The Daily Picayune.

OFFICIAL PAPER OF THE STATE, AND NEW ORLEANS, SATURDAY MORNING, AUGUST 21, 1852.

No. 1974, Vol. 10.—NEW SERIES. Vol. XII.—Whole No. 2062.

RATES OF ADVERTISING.

☞ Advertisements inserted at intervals will be charged one dollar for every TEN lines for each insertion.

☞ The patronage of Annual Advertisers is limited to a corresponding ratio with the size of their local rates, and is confined to such spaces every other day.

THE DAILY BEE

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE STATE.

Saturday Morning, August 21, 1852.

FOR PRESIDENT
WINFIELD SCOTT,
of Virginia.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT
WM. A. GRAHAM,
of North Carolina.

Electors for President and Vice Presidents.

First District.—Jacques Toutant, of St. Bernard; (substitute, J. B. Wilkinson, Jr., of Plaquemines.)

Second District.—Christian Roselius, of Orleans; (substitute, Cyprien Dupour, of Orleans.)

Third District.—Duncan F. Kenner, of Ascension; (substitute, Fergus Gardere, of Jefferson.)

Fourth District.—Gen. W. Watterston, of Livingston; (substitute, R. J. Barrow, of West Feliciana.)

Fifth District.—Alexander De Clouet, of St Martin; (substitute, Cyprien Roselius, of Rapides.)

Sixth District.—John Ray, of Ouachita; (substitute, D. F Boyden, of Caddo.

THE COMPROMISE.
WHIG PLATFORM.

The series of acts of the 31st Congress, commonly known as the COMPROMISE or adjustment, (the pet for the recovery of fugitives from labor included,) were received and acquiesced in by the Whigs of the United States, as a final settlement, in principle and substance, of the subjects to which they relate, and so far as the acts are concerned, we will maintain them, and insist on their strict enforcement, until time and experience shall demonstrate the necessity of further legislation to guard against the evasion of the laws on one hand, and the abuse of their powers on the other—not impairing their present efficiency to carry out the requirements of the constitution, and we deprecate all further agitation of the questions thus settled, as dangerous to the peace and to our present high national prosperity, and we discountenance all efforts to continue or renew such agitation, whenever, wherever, or however made—and we will maintain this settlement as essential to the nationality of the Whig party, and the integrity of the Union.

Gen. Scott.	Gen. Pierce.
Sir, I am dazed for the Constitution—dazed for the Laws—dazed for the Compromises—and dazed against any man who is opposed to them, either of them!	*I have been asked if I lidled this Fugitive Slave law. I answer no, I loathed it. I have a most revolting feeling at the gross up of a human law to oppose to humanity. It is contrary to moral right.*

Speech of, General Scott before the Whigs of Mississippi Delegation.

Speech of General Pierce at Manchester (N. H.), on the 3d January, 1852.

☞ The Northern Mail came through yesterday with the latest dates.

GEN. PIERCE'S LETTER.

The precious document has at length arrived, and we hasten to place it before our readers.—Mr. Edwin De Leon, one of the editors of the defunct *Southern Press*, writes to Gen. Pierce as follows:

Washington, July 17, 1852.

Dear Sir:—Enclosed you will find an article, in which, as one of the editors of the *Southern Press*, of this city, I took issue with my colleague in advocacy of your claims to Southern support for the Presidency. That action was predicated upon my belief of your entire soundness upon the slavery question. Subsequent witness upon the slavery question, to have been delivered by you in January last, has been republished from two Democratic papers in your own State, which are said to be under your control. On the truth or falsity of this, much depends. Neither those with whom I act, nor myself, can consent that any feeling should rest on a matter of such importance, but, placing full reliance on the fearless frankness of your character, on their behalf and my own, I respectfully ask of you whether the report of the State Convention in January, 1850, and that in that capacity it was one of a committee who reported a resolution re-affirming the sentiments and opinions of the Northern Democracy in relation to slavery, and setting forth that *they deplored its existence, and regarded it* "as as a great moral and social evil." It is on record, again, that on the 30th of November, 1850, General Pierce attended a meeting at Manchester, New Hampshire, and delivered a speech in which he asked, "*Who did not deplore slavery?*" and in which, replying to his own interrogatory, he said, "*The men who would dis solve the Union did not hate or deplore slavery more than he did.*" And yet again it is on record that the State Convention which met late in 1850 had General Pierce as one of its members, and that the convention unanimously adopted a resolution declaring "that *the holding of human beings in bondage is a curse to any country; that we are opposed to slavery, black or white, in all its forms, and under whatever circumstances*." Now here are three instances selected not from journals personally hostile to General Pierce, but from quarters known to be personally friendly to him. And each instance affords strong collateral testimony in favor of the accuracy of Mr. Fuss's report of the New Boston speech, and against General Pierce's averment that his "opinions and the avowal of them" have uniformly agreed with his opinions as expressed at Washington. The presumption is that the General Pierce who "deplored" slavery in 1846, and "hated" it in 1850, may also have "loathed" it in 1852.

The probabilities are against General Pierce on another ground. The Manchester *Union Democrat*, a friendly paper, in its notice of his New Boston speech, reports that he spoke of slavery as having been introduced into this country "*against the moral sense of the world*," and the Nashua *Gazette*, which is also friendly, reports his vindication of the Fugitive Law as resting upon the fact that it "*embraces no single provision more obnoxious to the North than the Fugitive Slave Law of* 1793."

To give full weight to his present letter, it is necessary, then, that Gen. Pierce should show that the records of the State Conventions have been falsified to his prejudice—that his own organ, the New Hampshire *Patriot*, published in Concord, has wilfully misrepresented him—and that two other friendly journals entered into a conspiracy with two abolition journals for the purpose of placing him in a false position before the country.

Even this is not all that is necessary. The records of New Hampshire prove that for a series of years the Democratic party of that State took advantage of every opportunity to reaffirm their hostility to slavery, and their unabated determination to enforce the Wilmot proviso. They did this at their public meetings, in their State Conventions, in their Legislature, and through their delegations in Congress. So far as we know, it is now alleged that no opposition on this period Gen. Pierce was at variance with his party in the State. On the contrary, it is known that he acted with them; and though we admit that for the heresies of individual members of the party he is in no respect responsible, we are yet illustrated to feel that to shame the responsibility of the action of the party in its aggregate capacity, so far as he is known to have participated in it.

Gen. Pierce appeals to his votes in the Senate and House of Representatives to dispute the charge of uttering Freesoil sentiments.—These votes are doubtless good as far as they go, but they are not sufficient to rebut evidence to contrary votes and acts in another portion of the country. The question just now is, not what Gen. Pierce said and how he voted at Washington, but what he said and what he did in New Hampshire; and on that question we still contend that the balance of testimony is against him. It is against him alike on the record and on the speech of Mr. Fuss, and on the general issue touching his "action and language" in the North.

We wish to be understood as not impeaching Gen. Pierce's honor, or questioning his adherence to truth. We take the ground that he is in error, that he has forgotten facts, and has perhaps not, before now, taken the pains to refresh his memory with the records of others better informed than himself—His personal purity of character cannot be alleged to overthrow facts relating to his public life, or to break the strong chain of reasoning and probability upon which the charge is founded.—Records remain, though a treacherous memory may suffer what they contain to escape. We must say that Gen. Pierce's general denial leaves the case exactly where it stood before—and that we are not warranted by any evidence yet adduced; to doubt that he spoke at New Boston the language ascribed to him.

Hon. J. Aristide Landry.—The *Vigilant* publishes a letter from Mr. Landry, in which he says: "I shall return to Louisiana in time to make you all vote for Scott." This is an other stopper on the *Courier*'s gentle insinuation, that Mr. Landry would not support Scott. The development of each day, enable the Whigs to draw the veneer out of Locofoco labels.

☞ We are indebted to Professor Everitt, of the Orleans High School, for a copy of his elegant address on the study of Mathematics, delivered at the close of the annual examination.

Georgia.—The Whigs of Georgia are waking up. We perceive that in Savannah a new paper has been started, called the "True Whig," and which carries at the head of its columns, the honored names of Scott and Graham.

Iowa.—Cook's (Whig) majority for Congress is over 1000. Letters from Dubuque say that the State is certain for Scott. Hundreds voted the Democratic ticket at the late election, who will go for Old Chippewa.

Significant.—One of the New York Correspondents of the *Delta*, and, apparently a shrewd and sensible writer, speaking of the Free Soil Convention, then in session at Pittsburg, holds the following language:

In looking over the list of delegates to the Convention, I was very much surprised to find so large a representation from the State of Pennsylvania. If that representation is any indication of the state of popular sentiment in Pennsylvania, then it is very idle to suppose that General Pierce can obtain the electoral vote of that State under any circumstances.—The representation from Ohio is indeed very ominous for the final result in that State. To me, it now really looks as if it were idle to hope of carrying for General Pierce either of the three great States of New York, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

It is manifest that the correspondent of the *Delta* thinks the Pittsburg Convention composed chiefly of Loudons materials. Hence, his apprehensions that the Whigs will carry New York, Pennsylvania and Ohio, in consequence of the drain from the Democracy caused by the Free Soil nomination. We think so too.

Charles D. Drew.—This accomplished and eloquent young gentlemen—son of Didier Drew, of N. O.—was present among the Kentucky delegation at the Scott celebration at Nicopart, and made a speech which is spoken of in terms of the very highest encomium. We take the following from the Frankfort *Commonwealth*:

Kentucky's reputation abroad for oratory is equal to her fame for valor. Our delegation was called upon for a speaker to address the immense crowd from one of the stands. Hence, by our fresh and youthful friend and fellow citizen, Charles D. Drew. How gloriously he acquitted himself let those tell. The editor of the Detroit *Advertiser*, whose two hours already quoted, thus characterizes his effort:

A most beautiful, eloquent and effective speech was made from the same stand by Didier Drew, of the Frankfort Artillery, a young lawyer of 23 years of age, whose fire, energy, and command of language to thrill the vast audience filled us all with admiration. While he spoke, all listened, and when he closed, such a shout went up for Scott and Graham, as freemen only know how to raise.

The Buffalo *Express* says:

There was a splendid Clarke aged about 20 years, named Drew, from Kentucky, who addressed the meeting with a speech of unusual humanity, eloquence and power. He was introduced by some gallant fellow, and the cheers that followed him, from the mouth of the grand Sachem, by every individual present, were the most deafening we have ever heard. The young orator was borne off on the shoulders of the crowd, and was followed by cheer after cheer as he went.

The Buffalo *Express* says:

There was a splendid Clarke aged about 20 years, named Drew, from Kentucky, who addressed the meeting with a speech of unusual humanity, eloquence and power. He was introduced by some gallant fellow, and the cheers that followed him, from the mouth of the grand Sachem, by every individual present, were the most deafening we have ever heard. The young orator was borne off on the shoulders of the crowd, and was followed by cheer after cheer as he went.

Young Drew is a Locofocoite, and will probably soon reconsider his house, where he will be warmly welcomed.

Married, [illegible] at the residence of the bride, by the Rev. Dr. Leigh Hunt, Rose Catherine, eldest daughter of the late [illegible], to [illegible], all of this city.

Suddenly, August 19, [illegible], aged [illegible] years, a native of Ireland, but for many years a resident