

Application Modernization Strategies that Can Move Organizations from "Green Screen" to Mobile and Beyond

Brian Colbert – Enterprise Modernization Architect North America Rational S&D



© 2012 IBM Corporation





- Today's Mainframe Development Challenges
- Addressing these challenges with IBM Integrated Solution for System z Development (ISDz)

One customer's story

- -Overview of current client environment
- Proposed solution (ISDz)
- -Road to deployment
- -Results
- Mobile and the enterprise
- Summary and References



Customer Challenges



"Our skills gap keeps growing. How do we stay current with all the language and technology changes?"



"We don't understand the effort, risk and impact of modernizing our legacy applications."



"We need to enable our teams to collaborate across platforms, languages, and environments."



"We need a cost effective way to improve our infrastructure efficiency and free up capacity to handle more workload."





Agenda

- Today's Mainframe Development Challenges
- Addressing these challenges with IBM Integrated Solution for System z Development (ISDz)
- One customer's story
 - -Overview of current client environment
 - -Proposed solution (ISDz)
 - -Road to deployment
 - -Results
- Mobile and the enterprise
- Summary and References





The IBM Integrated Solution for System z Development





Rational Asset Analyzer





- Quickly understand flow and relationships across the enterprise even with little or no documentation
 - Analyze, understand, and navigate complex application source code, including COBOL, PL/I, Assembler, C/C++, Java/JEE, etc...

Reduce time to market & risk of resource shortage by understanding the impact of change, upfront

- Understand source code complexity/fragility
- Analyze impact of potential code changes or database changes
- Find "dead code" for deletion from source base
- Choose from two user interfaces for ease of access and use
 - Integration with Rational Developer for System z for IDE users
 - Browser-based user interface for dashboard and complex query construction



© 2012 IBM Corporation





Rational Team Concert – A single tool, many capabilities

Work Items

ち 55: Improve doci	umentation for 4.4 🖾	
🛎 Story 55	-	
Summary:* Impro	ve documentation for 4.4	
▼ Details		
Туре: 👗	Story 💙	
Story Points:	1 picements	No.C
Progress:	er Add Work Item Ctrl+Enter Story de Folders	ned
Created:	De(¹ ¹ Move Up Alt+Up ¹ Retrospective	hed
Created By:	Bill Joes not compare float correctly 🕥 🗭 Defect	hed
Team Area:	JUrpoolean, booean) fails	hed
Filed Against:*	Jurally backward compatible	hed
Tags:	ible to deploy in maven central 0 Set Default	hed
Owned By:	Bill Cassavelli	

Planning

🗐 JUn	t Plan [4,4 m2] 🕴		
Team A	Jnit Plan ▼ rea: JUnit Team Iteration: 4.4 m2	2 (5/2/09 - 6/1/09)) <u>10 Close</u>
	Bill Cassavelli Closed items: 5 Open items: 3		Load: 2 /
E I	Improve documentation for 4.4		Una
B	timeout doesn't work properly	G 2 hours	🗗 Una
	for >=2 cases in junit4.3?	30 minutes	
. 6	Jason Mitchell	1 hour	
	Closed items: 2 Open items: 5	2 hours	ed: 136
ß	assertEquals throws NPE while	4 hours	High
	comparing null elements	1 day	
+	Improve documentation for 4.4	2 days	Una
B	assertEquals array comparison doesn't bandle pull elements	3 days 1 week] Una

Source Control



Builds – Continuous Integration

🗸 Completed		Reported Worl	k
		None report	te
Duration: 33 sect Start Time: July 9	2008 3-53-59 DM	🖻 Create a ne	ew
Completed: July 8,	2008 3:54:31 PM	Accociate a	
Contribution Sum	mary	General Inform	ha
Downloads:	<u>1 download</u>	Requested by:	Ja
External Links:	<u>1 link</u>	Build Definition:	<u>i</u>
Logs:	<u>1 loq</u>	Build Engine:	<u>i</u>
Repository Workspa	ace: <u>JUnitBuild</u>	Build History:	4
Work items:	None included	Tags:	
Compile:	0 errors, 15 warnings	🔽 Deletion allo	Ne
JUnit:	31 tests, 0 failures, 0 errors		

Dashboards & Reporting

General 🔹 Add New Tab		Add Vie
Welcome to JUnit Project Welcome to JUnit Project Ashboard. This dashboard was created from a template and has not yet been customized.	JUnit Project Teams (1) T = 10 JUnit Team JUnit Team JUnit Project Queries T = 10	Current JUnit Project PL., (2) Current Iteration: 4.4 m2 JUnit Plan JUnit Release Plan
Juhit Project Description The search of the searc	Recently roaded (0) Recently roaded (3) Recently roaded (3) New unassigned (5) I Useful Links Eclipse Jazz	Recently modif (3) Category June June
Jazz News (33 new) T ← 12 When can you get the Jazz based Rational Tools / Juin 12, 2008 Bix Rational Takes Jazz' Collaboration Suite on a Roll. Juin 9, 2008 Bix seeks consensus on ALM architecture Juin 5, 2009 Bix Seeks Consensus on ALM architecture Juin 5, 2008 Bix Seeks Consensus on ALM architecture Peget of 9	IIII Server Status Concerted Database: Connected Services: OK Memory: 32% free of 83MB allocated / 700MB Max Version: 1.0 (22080616-1642) Uptime: 5 hours, 57 minutes	Control Recently modified (3) Priority

Method Enforcement and Automation







Rational Team Concert: Built on an open, Web 2.0 platform

Supporting a broad range of desktop clients, IDE's and languages







Rational Development and Test Environment for System z

The ultimate in modern application development for System z



- Increase availability of z/OS testing environment and resources
 - Liberate developers to rapidly prototype new applications
 - Develop and test System z applications anywhere, anytime!
 - Eliminate costly delays by reducing dependencies on operations staff
- Improve quality and lower risk via automation, measurement, and collaboration
- Focus on what is required for the change at hand, then scale

Flexible and I	ncremental Ad	option*	IBM.
Entry Point	Add Capability	Add Capability	Add Capability
 Increase developer productivity to reduce maintenance backlog Quickly modernize System z apps with coding assists and service creation and refactoring wizards Improve code quality with code review, automated UT, and code coverage 	 More rapid, flexible developer testing Reduce development MIPS 	 Reduce delivery time by understanding the impact of change, upfront Shortened learning curve for new team members 	 Unified status, change management, process, and SCM across tools, teams, and platforms Reduce risks and meet audit an compliance mandates with automated process enforcement Reduce the cost of System z SCM
RDz Modern IDE for applications that include System z components *Elements of	RD&T Add z/OS development and unit test environment on an z86 Linux Server the solution may be adop	RAA Add rapid application understanding ted any order based on your need	RTC Add collaboration and governance across diverse teams, platforms, and programming languages





Agenda

- Today's Mainframe Development Challenges
- Addressing these challenges with IBM Integrated Solution for System z Development (ISDz)

One customer's story

- -Overview of current client environment
- -Proposed solution (ISDz)
- -Road to deployment
- -Results
- Mobile and the enterprise
- Summary and References



Customer Profile

Main Goal Improve Productivity

• Approach: Modernize software development infrastructure

Software

Production Software

- · Largely mainframe-based applications
- Mostly COBOL
- ChangeMan for source code management

Team

In-house and outsourced development

- Development on TSO through ISPF
- 3270 emulators
- · Hundreds of developers (at times, thousands of developers)

Environment Typical mainframe development

- Concurrent access
- Development
- Testing
- Quality Management





Current Software Development Environment...

- -Mainframe-based SCM
- -ISPF for development
- -Formal process for change management
- -Customized front end







Business Challenge

Lengthy Software Delivery Life Cycle

Significant degradation in system response time of the development environment during peak hours leads to:

- ✓ Considerable delays in building COBOL components \rightarrow Slow compilation times
- ✓ Lack of availability of the development environment
- ✓ Slow execution of batch processes

Lack of Quality

Testing process are shortened and the number of unit and functional tests executed is reduced because of:

✓Too much time spent during implementation so there is less time to run tests

 \checkmark System availability, especially during peak hours, leads to degradation in response time to run tests

High Development Cost / Low Development Productivity:

Overall development cost is increased because it takes more time and resources to complete implementation of the COBOL components



Plan for Improvement

Office delayers	Improve Quality		
Offload development processing from production		Lower Cost of Mainframe Development Improve efficiency of mainframe developers through adoption of modern IDE Enable collaborative development and debugging Provide local and remote capabilities through consistent user interface	
system as much as possible	Introduce unit test environment		
mainframe as needed (during off- peak times)	from restrictions of production system Provide developers with environment to streamline implementation, including updates to subsystems (DB2, CICS), debugging, and unit testing		





Phase 1 – Adoption of Rational Developer for System z



After: Administrator accesses the source code on the mainframe, downloads to a Common Source directory accessible by the mainframe developers





Implement RDz to improve efficiency

Benefits Realized:

✓ Improvements in productivity, specifically in COBOL development measured through:

- Lower actual processing time (MIPS usage)
- Reduced number of days/hours spent on development activities

✓ Quality Improvements measured by:

• Reduction in number of defects





Phase 2 – Deployment of Rational Development and Test Environment for System z



© 2012 IBM Corporation



Phase 2 – RDz + RD&T

Objective:

Implement RD&T as an additional component of the overall development and build process

Benefits Realized:

✓Improve delivery: Developers can apply changes to the databases structures and CICS transactions in the local RD&T environment to complete builds and unit testing

ightarrow No downtime for waiting for systems administration tasks

✓Improve quality of implemented changes: Developers are available to use debug functionality freely

 \rightarrow Faster diagnosis of defects

✓Improve overall quality: Less space restrictions in the UT environment means that larger input files can be used during batch testing

✓ Reduce costs, improve efficiency: MIPS consumption in mainframe development environment is decreased, leading to lower costs and higher availability of development systems



Phase 3 – Adoption of Rational Team Concert



IBM Corporation





Objectives:

✓ Implement source management through Rational Team Concert for the Rational Development and Test environment

✓ Transform RD&T into a complete testing environment in which developers can run integrated, functional tests using main customer applications and automated test tools

Expected Benefits:

✓ *Improved collaboration*: Developers can work closely, in context, using online reviews, approvals, and threaded discussions

 ✓ Shorter delivery cycles: Developers can work in a flexible, integrated environment without being gated by mainframe availability



Key Technical/Business Benefits

MIPS Reduction Realized

- zAAP deployment isolates the Java-based RDz processing, releasing part of the workload of the core mainframe processors
- Changes in usage model (use of local projects with limited connections to the mainframe) further reduced MIPS consumption

Development Life Cycle Efficiencies

- Side-by-side comparisons of development scenarios using ISPF and RDz showed significant reduction in development times, demonstrating increased efficiency
- The impact from the problems due to degradation in response times of the mainframe are lessened
- ✓ Availability of the development environment is improved

Improved Overall Software Delivery Time and Cost

- The elimination of downtime caused by degradation of the performance of the mainframe leads to a reduction in the total required of man hours
- Improvements in development efficiency overall leads to improved software delivery times and reduction in development costs





Lessons Learned

- RDz configuration on the mainframe requires planning in order to achieve optimum performance in terms of MIPS consumption
 - Required BOTH a mainframe expert to administer RDz running on the mainframe and an RDz usage expert to teach mainframe developers how to realize the full advantages of the tooling
 - **Key Take-Away: Involve mainframe administrators up front and plan for RDz Education**
- RDz usage alone will not automatically result in reduced development MIPS consumption
 - Use of RDz did not show MIPS savings during periods of high concurrency on the mainframe because the old usage model was still in place although modern tooling was introduced
 - > Key Take-Away: Modernize both the tooling and the working model
- It is important that developers get support on site during the first days of use of RDz
 - Immediate resolution of questions regarding the use of RDz reinforces the developers confidence in the tools
 - Developers' first instinct is to go back to ISPF rather than wasting time clarifying any questions regarding the use of RDz, hampering RDz adoption
 - Key Take-Away: Train and assign a group of evangelists to support the wider team early!





Agenda

- Today's Mainframe Development Challenges
- Addressing these challenges with IBM Integrated Solution for System z Development (ISDz)
- One customer's story
 - -Overview of current client environment
 - -Proposed solution (ISDz)
 - -Road to deployment
 - -Results
- Mobile and the enterprise
- Summary and References





Mobile is a significant component of the evolution of computing







Mobile presents an enormous set of opportunities...

Business to Employee



- Increase worker productivity
- Extend existing applications to mobile workers
- Reducing fuel, gas, or fleet maintenance costs (relevant in particular industries)
- Increase employee responsiveness and decision making speed
- Resolve internal IT issues faster
- Reduce personnel cost (utilizing personal owned instead of corporate issued devices)

Business to Consumer



- Improve customer satisfaction and brand perception
- Deeper customer engagement and loyalty
- Drive increased sales through personalized offers
- Make your services available anywhere
- Customer service
- Competitive differentiator
- Deeper insight into customer buying behavior for up sell and cross sell





Challenge 1: Fragmentation and developing for multiple mobile platforms

- Several major platforms with their own
 - -Tools
 - -Languages, APIs, and programming models
 - App stores
 - -Ecosystems
- Fragmentation within platforms, including
 - Physical device differences
 - -Version incompatibilities
 - -Vendor customizations
- And this market is moving very fast new things are ²⁸ introduced all the time











IBM Worklight V5.0 Open, cost-effective, cross-platform app development

App development using native and/or familiar web technologies:

- HTML5
- CSS3
- JavaScript



App delivery in variety of forms:

- Mobile Web app
- Hybrid app
- Native



Compatible with prominent HTML5 libraries and tools:







Addressing top mobile development pain points

Developing for multiple mobile platforms

 Highly fragmented set of platforms, devices, languages, and tools increases cost and complexity of development and test



 Choosing not to support one or more platforms reduces the reach of an application

Delivering high quality apps that engage users and meet business objectives

- Poor quality can negatively impact brand image
- Bad ratings and comments can cause other users to avoid trying an app

Customer Ratings

Avesage raiking for the current version:
 Avesage raiking for all versions:
 Avesage raiking for

Integrating with enterprise systems

 Recreating instead of leveraging existing business logic increases maintenance costs and risk of inconsistent behavior

Lack of ready back-end services

increases potential for last

minute integration issues

slows front-end development and



- Meeting accelerated time to market requirements
- Hand-off errors and delays between teams slows progress and responsiveness to features and fixes
- Misalignment of stakeholders results in late rework and increased cycle times



© 2012 IBM Corporation





Challenge 2: Perceived app quality is influenced as much by design quality as it is by functional quality

Design Quality

- User experience is critical for mobile applications
- Mobile applications typically require you to rethink how your customers interact with your business
- Line of business expects these applications to improve customer satisfaction, drive engagements, and loyalty

Functional Quality

- Planning tests against all combinations of devices, OSes, carriers in fragmented market yields exponential number of test cases
- Testing is complicated by unconventional ways of interacting with mobile devices (camera, accelerometer, gestures, speech)
- Maintaining large library of devices inhouse is cost prohibitive

Goal: deliver apps that align with business goals and are perceived as high quality – both from a user experience and functional point of view



Challenge 3: Integrating with existing systems

- Mobile applications need to connect to enterprise back-end data and services
 - Existing programs and services may need to be refactored to be made mobile-consumable
 - Mobile-optimized services have different characteristics than traditional web services (payload size, incremental data access, etc)
- Mobile application development lifecycle needs to bridge the multiple teams responsible for different parts of the mobile application

- Testing multi-tiered mobile applications can be slowed due to:
 - All integrations with back-end must be available to test entire app through the UI
 - Test environments are expensive, difficult and time consuming to configure
 - Difficult and time consuming to isolate defect root cause
 - Agile methodologies need fast iterations but testing delays are becoming a bottleneck







Challenge 4: Meeting tight time-to-market requirements Mobile is pushing traditional delivery approaches to the breaking point







Accelerating build and deployment time Orchestrating native mobile app builds with Rational Team Concert



34 Mobile build server farm





Rational has a strategy to help you accelerate successful delivery of enterprise mobile applications

Lifecycle management capabilities (RRC, RTC, RQM):

- Traceability of development activity across entire project lifecycle
- Real-time planning that is consistently accurate and up-to-date
- Tightly integrated with enterprise mobile code development capabilities
- Centralized code sharing and distributed enterprise mobile app build
- Integrate and manage full range of enterprise mobile testing tools and techniques

Mobile-specific capabilities (Worklight integration)

- Code construction tool using web-based technology to write multi-platform applications
- Distributed builds of mobile applications

System z specific capabilities

- Complete set of System z development and off host test capabilities from an integrated development environment
- Application inventory and analysis within & among mainframe and composite applications providing better understanding of the impact & risks of change.



Second reportion





Getting started

Next steps to modernize your enterprise applications







www.ibm.com/software/rational

© Copyright IBM Corporation 2012. All rights reserved. The information contained in these materials is provided for informational purposes only, and is provided AS IS without warranty of any kind, express or implied. IBM shall not be responsible for any damages arising out of the use of, or otherwise related to, these materials. Nothing contained in these materials is intended to, nor shall have the effect of, creating any warranties or representations from IBM or its suppliers or licensors, or altering the terms and conditions of the applicable license agreement governing the use of IBM software. References in these materials to IBM products, programs, or services do not imply that they will be available in all countries in which IBM operates. Product release dates and/or capabilities referenced in these materials may change at any time at IBM's sole discretion based on market opportunities or other factors, and are not intended to be a commitment to future product or feature availability in any way. IBM, the IBM logo, Rational, the Rational logo, Telelogic, the Telelogic logo, and other IBM products and services are trademarks of the International Business Machines Corporation, in the United States, other countries or both. Other company, product, or service names may be trademarks or service marks of others.