

THE DAILY BEE.
G. F. WILDER & CO.,
PUBLISHERS.
112 CHARTRES ST.
NEW ORLEANS.
Daily paper (one page) is intended
for every reader, and is published
every morning, except Sunday, at
the price of one cent. It is written
so as to be of interest to all through
the year. It is a good paper, and
will be considered a valuable
addition to the list of the other
newspapers in the city.

OFFICE 112 CHARTRES ST.

THE DAILY BEE.

MONDAY MORNING, AUGUST 1.

A HUNT AFTER PRINCIPLES.

The question "Have a Bourbon among us?" which was asked some years ago, has been raised again, and will be again. Whether we have a Democratic party amongst us? And we think the one will be found as troublesome to answer as the other. That is the trouble. These two parties are incompatible, and that there is a political organization which continues to bear that name however popular, and to fight the opposition, is the reason of the various breakings of the parties, and the radical diversity they display in the understanding and exposition of their common creed, how ever little it may be in common property. There is really a Democratic party in the country?

For example, we see one half of the self-styled Democracy impugning the claims of the other. We see the one half denounce the other, and denounce the ordinary of the President himself. One portion of the party itself upon the Cincinnati platform, and another portion stands up for the New York Democracy. Negligence is the badge of the whole of the slave code for the Territories is the outcry of the other. And thus confusion and discord purrs the ranks of the once harmonious Democratic party, and it is to be seen that they know what principles mean, are now daily cogitating on the involved problem of their opinions, and doubtfully demanding what is Democracy and what is not.

We have been forcibly reminded of these things by a recent series of sundry articles in various stalwart and faithful organs of the party, who say that they cannot agree with each other, and charge each other with changing each other with unmitigated honesty.

The Carolina, Georgia and Mississippi journals, for instance, assume the position that Douglass is a Democrat, and that Douglass is a pro-slavery man. Concede

that he is a Democrat, and that there is a

political organization which continues to

bear that name however popular, and to

fight the opposition, is the reason of the

various breakings of the parties, and the

radical diversity they display in the under-

standing and exposition of their common creed, how ever little it may be in common property. There is really a Democratic party in the country?

For example, we see one half of the

self-styled Democracy impugning the claims

of the other. We see the one half denounce

the other, and denounce the ordinary of the

President himself. One portion of the

party itself upon the Cincinnati platform,

and another portion stands up for the New

York Democracy. Negligence is the badge

of the whole of the slave code for the

Territories is the outcry of the other. And

thus confusion and discord purrs the

ranks of the once harmonious Democratic

party, and it is to be seen that they know

what principles mean, are now daily cogitating on the involved

problem of their opinions, and doubtfully

demanding what is Democracy and what is

not.

We have been forcibly reminded of these

things by a recent series of sundry articles

in various stalwart and faithful organs of the

party, who say that they cannot agree

with each other, and charge each other with

changing each other with unmitigated honesty.

The Carolina, Georgia and Mississippi journals,

for instance, assume the position that Douglass

is a Democrat, and that Douglass is a pro-slavery man. Concede

that he is a Democrat, and that there is a

political organization which continues to

bear that name however popular, and to

fight the opposition, is the reason of the

various breakings of the parties, and the

radical diversity they display in the under-

standing and exposition of their common creed, how ever little it may be in common property. There is really a Democratic party in the country?

For example, we see one half of the

self-styled Democracy impugning the claims

of the other. We see the one half denounce

the other, and denounce the ordinary of the

President himself. One portion of the

party itself upon the Cincinnati platform,

and another portion stands up for the New

York Democracy. Negligence is the badge

of the whole of the slave code for the

Territories is the outcry of the other. And

thus confusion and discord purrs the

ranks of the once harmonious Democratic

party, and it is to be seen that they know

what principles mean, are now daily cogitating on the involved

problem of their opinions, and doubtfully

demanding what is Democracy and what is

not.

We have been forcibly reminded of these

things by a recent series of sundry articles

in various stalwart and faithful organs of the

party, who say that they cannot agree

with each other, and charge each other with

changing each other with unmitigated honesty.

The Carolina, Georgia and Mississippi journals,

for instance, assume the position that Douglass

is a Democrat, and that Douglass is a pro-slavery man. Concede

that he is a Democrat, and that there is a

political organization which continues to

bear that name however popular, and to

fight the opposition, is the reason of the

various breakings of the parties, and the

radical diversity they display in the under-

standing and exposition of their common creed, how ever little it may be in common property. There is really a Democratic party in the country?

For example, we see one half of the

self-styled Democracy impugning the claims

of the other. We see the one half denounce

the other, and denounce the ordinary of the

President himself. One portion of the

party itself upon the Cincinnati platform,

and another portion stands up for the New

York Democracy. Negligence is the badge

of the whole of the slave code for the

Territories is the outcry of the other. And

thus confusion and discord purrs the

ranks of the once harmonious Democratic

party, and it is to be seen that they know

what principles mean, are now daily cogitating on the involved

problem of their opinions, and doubtfully

demanding what is Democracy and what is

not.

We have been forcibly reminded of these

things by a recent series of sundry articles

in various stalwart and faithful organs of the

party, who say that they cannot agree

with each other, and charge each other with

changing each other with unmitigated honesty.

The Carolina, Georgia and Mississippi journals,

for instance, assume the position that Douglass

is a Democrat, and that Douglass is a pro-slavery man. Concede

that he is a Democrat, and that there is a

political organization which continues to

bear that name however popular, and to

fight the opposition, is the reason of the

various breakings of the parties, and the

radical diversity they display in the under-

standing and exposition of their common creed, how ever little it may be in common property. There is really a Democratic party in the country?

For example, we see one half of the

self-styled Democracy impugning the claims

of the other. We see the one half denounce

the other, and denounce the ordinary of the

President himself. One portion of the

party itself upon the Cincinnati platform,

and another portion stands up for the New

York Democracy. Negligence is the badge

of the whole of the slave code for the

Territories is the outcry of the other. And

thus confusion and discord purrs the

ranks of the once harmonious Democratic

party, and it is to be seen that they know

what principles mean, are now daily cogitating on the involved

problem of their opinions, and doubtfully

demanding what is Democracy and what is

not.

We have been forcibly reminded of these

things by a recent series of sundry articles

in various stalwart and faithful organs of the

party, who say that they cannot agree

with each other, and charge each other with

changing each other with unmitigated honesty.

The Carolina, Georgia and Mississippi journals,

for instance, assume the position that Douglass

is a Democrat, and that Douglass is a pro-slavery man. Concede

that he is a Democrat, and that there is a

political organization which continues to

bear that name however popular, and to

fight the opposition, is the reason of the

various breakings of the parties, and the

radical diversity they display in the under-

standing and exposition of their common creed, how ever little it may be in common property. There is really a Democratic party in the country?

For example, we see one half of the

self-styled Democracy impugning the claims

of the other. We see the one half denounce

the other, and denounce the ordinary of the

President himself. One portion of the

party itself upon the Cincinnati platform,

and another portion stands up for the New

York Democracy. Negligence is the badge

of the whole of the slave code for the

Territories is the outcry of the other. And

thus confusion and discord purrs the

ranks of the once harmonious Democratic

party, and it is to be seen that they know

what principles mean, are now daily cogitating on the involved

problem of their opinions, and doubtfully

demanding what is Democracy and what is

not.

We have been forcibly reminded of these

things by a recent series of sundry articles

in various stalwart and faithful organs of the

party, who say that they cannot agree

with each other, and charge each other with

changing each other with unmitigated honesty.

The Carolina, Georgia and Mississippi journals,