

Improving IT Availability - New Concepts in Delivering Continuous Availability with Active / Active Sites

David Petersen IBM Distinguished Engineer, Systems Technology Group

April 2012

© 2012 IBM Corporation



Trademarks

The following are trademarks of the International Business Machines Corporation in the United States and/or other countries.

AIX* APPN* CICS* DB2* DB2 Connect DirMaint DRDA* Distributed Relational Database Architecture e-business logo* ECKD Enterprise Storage Server* ESCON* FICON* GDPS*	Geographically Dispersed Parallel Sysplex HiperSockets HyperSwap IBM* eServer IBM logo* IMS InfoPrint* Language Environment* MQSeries* Multiprise* NetView* On demand business logo	OS/390* Parallel Sysplex* PR/SM Processor Resource/Systems Manager RACF* Resource Link RMF S/390* Sysplex Timer* System z System z9 System z10 TotalStorage*	Virtualization Engine VSE/ESA VTAM* WebSphere* z/Architecture zEnterprise z/OS* z/VM* z/VSE zSeries*
---	---	--	---

* Registered trademarks of IBM Corporation

The following are trademarks or registered trademarks of other companies.

Intel is a trademark of Intel Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both.

Java and all Java-related trademarks and logos are trademarks of Sun Microsystems, Inc., in the United States and other countries

Linux is a registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the United States, other countries, or both.

UNIX is a registered trademark of The Open Group in the United States and other countries.

Microsoft, Windows and Windows NT are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation.

Red Hat, the Red Hat "Shadow Man" logo, and all Red Hat-based trademarks and logos are trademarks or registered trademarks of Red Hat, Inc., in the United States and other countries.

SET and Secure Electronic Transaction are trademarks owned by SET Secure Electronic Transaction LLC.

* All other products may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective companies.

Notes:

Performance is in Internal Throughput Rate (ITR) ratio based on measurements and projections using standard IBM benchmarks in a controlled environment. The actual throughput that any user will experience will vary depending upon considerations such as the amount of multiprogramming in the user's job stream, the I/O configuration, the storage configuration, and the workload processed. Therefore, no assurance can be given that an individual user will achieve throughput improvements equivalent to the performance ratios stated here. IBM hardware products are manufactured from new parts, or new and serviceable used parts. Regardless, our warranty terms apply.

All customer examples cited or described in this presentation are presented as illustrations of the manner in which some customers have used IBM products and the results they may have achieved. Actual environmental costs and performance characteristics will vary depending on individual customer configurations and conditions.


This publication was produced in the United States. IBM may not offer the products, services or features discussed in this document in other countries, and the information may be subject to change without notice. Consult your local IBM business contact for information on the product or services available in your area.

All statements regarding IBM's future direction and intent are subject to change or withdrawal without notice, and represent goals and objectives only.

Information about non-IBM products is obtained from the manufacturers of those products or their published announcements. IBM has not tested those products and cannot confirm the performance, compatibility, or any other claims related to non-IBM products. Questions on the capabilities of non-IBM products should be addressed to the suppliers of those products. Prices subject to change without notice. Contact your IBM representative or Business Partner for the most current pricing in your geography.

Agenda

- Level Set
- Active/Active Sites Overview
- Preliminary Test Results
- Components
- GDPS V3.9 Update
- Summary






System z environments

Improving IT Availability - New Concepts in Delivering Continuous Availability with Active / Active Sites


\Rightarrow Level Set

Active/Active Sites Overview Preliminary Test Results Components GDPS V3.9 Update Summary





Enterprise Wide BC Solution Direction



1990

- Built In Redundancy
- Capacity Backup
- Hot Pluggable I/O
- Sys z RPO 48-72H / RTO 48-72H
- Eliminates Tape/Disk SPoF
 Application Independent
 Sys z RPO 0-3 sec / RTO < 1H

Sync/Asynch Data Mirroring

2005

2000

Provides CA / DR

- Planned/unplanned HW/SW outages
- Flexible, non-disruptive growth

1995

- Dynamic Workload and Resource Management
- Sys z RPO 48-72H / RTO 48-72H

- Provides E2E CA / DR
- Automated failover/switchover

2011

- Browser-based Administration
- E2E RPO 0-3 sec / RTO < 1H</p>



Business Continuity

The top Causes of Business Interruption:

- Planned Maintenance
 - System and Software Upgrades or Reconfiguration
 - Database Administration
- Component Failure
 - Caused by Operator Errors, Software defects, Disk Failure, Subsystems, Hardware, Power Grid
 - Data is recoverable.
 - But, changes might be stranded until component is restored
- Disaster
 - Flood, Earthquake, Fire, ..., Loss of a site
 - Data is not recoverable

Establishing the Objectives:

- Recovery Time Objective (RTO) = How much time is needed to restore business operations?
- Recovery Point Objective (RPO) = How much data could we afford to lose?





How Much Interruption can your Business Tolerate?

Ensuring Business Continuity:

- Standby Disaster Recovery
 - Restore business after an unplanned outage
 - High-Availability
 - Meet Service Availability objectives e.g., 99.9% availability or
 - 8.8 hours of down-time a year
 - Continuous Availability
- Active/Active
- No downtime (planned or not)

Global Enterprises that operate across time-zones no longer have any 'off-hours' window. Continuous Availability is required.

What is the cost of 1 hour of downtime during core business hours?

Industry SectorLoss per HourFinancial\$8,213,470Telecommunications\$4,611,604Information Technology\$3,316,058Insurance\$2,582,382Pharmaceuticals\$2,058,710Energy\$1,468,798Transportation\$1,463,128Banking\$1,145,129Chemicals\$1,071,404	Cost of Downtime by Industry			
Telecommunications \$4,611,604 Information Technology \$3,316,058 Insurance \$2,582,382 Pharmaceuticals \$2,058,710 Energy \$1,468,798 Transportation \$1,463,128 Banking \$1,071,404	Industry Sector	Loss per Hour		
Information Technology \$3,316,058 Insurance \$2,582,382 Pharmaceuticals \$2,058,710 Energy \$1,468,798 Transportation \$1,463,128 Banking \$1,145,129 Chemicals \$1,071,404	Financial	\$8,213,470		
Insurance \$2,582,382 Pharmaceuticals \$2,058,710 Energy \$1,468,798 Transportation \$1,463,128 Banking \$1,145,129 Chemicals \$1,071,404	Telecommunications	\$4,611,604		
Pharmaceuticals \$2,058,710 Energy \$1,468,798 Transportation \$1,463,128 Banking \$1,145,129 Chemicals \$1,071,404	Information Technology	\$3,316,058		
Energy\$1,468,798Transportation\$1,463,128Banking\$1,145,129Chemicals\$1,071,404	Insurance	\$2,582,382		
Transportation \$1,463,128 Banking \$1,145,129 Chemicals \$1,071,404	Pharmaceuticals	\$2,058,710		
Banking \$1,145,129 Chemicals \$1,071,404	Energy	\$1,468,798		
Chemicals \$1,071,404	Transportation	\$1,463,128		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Banking	\$1,145,129		
	Chemicals	\$1,071,404		
Consumer Products \$989,795	Consumer Products	\$989,795		

Source: Robert Frances Group 2006, "Picking up the value of PKI: Leveraging z/OS for Improving Manageability, Reliability, and Total Cost of Ownership of PKI and Digital Certificates."





Disruptions affect more than the bottom line...

September 6, 2010 Virginia Grapples with IT Outage		InformationWeek	
	September 14, 2010 Outage Curtails Chase's Online Bar	nking Che New York Eimes	
Sout	^{mber 9, 2011} hern California Hit By a Big er Outage	THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.	
	March 7, 2012 NYSE Website Outages Prompt Suspicions Of A Cyber Attack	THE HUFFINGTON POST	

... with enormous impact on the business

- Downtime costs can equal up to 16 percent of revenue ¹
- 4 hours of downtime severely damaging for 32 percent of organizations, ²
- Data is growing at explosive rates growing from 161EB in 2007 to 988EB in 2010³
- Some industries fine for downtime and inability to meet regulatory compliance
- Downtime ranges from 300–1,200 hours per year, depending on industry¹

Infonetics Research, *The Costs of Enterprise Downtime: North American Vertical Markets 2005*, Rob Dearborn and others, January 2005
 Continuity Central, "Business Continuity Unwrapped," 2006, <u>http://www.continuitycentral.com/feature0358.htm</u>
 The Expanding Digital Universe: A Forecast of Worldwide Information Growth Through 2010, IDC white paper #206171, March 2007



What are customers doing today ?



Continuous Availability of Data within a Data Center	Continuous Availability / Disaster Recovery within a Metropolitan Region	Disaster Recovery at Extended Distance	Continuous Availability Regionally and Disaster Recovery Extended Distance	
Single Data Center	Two Data Centers	Two Data Centers	Three Data Centers	
Applications remain active	Systems remain active	Rapid Systems Disaster Recovery with "seconds" of	High availability for site disasters	
Continuous access to data in the event of a storage subsystem outage	Multi-site workloads can withstand site and/or storage failures	Data Loss Disaster recovery for out of region interruptions	Disaster recovery for regional disasters	
			C	
GDPS/HyperSwap Mgr	GDPS/PPRC	GDPS/GM & GDPS/XRC	GDPS/MGM & GDPS/MzGM	
RPO=0 & RTO=0	RPO=0 & RTO<1 hr	RPO secs & RTO <1 hr		
RPO – Recovery Point Objective RTO – Recovery Time Objective © 2012 IBM Corporation				



Customer Requirements

- Want to shift focus from a failover model to a nearly-continuous availability model (RTO near zero)
- Access data from any site (unlimited distance between sites)
- No application changes
- Multi-sysplex, multi-platform solution
 - "Recover my business rather than my platform technology"
- Ensure successful recovery via automated processes (similar to GDPS technology today).
 - Can be handled by less-skilled operators
- Provide workload distribution between sites (route around failed sites, dynamically select sites based on ability of site to handle additional workload).
- Provide application level granularity
 - Some workloads may require immediate access from every site, other workloads may only need to update other sites every 24 hours (less critical data).
 - Current solutions employ an all-or-nothing approach (complete disk mirroring, requiring extra network capacity).
- Replace RYO solutions



What are GDPS/PPRC customers doing today?



- GDPS/PPRC, based upon a multi-site Parallel Sysplex and synchronous disk replication, is a metro area Continuous Availability (CA), Disaster Recovery solution (DR)
- GDPS/PPRC supports two configurations:

-Active/standby

-Active/active

- Some customers have deployed GDPS/PPRC active/active configurations
 - -All critical data must be PPRCed and HyperSwap enabled
 - -All critical CF structures must be duplexed
 - Applications must be parallel sysplex enabled
 - Signal latency will impact OLTP thru-put and batch duration resulting in the sites being separated by no more than a couple tens of KM (fiber)
- Issue: the GDPS/PPRC active/active configuration does not provide enough site separation for some enterprises



What are GDPS/XRC & GDPS/GM customers doing today ?

- GDPS/XRC and GDPS/GM, based upon asynchronous disk replication, are unlimited distance DR solutions
- The current GDPS async replication products require the failed site's workload to be restarted in the recovery site and this typically will take 30-60 min
 - -Power fail consistency

-Transaction consistency

- There are no identified extensions to the existing GDPS asynch replication products that will allow the RTO to be substantially reduced.
- Issue: GDPS/XRC and GDPS/GM will not achieve an RTO of seconds being requested by some enterprises







Improving IT Availability - New Concepts in Delivering Continuous Availability with Active / Active Sites

Level Set

⇒ Active/Active Sites Overview

Preliminary Test Results

Components


GDPS V3.9 Update

Summary

© 2012 IBM Corporation




Active/Active Sites concept





Tiers of disaster recovery: Level-setting Active/Active Sites



Best D/R practice is blend tiers of solutions in order to maximize application coverage at lowest possible cost. One size, one technology, or one methodology does not fit all applications



IBM United States Services Announcement 611-023, dated May 24, 2011 - IBM GDPS active/active continuous availability

At a glance

 IBM® GDPS® active/active continuous availability is the next generation of GDPS and represents a fundamental paradigm shift for near continuous availability solutions.

Overview

IBM GDPS active/active continuous availability is the next generation of GDPS and a fundamental paradigm shift from a failover model to a near continuous availability model. IBM GDPS active/active continuous availability combines the best attributes of the existing suite of GDPS services and expands them to allow you to achieve unlimited distances between your data center sites with recovery time objectives measured in seconds. IBM GDPS active/active continuous availability is a solution for an environment consisting of two sites, separated by unlimited distances, running the same applications and having the same data with cross-site workload monitoring, data replication, and balancing. IBM GDPS active/active continuous availability, as with previous GDPS solutions, provides a complete set of services to help achieve near continuous availability. This solution, which is an integration of IBM products and GDPS control software, is delivered through an IBM service engagement which includes project management throughout the implementation cycle.

Statement of direction

 IBM intends to deliver, over time, additional configurations that comprise GDPS active/active continuous availability. In addition to the Active/Standby configuration, IBM plans to make available the Active/Query configuration, which will provide the ability to selectively query data in either site.*

* This statement represents the current intention of IBM. IBM development plans are subject to change or withdrawal without further notice. Any reliance on this statement of direction is at the relying party's sole risk and does not create any liability or obligation for IBM. © 2012 IBM Corporation



Active/Active Sites configurations

Configurations

- 1. Active/Standby GAed June 30, 2011
- 2. Active/Query statement of direction

3. ...


- A configuration is specified on an application basis
- An application is the aggregation of these components
 - Software: applications (e.g., COBOL program) and the middleware runtime environment (e.g., CICS region & DB2 subsystem)
 - Data: related set of objects that must preserve transactional consistency and optionally referential integrity constraints (e.g., DB2 Tables)
 - Network connectivity: one or more TCP/IP addresses & ports (e.g., 10.10.10.1:80)





Active/Standby configuration


- Static routing
- Automatic failover






Active/Standby configuration (continued)

- Static routing
- Automatic failover





Active/Query configuration





Sample scenario – unplanned workload outage

- Failure is detected and an alert is issued
- GDPS receives the alert and runs a monitor to check the status of both sites
- If GDPS monitor finds an issue that could prevent a switch to the standby site, a prompt is generated on the console
- If all OK, the workload will be switched automatically by changing the routing of transactions as the workload is already available to process work

-There is a policy option to prompt the operator for a switch decision rather than automatic switching.

 Once the switch takes place, GDPS schedules an unplanned workload switch script to carry out any post switch actions that may be required such as adding capacity via CBU.





Active/Active Sites functions

- Start/stop a controller start and stop an A/A Sites controller
- Start/stop a site start and stop individual sysplexes (each sysplex maps to a site)
- Stop/start a workload start and stop individual workloads
- Monitoring monitor the A/A Sites configuration and, if any conditions that will
 potentially impact a workload and/or site switch, generate an alert
- Planned workload switch switch the workload site to the other site initiated by operator action
- Unplanned workload switch switch failed workload to the other site, either automatically or based upon operator prompt, after the workload failure detection interval
- Planned site switch switch all workload executing to the other site initiated by operator action
- Unplanned site switch switch the failed site workloads to the other site, either automatically or based upon operator prompt, after the site failure detection interval

Improving IT Availability - New Concepts in Delivering Continuous Availability with Active / Active Sites

Level Set

Active/Active Sites Overview

⇒ Preliminary Testing Results

Components GDPS V3.9 Update Summary

© 2012 IBM Corporation



Preliminary testing results*

Planned workload switch

- Operations initiated switch from the active instance of a workload to the standby instance took 20 seconds
- Not possible with disk replication

Unplanned workload switch

- Automatic switch from the active instance of a workload to the standby instance took 120 seconds (workload failure detection interval is 60 seconds)
- Not possible with disk replication

Planned site switch (9 * CICS-DB2 and 1 * IMS workloads)

- Operations initiated switch of the workloads in a site to the other site took 20 seconds
- Current GDPS and disk replication will take 1-2 hours

Unplanned site switch

- Automatic switch of failed site workloads to the surviving site took 107 seconds (site failure detection interval is 60 seconds)
- Current GDPS and disk replication will take about one hour

* IBM laboratory results; actual results may vary.


Improving IT Availability - New Concepts in Delige g Continuous Availability with Active / Active Sites

Level Set Active/Active Sites Overview Preliminary Testing Results

\Rightarrow Components

GDPS V3.9 Update

Summary





Minimum releases of required products installed on z/OS production and controller images for Active/Standby configuration

- Operating system
 - z/OS V1R11
- Applications/Middleware
 - DB2 for z/OS V9
 - IMS V10
 - WS MQ V7.0

Replication

- InfoSphere Replication Server for z/OS (DB2) V10
- InfoSphere IMS Replication for z/OS V10.1 (new product)

- Management and monitoring
 - GDPS/Active-Active V1.1 (new product)
 - NetView for z/OS V6.1
 - System Automation for z/OS V3.3
 - IBM Multi-site Workload Lifeline V1.1 (new product)
 - IBM Tivoli Monitoring V6.2.2
 - Optional OMEGAMON products (required only if the customer wants to monitor the behavior of the respective products/resources that they deal with (DB2, CICS, storage, etc.)
 - •OMEGAMON XE on z/OS V4.2.0
 - •OMEGAMON XE for Mainframe Networks V4.2.0
 - •OMEGAMON XE for Storage V4.2.0
 - •OMEGAMON XE for DB2 Performance Expert (or Performance Monitor) on z/OS V4.2.0 (if DB2 is running) •OMEGAMON XE on CICS for z/OS V4.2.0 (if CICS is running)
 - •OMEGAMON XE on IMS V4.2.0 (if IMS is running) •OMEGAMON XE for Messaging V7.0 (if MQ is running)

Improving IT Availability - New Concepts in Delige g Continuous Availability with Active / Active Sites

Level set Active/Active Sites Overview Preliminary Testing Results Components

⇒ GDPS V3.9 Update

Summary





GDPS V3.9 – Enterprise-Wide Continuous Availability and D/R

Heterogeneous Data Management

- Support for zEnterprise
- DCM enhancements
- xDR enhancements

Availability

- Stand-Alone Dump
- GDPS/XRC "Return Home"
- GDPS/XRC FlashCopy protection
- HyperSwap
- Query Services
- Health Checks
- Environment Panel
- RSU

System z Exploitation

- Capacity on Demand
- CFRM management
- z/OS exploitation
- TS7700 tape management
- System Management
 - CLEAR / NOCLEAR at IPL
 - Alternate SYSRES
 - Takeover prompt extended

Improving IT Availability - New Concepts in Delige g Continuous Availability with Active / Active Sites


Level set Active/Active Sites Overview Preliminary Testing Results Components GDPS V3.9 Update







Enterprise Wide BC Solution Direction





Summary

Current GDPS family of offers

- Over 13-year history of disaster recovery and continuous availability for System z customers
- A proven track record of success, with over 620 clients worldwide and growing
- Ongoing investment and updated up to GDPS V3.9

NEW GDPS/ Active-Active family of offers

- The next generation of GDPS
- Concept: Active applications, transactional integrity, shared data, replication, and automation over global distances for true continuous availability worldwide
 - First configuration is Active/Standby
 - Statement of Direction on Active Query configuration
 - Additional configurations planned for the future



