IV. An Account of a BOOK

An Account of Dr. Burnet's Book, Entituled, AR CHEOLOGIÆ Philosophicæ, five Doctrina Antiqua de Rerum Originibus. Libri duo. Londini, Typis R. N. Impensis Gualteri Kettilby ad Insigne Capitis Episcopi in Cœmeterio Paulino. 1692.

IN this Treatife he endeavours to discover what were the Sentiments of the Ancients concerning the Origin or beginnings of this visible World, of which he conceives Men in all Ages have had a true, if not a Divine Knowledge, as well as of a Divine Power, and of the intermediate Order, Vicifitudes and Ends of all things. And that Pythagoras was not the Inventor of the Mundan System ascribed to him, but the Conveyer only of it from the Orientals, ἀπόρρηζα, to the Grecian Schools, where yet it received lesser Improvement as to particular Explications, then it has by the Modern Inquisitions, (as he conceives) though yet he grants that our Histories of their Opinions are very impersect, yet as the Magnificence of a Structure may be judged of by its Ruines, so in general we may have some Idea of their Doctrines by the Fragments of them which are yet to be found in the Grecian Writers, as well Historical as Fabulous or Muthical and Poetical. By all which he endeavours to prove, that most of the Ancients held very much

much the same Notion concerning the beginning of things with that delivered by Moses in the beginning of his Writings, with which, he conceives, also that his already published Theory is consonant. It was his Design also to have written a general Body of Philosophy, but the sense of his Age and approaching Death seems to have made him desist, and to satisfie himself with what he hath hitherto performed in the preceding Books, and in this which he seems to make the Seal and Consummation of the former.

He divides the whole Discourse into two Books. In the first he endeavours to discover what were the most antient Doctrines of all Nations concerning the beginnings of things, in general. But in the Second he endeavour'd to collect all such Passages amongst them as seemed most consonant to, and confirming of the Doctrines delivered in the first part of his Theory where he had omitted taking notice of them, though in the Second Part he hath intermixed them with the Theory throughout, which therefore did not need any such a Supplement.

In the First Chapter he enquires whence this Knowledge is to be fetcht, which he conceives to be all from the Postdiluvian Records, which were all conveyed by Noah from the Antidiluvian, and dispersed amongst his Posterity. Next he enquires where any Footsteps are to be found of it among these. And fince he finds the Ancients divided the Nations of the World into Four Heads, comprehending the whole Race of Mankind, to wit, the Scythians towards the North, the Celti towards the Welt, the Æthiopians towards the South, and the Indians towards the East. He follows the same Order in his Enquiry. And amongst the first he finds no ancient Footsteps of their Philolophy, and doubts whether ever they had any: Tho' Abaris is said by Suidas to have written a Theogonia; and a Septentrional Mythology hath been of late published, savouring much of Antiquity.

K k Amongst

Amongst the Celti he finds Philosophy to have been all along, viz. among the Druids and Semnothei; of whom yet we have but a dark Account, that they profess'd to understand the Order and Motions of the Heavens, and the Will of the Gods; and that Strabo says, they taught the Worlds Periods by Water and Fire, and held a trausmigration of Souls, as the Pythagoreans; tho' they are thought to have much preceded him, and to have been the most ancient for Mythologick Theology. Of this Class were what Philosophers were to be found anciently among the Germans, Britains, Spaniards and Italians; of some of which Strabo affirms, that they had Poems of their Laws, &c. of 6000 years standing. Those among the Hetrusci, Diodorus Siculus says, studied Philosophy: And Plutarch says, they had the Notion of the Annus Magnus, or Periods of Revolutions, and that the Romans had their Sacred Rites from them.

Among the Æthiopians the Gymnosophists were famous; but we can find very little information what their Philosophy was. They were Celebrated indeed for their Laws, Government and Converse with the Gods, and had a very ancient use of Letters; and had Colledges of Priests which taught Philosophy and Theology. These are said by Lucian to have been the first Astronomers, and to have taught the Ægyptians. But as to the Theory of the Earth, there is nothing of theirs to be found. And indeed, most of the Ancient Authors that writ purposely of them are now no more to be found.

Having pass'd over these three Heads without making much Discovery to his purpose, he in the Third Chapter comes to the Orientals or Indians, comprehending all the Alians; and some of their Neighbours, as the Ægyptians and Greeks. He begins then with the most Eastern Nation, the Seri or Chineses. Celsus and Dionysius call them Atheists, because they had no Idol-Temples or Worship; and Barbarians, because they had no Commerce with other Nations. So that it feems little of their Learning was known to the Ancients; though of late years they have been much better known, to have very ancient Histories, and some that mention the Flood and the beginnings of things. But by what we can learn, their Physiology is much inferiour to what was known in the Western Parts, as was also their Mathematicks, being all of their own Production, and not borrowed from any besides. Contrary to that of the Greeks, Romans, and other Europeans, who derived all from others. Paffing from these to the South, he meets with the Brachmans, Philosophers celebrated in all Ages for their Devoting themselves wholly to Contemplations, of unknown Original, but yet worthy to be more diligently enquired into. both for their Eminency in Physiology and Astronomy, though both were wrapped up and vailed under Mythology: Yet they had some Notions concordant with the Greek. Strabo also says, That they held the World to have been generated, and was corruptible; That it was form'd out of Water, but the rest of the Universe from other Principles. The chief of these were most honoured, and absolutely free, neither Governing, nor Governed by any. This is the Sum of what can be found amongst the Ancients concerning their Natural Philosophy.

In the Fourth Chapter he enquires concerning the Assyrians and Babylonians, who were the first Empire after the Flood: These are accounted the first who cultivated Literature and had Publick Schools at Babylon, which continued so till the time of Nebuchadonoger and Daniel. These Learned Men

were call'd Chaldeans and Maei; and the chief of them were Physiologers and Astronomers, and studied lawful Arts, as may well be concluded; for that Daniel accepted the Presecture of them: These excluded the Astrologians and Diviners from their Habitations. To these Pythagoras resorted to learn the Motions of the Heavens, and the Original of the World, faith Tufline. Koopoolsaon and Roopoolnear. These were then under the Persians, but the remainders of the Chaldeans and Bahylonians. However; nothing is lest of their Opinions but what Diodorus has hinted, That they believed the Matter of the World Eternal, but its Form, Order and Ornament to be confliented by Divine Providence. And further, That they believed the Earth to be of the Form of a Schiff or Tray: Only he speaks of their Antiquity, and of making Astronomical Observations many Ages before Alexander. This is all that is intelligible: All the rest concerning their Oracles of Ziroaster, &c. is nothing but Canting, and a Jargon of Scraps of we know not who. 'Tis pity we have lost the Works of Berosus, who had written their Opinions.

In the Fifth Chapter he speaks of the Persian Magi, who cultivated Physiology as well as Theology. These had a Theogonia like the Greeks; and from these Pythagoras learnt the Origin of the World, and the Motions of the Heavens. These taught likewise the Periods and Renovation of the World. The Prince of these Magi was Zoroaster, of which he judges there were The Persians held the Elements, Stars and the Heavens to be Gods: and worshipped most the Sun among the Stars, and the Fire among the Elements: And under the Nature of Jupiter they comprehended the whole Circuit of Heaven. They, as well as the Greeks, Romans and Hebrews, continually nourish'd the Fire. And the Egyptians as well as other Nations much honoured it. The Persians supposed this Fire to have fallen from Heaven; and the Stoicks call'd it Jupiter, into which all things resolved. Theology was Physeology, and all their other Rites may be in the same manner resolved; of which Herodotus has given a plain Account: In which he particularly takes notice, that in their Sacrificing they fung their Theogonia. which our Author conceives to be of the Origination of things. Of Zoroaster, Pliny relates that he left Two Millions of Verses, expounded by Her-The Arabians mention Twelve Volumes, each filling a whole Bulls Hide of the Writings of Zoroafter to be left to the Magi. Suidas mentions divers Books of the same, concerning Dreams and Astronomical Productions, and Four Books of Natural Philosophy; but all are lost; and what goes under his Name are all Fictitious, except one Fragment preserved by Plutarch out of Theopompus; another by Porphyrius in Antro Nympharum, out of Euhulus; and a third by Eusebius, out of a Persian Book, which he will have Zoroaster's. As the beginning of these Magi was unknown, so was their end; yet some remained to the time of Alexander; and 'tis believed they fell with the Persian Empire; and all that had been written concerning them is also perish'd. Such were Hermippus Smyrnæus, Pollas, Oshanes, Eubulus, Theodorus Mopsuestenus, Hermodorus Platonicus, Antestheres or Rhodonis, Zanthus Lydus, Sotiones, Dinon, Theopompus, Eudemus Rhodius, and Clearchus Solensis.

L l 2

In the Sixth Chapter he enquires after what is to be met wirh, among the Arabians and Phanicians. The First is Job, whom he makes an Arabian, before the time of Moses, who had the knowledge of Letters, and of the Heavens, and many other parts of the Creation, besides that of the Worthip of the true God. This Knowledge lasted to the time of Solomon, as appears by the Queen of Sheba: Nay, till the Birth of Christ, as appears by the Maei that came to Worship him. The Zabii he makes to be some of the ancient Arabs, among whom Abraham was bred. These boast of having the Religion of Noah. To these, Porphyry says, Pythagoras went: And Pling affirms the same of Democritus: But these since that time have degenerated, and have taken up the Grecian Learning; though they had also translated into their Language the Accient Learning of the Egyptians, Persians and Chaldeans; but these were burnt by the Saragens. What Writings yet remain among them are thought not older than Eight or Nine Hundred Years. Debretes among the ancient Arabs held the World Eternal, and the Soul mortal. Hence their Proverb, Uteri pariunt, Sepulchra deglutiunt, The Womb makes, the Tomb takes. Next for the Phanicians, he finds them very anclent, and early knowing in Letters, Arithmetick, Aftronomy, Physiology, Navigation, Foreign Trade and Planting Thales and Zeno were Phanicians, and to them went divers of the Greek Philosophers. Stabo fays, that Moschus found the Hypothesis of Atomes before the Trojan War. The Philosophy before the Trojan War was all by Tradition, but after that came in the Rational and Disputative. Nothing remains of Sanchoniathon's Philosophy, but that he had fludied the Kosmogonian of Taautes, deducing the World from the ancient Chaos, and a precedent IAOV or Matter. He wrote also divers other Philosophical things; but they, as well as all the other Authors that wrote of the Phænicians, as Theodotus Hyngerates and Mochus, Hestieus, and Hieronimus Egyptius are wholly loft.

In the Seventh Chapter he enquires what Footsteps of ancient Physical Learning is to be mer with among the Hibrews, and in their Cabala. First he notes, that Lattantius wonder'd why Pythagoras and Plato went to the Egyptians for Knowledge, but not to the Hebrews; which is an Argument they were not then confiderable for that kind of Knowledge; that is, Physical and Mathematical. What they had was contained in their Cabala, which they pretend to have received from Moses. But whatever it were at first, it hath been much depraved with Rabbinical Figments and Chimera's: Such are the Myssical Numeration by the Letters of Words; such are the Magical Spells derived also thence; such the expressing common Notions by a fort of Mystical Words, or Characters, or Numbers, to make it more wonderful to such as do not understand it. Which was a trick made use of also by Pythagoras in his Symbols, by the Egyptians in their Hieroglophicks, and by the Hermeticks in their Cant: All which, if the Veil were removed, would appear empty Nothings, being of no other use, but to amuse the Ignorant and conceal their own Desects and Emptiness. They divided their Cabala into Nominal and Real. The Nominal was Triple, Gemmatria, Notaricon, and Themmura, p'ainly Modern and Barbarous Names. All confifted in shuffling the Letters of Words mixed with Number. Reuelin yet fays, that the Jews affirm, that thereby may be found out all the Knowledge of Moses and Solomon, as well Divine as Humane, and that Solomon himself received all his Know-ledge thereby.

The real Cabala they make Two-fold, i. e. The Doctrine of Sephiroth, and the Doctrine of the Four Worlds. They make 10. Cabalistick Sepheroth, viz: the Crown. 2. Wisdom. 2. Prudence. 4. Magnificence. 5. Severitv. 7. Victory. 8. Glory. 6. Pulchritude. 9. Foundation. 10. Kingdom. by which what they mean the Author understands not. They pretend they are Emanations from God, and that they are Numbers emanating from the infinite Verity. He spends some time in examining each, and their branchings out into divers other Subdivisions. But upon the whole he concludes, That the further he proceeds the more Obscurity and Consusson he meets with. Next he examines the Doctrice of the Four Worlds, to wit, Azilath, Briah, Jetzirah, Ashiah, i. e. the Worlds of Emanation, Creation, Formation and of the Fabrick; before all which they put the Ensophick, or Infinite World, from which all the other do spring. Upon a more deligent Enquiry Into the Contents of these mystick Doctrines the Author seems to think them all Chears, and concludes with the Words of Christ, Men love Darkness rather than Light, because their Deeds are evil. Every one that doth Evil hateth the Light, lest he should be discovered; but he that doth Truth, cometh to the Light, that his Deeds may be manifest; suspecting all those affected Obscurities to be devised by them to conceal nothing else but their own Ignorance and Deceir. The Author proceeds further with examining other parts of the Cabala: But upon the whole he finds nothing rational, or containing any real Knowledge. But he conjectures, that the most ancient Cabala, before it was confounded and defiled with Fables, might contain somewhat of the Origination of things, and their Gradations; but as it has been in time chang'd and augmented by imaginary Fables, and blinded with impertinent and nonfenfical Explications, it is now become a confused Mass of Incongruities and Absurdities. But by examining these Dregs, he conceives that the Ancients might hold, That before the Creation all things had their being in God; and that from him they flowed or emarated when first made, and that they will all flow back into him when they are destroyed, which he conceives was also the Opinion of the most Ancient Philosophers; and that there would succeed other Emanations and Regenerations, and other succeeding Destructions and Obsorptions to all Eternity, as it had been from all Eternity; that Nothing was produced out of Nothing; and that the things produced never return to Nothing, but always have their Subliftence in God. Which Sence, if it doth not contain, he conceives it may be look'd upon as Salt that hath loft its Savour, which is good for nought, but to be thrown out of Doors. But if it contains any sollid Knowledge, it ought to be manifested or condemned otherwise to perpetual Darkness. But lest it might deceive the Ignorant, he cautions them to be lead by no Authority that is not Divine, nor by any Reason that is not clear and manifest. Before he leaves this Head, he enquires concerning the Islini, a Sect among the Jews. not unlike in their Manners to the Indian Brachmans. All that these had of Philosophy, says Philo, was of God, and of the Generation of things: But what that Knowledge was does not appear; but he conceives it is to be learnt from the Brashmans, with whom they agreed.

From these he passes on (in the Eighth Chapter) in his Search for the ancient Learning amongst the Egyptians, where he finds it very ancient, and much celebrated by the Hebrews, who extol both Moses and Solomon, the one for knowing, the other for excelling it; and by the Greeks, as from whence they had derived their Knowledge. Upon a stricter Enquiry into it, he finds it to be first Geometry, as old as the overflowing of the Nile, upon which account it was invented; but he thinks they were but Land Meters; and that the Greeks, as Thales and Pythagoras did make it Speculative. 2. Astronomy. But this the Chaldeans knew; nor doth it appear which were the first; but he conceives both were very ancient, but rude and imperfect, and much improved afterwards by the Greeks. However, he believes they had a true Knowledge of the System of the Heavens, and understood the Motions of the Planets, &c. Yet he thinks that they did not understand them so well. as to reduce their Motions to Calculation. They are faid also to have cultivated Musick and Physick, but these also without Theories, and only by Tradition. And tho Herodotus fays, they had for every Disease a particular Physiclan: Yet both these were much more improved by the Greeks. They are faid by others to be the Inventers of Chimistry. Lastly, That they were knowing in Physicks or Natural Science; that is, the Cosmogonia, Theogonia, or Theologia, which they look'd upon as the same Science. They held the Earth to be formed out of the confused Mass of the Four Elements, or our of the Chaos; and a two-fold Destruction of it, one by Fire, another by Water; That the Earth was of an Egg form; and that we call the Pythagorick System was the oldest of all others, but kept among their Areana. Their most Celebrated Philosopher was Hermes Trismegistus; though when he lived, or what he thought and writ is not known. Those that bear his Name are fictious. But among the multitude of his Writings, his Cosmologia, mentioned by Philo Biblius, is loft. The Egyptians preserved their Learning by their Priess, who had Colledges in divers parts of Egypt. They had it inscribed on Obelisks, and written in Books. The Babylonians had Coelestial Observations for 720 Years inscribed on burnt Tyles. Democritus transcribed his Morals from a Babylonian Column. The Columns of Hermes in Egypt are famous, on which were inscribed his Doctrine. From these the Greeks and Phanicians had much of their Knowledge, and Manetho his Sacred History. Amianus Marcellinus says, these Columns were placed in subterraneous Vaults, and were there before the Flood. Manetho and Fosephus affert the same of theirs, both possibly the same. In their Books were written their Laws, their History and their Philosophy. Some things were communicable, others were Arcana, not to be devulged. They had also a two-fold way of Writing, one Common, another Sacred. This was written with Hieroglyphicks. The Brachmans have not only a different Character, but a different Language to preserve their Philosophy. Priests were strict Concealers of their Secrets, as many Authors complain of them. Nor was Pythagoras admitted without being first Circumcifed, and otherwise qualified. The Effeni, and others of other Nations, as Persians, Syrians, and Indians, administred Oaths, &c. of Secreey. They further obscured their Knowledge by Symbols, Ænigma's and Fables, in which the Greeks also follow'd them, as did also most other Nations in the Histories of their Gods, of which kind he gives divers Instances. Among these are

the Mythologies of the Prophets in the Old Testament, and the Parables of Christ in the New. This Mythologick Genius Salustius calls Divine; and it is plain Myhologies were made use of by the Ancients to conceal their knowledge from the Vulgar, and yet preserve it safe for the Learned. Nay, Divine Providence doth so conceal both Divine and Natural Knowledge, that this or that part becomes known to this or that Man in one Age, which hath lain absconded to all preceding. This Mythologick was the most ancient Learning among the Greeks, as he proves by several Testimonies; yet was it first received from the Egyptians. This causes him to enquire whether the Works of Hermes were so writ, and he finds by a place quoted by Eusebius, that they were first written plain, then turned by the Son of Thabien into Allegories, and then transcribed into Greek. So that plain Writing was before Mythologick; and 'tis probable those that have it Mythologically, had it before pure; and that the Additions that have been added to the first Fables, have obscured, if not quite obliterated the concealed Sense. He propounds and answers two Objections, by which he shews the Inconsistency of Men's Actions with their Knowledge, and so Apologizes for the Egyptian Idolatry, and their Astrological gick and Magick Practices. Last of all, he enquires how the Egyptians came to lose this Learned State they had kept for so many Ages, which he shews to have proceeded from their being conquered and overun by divers Nations; as by the Persians under Cambises, and some succeeding Kings, by whom it began to decay. And by the Romans, who burnt the Alexandrian Library, with which their Learning was also much destroyed; and by the Conquest of the Saracens totally extinguished. Amongst the lost Historians of the Egyptian Learning, Manatho was the chiefest, as appears by Testimonies now extant, next Sanchoniathon, then Afelepiades, Petofiris, Hecateus, Palephatus, and Cheremon, and many others. These are Losses, but nothing to be named with the loss of the Library of Ptolomeus Philadelphus, wherein many Myriads perished in a moment, containing the Sum of all Egyptian, may, and all Oriental Learning too, in all probability.

In the Ninth Chapter he enquires concerning the Gracian Philosophy, of which, that he may the more fully give you the History, he produces sufficient Proof to shew it derived from the Egyptian; not that he denies the Grecians to have much improved several parts thereof: That they learn'd their Geometry, Astronomy and Arithmetick from the Egyptians, Chaldeans, and Phonicians, Herodotus, Plato, Aristotle, Diodorus, Strabo, Laertius, Achilles Tatius, and others their own Authors do testifie. 'Tis said, Semiramis, who lived Eight Hundred Years before the Trojan War, built a high Tower in Babylon, on the top of which the Astronomers made their Observations, at which times the Greeks had not the use of Letters. Moreover, Califthenes sent into Greece from Babylon Celestial Oservations for 1900 Years before Alexander's time. And Epigenes found Observations at Babylon for 720 years, and others were brought of 480, as Pliny relates; these were inscribed on baked Bricks: Whereas the Greek Observations began with Hipparchus and Ptolomy. Yet though the Histories were furnish'd by the Barbari, the reasons of their Motions were invented by the Greeks. But though they improved the Mathematick Arts, yet they vitiated the Physicks, which they also received from them. That the Greeks had these from the Barbari, Cles mens Alexandrinus and Eusebius both testifie; as doth also Porphyrius and Fo-(ephise

sephus, and Diodorus Sieulus, who affirm the Greeks most eminent for this Learning. As Orphius, Thales, Pherecides, Pythagoras, Solon, Plato, Eudoxus, all fetcht it either from the Egyptians or Phanicians. Nay, the very knowledge of Letters was first brought into Greece by Cadmus not long before the Trojan War. Whereas Learning flourish'd in Assyria, Egypt, Phanicia, Arabia, Ethiopia, India, and among the Celti long before that time. There were two states of Grecian Philosophy. The first, which was the oldest, most of which was wrote in Verse, treated of the Cosmogonia, or Origination of things, by Thamyras, Linus, Orpheus, Museus, Hesied. Also Thales, Benophanes, Empedocles, Parmenides, and some others, whose Fragments are collected by Steevins in his Poesis Philosophica: All which Poetick Writers did mythologize the Colmogonia, Homer only excepted. This the Author proves by several Testimonies; and adds, That Orpheus was the first that brought Learning into Greece; that afferred the Oval Form of the Earth. the Form the Author affigns to his Antidiluvian Earth: That he lived not long after the time of Moses, and was the Founder of the Grecian Theology. as Moles of the Hebrew; and that both accommodated the Ceremonies ro the Capacity of their respective People. That is, Orpheus instituted such a Religion as he could, not what he would, wanting the Divine Affifiance of Miracles which Moses had. He was seign to make use of Musick and pleasing Mythologick Stories to allure his People from Barbarity to Government and Society. For which purpole it was of very great benefit, and generally made use of not only by Poets, but Legislators and Physiologers. Orpheus excelled in all these, and first taught the Grecians, Religion, and the Rewards and Punishments of another Life, which he proves by Heathen and Christian Testimonies. But among these must be distinguished what he taught the Vulgar from what was his Secret Doctrine, for that he had both the Inucon क्षे बे क्लंड्रिमरण Suidas fays, he held the Heavens to be formed of the Æther, and the Earth out of the Chaos, before which he placed Time as the measure, but he makes them both coeval; whereas others of the Ancients separate them by a multitude of Ages. Empedocles makes all the Stars to be Fires, but Orpheus to be Worlds; the Author supposes the Planets were meant. As the Moon was therefore called ἀντίχθον, which Orpheus first afferted Habitable, proved by Proclus, as also that the Oval Earth was to be destroyed by Fire, and then to be renewed. Before he leaves this Head, he answers the Objections of cicero, and more especially Gerard Vossius, who would perswade that there never was any such Men as Orpheus, Museus or Linus, whose Arguments the Author thinks no ways cogent or to be regarded, fince there are so many positive Proofs to the contrary both in Heathen and Christian Writers.

In the Tenth Chapter he enquires among the Greek Philosophers that succeeded Orpheus: And first amongst the Ionicks. These are the Second kind of Physiologers, who wrote expressly and in Prose, not in Verse and Mythology, as the former. These were Thales and his Ionicks, Pherecydes, Pythagoras, Zenophanes, Parmenides, Lencippus, Democritus, Empedocles, Plato, and others, to Epicurus and Aristotle, in whom ended Philosophick Autiquity; except some Fragments among the Stoicks. Thales was a Phænician, but received his Knowledge from Egypt, and was famous for Geometry, Astronomy and Physick, and afferted the Immortality of the Soul; and first writ a Discourse of Physicks, without Mythology or Theology. Nothing of his Assertion

fertions remains but only that all things were generated out of Water. Which is agreeable to St. Peter and Moses. Possibly more particulars of his Doctrine may be found among his Followers, Anaximander, Anaximenes, Anaxagiras, Archelaus. Anaxagoras then makes a mind to Regulate Marter, and move it. and was therefore called Ngs. He ranges the four Elemen's by Gravity, and is faid to have introduced Vortices. As for the Heavens, the Ionians much promoted the Knowledge of them; i.e. They held the Heavenly Spaces to be Æther; the Stars, Fire; the Planets opaque Bodies: That the Moon had Hills and Vales, and was Habitable, and that it was enlightned by the Sun. which was a most pure Fire. He held also the Rotation of the Earth, as also the Annual Motion about the middle of the World, as Theon Smyrneus affirms. To shew the Reason why it is so difficult to find what were their true thoughts. He quotes this Pussage of Plutarch. This Doctrine (that is, concerning the Heavens) was not celebrated and famous, but hidden and kept fecret, and it was discoursed of with great Caution among a few under an Oath of Secrecy; for Philosophifings concerning the Heavens would not be endured. because those seemed to restrain and bind up the Divine Numen to Causes without Reason, and to blind Powers, and to involuntary Effects; upon which Account Protagoras was banished, and Anguagoras put in Irons. Socrates also for the Name of a Philosopher was put to Death. Whence the Author obferves, that in all Ages it has been very dangerous for Philosophers to speak plain Truth amongst the Vulgar.

In the Eleventh Chapter he enquires concurning the Doctrine of Pythagoras and the Italic Philosophy, where he finds that either Pythagoras wrote nothing. or If he did, even the History of them is lost: So that nothing of his Phyfiology is remaining fave only his Theory of the Heavens, which is called the Pythagorick System; he placing the Sun in the Centre, and the Earth moving round it; the Moon as an Anrichone or opposite Earth enlightned by the Sun, the Comets to be above the Air, or between that and the Planets; that the Heavens were fluid Æther, and the Stars fo many Worlds. We know not his Sentiments about the Cosmogonia, or Terrestrial Productions, for he more busied himself about Coelestial Speculations, and about Abiliracts and Intellectuals, as did also his Followers, who treat of the Anima Mundi, confiding in Harmony indivisible and divisible the same, and an other (as they Phrase it) and such other Airy Notions as have no Foundations in Nature. But Ocellus Lucanus, another Pythagorean, makes the World Eternal, both for Matter and Form, as he does also the Race of Mankind. But indeed there is little in this Author that savours of Pythagoras his Doctrine; and Plato differs wholly from him, afferring the World to be made by God, and to be corruptible. Yet it must be granted that both the Pythagareans and Platonists incline to make the Matter Eternal, but the Form Variable; and they had both their Vulgar Doctrines. and their Secret Doctrines. And though the Author cannot find any but common sense in his Mystical Numbers, yet he thinks there might be some. what more, which is now lost to Mankind: The chief of these was his Tetractis, the Seventh was not Ignoble, but the Tenth the Noblest and most per-But of the Reason and Signification of these neither any of the Ancients nor Moderns give any Satisfactive Solutions or Explications. Yet all the Ancients affert this to be the Doctrine of Pythagoras. And therefore after having run over many of their thoughts, the Author thinks that Numbers will M m produce

produce nothing but Numbers, not Things; and that converting things all to Arithmetical Operations was in the Pythagoreans and Platonifts a saliure, nor unlike that of the Peripateticks, of turning all into Logical terms. Pherecides Pythagoreas his Master, writ a Theogonia. And Empedocles, one of his Scholars, asserted the Sun to be a Mass of Fire, and the Stars to be sherry, and the Earth made of the sour Elements, united by Love, and separated by Strife. Tis uncertain whether Pythagoreas wrote or no, but certain that Philolaus, Archytas, and Eudoxus dia. And its said Plato bought three Books of Philolaus, whence he took his Timeus. Archytas is said to have written many things, but all are lost but a Fragment about Mathematicks. Eudoxus also, and Empedocles, but all are now lost. The Writings also of Aristotle concerning the sour Pythagorick Elements are lost, and some others. So are the Writings of Aristoxenus, Heraclides, Neanthes Hermippus, Syrianus Didynus, and Eudoxus. Also Moderatus Gaditanus, Alexander Polybistor, Androcidus, and others.

In the Twelfth Chapter he enquires concerning the Opinions of the Eleatick Sect, and of the Stoicks. This Sect was Founded by Zenophanes in the times of Anaximander, and confifted of a Mixture of various Nations and Opinions. The Doctrine he held was, that there were infinite Suns, and infinite Moons like ours, which he said was habitable, but that they were all Eternal. Parmenides held them to be formed out of Fire and Earth, and Men out of Clay. They agreed much with the Ionick Seat. Leucippus and Democritus were of this Sect, who introduced Atoms. Leucippus acknowledged the Motion of the Earth on its Axis, and that when the fluid Mass settled into a Globe, it was covered by a dry Skin, which growing thicker, formed the habitable Earth: He supposes the Axis also at first right, but altered afterward; both which are consonant to the Author's Theory. Democritus was an Auditor of Leucippus, and had travelled Persia, Arabia, Ethiopia, Egypt, and India, to improve his Knowledge among the Learned of those Countries, and has written many Books, though all lost. 'Tis said he affirmed the Moon to be a fired Body, but with Mountains, Hills, and Vales; but the Author supposes only fiery Mountains, such as are on the Earth. Callimachus wrote of his Opinions. Opinion of the Stricks is Famous for the Future Conflagration of the World. But little is to be found concerning the Production of it, yet they afferted God to be the Author of it; but to this they joyn Matter mixt and of it felf without Motion. This was perishable by the Conflagration, but the Divine Principle Eternal, as they effeemed also the Matter it self, though the Form perished. So the unformed World they made Eternal, but the formed to have both beginning and end. They held moreover, of formed Bodies, the Fire to be first, and out of that to be made the other Elements, which again were resolvable into it. Concerning the Mundane Periods and the Fate of the Stoicks, little need be faid in this Discourse, it being well known. Many Books have been written by and concerning them, but all lost; yet 'tis said there is one Ancient Book or Piece of Apollonius Tyrius yet exflant in some Library, but not published, concerning the Opinion and Works of Zeno.

In the Thirteenth Chapter he enquired among the Platonicks, Aristotelians, and Epicureans. First, he finds the Platonicks like the Pythagoreans, to be most taken up in high Speculations of Abstract Notions, and in assigning Causes

of Things to Numbers and Geometrical Figures. Thus Plato in his Timens makes nothing visible but Fire, nothing tangible but Earth, between thefe two for their own Union are placed Air and Water. The Solid Bodies he supposeth made up of Triangles; Fire he makes of Pyramids, confishing of four Triangles; the Earth of Cubes, confifting of fix Squares, and each Square of four Triangles; the Air of Octotedrons, each fide divided into fix Scalenes; then the Water of Icolaedrons, confifting of Twenty Triangles, each made up of Scalenes. To these he adds a Soul, confishing of Numbers and Proportions. The best Interpretations yet extant concerning it do not make Sense or Reason of it, and at best the Author thinks it but a Lusus Ingenii. Further, he makes God and Marter to be the two first Principles to which he adds a third of Idea's. But Plato's Excellency was not for Physicks, but for Morals and Theology. Divers Writings of the Platonists are out, and some lost; but these he passes by, fince we have the Works of Plato himfelf. And now comes to the Dregs of Philosophy amongst the Epicureans and Peripateticks. From Plato's time to the present Age he finds nothing of Philosophy, nothing of Discovery, nothing but a Chattering and fruitless Methods of Wrangling. Concerning the Origination of things, there is nothing found to be met with in Aristotle or his They would make the World Eternal, both for Matter and Form; Men also, and all things else to be so too. Besides, he does not agree with his own Principles, desposing the four Elements one above the other, but shews not how the Earth seems to be in some parts higher than the Water. Then for the Heavens he makes Adamantine Orbs, and the Stars like Golden-headed Nails fished to them: These Orbs involved he makes to move round the Earth in 24 hours, that is every day. To this he introduces a contrary Motion for each Planetary Orb from West to East; the Matter of these he makes incorruptible, but below the Orb of the Moon he puts Fire Elementary, without Light or Heat. In the middle Region of the Air he puts the Milky Way and Comets. For the explicating of all other things on the Earth, he introduces Substantial Forms, and Specifick Qualities, as infignificant as the Pythagorick Numbers and Harmonies. Which being so, he won ters why it has been so long approved of by the Christian World. Then the Author giving a short Catalogue of his Errors, and hids adieu to him, as to a had Astronomer, a worse Theologe, and the worst of all Physiologers. His Catalogue is this: 1. That the World is Eternal and Incorruptible. 2. The Heavens folid, and moved by contrary Motions. 2. The Matter of the Heavens Divine and Immutable. 4. The Stars to be denser parts of their Orbs, and the Planets to shine by their own Light. 5. Light neither a Body nor a Motion. 6. Elementary Fire under the Moon. 7. The Galaxi and Comets, Meteors. 8. The Earth immovable, in the Centre of the World 9. Up and down to be founded in Nature immutably. 10. The Forms of Animate things substantial. 11. Sensation to be made by Intentional Species. 12. Rarefaction and Contraction to be made by the increase and decrease of Extention in Matter, 12. Providence not to descend below the Moon. 14. The Soul to be interferent, and of doubtful Immortality. Next he vifits Epicurus, illiterate, yet of no Vulgar Wit; he accommodated all things to his Senses, and so his Notions were for the most part absurd and vulgar. He corrupted only the Doctrines of Democritus and Lencippus. Upon the whole, the Author thinks his Doctrines not worth confidering, but refers the Reader to Gaffendus. He concludes this Enquiry with giving the Names of several that have written of the Opinions of their Grecian Philosophers, and of some that have written their antiphyra, or Secret Do-

Mm 2 Arines,

Arines, as Pythagoras Zacintheus, Perphyrius, Numerius: He regrets the loss also of many Polyhistorians, as, Theopompus, Phavorinus and Alexander Polyhistor; also some of the Writings of Deodorus Siculus and Vatro. Now whereas some alledge some sew others that have been samous for Philosophy in other parts of the World, yet not what they knew or maintained; and there being no Monuments remaining of such their Knowledge, the Author seaves them in the Obscurity he sound them, and thinks he has performed his designed Undertaking to seek what there could be sound worthy of preserving among the Ruines and Relicts of the most ancient Philosophers, more particularly relating to the Beginning of things, and has left no quarter unsearched, nor omitted any thing which he judged material to this purpose; though other Particulars not pertinent, whether bad or good, he

has omitted, as being impertinent.

To end this Disquisition, in the Fourteenth Chapter he enquires concerning the Beginning of the Barbarick Philosophy; that is, whence the Egyptians, Ethiopians, Chaldeans, Phanicians, Arabians, Indians, and other Orientals obtained their first Knowledge. There are two Opinions concerning it: One, that they were invented by themselves; and the other, that they were taught by Moses or Abraham. The Author approves neither of these. Not the first, because he meets with no Mention of Experiments, or Observations, or Reasoning and Demon-Arations, or Method, or particular Explications; but what was known was Traditional and Positive. Not the Latter, because this Learning was eminent long before those times; for that Moses was bred up in the Schools of Egypt. and for that the Ethiopians were much preceeding to the Egyptians. Belides the Instance of Job, who is thought by the Author to have much preceded Moses; for that he takes no notice of the Jewish Laws. Ceremonies or Sabbath, yet was he one that worship'd the true God; and for that the Age of his Life was above 200 years, and he offered his own Sacrifices without a Priest. Upon the whole therefore the Author thinks it was conveyed to Mankind by the Sons of Noah, and by him from the Patriarchs of the Antidiluvian World. These are the principal Matters treated of in the First Book.

TN the Second Book the Learned Author defigns to make use of such Testimonies as he can find amongst the Fragments of the most ancient Philosophy. to confirm the Doctrines of his Theory of the Earth, delivered in the First Book thereof, for that he has not therein alledged such Testimonies to confirm it, intermingled with the Discourse it self, as he has done in the Second, but framed the whole from the Idea he had of the Nature of the things And whereas in the former Book of this present Treatife he has inquired after the Ancient Doctrines concerning the whole, or the Universe: In this he restrains his Search after such Doctrines as more particularly concern the Formation and Fabrick of the Earth only. In the first Chapter then he finds that the Production of this World out of a Chaos was the most general, and the most ancient Doctrine of all the Learned, both Theologers, Physiologers and Poets. He begins with Moses, and shews, that his Toby and Boby was the same that the Ancien's called Chaos. And the Apossie. Heb. 11. 2. does so interpret it, and most of the Christian Interpreters understand it so also, as do the Hebrews. As to other Nations, they either deliver it expressy, as the Philosophers; or Mythologically, as the Poets. The Philosophers differ only, for that some ascribe the Formation to a Mind, others to Chance. He brings several Proofs of it from Phanicians, Egyptians, Greeks Romans, Persians, and from divers other Authors very famous among the Ancients; but others, as Orpheus, Empedocles and Hefiod made Strife and Love to Operate in the Separation and Union of the parts of the Chaos.

In the Second Chapter he enquires, what Form they affigued to the Primogeneal Earth, and what Estates it was to undergo. First, For the Form, that it was differing from the present. Here first he proves, by the Testimony of St. Peter, that there was a three-fold flate of the Earth, viz. the Past, Present and Future. By the Past he shews was meant the Antidi uvian, and by the Future the New Heaven and New Earth, which he proves by two passages in Isaiab, and one in the Revelation. This he shews was also mentioned by St. Paul in the 8th of the Romans, upon which Passage he insists much to prove, that the Apostle meant this three fold state of the Earth; and alledges the Judgment of the Fathers, Tertullian, Irenaus, Crigen, Hierom, Chrysostom, and others to confirm it. And then endeavours to answer such as had affigned other Expositions of the Word Creation, of which there are three forts. One would have the Fallen Angels to be understood, which he shews could not be the meaning, fince they are not to be restored. Others would have by Creatures to be understood Men: But that cannot be the Sense, because the Creature and Men are distinguished in the very Texr. Thirdly, Those that would have the Gentiles to be meant, are the farthest of all from the plain Sence which he makes to be (the formed World,) and that it is to pass thorough three States, a former, a present, and a surure.

In the Third Chapter he brings Authority to prove the Primigeneal Form and Situation of the Earth, and wherein it differ'd from the present, of which the Ancients ned manifest Knowiedge. The first he alledges is that of St. Peter, 2, 3, 6. where he says the Words are opposed to those who afferted the state of the World to be the same as at first: And adds, that St. Ansim in expounding these Words of St. Peter, doth so understand them; to wit, that not only the old Earth perished in the Floud, but the Heavens also, the next enquires wherein the difference is between the former and the present, and finds St. Peter there describing the field, to affert it to be out of the Water, and to stand by the Waters; that is, (says he) to be placed above the Waters, and be sustained by them. And by this he explains Pfal. 136.6. Prov. 8, 27. but brings no further Proof of his Dostrine.

In the Fourth Chapter he enquires concerning the Nature, Manner and Causes of Deluges, but chiefly of the Universal. Here he repeats what he has faid concerning the quantity of the Water requisite in his Theory, and quotes also what Isaiah says in describing a general Deluge, who makes a kind of Earthquake to precede it, for the Eruption of the Waters out of their Womb, and several other places and Commentators upon them, who all scem to mean Earthquakes. Then he enquires what are the Causes of particular Inundations, which he makes Three. (i. e) 1. The Irruption of the Sea upon the Low Countries. 2. Great Raine. 3. Eruptions of Waters out of the Body of the Earth by Earthquakes': Of each of these he brings Examples out of History. The first is Strabo's Description of the manner of making the Dead Sea where Sodom and Gomorrah formerly stood by an Earthquake. Secondly, Apassage in Amianus Marcellin's about the finking and drowning of places. Another out of Diodorus Siculus concerning the City of Atalantes, and of Strabo for Eubea. Another out of Ziphiline in the Life of Antonius Pius, of the loss of the City of Cyzicum. out of Diodorus Siculus about the loss of Bura and Helice. Another out of Pausonius of the Loss of the City Idea. Then he mentions the Ogygian and Deucaleon Floods. Of Deucalians he remarks a notable passage out of Lucian.

In the Fifth Chapter he enquires whether there be any Record among the Ancients that may confirm his Conjecture about the right Situation of the Earth to the Sun. Whence proceeded a perpetual Equinox without differing Seasons: For this he looks for the Description of the Golden Ages, viz. in Plato's Phado, where he says, under Saturn the Motions of the Heavens were uniform, but under Jupiter there was an avaquosia of the Heavens to the posture they are now in, which was the Cause of all the Evil that followed. He speaks also of its Renovation, or being restored to its somer state. Of these things we have an impersed Account, by reason of the length of Time past; but these and greater, or more wonderful things have happened. Next for the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth, Plato says it was equilibrate without Inclination, sopponor of the Earth says it was equilibrate.

In the Sixth Chapter he enquires concerning the changed Polition of the present Earth: For this he has a Cloud of Testimonies beside Plato, to wit. Anaxagoras, Empedocles, Diogenes, Leuceppus, Democritus, who all speak of the changed Situation of the Earth's Axis, whose Testimonies he has elsewhere mentioned. Among the Inventions of the Aftronomers, the Obliquity of the Zodiack and the Seasons of the Year are ascribed to Thates; which is an Argument it had not been so from the beginning. But the Bistories of the Progress of Astronomy, written by Eudemus and Theen Smyrneus have perished, which might have afforded more cogent Arguments. Here he mentions what Ovid. Virgil and Homer have faid to this purpose, in describing the Golden Age and the Elizium of the Dead. From the Poets he passes to Plutarsh's Description of the Fortunate Isles, which is a more modern Name for the Elizium, and agrees much with the Paradifical Earth. out of Diodorus Siculus, of the Mand Tabrobana; which he supposes to be for the same purpose. From these Heathens he comes to the Jewish and Christian Writers, describing Paradise and the Garden of the Lord; who do much agree with the Heathen Descriptions of the Golden Age. He quotes If Abarbanel upon the 2d of Genesis, and Aben Egra; also Maimonides upon the noth Chapter of the Sanbedrim; Manasseh Ben Ifrael on the Creation, and Abraham Ecchellensis concerning the Testimonies of the Jews and Arabians. Then for the Christians he brings Tatianus: He quotes also Suidas, and sums up all with Bellarmine's Conclusion, That there was a perpetual Spring, and another Course of the Sun then at present. He adds, that Aristotle thought the short and uncertain Life of Creatures proceeded from the Oblique Motion of the Sun in the Zodiach: And that Mantuan says, it was the Opinion of the ancient Astronomers. He adds also a Passage of Orpheus preserved by Proelus to the same effect.

In the Seventh Chapter he discourses of the Description which Moses gives of Paradife, and of the state of Nature in the New World; which is in general, That Moses accommodated his History to the Capacity of those for whom he writ, and that all he has faid is not to be taken in a strict literal Then in the Eighth Chapter he speaks of the manner of the Interpretations of the Expressions of Moses concerning the Hexameron, which is confonant to his Thoughts in the Seventh. And in the Ninth Chapter he endeayours to answer those who would have it to be literally understood. the Last Chapter he propounds what things are worthy to be considered in order to the making a right Judgment of the whole; and more particularly, of what he hath more freely delivered in the preceding Chapters. He defires his Readers therefore to confider the Use and Style of the Eastern Sages. 2. The mean and dull Apprehensions of the Israelites at the time when Moses writ. 2. What Learned Commentators have said of this matter. 4. What we are to think of the Great God, and what Thoughts concerning him are worthy, and what besceming. As to the First, These Orientals did for the most part deliver their Doctrines in Mythology, ad taptum Vulgi: But they had also a more Secret Doctrine, which they deliver'd only to such of their Schools as were of a more clear Understanding. So that though many vulgar Opinions have been ascribed to them, yet they were such as were deliver'd for the Vulgar, but that they had others referved for the more intelligent. So Iamblicus and Lacritus relate some Notions of Pythagoras, which accorded with the Vulgar

Vulgar Opinion of the Heavens; yet 'tis plain he held the other Doctrine. The Jewish Doctors say, the Law speaks with the Tongues of Men; that is, with a Vulgar Style, yet they had their Cabala to conceal their Mysteries: Nor was this Foreign to the Christian Schools. Secondly, The People of Ifrael when Moses writ were of dull and uncultivated Understanding, not fit for Natural Speculations or Divine Contemplations. Thus much Manaffeb Ben Israel says, and so does Moses Maimonides. This he conceives to be the reafon why Moles so wrote the History of the Creation. Thirdly, Commentators both Jews and Christians have afferted. That many things in that History are not to be understood Literally but Allegorically: For this, besides Philo Judeus, who makes it all Allegorical; and the Cabalifts, whom he passes by, he names R. Moses Egyptius for a folid Author afferting it, and Fosephus; as also Aristobulus, quoted by Eusebius, who is of the same Sentiment, as were many of the Christian Fathers which he cites at large. Fourthly, As to such as do not adhere to the Literal Sense, he admonishes them that they do not admit any thing unworthy of God or of our Religion.

Printed for S. Smith and B. Walford, Printers to the Royal Society, at the Princes Arms in St. Paul's Church-yard, 1693.