[575]

ALetter from Mr. John Collins to the Reverendand Learned Dr. John Wallis Savilian Professor of Geometry in the University of Oxford, giving his thoughts about some Defects in Algebra.

O describe the Locus of a cubick Æquation.

A Cardanick Æquation convenient for the purpose, (viz. such as shall have the dioristick limits rational) must have the Coefficient of the roots to be the triple of a square number such is a-48a=N.

Assume a rank of roots in Arithmetical progression, and

raise resolvends thereto a3-48a=N or resolvends.

Such are
$$1, 1----48=47$$

 $2, 8----96=88$
 $3, 27--144=17$
 $4, 64, -192=128$
 $5, 125--24c=115$
 $6, 216-288=72$
 $7, 343-36=7$
 $8, 512-384=128$
 $9, 729-432=1297$

Draw a Base line and a perpendicular thereto, and from O in the Base line prick the negative resolvends downwards, and the affirmative ones upwards, and raise their roots upon them as ordinates, a Curve passing through the same is one Moity of the Curve or Locus on the right hand for affirmative roots and the other moity on the left hand is described in the same manner by assuming a rank of negative roots, and raising resolvends thereunto. The Curve Fig. 4. may give a resemblance of the thing.

And 16 the third part of the Coefficient of the roots cubed is equal to the square of 64 half the resolvend, or disriplied limit.

Which in composing of Cardans canon is always substracted from the square of half the absolute, as in the example sollowing.

If I were to find the root belonging to the resolvend 297 The square of half thereof is 220521

The square of 64 half the dioristick Limit — 40 96

The difference is 17956

And the rule is 148 + V179564.

1482 + VI 79564.

That is in a quadratick Æquation, if 297 were the ium of the two roots and 64 the root of the Rectangle: then if from the square of half the sum, the rectangle be subducted, there remains the square of half the difference of the

roots, and giving them an universal Cube root, it is

v 148½ † v17956½ † v 148½--v1785649to 9 the root fought. In the former Scheme Q. B. and QP may fignifie the roots of Cardans Binomials that run infinitely upward, and terminate at Q. as is mentioned in Section the 5th. And if they can be continued downwards, probably they will terminate at O and R. The touch line in Section 2d. may here be reprefented by the line 9 S. and the Chord line between 9 and 8 by T. from whence tis plain that any root between 9 and 8 found near, may be limited by Approximations of Majus and Minus.

As to CARDANS RULES

The description of the Locus is before handled.

2 The touch line affording approaches by an Æquation derived out of that proposed is before described, and the method of drawing is mentioned by Dr. Walls in the Transactions.

3. The Limits are of two kinds (viz.) either the Base limits when the resolvend is 0. and the æquation falls a degree lower: or the disristick limits whereby a pair of roots gain or loose their possibility, as is before described.

4 Cardans canons are but the fum of the roots of a folid quadratick æquation arifing out of half the dioriftick limit as the v of the rectangle, and the refolvend as the fumm

5 If the roots of those binomals are separately prickt down as ordinates on their resolvends, they beget curves infinitely continued upward, and meeting in a point bisecting the root that is equal to a pair of equal roots, when the æquation is just limited, or dioristick as aforesaid in the Figure at 2.

6 If these binomials are prickt down as ordinates to their refolvends, Mr. Newton upon sudden thoughts, supposed they

may describe both sides of an Hyperbole.

7 If so they cannot be continued downwards, but by the method in *Mercators Logarithmotechnia*: most numbers of a constant habitude belonging to any arithmetical progression, may by aid of the differences, and a Table of Figurative numbers (yea, and I add otherwise) be continued upward or downward, and if these run downward they will probably end both in the base limits at O and R.

8 If these binomial curves be continued downward, and separately found should always added make the root of a cubick Æquation capable of 3 roots: then Cardans impossible or negative roots are provide possible, and we only in ignorance

how to extract them.

9 Assume any root within the limits of 3 possible roots, and raise a resolvend to it, and when you have done, by Cardan's Rules improved; you may find that root, and, with a little va-

rying the same both the other roots (as in the Postscript): for every number or magnitude capable of a cube root, is capable

of two more, see section the 11th. following.

10 If the roots in the former Section, be assumed in Arithmetical progression, and the equation with its several Refolvends be depressed, there will come out a regular Series of Quadratick Æquations, whence an easie method will rife of writing down fuch ranks as multiplied by an Arithmetical progression, shall always beget the same cubick æquation, the Refolvend only varying.

Let the roots of this feries of quadraticks be found as usual in binomials, let these binomials be cubed, and then let it be observed, whether the results are constant portions of the square of the Resolvend and of the dioristick limit: and if

fo, Cardans Rules will have their defect supplyed.

12 In breaking a biquadratick, 'tis afterted that by leaving the Resolvend at liberty, it may be infinitely and rationally done, without the Aid of the separating cubick Æquation.

13 But supposing such separating cubick in store, of which Bartholinus in his dioriftick hath given us great furniture in Species, why may not several roots of that æquation be affumed rational, and thence the biquadratick broken into as many pairs of quadratick æquations?

14 May not from hence a method arise of writing down 2 Series of quadraticks that multiplied together shall always beget the fame biquadratick Nomes, the Refolvend only varying? and hence the Locus of the æquation is eafily described.

If Here again (as in the II) if the binomial roots of these quadraticks be fouredly foured, and those results are constant portions of the cube of the Resolvend, and the dioriffick limit; it will be certain there may be general furd Canons for aguations of the 4th, dimension, and Mondiaur Cluverius (now at London) positively afferts he hath a general

method to obtain them for all Dimensions

16 As Cardans are furd canons deriv'd from the Refolvend. and dioriftick limit, so it were worthy disquisition, whether other furd Canons (of which many are fitted to particular cases by your felf, Leibnitz and others) do not arise out of the limits of those particular cases and æquations, and whether the glimpfe of a general Method might thence be deriv'd for all other æquations, though encumbred with negative quantities? which Mr. Gregory, a little before his death, faid he had attained.

7 The Learned Dr. Pell hath often afferted that after the Limits of an æquation are once obtain'd, then it is ea-12 2 14

fy to find all the roots to any Resolvend offer'd.

Now for instance (according to Huddens method) in a biquadratick æquation, you must multiply all the terms beginning with the highest, and so in order by 4, 3, 2, 1. and the last term or Resolvend by 0. whereby it is destroyed, and you come to a cubick Æquation, the same as Eurrior uses to take away the penultimtae Term of the biquadratick, the roots whereof being sound, and as roots having Resolvends raised thereto in the biquadratick Æquation, are the dioristick Limits thereof.

18 And if this easy method were known, we may come down the Ladder to the bottom, and fall into irrational quantities, and ascend again. Against which assymetry, an Æquation might be assumed low, as a rational quadratick, and thence a cubick Æquation formed, whose limits should be found by aid of the quadratic Æquation, and out of that cubick a Biquadratick Æquation, whose limits should be found by the aid of that cubick Æquation, &c.

19 Æquations may be so continued of two Nomes, that both the dioristick and base limits, should be rational, then supposing such Æquation incomplete, the increasing or di-

minishing the roots, fills up all the vacant places.

Q. Whether or in what place one or both forts of Limits shall loofe their rationality? And what is the nature of the roots thus drawn? in this I think you have already determined in divers of your furd Canons.

20 What Dr. Pells method mention'd in Section 17 should

be I cannot guess, unlessit be either.

To make furd Canons Or good approaches.

Or that raising Resolvends out of assumed roots, those should make a store from whence to derive the roots of the

Resolvend offered.

Or making quadratick Æquations out of the dioristick and base limits, those might be interpoled, by aid of a Table of figurate numbers, or otherwise thereby, as in quadratick Æquations to attain two roots of a biquadratick at once, which if performed the greatest difficulties are overcome, and why should not this seem probable, in regard the Curve or Local, be the Æquation what it will, makes indented porches.

Equations, in both whereof all the figns are +. It is propounded out of these two, to derive a third Equation, whose root shall be the Summ, Difference, or Rectangle of the Roots of the two Equations propounded. This Mr Gregory a little before his death writ word he had obtained and in the following Series for finding the Moity of a Hyperbolick Logarithm I suppose made use of

From a number propos'd substract an Unit, let that be Numerator, and to it add an Unit, let that be Denominator, and call that fraction N.

Then N+N+N+N+N+N+N+N+N, &c. is Equal to half the Hyperbolick Logarithm fought. EXAMFLE in the Number 2.

The Fraction is \frac{1}{3}	3333333=== 33333333 370370=== 123456
3,	37037c== 123456
5,	41152== 8230
The Rank N is easily 7,	4572== 653
made by dividing ev'ry 9,	508== 56
preceding number by 9.11,	56== 5
13,	6== 0
	34 ⁶ 5 7 33

6931466 which is

The Hyperbolick Logarithm of 2 fought.

I want time to confider the premises, but hope you will, (in regard you seem to think it strange that any difficulties should remain about Cubicks that are not presently resolved) your confiderations wherein will be very acceptable and worthy publick view.

Other Series in Print of Mercators, &c. dispatch not as this doth neither thereby can the Logarithm of 2 be easily made, but by making the Logarithmsof such mixt numbers or fractions that multiplied together make the result 2 just as 2x1\frac{1}{2}=3; whence having and finding that of 1\frac{1}{2}, you presently have

the Logarithm of 3.

A Cardanck Æquation that is a Cubick one wanting the fecond term, may be multiplied or divided by a rank of continual proportionals, so as to render the coefficient of the roots canonick, that is, to make it the same with the Æquations of the Table, that find the Sine, Tangent, or Secant of the third part of that arch to which any Sine, Tangent, or Secant is propounded, and so finding the roots in the tables, those sought are thence obtained by Multiplication or Division. Yea, and the coefficient of the roots may in like manner be rendred an Unit, and then the Resolvends sought in a table of the sums or differences of the Cubes of numbers and their roots, shall help you to such roots, as multiplied or divided as aforesaid shall be the true ones sought.

23 It is an enquiry worth confideration, whether two of the roots of a biquadratick may not be kept constant, and

the rest be encreased or diminished, either Arithmetically. or by multiplication and division in a known Ratio? certainly regular Progressions will arise, though as yet, we cannot encrease the true roots of an Æquation without as much di minishing the Negative nor can we multiply or divide the roots without we alter all of them, and confequently cannot reduce coefficients to fuch habitudes as are defirable.

24. It is a pleafant concinnity out of a root to raise a Refolvend without raifing any of the Powers of the root, and at the fame time without a thorough binomial Division to

depress the Æquation a degree lower-

EXAMPLE.

Let the Æquation be a + 10 a + 6a + 20a = 1072.

Let the root be 4, the resolvend is thus raised by adding the coefficients as you go, and multiplying by the root, thus +4+10=14X4=5646=62X4=248+20=268X4=1072. with the fame work the Æguation may be depressed without EXAMPLE. Division.

Let the Æquation be as before, and place the root with the former products underneath respectively, the summ is the depressed Æquation.

at 14a + 62 at 268 = 0. which is the under Æ-

quation fought found without Division

25 It's conceived that all Æquations may be fo regulated as tobe reduced to as many Arithmetical Progressions of multipliers in whole numbers, as the Æquation hath dimensions, whereof one of the progressions shall be a Series of roots: hence the raising Resolvends by tentative work is rendred Logarithmetical For Example write down any a arithmetical Progressions, viz. \mathbf{R}

1x6x3 18 1 I fay the Rank II are the Refolvends or 2x7x5 70 Him genea Comparations of a cubick Æquation, 3x8x7 168; whose roots are the Rank R. This cubick Æ-4x9xy=324 quation is eafily attained out of the differen-5xI x 550 ces of the Rank R. for out of the Rank R in any Æquation proposed raise separately the respective powers (with regard to their Coefficients) and out of the three a3 / ranks fo raifed compose their respective differences, and a). they shall be the same with the differences of the rank a) of Resolvends or Homogenea Comparationis here noted by H. If

If fuch Æquation be encombred with fractions they are all removed at once, by multiplying most conveniently, by the least number that is divisible by the Denominators of such fractions, hence also the infinite Series before mentioned

(and others) are reduceable to Logarithms.

26 Where Æquations have all their terms adjected with the fame fign both + or) Mr. Newton and Mr. Gregory deceased have affirmed they are all reduceable to some pure high power, which is of fingular use in the infinite Series. And a Learned Ferman where this cannot be done, hath afferted that they may be reduced to a higher power, with a variable Coefficient, which is the root sought with a common addendor subducend. And even this would render an easy tentative Logarithmetical way for attaining the root.

27 If but one Root of an Æquation can be found at a time, then questionless a better Method is not yet attained, then what is mentioned in the printed proposal about Printing Mr.

Bakers Treatifes therein mentioned.

28 Lastly, as to Constructions for Æquations, the follow-

ing Probleme feems to be univerfal.

Any two analytick (urver (vir.) fuch as wherein the Habitude between the Base and Ordinate may be expressed by an Æquation being given in Magnitude and Position, and from the points of their intersection ordinates let fall to the Axis of either figure, or upon parallels to the said Axis, the inquiry is of what Æquation those ordinates are the roots? Dr. Barrow liked the proposition as well grounded, and left a discourse about doing it in the conick Sections, in which there are 3 cases, either the axes are parallel or being produced concur, beyond the vertexes of the figures without; or otherwise intersect within the figures. Mr. Gregory entred on the same contemplation, but death deprived us of the benefit of his thoughts.

Of Analytick (alias Geometrick) Curves there are innume-

rable forts, of which I shall mention one or two kinds,

Between an Arithmetical Progression and its squares, or between its squares and its subes, or its cubes and Biquadratics, there may be interpoled as many Arithmetical or Geometrical means as you please: and thence Los or Carves deriv'd, which some call Parabelists or Parabelasters, see Gregories Geometriae pars universals printed in Italy in Quarto.

Postscript explaining Section the 9th.

After you have obtained the Cube roots of Cardans Binomials, according to Van Schoten, in Des Cares or Kerler, if you change the Sines of the rational parts of those roots, as also the

[582]

the Sines of the Radical Parts, and multiply those parts by 3, the results are also roots of the cubick Æquation first. proposed

EAAMI LE.	
aaa21a20 o The cube Roots of the Binomials are $+2\frac{1}{2}+V-\frac{3}{4}$	
Their fumm is the Root fought==+5	
And the other two Roots are $\frac{2\frac{1}{2}+V_2\frac{1}{4}}{-v_2\frac{1}{2}-v_2\frac{1}{4}}$	
Also in this Æquation a60a220 The Binomial Roots are +4+V-4 +4V-4	
Hence the Root fought is +8 And the other two roots are4+V+12 4V+12.	

ADVERTISEMENT.

These papers were sent by Mr. Cellins to Dr. Wallis in a Letter of 3 Oltris. 1682, (with this Character, I have sent you herewith my thoughts about some defects in Algebra:) and are a Copy of what he had written to some other (but I know not whom) to whom he speaks all along in the second person, whereas of others he speaks in the third person. And he did intend (had he lived longer) to persect it surther; by omitting some things which (though here he notes as defects) he sound after to be done already, and supplying some others. But he I ved not to persect it, and therefore (that it be not lost) we here give it as we found it.

OXFORD,

Printed at the THEATER, and are to be fold by Moses Pitt, at the Angel, and Samuel Smith, at the Princes Arms in St. Paul's Church-yard LONDON. 1684.





