ference of upper and lower superficies. Now that I have upon this occasion mention'd equisetum, give me leave to mind you of what I have already published to the world; That I have found, on the banks of the river Tanar in Piedmont, plenty of the fragments of the stalks of equisetum perfectly petrified, with litle or no increase of bulk, so exactly like the plant, that all the strike did all along clearly appear. The colour of these petrified stalks was white.

## An Accompt of two Books:

1. Les dix Livres d'Architesture de VITRUVE, corrigez, & traduits nouvellement en Francois, avec des Notes & des Figures; par Claude Pervauit, de l'Academie Royale des Sciences, & Medecin dela Faculté de Pavis. Imprimé à Paris, 1673. in fol.

HE Ingenious and Learned Author of this Version of Vitruvius, and of the Notes upon him, considering with himfelf, that one of the Obstacles to the advancement of Architecture was the want of being able to draw the Precepts of that Art out of its true and genuine source, by reason of the great obscurity of Vitruvius, who is the only Writer of the Antients that we have upon this subject; did undertake, by a Translation into the French tongue, and by Notes upon the difficult places, and also by illustrating all with Figures, to render this Author more clear and useful to those, that embrace the profession and practice of that Noble Art.

This Interpreter found, that in effect most of the matters contained in Vitruvius being so little understood as they are, had need of an Explication more clear and more exact than the Text we have remaining; for simuch as the Author did not, in his opinion, so much endeavour to make it clear as succinct, in the confidence he had that the Figures, added by him would sufficiently explain the matter, and thereby supply what seems to be wanting in the Discourse.

These Figures, saith M. Perrault, were lost by the negligence of the first Transcribers, that could not design, and that probably also did not judge them altogether so necessary; because the contemplation

templation of those Figures having instructed them of the things themselves spoken of in the lext, it seem'd to them intelligible enough; in like manner as it commonly happens, that we do well enough understand what is said, though obscurely, when the things are clear themselves. And thus it could hardly be avoided, but that those who afterwards made Copies of those Exemplars that were destitute of Figures, would commit many faults, transcribing things which they understood nothing of. Nor is it to be wondred, that even now the most perspicacious Readers of this Author, who not only want the Figures, but in a manner the Text it self, meet with so much difficulty in finding good sense in very many places, in which the change or transposition of a word, or only of a point, hath been able utterly to spoil the Discourse, which was the more liable to an almost irreparable corruption, because the matter of it was more dispos'd for it than any other.

Now concerning the difficulty that is met with in the Translation of this Vitrwiius, that proceeds, in our Interpreters Judgment, from hence, that it is not easie to find in one and the same person the several different abilities requisite to succeed therein: For simuch as the skill of good Literature, and the diligent application to the study of Criticisine, and the inquiry into the signification of Terms of Art, that are with great judgement to be collected out of many ancient Authors, are seldom joyned with that genius, which in Architecture, as well as in all other noble Arts, is somewhat like to that different instinct, which Nature alone insufes to every Animal, and which makes them succeed in certain things with a facility, that is denied to those, who are not born for it.

Hence it is, faith our Interpreter, that those who since 160 years have laboured in the Traduction of this Author, (among whom the chief are, J. Josondus, Cesar Cisaranus, J. Bapt. Caporali, Guil. Philander, Daniel Barbaro, Bernardinus Baldus, J. Martin Secretary to the Cardinal de Lenoncour, and J. Goujon Architect of Francis I. and Henry II. Kings in France,) have not given satisfaction. And for the same reason, (he is pleased to adde,) there may be cause to believe, that this new Version may not produce a much better essent, and that the addition of that little light to what so many great men have to little purpose hitherto endeavoured to give to litruvius, may be of little moment in respect of the many dissiculties that remain: Yet he dispairs not but that it may be of

some use, even to those that are Masters of the Latin Tongue; and that many, that might be able to understand all that is here explain'd if they applyed their minds to it ashe, (the Interpreter) hath done, will be very glad not to be obliged to give themselves As for those, that are not skilled in Latin nor Greek, that trouble. (who are those for whom chiefly this Version is made,) the Interpreter is of opinion, that they will find in it a facility not to be met with in the former Versions, in which most of the Translators have not taken the pains of explaining the phrases nor the difficult words, but only turn'd them into the terminations of their language; others have put into the very Text the explication of the words, which makes it doubtful, whether these interpretations belong to the Text, or whether the Translatour have added them. But this Interpreter hath put this kind of explications in the Margin, where are also found the Greek and Latin words, that could be rendred by French words in the Text. Mean while, he hath been constrain'd sometimes to retain the Latin and Greek words in the Text, when they could not be made French but by long circumlocutions; which yet are explain'd in the Margin periphra-But the main thing observed in this Translation, are the Notes, giving the explication judged necessary for understanding the Text, which the bare and literal fignification of the words that are in the margent would not sufficiently make out. care feems to have been used to change nothing in the Text, nor even in those things that make the reading of it not so pleasing, and that are not of any use for understanding the matter treated Mean time the Interpreter hath not forupled to express the genius of the Latin Tongue in the true genius of the French, yet with a careful endeavour faithfully to deliver the Author's sense, though not alwayes word for word; which latter yet he is follicitous to do, when the obscurity of the matter obliges him to it; leaving it to the fagacious Reader to discover the sense, or to supply it by changing somewhat or other. Often he proposes his conjectures upon such passages that are manifestly corrupted; which yet he doth fo, as he never puts into the Version the correations, which his conjectures caused him to make, without giving advertisement thereof in his Notes. His Corrections are many, he not thinking that an injury to the good opinion men are to entertain of the ability of fo great a man as Vitruvius, fincs, withous without being positive, our Interpreter only proposes the doubts he hath of the Authors mistaking sometimes; it not being to be expected, that he who undertakes to explain an Author should be bound to make his Panegyrick, nor maintain all he hath written.

In short, the importance of our Interpreters Notes consists in these two things: Either they explain passages only remarquable for their obscurity, and for the trouble which Learn'd men have taken to clear them; or they are about other things likewise obscure and difficult, but such as contain precepts necessary and useful to Architecture.

The Figures, which ferve for illustration, are done with no ordinary care and elegancy; amongst which there are, the Representation of the Parisian Observatory, erected by that King for making Celestial and other Natural Observations; Models of two new Engines for raising heavy burthens, so contriv'd as to avoid Rubbing, invented by the Interpreter himself; the one by a Roler, the other by a Lever, p. 280, 324. An Engin for raising water very high and uncessantly, and that in great quantity, without employing any external force; A Scheme of the Organ of the Antients; as also of their Catapulta. and Balista, the former casting Javelots, the latter Stones. All Three described by this Interpreter with much learning. Models of these Engine and many more, both Antient and Modern, Monsieur Perraut saith are to be found in the Royal Library at Paris, where those of the Philosophical French Academy keep their ordinary Affemblies.

II. Anthonii le Grand Dissertatio de Carentia Sensus & Cognitionis in BRUTIS: Londini, apud Joh. Martyn, R. Soc. Typographum, ad Insigue Campanæ in Cameterio D. Pauli, 1671.

HE Author of this Tract having consider'd with himself, what it is that hath induc'd men to believe, that Brutes have knowledge; which inducements he ascribes to the industry, vivacity, and itrange works of sundry of them: And having exploded the Aristotelian definition of the Soul, as extravagant, and unintelligible;

unintelligible; and examin'd the opinions of Gassendi and Honorato Fabri of the nature of the same; he doth, at length, consonantly to the Cartesian principle, place the Life of Animals in the continued motion of the Blood. And then having explain'd, wherein the nature of Knowledge properly consists, and shew'd, that all true knowledge includes Conscience, he comes to the result, That the Soul of Brutes, whatever it be fancied to be, is destitute of knowledge, strictly so call'd; and that Matter is incapable of perception; as also that Cogitation cannot be truly affirm'd of Extension, neither as an Essential part, nor as a propriety, nor as a mode thereof: Resuling Mr. Hobbes, that undertakes to maintain, Cogitation to be a corporeal motion; and likewise shewing against Gassendi, that 'tis repugnant, Sense should arise from unsensible things.

This done, he shews, that God can make Engins that shall imitate the actions of Brutes; where he compares a living Dogg with an Automatum, made by Art; and withal discovers the error of those, who from the external form of the parts in Brutes judge them to have knowledge like Men; shewing at the same time the difference between Man and Brutes; which he places chiefly in two particulars: One is, that Brutes are not endow'd with the faculty of speaking, so as by signs to manifest their thoughts, and to answer appositly to such things as are asked of them. The other is, that, though the motions of such Engins be regulated, and exceed, in certainty, the motions even of the wisest men; yet they come short of Man, in many of those things in which they should imitate him most.

Here our Author enlarges his discourse by representing, that all Motions in Brutes may be explain'd by a Mechanical principle; that by a meer mechanical operation the Blood is carried about the whole body; that, as the Life of an Animal, so the Concection of the food dependent only from a corporeal principle, as also Sanguisication, Nutrition, Respiration; and that Muscular motion is made by means of the Animal spirits; the force of which he explains; and also, from whence they have that great power, whereby they move the whole body.

Having dispatch't so far, he endeavors to make it out, from whence that great diversity of motions ariseth in Animals, if they have no Soul: where he proveth, that even in Manthere are many motions.

motions, made without the advertency of the Soul, and sometimes even against the will of the Soul.

Then he proceeds to teach, How Sense may be ascribed to Brutes; but yet adds, that Sense consists not in the motion of Corporeal organs, but in Perception; and that corporeal motion may be had without Sense; and that those do greatly mistake, who from outward actions conclude, that Brutes do sentire, as we do. Where he discusses Dr. Willis's opinion concerning the Soul and Knowledge of Brutes.

Having thus discoursed, that Brutes are destitute of Sense and Perception, and that no knowledge at all is to be sound in their operations, and consequently that the well-contrived structure of the parts, and the exact direction of the Animal spirits through certain and determinat passages, may and must perform all their actions; He descends to particulars, and labors to make it manifest, that all the Actions of Animals may be explain'd mechanically. Where he shews, how Bees make their cells so elegantly; whence comes the diversity of actions in Brutes; their kindness and aversion; their generation; their care of themselves; their seeming doubtfulness, craft, (especially that in Foxes,) docilness in Doggs, Elephants, &c. their understanding of human speech, and seeming faculty of speaking to one another, &c. Where many things occurr that seem to deserve our consideration.

## ERRATA. Pag. 269. 1.17. r. above for, above 3. p. 273. 1: 22. del. of rencontre.

LONDON,
Printed for John Martyn Printer to the Royal Society. 1675.