



2 August 2011 Centara Grand at Central World, Bangkok

Ra-nnovate' your Application Lifecycle Management

Martin Lee Rational Specialty Architect – ASEAN eMail : yeekee@my.ibm.com









Our Capabilities to Help You Achieve Breakthrough Benefits













Agenda Current market situation





Software drives today's innovation for a smarter planet Transforming the way we live, work, and play









Business and IT Agility: Balancing Resources to Support Business Innovation Balance IT investments to focus on new solutions.



- Forrester estimates that ongoing operations and maintenance consume 66% of IT budgets
- While new projects and software initiatives represent only 34%



TEST TEAM

STAKEHOLDERS



PROJECTA

PROJECT B

Traditional software delivery environments limit productive collaboration: Islands of People, Process and Information

LOGIC

LOGIE

TOOL D

TOOL C

DR

DR

DB

OGIC

LOGIC

TOOL B

Little to no project visibility Data locked in proprietary APIs Poor process and workflow integration High maintenance and administration costs Persistent functional, geographic and organizational silos





Rational Collaborative Lifecycle Management Solution -A platform for software integration and collaboration







The defining challenge: Managing "systems of systems" From back-end software to customer facing portals, systems of systems drive your relationships with customers, suppliers and business partners













Rational Application Lifecycle Management (ALM) Modular, open and extensible











 \odot



Criteria for effective lifecycle management: ALM imperatives

- 1. Real-time planning
- 2. Lifecycle traceability
- 3. In-Context collaboration
- 4. Development intelligence
- 5. Continuous process improvement





Imperative 1: Real-time planning

O North	te Control Mar . Dises Ipen	Chaiged 11	In the second seco	Corpetitor . Depaire tailing	
n.X	and any other is an and	M Annedjok	Auld Mare - stath field	1/20/2018 23 400 0	
a	E ANOTHIN AM	22 Smilliple	Bald Talan - Jayouts reversitanted	\$126/2005 B.20 204 Q	
Ċ.	14000 KBI AME	22 Get Lamber	Build Failure - Add KML observants to all pulline methods in our APL.	SUSSION ROTAN Q	
8	434/00/05/44/	34 Nam Chemilter	Balanese caletat Sold	\$713/2019-011-04/ (P	
61	E DROBINERI AND	12 Eleptoren Land	Ratificture-getfalls repairely	Mita/Shife Kith Keir 🕢	
	E EXTRACTION	U Disthurse Sant	Bolt Mary Bultzman / Cit	STUTION ETHEN	
n).	I SAUDORE DAVI	11 on twh	Autof Suture - Natification for failed gave build shows partially localided sum -	1/1/2898 8:30.44	
1	LAURINE DAVE	(2) Om Bright	Build follow: Street, 20% (Becond Program Build and Tables (Build for folia) a	ATEN/2001 E No. Auf	
	A NA/SECONDERAM	II sealaght	build below. Test law 80 web who fit had being at the the result of during them	A MANAGER BILLING	
	A VADORNE IN AM I	SI ambigie	Build Sufury - Delation in Teart Build time out when the doty is large	MULTING BEELAN	
	A DECOMPOSITION OF	23 See Bagin	Build failure - Build contains a sorat, with anoth, fair but no build log curbuil, and th	N MILIZON BILLAN D	
1	LAUSTRIN AVE	20 amilityle	Balaf Villes - AddialchebMethod (House Affairer) and manage with Balasta	ST12289 B III AN G	
1	ANA CHEER AN F	at smilliple	Ital O Water - Ital O del Statification i Taled Systematical error "Italescent cubales It	A STOCION BOTTAN C	
	GAUSSING BAN	12 (in Reple	Beldfallen - Bald Agent & Controller status in movie inconsicily in Transfoundat	N. 5/25/2819 8 20 AM ()	
1	1 IAURINIAN]	-CT per Biglie	Build failure - Build Defails your Name of Build datus one appears as lyston, Mill	 Markamente Q 	
1	\$ A/3A/300/254LKM	21. Sarph Kjult	Evol hundbulking the law May, Natifunian Pumbunality	MUNION CHANGE	
ř –	2 K000001741AV	34.imilepla	Error Runti Subling the menu Petro lensel	STATUTE NO AM C	
ii.	2 4160855404W	24 Kert Chinala	Entry Roatstadding the twee selector screet	SEDENSENIO	
6	2 4/34/000 1/4/ AM	AL Sectory As	Arry fourth hubby the order with arrest.	Marchan e to ant 🕼	
1.	E ANOTHIN AND	- 11 Amori Rich	Story Director entry	STATUTE ALC: AN O	
1	E AND/DOPERATE	33 similable	Out-the al-schig between liters.	\$400011.04MQ	
ð -	2 40/2011/3440	U	Asabity to until kits after series.	SAZES KIDAN D	
t)	2 - 200/2019 to 34 AM	30 Kurk Chenata	Choosing balance shaling to very confusing	Statutes and and G	
-	ALL REAL PROPERTY AND A RE	20 Trial Weighted	Deter found building the map size .	54/2000 R.52 Jan 🗗	
	14/2016/11 AV	10 inn lanim	sure later. Tert that we inside the serve All in CopyConcerpanties are faile	William a maar a	
rie -	ATAOBHEH AN	A Stuberte Mall	Sating on Ident (Th), Area (1960).	MIN/WHERE AND	
	ADM/STEPS/SLAW	34 fam (Panis)	Ostrangalitation stading strang confusions	Value saw 0	
	I DAVIDER DAM	U.S. BANK	Build Mare - Received Suld Arts after Suid service is straped while a build in	UND/2018 B30 AMLO	
	A URODERIN AN	10 Unitative Hall	Buildhalulw-seurce cole future resultents	SUCH ADDRESS AND CO	
	A MACRONICE AVE	11 in the lat	Build fully and the Andrew property from the Gettalk.	- 1/19/2004 ELIAN C	



Don't

Have plans that live outside of ALM environment where requirements, development and test plans are disconnected and managed separately, or not at all.

Rely on manual, error-prone updates.

Have plans that are separate from team activities and assignments.



Do

Plan across the entire team, not silos, by linking and populating development and test plans from requirements.

Practice continuous planning using lifecycle queries and project dashboards to respond to changing events.

Use plans that are fully integrated with execution where updating time spent directly from the work item makes easy to keep accurate plans.











Imperative 2: Lifecycle traceability





Create silos of information with disconnected and incompatible tooling and terminology

Email copies of deliverables to remote team members and break linkages

Integrate by replicating data



Link deliverables and connect the dots so every deliverable has the proper upstream and downstream relationship

Share links to deliverables with related deliverables context including reviews and comments from other team members

Link critical project deliverable so that the entire team have access to the latest version of the truth







Imperative 3: In-Context collaboration



Create an environment of silo'd teams and disconnected data

Manually collect status reports or wait for status meetings to take action

Rely on email discussions.

Unified teams share linked data providing visibility into each others work.

Collaboration is also about knowing what is going on without having to ask. Dashboards and traceability views provide realtime status of the team's progress.

All discussions in work items integrated on the plan. Use lifecycle queries to answer more meaningful questions such as "Which requirements are affected by defects?





In-context Collaboration always shows the latest

Comments (4)
입 🕈 🗕 🗐 🗸 🔇
1. Bob to Deb, Marco 5 minutes ago (2 replies)
Percentage format - numer of decimal places
How many decimal places should we support for percer
Example: none - 16%, 1 decimal place 16.5%
2. Marco to Bob, Deb 5 minutes ago
RE: Percentage format - numer of decimal place #1]
I checked the DB table. We did not take into account decimals for this percentage. If we are going to support decimals I need to know ASAP.
4. Deb to Bob, Marco Now
RE: Percentage format - numer of decimal places #2]
Bob, is no decimal support acceptable to the busines
3. Tanuj to Bob 1 minute ago
What is the minimum percentage?

Threaded discussions on requirements

					- g mg r c c c r r	
Page size:	20				📲 👻 🗐 No grouping 👻 🔚	R 🗘 🕅
	ID	Name	Artifact Type	Last Modified By	Last Modified Date	
	44	Accounts Overview	Part	JTSAdmin	Mar 26, 2011 5:43:06 PM	1
	50	Transaction History	Part	JTSAdmin	Mar 26, 2011 5:43:10 PM	
	53	Accounts Overview (Home Page)	Sketch	JTSAdmin	Mar 26, 2011 5:43:11 PM	
	55	38 Dividend Contribution - screen flow	Screen Flow	JTSAdmin	Mar 26, 2011 5:43:11 PM	
	60	Account Details	Part	JTSAdmin	Mar 26, 2011 5:43:13 PM	
	67	E Dividend Contribution	Storyboard	JTSAdmin	Mar 26, 2011 5:43:15 PM	
	75	Donor must be registered user to access account details	Business Rule	JTSAdmin	Mar 26, 2011 5:43:19 PM	
	79	Donors will receive confirmation and receipt	Feature	JTSAdmin	Mar 26, 2011 5:43:21 PM	
	89	E Dividend contribution - confirmation	Sketch	JTSAdmin	Mar 26, 2011 5:43:23 PM	

Recent Threaded discussions highlighted on requirements

🔊 wo	🗐 Work Items 🕴 🔀 Tag Cloud 🔠 Problems 🛛 👘 👘 🖉 👘 👘								
Found 9	Found 9 work items - Stories (current sprint)								
	Id	Status	Р	Story Points	Summary	Owned By			
*	55	👄 New		13 pts	Frequency of dividend transfer	🌯 Deb			
	59	Implemented		8 pts	Requests sent in form of email	⊖ Marco			
*	60	👄 New		3 pts	Organization must identify how much money is desired	⊜ Marco			
*	62	👄 New		1 pt	Organizations may apply with an initial request	⊖ Marco			
	64	💷 In Progress		2 pts	Customers can Nominate an Organization	🌯 Deb			
*	66	🔷 New		1 pt	Organization must provide justification for why funds are needed	⊖ Marco			
*	69	🔷 New		2 pts	Organizations can Apply	⊖ Marco			
	70	💷 In Progress		5 pts	Donor Dividend Allocation Criteria	🌯 Deb			
*	71	👄 New		1 pt	JKE Charity Coordinator will respond to request in the website trigg	😑 Marco			

Unread work Items bolded for developers





Extending the environment to leverage on social network/community







Imperative 4: Development Intelligence





🗙 Don't

Manually collect data by hounding the team for status reports.

Take a 'big bang' approach to instituting measures Don't expect to get it right the first time.

Do

Use live dashboards that provide transparency of information and dashboard reports based on data coming from the team's activity.

Identify a weak spot or a current pain point or bottleneck.

Conduct retrospectives to discover what worked, what didn't work, and how you can improve.





From In Process (Team) To Executive Value Appropriate Metrics for Each Management level

Dimensions	Team (In Process)	Middle Management (Development Mgmt.)	Development Executive (VP Development)				
Time-to-Value (Schedule)		User Story Points / Use Case Points					
	Iteration Burndown	, Blocking Work Item					
		down					
Product Value		Iteration Velocity					
	Stakeholder Feedba	ck, # of Enhancement Request , Age of Enhar	ncement Request				
		Fested and Delivered Requirements, Business	s Value Velocity, Customer Satisfaction				
Product Cost	Effort (M						
	Cost / Unit of work						
			Development / Maintenance Costs				
Product Quality	Technical Debt (Defect trend, defect density)						
	Test Status, Test Coverage of Requirement, Test Execution Status						
		Quality at S	Ship				
Predictability	User Story Points	s / Use Case Points					
		Planned/Actual Cos	t and Velocity				
		Trend Variance. Likelihoo	od of on-time delivery				





Use dashboards to provide that 1 view of project health

Story Status Current Iteration



Page 1 of 6

Shows the status of all stories planned for the current iteration

Burndown Current Iteration

Shows the remaining amount of estimated work in hours of work items planned for the current iteration.

Stories Open/Closed/In Progress

Shows the number of stories which are open, in progress, done during the iteration.









Imperative 5: Continuous process improvement





🗙 Don't

Define a process and place it on a shelf

Ignore process altogether or treat it like an unnecessary burden.

Institute process police

Use a tool that can 'enact' your process definition and guide the team toward the desired result.

Learn from what other teams have done and leverage their results across other teams when appropriate Ignore process altogether or treat it like an unnecessary burden.

Let the tool govern behavior and refine it over time. Add peer reviews to encourage knowledge sharing and collaboration.





Process specifications and work-item templates speed team adoption

- Modify processes using a rich set of practice content
- Teams use work item types defined by your process







Team Retrospectives help identify areas of improvement

- Tracking Retrospectives help team members to collaborate on improvements.
 - Ranking of process improvements help to focus on where the team feels the biggest pain.
 - Metrics where available help support process improvements and show results.

ect Dathbards ∨ Work Teens ∨ Bards ∨ Source Control ∨ Buids ∨ Reports ∨ Work Teens > Oueries > Brow All with terms Per Page Previous 1 3 of 3 New Builds are not completing as frequent as before Build 22 <> New Retrospective for Sprint 2 31 > Done Retrospective for Sprint 2 31 > Done Retrospective for Sprint 1 Status: >> Done Retrospective for Sprint 1 Status: >> Done Retrospective for Sprint 1 Status: >> Done Details Filed JKE Project Area: JKE Banking (Change Management) Date: PM Owned By: Marco Ouick Information By Subscribers (1): M Biscussion 1. Deb, Mar 6, 2011, 1:50 AM @maco When Will we have the meeting for the retrospective? 2. Salty, Mar 6, 2011, 1:61 AM @maco When Will we have the meeting for the retrospective? 2. Salty, Mar 6, 2011, 1:61 AM @maco Ware, Mar 8, 2011, 61 AM @maco Ware, Mar 8, 2011, 61 AM @maco Ware, Mar 8, 2011, 6	📴 🚯 JKE	Banking (Change	Management)			JTSAdmin 🚜 🗸 🕍	~ I 🕐
Status Spint 2 Image: Spint 2 Previous 1-3 of 3 Next Status Sumary New Build Sumary Filed Against Vew Builds are not completing as frequent as before Build Unassigned Vew Builds Status: V Done Status: V Done Details Filed Filed JKE Project Area: JKE Narco Resolution Marco Resolution Marco Resolution Marco Resolution Subscribers (1) Notes Discussion 1. Det, Marco, 2011, 1:58 AM @ approve Sprint 1 Retrospective? 2. Salty, Marco, 2011, 8:10 PM @ det bink it will be today!	t Dashboards 🗸	Work Items 🗸 🛛 Plans 🗸 🛛 So	ource Control 🛩 🛛 Builds 🗸			📒 👻 Search Work Items	1
Show All Image Previous 1 - 3 of 3 Next Type Filter Text Id Status Summary Filed Against Planned For Act 77 New Builds are not completing as frequent as before Build Unassigned 32 New Retrospective for Sprint 2 JKE Sprint 2 31 Done Retrospective for Sprint 1 Image: Sprint 2 Status: One Details Filed JKE Planned For: Sprint 1 Against: Pole Details Filed JKE Planned For: Sprint 1 Owned By: Marco Resolution March 26, 2011 5:44 Planned For: Sprint 1 Owned By: Marco Resolution Marco Outlie Planned For: Sprint 1 Owned By: Marco Resolution Marco Cluick Information Marco Marco Discussion 1.Deb, Mar 6, 2011, 1:58 AM Image: Planned for the retrospective? Status Status Planned for the retrospective? Status Discussion 1.Deb, Mar 6, 2011, 6:41 AM Yes @cdeb and @saily, it will be today! <th< th=""><th>Work Items > Queri</th><th>ectives 🖗</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>/ e B</th><th><mark>%</mark> Fe</th></th<>	Work Items > Queri	ectives 🖗				/ e B	<mark>%</mark> Fe
Id Status Summary Filed Against Planned For → Ac 77 New Build Unassigned 32 New Retrospective for Sprint 2 JKE Sprint 2 Status: ✓ Done Details Filed JKE Project Area: JKE Banking (Change Management) Date: PM Owned By: Marco Resolved By: Marco Quick Information Subscribers (1): M Mentions (3) Approve Sprint 1 Retrospective: Approved (1 of 1) Notes Discussion Det, 000 March 26, 2011, 1:58 AM @marco When will we have the meeting for the retrospective? Saluro, Mar 8, 2011, 1:58 AM @marco When will we have the meeting for the retrospective? Saluro, Mar 8, 2011, 6:41 AM Yes @deb and @sally, it will be today! 	Show All 🔽 Iten	ns Per Page		Previous 1 - 3 of 3 No	ext	Type Filter Tex	đ
77 ● New Builds are not completing as frequent as before Build Unassigned 32 ● New Retrospective for Sprint 2 JKE Sprint 2 31 ✓ Done Retrospective for Sprint 1 Image: Completing as frequent as before Status: ✓ Done Details Filed JKE Planned For: Sprint 1 Against Project Area: JKE Banking (Change Marco Resolution Marco 26, 2011 5:44 Owned By: Marco Resolved By: Marco Quick Information Subscribers (1): M Marco Marco Subscribers (1): M Subscribers (1): M Marco Marco Owned By: Marco Resolved By: Marco Undek Information Subscribers (1): M Marco Marco Owned By: Marco Notes Discussion 1. Deb, Mar 6, 2011, 1:58 AM Order Discussion 1. Deb, Mar 6, 2011, 1:58 AM @marco When will we have the meeting for the retrospective? 2. Saliy, Mar 8, 2011, 8:10 PM @deb I think It will be tomorrow? 3. Marco, Mar 8, 2011, 6:41 AM Yes @deb and @saliy, it will be today!	ld Status	Summary			Filed Against	Planned For +	Actio
32 New Retrospective for Sprint 2 JKE Sprint 2 31 ✓ Done Ø 31: Retrospective for Sprint 1 38 Status: ✓ Done Details Filed JKE Planned For: Sprint 1 Against: Project Area: JKE Banking (Change Resolution March 26, 2011 5:44 Owned By: Marco Owned By: Marco Resolved By: Marco Outick Information Ø Subscribers (1): M Ø Mentions (3) Marco Ø Approve Sprint 1 Retrospective: Approved (1 of 1) Notes Discussion 1. Deb, Mar 6, 2011, 1:58 AM @marco When will we have the meeting for the retrospective? 2. Saity, Mar 6, 2011, 8:10 PM @deb of think it will be tomorrow? 3. Marco, Mar 8, 2011, 6:41 AM Yes @deb and @saily, it will be today!	77 🔷 New	Builds are not complet	ing as frequent as befo	re	Build	Unassigned	
31 ✓ Done Status: ✓ Done Details Filed JKE Planned For. Sprint 1 Sprint 1 Against. Project Area: JKE Banking (Change Resolution March 26, 2011 5:44 Management) Date: PM Marco Resolved By: Marco Marco Quick Information Subscribers (1): M © Mentions (3) Marco Marco Marco Discussion 1. Deb, Mar 6, 2011, 1:58 AM @marco When will we have the meeting for the retrospective? 2. Sally, Mar 6, 2011, 8:10 PM @deb thinkit will be tomorrow? 3. Marco, Mar 8, 2011, 6:41 AM Yes @deb and @sally, it will be today! \$	32 🔿 New	Retrospective for Sprin	it 2		JKE	Sprint 2	
Status: ✓ Done Details Filed JKE Planned For. Sprint 1 Against Project Area: JKE Banking (Change Management) Resolution Date: March 26, 2011 5:44 Owned By: Marco Resolved By: Marco Ouick Information Image: Marco Resolved By: Marco Subscribers (1): M Image: Mentions (3) Image: Mentions (3) Image: Mentions (3) Mapprove Sprint 1 Retrospective: Approved (1 of 1) Notes Image: Mentions (3) Image: Mentions (3) Discussion 1. Deb, Mar 6, 2011, 1:58 AM Image: Mentions (3) Image: Mentions (3) Marco When will we have the meeting for the retrospective? 2. Sally, Mar 6, 2011, 1:58 AM Image: Mentions (3) Image: Mention Mill we have the meeting for the retrospective? 2. Sally, Mar 6, 2011, 1:58 AM Image: Mentions (3) Image: Marco March Will be tornorw? 3. Marco, Mar 8, 2011, 1:51 AM Image: Marco March Will be tornorw? Marco, Mar 8, 2011, 6:41 AM Yes @deb and @esally, it will be today! Image: Marco March Will be today!	31 🗸 Done	Retrospective for Sprin	🕂 🗐 31: Retro	spective for Sprint 1		X	
X Obarry Maria			Details Filed Against Project Area: Owned By: Quick Informat Subscribers Approve Spr Notes Discussion 1. Deb, Mar 6, 2 @marco Whk 2. Sally, Mar 6, 2 @deb I think 3. Marco, Mar 8 Yes @deb ar	JKE JKE Banking (Change Management) Marco ion et (1): M int 1 Retrospective: Approved (011, 1:58 AM en will we have the meeting for 2011, 8:10 PM it will be tomorrow? 2011, 6:41 AM id @sally, it will be today!	Planned For: Resolution Date: Resolved By: Mentions (3) 1 of 1) the retrospective?	Sprint 1 March 26, 2011 5:44 PM Marco	

















Greater productivity, global collaboration, and lifecycle visibility MV

Results

MV was the first company in Brazil to become certified to use electronic health records, meeting the security standards issued by SBIS and CFM.

Business challenge:

MV is a leading provider of healthcare systems in Brazil with three software development centers that needed to improve their development processes to rapidly respond and take advantage of market opportunities and regulations in the changing healthcare industry.

Solution:

Collaborative Lifecycle Management solution and lifecycle offerings, with RUP methodology and agile practices. MV first adopted and updated their change and configuration management processes with **Rational Team Concert** and then added **Requirements Composer** for business process and rules modeling, requirements collaboration and elicitation, and **Quality Manager** for test planning, test creation, execution, and quality management.

Benefits:

MV accomplished the following:

- Greater integration of and **collaboration** among three development locations
- Improved developer and tester productivity
- Decreased the learning curve when employees switch from one location to another
- Gained greater visibility into requirements
- Achieved greater reuse of requirements.

Solution components:

Requirements Management Rational Requirements Composer

Architecture & Development

Rational Software Architect Rational Software Modeler Rational Application Developer for Websphere

Software Change & Configuration Management Rational Team Concert Rational Method Composer

Build & Deploy Management Rational Team Concert

Quality Management Rational Quality Manager Rational Functional Tester Rational Performance Tester





Gartner MarketScope for Application Life Cycle Management IBM Rational earns "Strong Positive" – the highest possible rating

Gartner.

Efficient coordination and automation of the delivery process requires new, collaborative approaches to the planning, measurement, execution, control and reporting of activities.

These new approaches are what differentiate current application life cycle management (ALM) tools, and what make ALM processes vital to leading-edge development activities.

ALM is what enables sustainable agile practices. ALM creates a management framework providing consistent, auditable records of the decisions and activities of agile teams.

IBM earns "Strong Positive"—the highest possible rating								
		RATING						
	Strong Negative	Caution	Promising	Positive	Strong Positive			
AccuRev			x					
Aldon			x					
Atlassian				x				
CollabNet				х				
Digite			x					
HP				х				
IBM					x			
Kovair			x					
Micro Focus			x					
Microsoft				x				
MKS				x				
Parasoft			х					
Polarian Softw are			x					
Rally Softw are				х				
Seapine Softw are			x					
Serena Softw are				x				
SmartBear Softw are			x					
TechExcel			x					
ThoughtWorks			x					
VersionOne				х				

The MarketScope is copyrighted November 11, 2010 by Gartner, Inc. and is reused with permission. The MarketScope is an evaluation of a marketplace at and for a specific time period. It depicts Gartner's analysis of how certain vendors measure against criteria for that marketplace, as defined by Gartner. Gartner does not endorse any vendor, product or service depicted in the MarketScope, and does not advise technology users to select only those vendors with the highest rating. Gartner disclaims all warranties, express or implied, with respect to this research, including any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. The MarketScope graphic was published by Gartner, Inc. as part of a larger research note and should be evaluated in the context of the entire report. The Gartner report is available upon request from IBM.





Ensure Success with Rational Collaborative Lifecycle Management







Summary: Rational Collaborative Lifecycle Management Transforming software and systems delivery

Collaborate

Bridge the gap between technical and business communities through shared access to enterprise documents, workflows and collaboration solutions.

• Automate

Make it easy for individuals and teams to "do the right thing" and "do things right" by automating team process and workflow.

• Optimize

Track and measure progress across multiple teams, tools and geographies by leveraging the real-time instrumentation of the software lifecycle.

Collaborate

Automate

Report



Helping customers improve the value and performance of their investments in software as strategic business assets





https://jazz.net

Creating a higher-fidelity connection to our customers



enhancement requests





2011



IBM Technical Exploration Center

SINGAPORE - MALAYSIA - THAILAND - PHILIPPINES - INDONESIA - VIETNAM







Mobile App Question

- QN: In the Nov 2010 MarketScope report for Application Life Cycle Management, Gartner rated IBM as
 - A. Promising
 - B. Strong Positive
 - C. Positive







www.ibm/software/rational

© **Copyright IBM Corporation 2011.** All rights reserved. The information contained in these materials is provided for informational purposes only, and is provided AS IS without warranty of any kind, express or implied. IBM shall not be responsible for any damages arising out of the use of, or otherwise related to, these materials. Nothing contained in these materials is intended to, nor shall have the effect of, creating any warranties or representations from IBM or its suppliers or licensors, or altering the terms and conditions of the applicable license agreement governing the use of IBM software. References in these materials to IBM products, programs, or services do not imply that they will be available in all countries in which IBM operates. Product release dates and/or capabilities referenced in these materials may change at any time at IBM's sole discretion based on market opportunities or other factors, and are not intended to be a commitment to future product or feature availability in any way. IBM, the IBM logo, Rational, the Rational logo, Telelogic, the Telelogic logo, and other IBM products and services are trademarks of the International Business Machines Corporation, in the United States, other countries or both. Other company, product, or service names may be trademarks or service marks of others.