IBM Risk Yönetimi Etkinliği



Gündem

09:30 - 09:40 Açılış Konuşması, Gökhan Nalbantoğlu, Yazılım Grubu Ülke Müdürü

- 09:40 10:00 CROs Perspective Ebru Ogan Knottnerus, Garanti CRO
- 10:00 10:45 Towards active management of counterparty credit risk with CVA
- 10:45 11:00 Kahve Arası
- 11:00 12:00 Exploring the Liquidity risk management under the Basel III accord
- 12:00 12:15 Challenges and Trends of implementing risk solutions

Smarter Analytics

IBN. Ó

Theodoros Stampoulis WW Functional Leader – Sell Side Analytics, Business Analytics Date

Active Management of Counterparty Credit Risk



IBM. Ö

Safe Harbor Statement

Information regarding potential future products is intended to outline our general product direction and it should not be relied on in making a purchasing decision. The information mentioned regarding potential future products is not a commitment, promise, or legal obligation to deliver any material, code or functionality. Information about potential future products may not be incorporated into any contract. The development, release, and timing of any future features or functionality described for our products remains at our sole discretion.



Agenda

1.Introduction

2.Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA)

3.CVA Capital Charges in Basel III



Counterparty Risk is Changing

Before the credit crisis

- Most counterparty risk situations were rather unilateral
 - The "too big too fail" concept obscured counterparty risk
 - Many institutions see their counterparty as being risk-free (at least from their point of view)
 - Credit spreads of banks just a few bps
 - Collateral agreements often one-sided or heavily skewed (independent amounts etc)
- Counterparty risk was the focus of mainly large global banks (1st tier)
- Wrong-way risk was a concept rather than a reality
- No-one had ever heard of DVA



Counterparty Risk is Changing

After the credit crisis

- "Too big to fail" illusion is shattered
 - Lehman
 - Pseudo-bankruptcies (saved only by last-ditch rescues) during the credit crisis (Bear Stearns, AIG, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Merrill Lynch, Royal Bank of Scotland)
- Every counterparty risk situation is bilateral
 - CVA and DVA
 - Collateral
 - Central counterparties
- Collateral Management
 - Moved from Back office to Front office process
- Wrong-way risk is suddenly everywhere
 - Massive problems arising from credit derivatives products

IBN. Ö

Market Trends & Impacting Events

The pre-regulation ROE of top banks is 20%, and this is expected to drop down to 7% post-regulation with Basel III. With smarter risk management across six main categories, banks could return ROE to 14%¹

	Exhibit 2	Impact on return on equity across all capital-markets businesses %				
	The decline in return on equity is driven by		Profitability impact ¹	Description		
		Preregulation	20	Average for the top 13 banks		
		Basel II.5 1 Market-risk framework	7	 Stressed VAR⁵ and additional risk charge on default risk-related products 		
		Basel III 2 Counterparty-credit and other recursions	3	 Additional CVA charge for noncentrally cleared OTC derivatives 		
		3a OTC ³ shift: RWA ⁴ reduction	2	 Shift of standard contracts to central counterparty clearing with offsetting effect to total CCR⁶ RWA 		
		3b OTC shift: revenue impact	1	Lower margins for centrally cleared deals		
		4 Capital ratios	2	 Increase of Tier 1 capital ratio from target 10% preregulation to target 12% postregulation 		
		5 Leverage ratio	~0	 New minimum leverage ratio 3% (multiplier 33) at product level plus cushion (target 3.3%/30) 		
		6 Liquidity and funding costs	1	 Short-term liquidity and long-term funding requirements 		
		Postregulation	7			
		1 The relative difference in the size of the effects of the manner of representation (that is, listing ma larger).	in the chart is partly an artifact arket risk first makes it appear	2 Credit-valuation adjustment. 5 Value at risk. 3 Over the counter. 6 Counterparty-credit risk. 4 Risk-weighted assets. 10 minutes and the counterparty of		

1) Day of reckoning: New regulation and its impact on capital-markets businesses' McKinsey & Company

IBN. Ó

Market Trends & Impacting Events

Through a coordinated approach to managing market, credit, and liquidity risk, firms can reduce the Basel III burden on profitability



1) Day of reckoning: New regulation and its impact on capital-markets businesses' McKinsey & Company, Sep 2011

IBM. 🗑

Market Trends & Impacting Events

Expect that regional spend will follow 2012, for credit risk - over half of spend will be within the EU and North America

Figure 2: Risk IT Expenditure by Region 2012



Source: Chartis Global Risk IT Expenditure 2011 http://www.chartis-research.com/research/reports/global-risk-it-expenditure-2011

IBN. 😽

New Business Reality

Considerable long-term pressure on earnings potential

- Higher risk based capital charges combined with higher quality standards for capital
- Significantly tightened operational constraints and strengthened qualitative criteria

Therefore banks are focusing on the efficient use of capital and full risk based pricing

CVA is essential in evolving firms' risk and management culture, enabling strategic change



Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA)
- 3. CVA Capital Charges in Basel III

IBN. Ó

Counterparty Credit Risk and CVA Pricing

CCR is the risk that the counterparty to a transaction could default before the final settlement of the transaction's cash flows. An economic loss would occur if the transactions or portfolio of transactions with the counterparty has a positive economic value at the time of default.

Definition from Basel II document, Annex 4, 2A, 2G.

CVA: adjusting the market value of financial instruments for CCR

- · Unilateral CVA considers defaults of the counterparty
- Bilateral CVA considers defaults of both the risk taker and the counterparty
- In theory, counterparties should agree on the value of a position adjusted with bilateral CVA

Smarter**Analytics**

IBM. 🍯

History and current practice

- Rapid transition from passive to active management of CCR
- Usually implemented by setting up a CVA Desk
- Ever more accurate and frequent calculations: daily, intra-day, real-time

1999

Before CVA

 Firms apply credit limits and measures such as PFE (Potential Future Exposure) to limit their possible exposure to a counterparty in the future

Passive Management of CVA

- Large banks first start using CVA to assess the cost of counterparty risk
- CVA is treated via a passive insurance style approach

2007

Active Management of CVA

- The Credit Crisis and resulting failures of high profile firms generates much more attention on counterparty risk
- Banks are interested in more accurate and ever more frequent CVA calculations – daily, intra-day, and real-time

1998: Asian crisis and long-term capital management (LTCM). The unexpected failure of the large hedge fund LTCM and Asian crisis lead to an interest in CCR although mainly confined to some first tier banks **2006: New Accountancy regulations** (FASB 157, IAS 39) mean that the value of derivatives positions must be corrected for counterparty risk

All banks must start calculating CVA on a monthly basis

Sept. 10-15, 2008: Lehman Brothers collapses following a reported \$4 billion loss and unsuccessful negotiation to find a buyer, one of Wall Street's most prestigious firms files for bankruptcy protection

Smarter Analytics

Does CVA matter?



Smarter**Analytics**

CVA directly impacts profits

Citigroup Q3 2011 results

Securities and Banking revenues grew 20% from the prior year period to \$6.7 billion due to the \$1.9 billion of CVA recorded in the quarter.

Excluding CVA, *Securities and Banking* revenues were down 12% to \$4.8 billion reflecting lower results in Fixed Income Markets, Equities and Investment Banking, partially offset by increased revenues in Lending.

Fixed Income revenues of \$3.8 billion in the third quarter 2011 **included \$1.5 billion of CVA**. Excluding CVA, Fixed Income revenues were \$2.3 billion, 33% below the prior year period.

Equity Markets revenues of \$634 million in the third quarter **included \$345 million of CVA**. Excluding CVA, Equity revenues fell 73% year-over-year to \$289 million.

JPMorgan Chase Reports Third-Quarter 2011 Net Income of \$4.3 Billion, or \$1.02 Per Share, on Revenue of \$24.4 Billion

Discussion of Results: Net revenue included a \$1.9 billion gain from debit valuation adjustments ("DVA") on certain structured and derivative liabilities, resulting from the widening of the Firm's credit spreads. This was partially offset by a \$691 million net loss, including hedges, from credit valuation adjustments ("CVA") on derivative assets within Credit Portfolio, due to the widening of credit spreads for the Firm's counterparties.



Credit Value Adjustment (CVA)

- CVA is the price of counterparty risk (expected loss)
- We have to separate valuation of each derivative (instrument level) and CVA (netting set level)

Exposure with Credit Risk = Exposure without Credit Risk - CVA

CVA ≈ Spread × EPE



Why is CVA so complex?

- Calculating the CVA of a derivative is always more complex than pricing the derivative itself
 - e.g. CVA of a swap involves volatility but pricing the swap itself doesn't
- Must account for
 - Complexities of the trade (cash flows, exercises, resets, ...) and market variables
 - Correlations between market variables
 - Default probability and recovery value (often more art than science)
 - Netting (causes exposure to be reduced)
 - Collateral agreements (as above)
 - Wrong-way risk (credit derivatives in particular)

Current practice: in transition to active management

Of the firms surveyed, 50% calculate CVA monthly, 25% daily, and 25% in real time



Source: Credit Value Adjustment: and the changing environment for pricing and managing counterparty risk, Algorithmics, December 2009

Current practice: Incremental CVA at deal time

Pre Credit Crisis: Firms that charged CVA were often at a pricing disadvantage relative to firms that did not. Post Credit Crisis: Firms that charge CVA on an incremental basis are a competitive advantage vs firms that cannot.



Source: Credit Value Adjustment: and the changing environment for pricing and managing counterparty risk, Algorithmics, December 2009



Why banks are looking for new risk management solutions

Need to keep ahead of regulators

- Seeking enterprise level analysis that can aggregate exposures across existing risk silos and calculate total risk, whereas legacy risk systems may calculate exposure within individual business lines
- Asking banks to handle increasing volumes or new exotic financial instruments (i.e. CDS/CDO)
- Banks want to qualify for incentives (e.g. Basel III trading book regulatory capital is expected to triple for many banks – and applying more accurate models and approaches within regulatory reporting can qualify a bank for reduced capital requirements)

Need to maintain a competitive edge in the market

• Want to improve pricing on trades with pre-deal analysis that includes CVA calculations. This requires systems that are capable of real-time results, where most legacy systems are designed for batch output,

Smarter Analytics

IBM. 🕉

Why use a simulation approach?

The Market Risk impacts on pricing will impact Credit Risk exposures because valuations in some scenarios will increase the size of the exposure and the likelihood of counterparty default



Pre-deal CVA: Why use a simulation approach?

Simulation approaches enable risk reducing trades to be priced more competitively than risk increasing trades.

	95% Peak Exposure	Unilateral CVA	I
End-of-Day Counterparty Portfolio	\$50M	\$100K	
Pre-deal			
Add-on measure	\$2.5M	\$5K	Highly conservative additive estimate of exposure and CVA
Monte Carlo Simulation	\$1M	\$2K	Less conservative approach to measure exposure and CVA
Incremental Monte Carlo simulation	(\$2.5M)	(\$5K)	Most accurate measure capturing diversification, netting and collateral

Millions



"If we are able to measure our counterparty risk more accurately, we will be able to use our credit lines and capital more efficiently. This will allow us to do more business with the same or lower limits, as our current conservative methodologies constrain the business and may overstate exposure. And because we can understand the CVA in advance of doing a trade, it lets us be sharper in our prices."¹

Mark Engel, managing director and head of global analytics and financial engineering, global capital markets, Scotiabank

Risk Magazine, "Exposing counterparty risk exposure", March 2010 http://www.algorithmics.com/EN/media/pdfs/Algo-NF0310-RM-Exposure.pdf

Debt Value Adjustment (DVA)

In a world that includes DVA, there is symmetry with CCR adjusted prices being equal and opposite and therefore more risky parties pay less risky parties in order to trade with them.

- CVA
 - Expected positive exposure (EPE)
 - Counterparty default probability
 - Counterparty recovery rate
 - Represents a cost
- DVA
 - Expected negative exposure
 - Own default probability
 - Own recovery rate
 - Represents a gain

BCVA= Counterparty Spread x EPE – Own Spread x ENE & if EPE = ENE

BCVA= EPE (Spread – Own spread)





Smarter**Analytics**

IBM. Ö

Wrong-Way Risk

- It is typical to assume independence between
 - Default probability of counterparty
 - Exposure at default
- But in reality this is often wrong
 - Buying out of the money put options
 - Buying CDS protection
 - FX products with local currencies
- Wrong way risk challenges
 - Correlation and dependency are not the same thing
 - Wrong-way risk might be quite subtle / indirect
 - Wrong-way risk can be massive (mono-lines)

A Realistic Example: Why wrong way risk matters

Corporate Portfolio

- 150 Swaps: one-directional (long fixed/short floating), all denominated in CAD
- **Maturities:** min = 2wks, max = 10yrs
- Market factors: Short-rate calibrated to swaption vols
- Credit Modeling: No netting, no collateral
- **Simulation time steps:** Quarterly to 10yrs (total of 40)

IBN. 🗑

A Realistic Example: Why wrong way risk matters

Corporate Portfolio

CVA goes from \$1.7M - \$5M, depending on right or wrong way risk



CVA Desk: Active Management of CVA

Requirements to mark-to-market CVA in all derivatives positions

- CVA is not additive across positions (diversification effect due to netting)
- This creates two key problems
 - How to allocate and charge the CVA across businesses / trading desks
 - How to reduce the volatility of CVA due to market movements (specifically credit spreads and volatility)
- Creates the need for a specialised group to tackle this coherently across all business groups
 - Cross asset focus (centralised approach) trading desk
 - Every derivative constitutes some sort of complex loan transaction
 - Often tied into a central credit treasury to transfer price credit risk capital given CVA

Smarter Analytics

IBM. Ö

Managing a CVA Desk





CVA Desk: Key Challenges

Positioning of CVA desk

- Centralised or decentralised
- Profit centre or utility
- Hedging policy
 - Basis, proxies, liquidity, market gaps
 - Overtrading due to unstable sensitivities
- Divergence between business practice and regulation (Basel III)

DVA (Debt Value Adjustment)

- Should you monetise your own default?
- Link to funding

Wrong way risk

- How to minimize wrong-way risk
- How to create "right way" exposures

Tight operational integration and fast analytics are both essential



Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA)
- 3. CVA Capital Charges in Basel III
- 4.Summary

Smarter**Analytics**

IBN. Ö

Banks need risk systems that can keep pace with emerging regulation like Basel III, and regional acts Dodd-Frank or EMIR

These regulatory reforms are designed to prevent banks from taking on excessive risk and damaging global financial markets

Most banks are making reforms to Basel III standards, because Basel III is an international accord that is adopted through national legislation.

Basel III	-	Capital	Liquidity	Leverage	Counterparty Risk
Basel III requirements apply in phases starting		Strengthens capital adequacy in three components:	Introduces a regime that promotes short- term and long-term	Introduces a regime that constrains leverage in the banking sector and migrates model risk through non-risk based measures	Increases capital that banks must hold to protect against
Jan 2013 up to 2019. The lead-time is designed to prevent lending freezes as banks improve operations	-	 capital resources risk weighted assets capital ratios 	resiliency to liquidity shocks		counterparty defaults Banks will be levied to protect against losses resulting from changes to the market price of a potential
Countries adopt the Basel standards through national legislation					counterparty default. The levy is known as CVA (credit valuation adjustment)



Basel III impacts enterprise-wide



- **Stressed EPE.** Banks using the internal models method (IMM) must calculate exposures using data that includes a period of stressed market conditions.
- Wrong way risk. Banks must identify exposures that give rise to a greater degree of "general" wrong-way risk and must assume a higher exposure for transactions with "specific" wrong way risk.
- **Systemic risk.** Banks must apply a correlation multiplier of 1.25 to all exposures to regulated financial firms with assets of at least \$100 billion and to all exposures to unregulated financial firms.
- **Collateral.** For certain transactions, the "margin period of risk" will double to 20 days. No benefit can be achieved from downgrade triggers (e.g. receiving more collateral if the rating of a counterparty deteriorates). In addition, additional haircuts for certain securities and the liquidity coverage ratio will limit the reuse of collateral and encourage the use of cash collateral.
- **CVA VAR.** Banks must hold additional capital to capture the volatility of CVA. This is in addition to the current rules that capitalize default risk.
- **Central counterparties.** A risk weighting of 2% will be given to exposures to a CCP which meets various rigorous conditions . Whilst this represents an increase (from zero) in capitalisation of CCP exposures, it is intended to incentivising the clearing of OTC derivatives through CCPs.



CVA capital charges in Basel III

- Captures CVA risk due to credit spread volatility
 - Volatility due to DVA or market risk factors not included
 - Does not account for wrong-way or right way risks
 - Only recognizes hedges that are managed as such and that are
 - Single name CDS and contingent CDS)
 - index hedges (if basis risk is captured in model)
- Initial proposal of "bond equivalent approach" was problematic





Bond equivalent method overstates parallel shifts, understates twists

Smarter**Analytics**

IBM. 🗑

Basel III Proposal – CVA capital charge

- Revised proposal (Dec 2010): CVA "VaR"
 - For IMM banks with approved internal model for specific interest rate risk

$$CVA = (LGD_{MKT}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{I} Max \left(0; \exp\left(-\frac{s_{i-1} \cdot t_{i-1}}{LGD_{MKT}}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{s_i \cdot t_i}{LGD_{MKT}}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{EE_{i-1} \cdot D_{i-1} + EE_i \cdot D_i}{2}\right)$$

- First factor within sum approximates market implied marginal PD between t_{i-1} and t_i
- Second factor captures regulatory expected exposure for same time interval
- This formula is then used directly in VaR model with full re-pricing
- Maturity adjustment related to effective EPE modified to avoid double-counting
- Challenges
 - Calibration (e.g. market implied LGD)
 - Divergence between business practice, accounting, and regulation
 - Conceptual problems (see next slide)

Smarter**Analytics**

Banks can cushion BIS-3 capital increases via Internal Model Approval



Note: In order to apply Advanced CVA risk charge must have DSR and IMM approved by regulator

Basel III Capital charges are going up, if move to IMM, have potential to offset increased CVA Capital charges with Default Risk savings

TKM



Overview of the IMM solution – What are the business benefits?

Canadian Bank - Actual business outcomes to date

PFE

- 40%-60% reduction for the multiple phases of the project
- Reductions of 60% for Top 10 counterparty exposures

Capital

- IMM Default Charge 43% reduction
- IMM CVA Charge 22% reduction



Questions?

