

The New Orleans Bee.

NEW ORLEANS, MONDAY MORNING, MARCH 5, 1855.

THE DAILY BEE.
Published by G. C. COOPER & CO.
Editor, J. H. BARRY.
Business Manager, W. H. COOPER.
Daily price, 25 cents. Subscriptions, \$1.00
per year. Extra copies will be sent to
any subscriber who sends us a copy
of his paper, and we will forward it
to him at the rate of 25 cents per copy.

THE DAILY BEE.
Saturday Evening, March 5, 1855.
The Northern Mail came through
yesterday with the latest news.

THE LAW OF EVIDENCE.

No part of our political system is subject to more strict and minute examination than the law of evidence, and none more impor-

tantly requires revision and reformation.

Our legislators have retained without due re-

spection in the codification of the military law, which is now to be found in the code, and is to be found established by foreign jurists, for

a people living under different political regi-

mens, in a country where no one can be sure

what the law is, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

eyes of reason and philosophy, the fundamental principles of justice, and to

the rights of man, are violated in the

process with adopting a foreign system,

the labor of legislation and compilation, and

the expense of the nation.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.

The rules of evidence, with the

object of saving the state from developing

treachery, are calculated to injure, and instead

of advancing the purpose of justice, and

of saving the state, they are calculated to

injure the state, and the decisions of the

court must be stretched or curtailed, to suit

the case of the habeas corpus.