>Library Project</u> option creates a library containing only the business objects and interfaces. Choose this option if you have updated the business objects or interfaces and want to refresh the XSD and WSDL file that were previously exported.

The <u>None</u> option exports everything to BPEL, WSDL, and XSD files in the specified directory. No project is created. Project Interchange is not an option.



Some of the features discussed in this presentation are part of WebSphere Business Modeler V6.1 and some are part of WebSphere Integration Developer V6.1.

You start with Modeler and export the model as a project interchange file.

Next, the project interchange file is imported into WebSphere Integration Developer V6.1 Importing a project interchange file will create the business logic, implementation and library modules for you or it will overwrite them if they already exist.

This establishes the baseline for the subsequent iterations.

The business analyst can then continue developing the next iteration of the business model while the integration developer begins development on the implementation of the supporting services.

When the business analyst has completed the next iteration of the business model, it is again exported as a project interchange file.

This time the integration developer does not import the file, but instead uses the project interchange file as input to the model synchronization wizard.

The model synchronization wizard is a graphical utility that will allow the integration developer to inspect all of the new changes and either accept or reject them.

If there are any differences between the business model and the implementation model after the changes have been committed, a change report is generated.



Keep in mind that this is top down, model driven development.

The business logic module represents the business model. Because the elements in the business logic module map directly to elements in WebSphere Business Modeler, the changes should come from WebSphere Business Modeler. Every effort should be made to minimize structural changes to the business processes in the business logic module.

The library module contains the definitions for the interfaces and data elements. In the WebSphere Integration Developer library module these elements are defined using XML Schema and Web service description language, or WSDL. There is no equivalent in WebSphere Business Modeler.

Structural changes, such as adding inputs or outputs or changing their types, should be done in WebSphere Business Modeler rather than WebSphere Integration Developer. Minimize structural changes to interfaces and business objects in the library module.

When working in WebSphere Integration Developer, focus on changes in the implementation module. The elements in the implementation module do not map directly to the business model.

For example, a task in WebSphere Business Modeler is a black box with no internal structure. When a task is exported to WebSphere Integration Developer, the SCA component that is generated has no concrete implementation. The integration developer in WebSphere Integration Developer must implement the task. The implementation goes into the implementation module.

Because changes in both WebSphere Business Modeler and in WebSphere Integration Developer can affect the business semantics, communication between the business analyst and the integration developer is always important.

Always use the latest project interchange file from Modeler, particularly if you know you made changes in the business logic or library modules. Using the latest export will avoid the situation where there are ambiguities in the Modeler change analysis report that cannot be resolved in Modeler.



The results of the model synchronization are captured in a change report, but the change report is only generated if there are differences between the two models being compared.

Differences can arise if the integration developer made some change to the business logic or the library module or if they rejected a change coming from the new iteration of the business model.

If there are no changes that need to be communicated back to the business analyst, then no change report is generated.

Changes in the business logic or library modules are the kind of changes that need to be reported back to the business analyst.

The change report can then be used by the business analyst in WebSphere Business Modeler to identify areas in the business model that might need to be updated.



- 1. In this scenario, Brian the business analyst creates the initial baseline model and gives it to Ivan, the integration developer.
- 2. Ivan starts working on the implementation. He does not make any changes in the business logic and library modules.
- 3. Meanwhile, Brian has made some changes to the business model.
- 4. Brian exports the model as a project interchange file.
- 5. Ivan uses the model synchronization feature to merge in the changes.
- 6. Because Ivan is not making changes to the business logic module or the library module, there is no change report generated. The models are synchronized and everyone is happy.



- 1. In this scenario, Brian creates the initial baseline model and gives it to Ivan.
- 2. Ivan immediately notices some things that require changes in the business logic and library modules.
- Ivan makes the necessary changes and then creates the change report by synchronizing with the initial baseline model. This will provide Brian the information he needs to correct the business model based on Ivan's feedback.
- 4. Notice that while Ivan was making changes in WebSphere Integration Developer, Brian was making changes to the business model in WebSphere Business Modeler.
- 5. When Brian runs the change analysis in WebSphere Business Modeler, he is notified that there have been changes in the same area that he has been working in but he is not able to see those changes.
- 6. Brian will have to cancel the analysis, export his current work, and request a new change report from Ivan.
- 7. Ivan will then run the model synchronization again, and merge in the latest changes to the business model and generate a new report for Brian.
- 8. Brian can now run the change analysis again, this time he can see Ivan's changes, provided he did not make any new changes to the business process.
- Note that Ivan could have prevented the extra iteration by requesting a new export file from Brian before starting to do the synchronization.



Here is an example of how the synchronization wizard and change analysis tools work.

Shown here on the left is the BPEL representation of the business model as it was initially received by Ivan.

Ivan inserted a new task and had to add a couple of BPEL assign activities to help with the data manipulation.

IBM Software Grou	p 📃	
Model synchror	Synchronize     Synchronize     Mew projects linked to existing projects     The Ward has been able to automatically link new projects to the workspace projects in the right pane. You cannot     or projects from the Project Interchange File     Projects ready to be compared     Projects ready to be compared	
Copy Paste Paste Paste Paste Refactor Finte Finte Refactor	Image: Control in the editor with a shows changes to artifacts that would be required to synchronize the linked projects. From the editor, you can open the Custom Associations wizard if there are new artifacts that you particularly want to compare to existing ones.	m
Synchronize with Modeler Export	⑦ < <u>Back</u> Best > Synchronize Cancel	

After making the changes, Ivan invokes the synchronization wizard from the pop-up menu of the business logic module.

Ivan is prompted for the input file, which in this case is the project interchange file that was initially imported, and then sees the dialog on the right.

Note: Ivan should have used the latest project interchange file from the business analyst, but he did not. It was this oversight that caused the rework in steps 6 and 7 in the simultaneous development scenario that was previously discussed.

Here you can match up the projects that are in the project interchange file with the SCA modules in the workspace. The synchronization wizard attempts to match up the names and link them automatically when it finds a match. The linkage is indicated by the blue boxes connected with the blue line.

The names of the projects and modules might not match. This is most likely because the modules in the WebSphere Integration Developer workspace were created with a previous version, before this feature was available. In any event, the projects can be manually linked to the modules using the buttons in the center of the dialog. The buttons are not available in this example because all of the projects were linked automatically.

For more information about how to manage the manual linkage to legacy WebSphere Integration Developer modules, see the on-line help available with WebSphere Integration Developer V6.1.

Click Synchronize.



Clicking synchronize will start the compare and merge; when it is completed you see this dialog.

First, notice the tabs at the bottom of the two panes. There are several views that you can use to understand the nature of the changes. On the left, you can choose to inspect the changes from the perspective of the WebSphere Integration Developer workspace or the WebSphere Business Modeler. It will depend on what you are most familiar with.

In this case, Ivan is doing the synchronization so he wants to view it from the workspace perspective first.

Both views should be inspected for a complete understanding.

The pane on the right has three different views, one for the modeling changes, another for the workspace changes and the third is for any conflicts. By looking at these tabs you can quickly assess the scope of the changes. For example, there are no new changes coming from the modeling side, there are no conflicts and there are 29 changes that were made in the workspace.

The information displayed in the right pane is determined by what is selected in the left pane. If you want to see only the changes related to the interviewing business process, then select the Interviewing.bpel element in the tree on the left.

If you want to know more about the changes related to the new task then you expand the New Invoke element in the tree on the right.

Notice the icons and the icon tool bar. The toolbar is one way that you can accept or reject the changes.

If a change is made and committed, it changes the workspace. In this case, since all of the changes are coming from the workspace, the tool assumes that you want to accept them, so they are all marked as accepted by default. This can be seen by the checkmark on the **MOBIONS tigNtBit/Ibdeleold** pdate\_Synch.ppt Page 18 of 23



Taking a closer look at the icons, you see that there is an adornment for each of the possible cases: add, delete, change, and move.

The adornment on the upper left indicates the kind of change detected. If the change is accepted then there is a checkmark attached to the lower right and if the change is rejected, then there is an x on the lower right.

There are accelerator buttons on the toolbar for accepting all non-conflicting changes, all changes from the model, or all changes from the workspace.

There is also a button to save a list of all the changes.

Changes can also be selectively marked by using the pop-up menu for the individual item.

It gets interesting when you start to selectively apply and reject the changes. You should think carefully before committing the changes.



Shown here is the section of the on-line help where you can find detailed information about analyzing the changes coming from WebSphere Integration Developer V6.1.

You should locate these topics and bookmark them.



Traceability between the business model created in WebSphere Business Modeler and the implementation model created in the WebSphere Integration Developer business logic module is greatly improved.

There is now direct support for iterative model driven development, using WebSphere Business Modeler and WebSphere Integration Developer.

Starting with the export in WebSphere Business Modeler, the business model is partitioned into multiple implementation modules based on function.

After the initial iteration, subsequent iterations of the business model can be selectively merged into the business logic module in WebSphere Integration Developer V6.1.

These features are complimented on the Modeler side with the change analysis wizard, which enables the business analyst to be notified of changes in the implementation that effect the business model. The business analyst can then incorporate the changes or reject them.



You can help improve the quality of IBM Education Assistant content by providing feedback.

## TRM

## Trademarks, copyrights, and disclaimers

The following terms are trademarks or registered trademarks of International Business Machines Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both: IBM WebSphere Lotus

Product data has been reviewed for accuracy as of the date of initial publication. Product data is subject to change without notice. This document could include technical inaccuracies or typographical errors. IBM may make improvements or changes in the products or programs described herein at any time without notice. Any statements regarding IBM's future direction and intent are subject to change or withdrawal without notice, and represent goals and objectives only. References in this document to IBM products, programs, or services does not imply that IBM intends to make such products, programs or services available in all countries in which IBM operates or does business. Any reference to an IBM Program Product in this document is not infended to state or imply that only that program product may be used. Any functionally equivalent program, that does not infringe IBM's intellectual property rights, may be used instead.

Information is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS DISTRIBUTED "AS IS" WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY. EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED. IBM EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY. FITHERS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR NONINFRINGEMENT. IBM shall have no responsibility to update this information. IBM products are warranted, if at all, according to the terms and conditions of the agreements (for example, IBM Customer Agreement, Statement of Limited Warranty, International Program License Agreement, etc.) under which they are provided. Information concerning non-IBM products was obtained from the suppliers of those products, their published announcements or other publicly available sources. IBM has not tested those products in connection with this publication and cannot confirm the accuracy of performance, compatibility or any other claims related to non-IBM products.

IBM makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding non-IBM products and services.

The provision of the information contained herein is not intended to, and does not, grant any right or license under any IBM patents or copyrights. Inquiries regarding patent or copyright licenses should be made, in writing, to:

IBM Director of Licensing IBM Corporation North Castle Drive Armonk, NY 10504-1785 U.S.A.

Performance is based on measurements and projections using standard IBM benchmarks in a controlled environment. All customer examples described are presented as illustrations of how those customers have used IBM products and the results they may have achieved. The actual throughput or performance that any user will experience will vary depending upon considerations such as the amount of multiprogramming in the user's job stream, the I/O configuration, the storage configuration, the and the workload processed. Therefore, no assurance can be given that an individual user will achieve throughput or performance improvements equivalent to the ratios stated here.

© Copyright International Business Machines Corporation 2008. All rights reserved.

Note to U.S. Government Users - Documentation related to restricted rights-Use, duplication or disclosure is subject to restrictions set forth in GSA ADP Schedule Contract and IBM Corp.

23 © 2008 IBM Corpo