
Scalability Benchmark for IBM Host On Demand Redirector V11.0 On Windows for PassThrough Connection

# **Table of Contents**

1.	Intr	oduction	3
2.	Test	t Environment	5
	2.1	Hardware Configuration	6
	2.2	Software	6
3.	Wo	rkload characterization	7
4.	Test	t scenarios	7
5.	Test	t Results	8
6.	Con	clusion	9

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The Redirector is a Telnet proxy that is able to accept connections from clients and pass them on, through a different port, to the next stage in the link. The Redirector can serve as a barrier between clients and the target Telnet server. If you do not want a large number of clients connecting directly to your host system and creating a security risk, you can have the clients connect to one or more redirectors. The redirectors pass the connection on to the host, allowing you to hide the address of the host from the client users. On Windows, AIX, and Linux platforms, the Redirector provides the support for Transport Layer Security (TLS) or Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) security between clients and the server



The Figure below shows the working of the redirector.

Secure connections are also possible between the client and the Host on Demand Server.

This is an IBM Host on demand (HOD) Redirector version 11.0 Performance publication.

This document covers the results of the scalability test conducted in a HOD Redirector deployment on Windows. We cover the details of our findings by varying the HOD server JVM heap (default, 512 MB, 1024 MB) and the number of ports (1 and 4). The results are depicted in terms of the maximum number of concurrent users allowed by each configuration.


#### Disclaimer

The information in this document is distributed AS IS. The use of this information or the implementation of any of these techniques is a customer responsibility and depends on the customer's ability to evaluate and integrate them into the customer's operational environment. While each item may have been reviewed by IBM for accuracy in a specific situation, there is no guarantee that the same or similar results will be obtained elsewhere. Customers attempting to adapt these techniques to their own environments do so at their own risk. Any pointers in this publication to external Web sites are provided for convenience only and do not in any manner serve as an endorsement of these Web sites. Any performance data contained in this document was determined in a controlled environment, and therefore, the results that may be obtained in other operating environments may vary significantly. Users of this document should verify the applicable data for their specific environment.

# 2. TEST ENVIRONMENT

The scalability tests were conducted in our in-house lab considering different settings for Server JVM heaps and the number of ports. The scalability test environment consisted of an application running on a 3270 Host and interacting with DB2, HOD redirector, a load generator workbench and load generator clients

The load generator workbench sends test scripts and run commands to the load generator clients. The load generator clients create equal load on all the ports on the HOD redirector and drive the application, which is running on a CICS transaction server in an LPAR in z/OS and needs access to a DB2 database.



# 2.1 HARDWARE CONFIGURATION

The table lists the hardware used in the testing performed:

Role	Model	Hardware Architecture	Number of Processors (Total Cores)	Processor Speed	Memory (GB)
HOD Redirector	xSeries x3100 M3- [4253D2X]	Intel <sup>®</sup> Xeon <sup>®</sup> X350	8	2.67 GHz	4
Host	System z	Z9 EC -2094	4	2286 MIPS	8
RPT	X series_226 [8648PBE]	Intel® Xeon™	8	3 GHz	4

#### 2.2 SOFTWARE

The table lists the operating system used in the testing performed:

Role	Operating System	
HOST	z/OS V1.12	
HOD redirector	Windows server 2008 R2 Datacenter	
Load generator workbench (RPT)	Windows Server 2003 Standard	
Load generator clients	Windows Server 2003 Standard	

# 3. WORKLOAD CHARACTERIZATION

Rational Performance Tester (RPT) was used to simulate the workload. Each user performs the same use case described below. The users are ramped up one in every second. Each test runs for 10 minutes after all of the users are in the system.

UseCase	Description		
Login	Connect to the server using server credentials		
	Connect to the Global Auto Mall Application		
Query for Toyota cars	Select the Toyota Camry car out of the list		
Display Camry models	Browse through all the models fo Camry that run through 10 screens		
Query for Chevrolet cars	Select the Chevrolet Silverado car out of the list		
Display Silverado models	Browse through all the models of Silverado that run though 2 screens		
Query for Honda cars	Select the Honda Accord car out of the list		
Display Accord models	Browse through all the models of Accord that run through 5 screens		
Logoff	User will logout		

### 4. TEST SCENARIOS

The following scenarios were tested:

- HOD redirector with one port and default JVM heap
- HOD redirector with one port and 512 MB JVM heap
- HOD redirector with one port and 1024 MB JVM heap
- HOD redirector with four ports and default JVM heap
- HOD redirector with four ports and 512 MB JVM heap
- HOD redirector with four ports and 1024 MB JVM heap


The various Server JVM heaps stated above are controlled by modifying the registry key "AppParameters" value under :

\HKEY\_LOCAL\_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IBMServiceManager\Parameters\ AppParameters

### 5. TEST RESULTS

The table below lists the number of successful connections that can be established by varying the Server JVM heap and the number of ports.

Type of Connection	No. of Ports	HOD Server JVM Heap (MB)	No of Concurrent Users	No of Successful Connections
	1	Default	1015	1015
		512	1030	1030
PASSTHROUGH		1024	1040	1040
	4	Default	1400	1400
		512	1400	1400
		1024	1432	1432



# 6. CONCLUSION

The number of concurrent connections that can be accommodated on a HOD Redirector server deployed on Windows machine (with the specifications stated earlier in the document) increases gradually with the increase in the JVM heap. With the increase in the number of ports to 4 the number of concurrent connections are slightly increased.