

# THE CHRISTIAN DOGMA ON THE TRINITY; THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE OF THE MESSAGE OF JESUS AND MOSES

## FOREWORD

### Chapter 1

The Vatican Church, and the Protestant Churches believe that according to the Bible Jesus claimed to be the son of God and was conceived by the Holy Ghost! As this is the fundamental belief we have to first define who or what is a Ghost, a God and a Son of God so as to arrive at meanings that will be reasonable and understandable. But before actually doing so let us quote the most authentic Christian sources, i.e., The Vatican and the Protestant Churches about what they have to say about the Bible, which is the main source of Christianity.

**T**he Catholic Church states:

**“Bible, Manuscripts of the. Copies of the Biblical text, written by hand. The text of the Bible has been handed down to us through handwritten and printed copies of the original writings and through**

translations into various ancient and modern languages. None of the original manuscripts written by the inspired authors themselves (autographs) is known to exist, but there are many ancient copies of *the originals*." (J. P. O'Connell, et al. The Holy Family Bible Holy Family Edition of the Catholic Bible, from a Practical Dictionary of Biblical and General Catholic Information, Virtue and Company Limited: London, 1959, p. 30)

## **T**he Protestants Concur With The Catholics:

"Since no autograph of any book of the Bible has survived, textual criticism plays an important part in Bible study. The material on which textual critics of the Bible work includes not only manuscript copies of the books of the Bible in their original languages but also ancient translations into other languages and quotations of biblical passages by ancient authors" [New Bible Dictionary, 1978, p. 151]

According to the Catholic Bible (1959), the language Jesus spoke is ARAMAIC, which was the spoken language in Galilee at that time.

**“...No contemporary literary remains of this dialect, [Aramaic] remains, we cannot determine precisely the dialect He spoke.”**

(J. P. O’Connell, et al. The Holy Family Bible Holy Family Edition of the Catholic Bible, from a Practical Dictionary of Biblical and General Catholic Information, Virtue and Company Limited: London, 1959, p. 30)

The above statements from the main churches of Christianity state clearly that they do not have anything that is original. *Take note:* the Protestants do not possess any manuscripts, but rely on the Catholic for this evidence, as the Catholics were the creators of the first Bible under the reign of Constantine, the Great Pagan.

[1. Refer to the following books: The Sun-Gods of Ancient Europe. 1991, by Dr. Miranda Green]. [2. The Origin of the Bible by S. I. Ibrâhîm Nabîbukhsh & A. Y. Moosa]

This means that there cannot be any original words in any book of Jesus! Let us now define the words<sup>1</sup>:

The definition of ‘ghost’ is: 1.n. dead person appearing to the living, spectre; soul of dead person in Hades &c.; emancipated

1. REFERENCES FROM: The Pocket Oxford Dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition-1977 (ISBN 0 19 861113 7) & The South African Oxford School Dictionary, Hardback Edition 2006 (ISBN 978 0 19 576526 7)

or pale person; shadow; secondary image seen in defective telescope &c. hack doing work for which employer takes credit. Holy g~, third person of Trinity etc<sup>2</sup>

The dictionary meanings are. - 'a dead person appears to the living,' (cannot be proven) 'soul of dead person,' (soul cannot be seen and understood rationally) 'a secondary image seen in a defective telescope,' (there were no telescopes in the time of Jesus), all of which are not factual and which have never been substantiated with evidence. The last definition of a hack is understandable and will be discussed a little later. Let us now refer to the Holy Bible the primary source of Christianity to see if we can gain a better understanding of the word 'ghost'.

MT 1:20: "But while he thought on these things, behold, the angel<sup>3</sup> of the LORD appeared unto him in a dream, saying,

Kindly note: All words in bold, italics and underlined, are our emphasis

3.Angel: 'divine messenger'- cannot be supported by evidence as no one has seen such a creature. The word angel is derived from the Greek according to the dictionary, and as is well known the Greeks were pagans and had pagan beliefs. It is reported in the Cape Times, Travel section page 16, dated September 25, 2009 that '*Cyprus is the birth place of the gods. In Greek myth, Cyprus was the birthplace of Aphrodite, the goddess of love, beauty and sexual desire. Adonis, Aphrodite's lover, the vegetarian god, was also believed to have been born in Cyprus.*' ( **HISTORIAN WILL DURANT states: "Christianity did not destroy paganism; it adopted it.**

Joseph, thou son of David, fear not to take unto thee Mary thy wife: **for that which is conceived in her is of the Holy Ghost.**"

LK 3:22: "And the Holy Ghost descended in a **bodily shape like a dove upon him**, and a voice came from heaven, which said, Thou art my beloved Son; in thee I am well pleased."

JN 1:32: "And John bare record, saying, I saw **the Spirit descending from heaven like a dove**, and it abode upon him."

MT 3:16: "And Jesus, when he was baptized, went up straightway out of the water: and, lo, the heavens were opened unto him, and he saw the Spirit of God descending like a **dove**, and lighting upon him."

MK 1:10: "And straightway coming up out of the water, he saw the heavens opened, and the Spirit like a **dove** descending upon him."

As can be deduced from the above verses from the KJV Bible, it clearly defines what the Holy Ghost is. All the verses claim the Holy Ghost is a *dove*. Let us refer to the definition of dove:

Dove, n. pigeon (esp. of turtle-~ & allied kinds, or in a comb as ring-~turtle. & rhet. use as type of gentleness or innocence or embodiment of

the Holy Spirit.

In other words the Holy Ghost is: 'a dove which is embodiment of the Holy Spirit.'

God and Adam's story from the King James Version from Genesis:-

Chapter 3:8-13: "And they heard the voice of the LORD God *walking* in the garden in the *cool* of the day: and Adam and his wife hid themselves from the presence of the LORD God amongst the trees of the garden. And the LORD God called unto Adam, and said unto him, Where art thou? And he said, I heard thy voice in the garden, and I was afraid, because I was naked; and I hid myself. And he said, Who told thee that thou wast naked? Hast thou eaten of the tree, whereof I commanded thee that thou shouldest not eat? And the man said, The woman whom thou gavest to be with me, she gave me of the tree, and I did eat. And the LORD God said unto the woman, What is this that thou hast done? And the woman said, The serpent beguiled me, and I did eat."

(Note: 1. The (Bible) God was unaware that Adam and Eve had eaten of the forbidden fruit. 2. The serpent entered the garden without the knowledge of God. 3. The Bible God walked

*in the cool of the day* as he felt the heat of the sun. 4. The serpent could *talk*, and was more intelligent than Adam and Eve to beguile them). 5. God had to ask: 'Where art thou?' to locate them as He was unaware where they were.

Definition of God: There are other meanings but we shall restrict them to a few that are in conformity with the Bible's meanings:

God, n. superhuman being worshipped as having power over nature & human fortunes; image or animal worshipped as symbolizing or embodying or possessing divine power

Son, n. male child in relation to parent; male descendant, off-spring; the Son, Christ;

According to the New World Translation of the Holy Scriptures-Revised 1984- printed in 2006- Jesus describes himself as a 'worm'.

Job 25:6- "How much less so mortal man, who is a maggot, and the son of man, which is a worm!"

Let us examine the meaning of the words 'worm' and 'maggot'.

Maggot -noun, the larva of a fly; a creature like a small, short worm

Worm. Noun 1. any of several types of animal with a long soft rounded or flattened body and no backbone or limbs. 2. the worm-like larva of certain insects 3. an insignificant or contemptible person

We now have a clear picture of the child that was born from the mating of a dove and a woman. It is '*an insignificant or contemptible person*', as per Jesus' own description

## Chapter 2

Now we have a clear picture of the Trinity as per the Bible's meaning:

1. God the Father; God the Son; and the Holy Ghost.

2. God the Father sent the Holy Ghost, who is in fact the Dove, to impregnate Mary:

Luke 1:35:- "And the angel answered and said unto her, The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee: therefore also that holy thing which shall be born of thee, shall be called 'the Son of God,' - [who is '*an insignificant or contemptible person*'].

In other words God's son, Jesus, who many Christians worship as a god, was born through the following method: The Holy



Ghost changed his form to that of a dove and 'come upon Mary' so she could fall pregnant.

Is it possible to prove that a Dove can have intercourse with a mature women with his miniature penis and cause her to fall pregnant and that 'holy thing' will become God's son?

Say for the sake of argument an person accepts this occurrence, then what kind of offspring should be born? The scientific answer is that it will be half a man and half a dove! (*The Bible says that Jesus had brothers as well*).

This story proves that the [Bible] God is incapable to come upon Mary, and had a dove/ ghost or ghost/dove (meaning a *hack*) to make a son for him, who is Jesus!

From the above we can conclude that Christians worship **3 separate entities**: i.e. God, Holy Ghost and Jesus. [Some Christian sects even worship Mary as the mother of God]. Moreover, in means Christians believe in a *baby god* who was so helpless that his mother had to change his napkin when he messed, and to breast feed him when he was hungry. The second God is a Ghost who takes the form of a dove as per Bible verses above. And the third, is God the Father who walks in the garden in the cool

of the day as the heat of the sun, (which he is supposed to have created), is too hot for him.

## TEXTUAL CRITICISM

A study of Textual Criticism is necessary to understand the significance and impact with the most recent information at hand, namely the Dead Sea Scrolls had on Biblical history and understanding. The Catholics explained it as follows: We quote:

“**T**he science that seeks to determine as nearly as possible the original biblical text as it was written by the authors themselves. This science applies to other literature besides the Bible, for example, to the Latin classics such as the works of Horace or Cicero, or to the plays of Shakespeare. In each case, all the available evidence is gathered to determine the history of the transmission of the text, and then compared to establish what seems to be the original text. There are two kinds of evidence which the textual critic uses in order to determine the text: external (documents) and internal (*conjecture*). As regards external evidence for both the Old and New Testaments, there are thousands of **Hebrew** and **Greek** manuscripts which have

been preserved through the centuries. Besides, there are many **copies** of the old translations of the Bible, such as the **Greek** Septuagint, the **Latin** Vulgate, and the **Syriac** Peshitto. All these **versions** are important because they tell us about the state of the Biblical text at a time not long after the original text was written. Hence the textual critic must master all these Languages in order to use these sources, and then by comparison of text and translations he strives to reach the original reading. Some parts of the Bible have been corrupted (i.e., the original reading has been lost) during the course of its history. If the corruption occurred very early, it may be impossible for the textual critic to arrive at the original by use of documents. Then he must resort to conjecture: taking into account the context, and various possibilities of error in the script, he strives to restore the text as he conceives it was originally written. For example: "Return, O Lord, you who ride upon the clouds," in Numbers 10:36 is a conjectural emendation of a corrupt Hebrew text. While the substantial integrity of biblical text has been preserved by the providence of God; there is still a place for textual criticism, as the Church recognizes. In the Divino afflante

Spiritu... Pope Pius XII said that the art of textual criticism is “quite rightly employed in the case of the sacred books...to ensure that the sacred text be restored, as perfectly as possible, and be purified from the corruptions due to the carelessness of the copyists...”

In essence what it really means is that Textual Criticism forms the basis of Biblical establishment and compilation. In other words, the Bible has no solid foundation at all. The persons who have compiled and written the Bible have no second names and those who are referred to as ‘textual critics’ are unknown, as no names are mentioned in the Bible or in the Bible Dictionaries.

Look again to the languages that are stated in which the copies are written. **Latin, Greek, Hebrew, Syriac** and one can immediately see that none of these languages were spoken by either Jesus or Moses. Furthermore, note the words ‘**versions**’ and ‘**copies**’ and one will know that these are all not true books.

From the afore-mentioned, we deduce that the authors or Textual Critics mastered the languages of copies or at least the translated copies of an unknown Bible. What pertinence can be embodied in the works of the Textual Critics

if no knowledge about the original text exists? Is it possible to accredit constructive significance to a text, which is said to be near to the original without the original being available? (C.B., pp. 242-243.) Since when can a piece of work based on a copy of some book, which is claimed to be a copy of the lost original in translated form, project valid support in favour of the meaning of the original? It is wrong and misleading to say the least to refer to the term "corrupted" as "the original reading has been lost," again implying that corruption became due to "carelessness of the copyists." The corruption could only be due to the reproduction of verses which were non-existent. Moreover, science can be proven as it is exact. Guesswork and forging claiming it to be the word of God be termed as science. In the case of 'Textual Criticism' that is exactly what it means: *surmising and conjecturing and passing it off as the word of God.* The Catholics conceded that this conjectural emendation (correct and revise) of this corrupt Hebrew text is unacceptable. However, it is not as the Pope stated that textual criticism can restore a text which was corrupted due to the carelessness of the copyist; because the copyist knew what the contents of the original text was comprised of, and still changed it to something other than the

written one. Therefore, it is wrong to assume that it was copied wrongly. Quote: "There are the thousands of manuscripts that contain variants."

*Example:* If a person forged a letter claiming it to be from the President of his country, what will be the consequences of his action when he is found to have forged it? Will anyone believe the letter to be true? Will that person be trusted again In other words, there are huge numbers of 'variants' parts in the Bible due to the fact of Textual Criticism. The statement, which says: "THESE VARIANTS ARE CAUSED BY PARTLY DESTROYED MSS AND NOT BECAUSE OF DIFFERENT RECORDED VERSIONS", supports our claim. When the 'destroyed MSS' were re-written by the Textual Critics then the Bible must contain a different version to that of the original statement. That is a fact. Let us take the example of a Shakespearean play (the same example the Church uses) translated from English into a foreign language like Japanese, and thereafter the English one is destroyed or lost for ever. The Japanese translation is subsequently also damaged extensively and is found in small fragments decades later - thereafter unknown 'textual critics' centuries later begin the task of translating it back into

English without having recourse to the original English as it was lost, and not even having the complete Japanese translation; then one will realize that it is impossible to reconstruct the original play of Shakespeare as it was in the original English! This is exactly what the implication is regarding the 'reconstruction' of the Bible, as: "The thousands of manuscripts that contain variants" means in actual fact that the Bible contains primarily the Textual Critic's words and not that of Jesus or any other Prophet!

### Chapter 3

#### A Story For Believing Christians From The Holy Bible:

Once upon a time there lived a good woman in Palestine who spoke the Aramaic<sup>4</sup> language. One day an 'angel<sup>5</sup>' came and informed her that

---

4. According to the Catholic Bible (1959), the language of Jesus is said to be Aramaic, which was the spoken language in Galilee at that time. The Westminster Dictionary of The Bible' by John D. Davis. Revised and Rewritten by Henry Snyder Gehman, 1944, p.149. [Important Note: The Catholic Church states that the dialect spoken by Jesus is unknown! ]

5. i. S.A.Oxford Secondary School Dictionary 2007 Edition- in some beliefs, an attendant of God that is usually pictured as looking like a person with wings in long white robes. ii. An extremely beautiful or kind person [from Greek angelos meaning 'messenger'] Our Comment: As can be seen, this word originates from the Greek pagans as they believed in these types of creatures, also witches, many gods and goddesses.

the 'Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee', and so she will conceive a son.

Now she was extremely worried and scared but this 'angel' told her not to be afraid as the 'Holy Ghost' was going 'come upon Mary' and be very quick and everything was going to be okay.

So she agreed, and along came this Holy Ghost in the form of a **dove**. So this **dove** did what was necessary and she got pregnant. But now the amazing thing is that the child that was born from the mating of the dove and a human woman was a male child. Wonderful isn't it? That was not all; she was now told that this child was not the Holy Ghost/**dove's** child but God's son. Holy of holies, the Holy Ghost/**dove** does the deed but it is now God's child? But wait, there is still more. This child never spoke his mother's language which was Aramaic, when he grew up. He spoke GREEK! Then a fellow by the name of Paul said that this **dove's**/ god's son was to commit **suicide** (go willingly to die) to atone for everyone's sins. Hallelujah! Now everyone can commit murder, rape, steal, fornicate and whatever else you want to do and it is forgiven. Hallelujah!!!  
Come, let us leave the jokes and be rational. If



your daughter or sister came with such a story would you believe it? Okay, say for arguments sake you believe it because you believe in miracles. Hallelujah! So then you go to the doctor and tell him the Holy Ghost / **dove** story that your daughter/ sister is pregnant. I bet you he will look at you as a mentally disturbed person and have you and your sister/ daughter taken to the juke box as quickly as possible.

But before they take you away to the juke box can you please explain to us rational human beings how a **dove** can have sex with a woman? We mean the *dove's penis is so tiny* that it is impossible to do anything to a woman. If you say it was the Holy Ghost in his own form (*that would be contradicting the Bible's account*) then kindly explain how an invisible spook can carry out a physical action of sex with a material body? And furthermore, the offspring should be half invisible and half visible; half a dove and half human. These questions need answers!

If you claim that it is a miracle, then according to a rational person it can never be accepted, as it cannot be proven scientifically, but only through blind belief. We know Christians believe blindly<sup>6</sup>

---

6. JOHN DAVENPORT writes in his book titled 'Apology to Muhammad and the Qur'ân' regarding the trinity: - 'This is why blind faith was demanded,

because they are told to do so. Then Christians will also have to accept the same arguments of the Hindus, as they believe in miracles too:

There is a story in their scriptures that once one of the Gods of the Hindus went away for a number of years. [You may be aware that Hindus believe in millions of god]. On his return to his house the entrance was blocked by a young lad of about 14 years and he would not let this god into the house because his mother was there alone. In rage the god sliced off the head of the boy. Immediately his wife came to the door on hearing the commotion and cried out 'this is our son you beheaded'. The god got a shock, (*imagine being a god he did not know it was his son*) and began to look for the head but could not find it. He saw an elephant nearby, and so he beheaded the elephant and placed the head on his son's body. In all this time of the drama, the headless body was still alive, and after the super fast operation took place, the son was alive and well, but with an elephant's head on a human body. (The first known transplant in the world?) That is why one of the Hindu gods has an elephant's head and a human body. There are many photos of that god with an elephant's head which Hindus worship.

and this is why 12,000,000 (twelve million) (Unitarian) Christians were put to death by the Church as heretics in the notorious Church 'Inquisitions'!

Christians cannot refute this story as this is similar to their belief of miracles. The only difference is that yours is a dove, and theirs is an elephant. Same significance -Same belief. Miracles!

Our comment: If belief is based on some feeling which cannot be substantiated with evidence, then it must be termed an illusion. For example, when I was in India, some of my Hindu friends, one of them is a medical Doctor and the other one has a Doctorate in physics, told me that they saw their Bhagavân (name of one of their Gods, which they made from cement and stones) cried tears of milk. This, they claim is their experience. If one believes emotionally, then anything is possible in spite of their qualifications. The same goes for the miracle birth of Jesus. A spook became a dove, had sex with a woman, and the offspring is a male child - named Jesus - who became God's son.

*That is why we say: 'A person can be very well qualified academically in most fields of research, but if Christianity captures and enslaves one's mind, then one loses direction of the path to truth'.*

**Truth is the criteria to set one free.**

Nobody can dispute that, not even atheists. The reason for saying this is that in this day and age, how is it possible for any intelligent person to

believe in a 'baby god' that was born of a union between a woman and a ghost/ dove, and drank his mother's breast milk, had to have his nappies changed when he messed as he was so helpless, crawled on the ground as he grew up as any other baby, ate food and answered the call of nature etc then spoke a foreign language.

Christian Churches know they are trying to defend the PAGAN beliefs of Constantine, who created Christianity.

J. Arthur Findlay in the following words further supports this view:

"Christianity was the compromise. Conditions then were like conditions now. Philosophy then, as Science now is doing, was killing superstition, and consequently the pagan priesthood opposed it just as the Christian priesthood opposed science. Science has conquered because it had behind it the printing press which philosophy lacked. The people then were turning away from the ancient Religion, the temples were neglected, and the Gods were not worshipped as of old. Something had to be done to preserve the power of the priesthood. Something new but yet the same had to be given to the people to satisfy their longings. Constantine on reaching

the throne saw how events were moving within his domain, especially in Rome, and decided that the then little known cult, Christianity, suited best the needs of the people, provided its differences could be settled and it was brought more into line with pagan thought. Eusebius and Athanasius produced the necessary structure, the former the Nicene Creed and the latter the ideas which ultimately developed into the Athanasian Creed. Eusebius and the other Church fathers, it is reasonable to believe, would not hesitate to make what alterations were necessary in the writings and records of the cult to bring them into line with the creeds they produced. Honesty and accuracy, as we understand them, did not exist in those days. For three hundred years prior to Nicœa no historical records existed, so there was no great difficulty in deluding the people as to the past story of the cult. Any thing could be added to or subtracted from its past beliefs without undue comment" [Our emphasis].

No decent Christian will read EZEKIEL 23 /1-49 to their daughter, mother, sister or wife. These verses state the size of donkey's penis' and the rest of the filth! No decent man will read it to his family of females!

In the Bible there are hundreds of verses that encourage and condone: violence; cannibalism; murder; raping; degrading women; killing first borns; slavery; eating human feces; walking and jumping naked; abortion; being happy while dashing babies against walls and killing them; siring illegitimate children; murdering innocents; God killing more than 50,000 people for just looking into a box; God ordering the killing of those peeing against the wall; God showing his backside to Moses; a husband to chop off his own wife's hand if she helps to save his life etc. *(For a soft copy of these verses with the references kindly send an email requesting the booklet to: [davegoldsmith@rocketmail.com](mailto:davegoldsmith@rocketmail.com))*

**"Whenever we read the obscene stories, the voluptuous debaucheries, the cruel and tortuous executions, the unrelenting vindictiveness with which more than half the Bible is filled, it would be more consistent that we call it the word of a demon than the word of God. It is a history of wickedness that has served to corrupt and brutalize humankind." --Thomas Paine**

**"The Christian religion has been and still is the principle enemy of moral progress in the world." --Bertrand Russell**

**"I almost shudder at the thought of alluding to the most fatal example of the abuses of grief which the history of humankind has preserved-- the Cross. Consider what calamities that engine of grief has produced!" --John Adams**

Most of the Gospel accounts in the Bible contradict each other. One book gives an account and another book gives a totally different account of the same event. Like the Genealogy of Jesus. One book contradicts the other.

Let us take the times of crucifixion:

Luke 23:44: "And it was about the sixth hour, and there was a darkness over all the earth until the ninth hour." (Refer also to: MT 27:45/46; MK 15:33)

For over 2,000 years it was accepted without question that the times stated by all the narrators of the Gospels were correct. Our answer to the above is that this is a fabrication that was introduced centuries later. Why do we say that? **Simply because there were no**

watches / clocks invented at that period of time of Jesus! It is historically recorded that **Muslims invented the time pieces / clocks.** In the **14th century**, the only type of clock available was the water clock. (*Refer to "The Intellectual Developmentment of Europe" by J.W. Draper written in 1863*).That is 1,400 years after Jesus.

A variety of mechanical clocks were produced by **Spanish Muslim engineers**, both large and small, and this knowledge was transmitted to Europe through Latin translations of Islamic books on mechanics. These clocks were weight-driven. Designs and illustrations of epi-cyclic and segmental gears were provided. One such clock included a mercury escapement. The latter type was directly copied by Europeans during the 15th century. **During the 9th century, Ibn Firnas<sup>7</sup> of Islamic Spain, according to Will Durant, invented a watch-like device which kept accurate time.** The Muslims also constructed a variety of highly accurate astronomical clocks for use in their observatories. So for anyone to sclain that this or that happened at the 6th hour or the 9th hour is a fabrication.

---

7: Prof Mike Bruton of the MTN Science Centre in Cape Town gave two Lectures- One at the Baxter Theatre, Cape Town, and the other at the Islamic Peace

University , Cape Town on these and other inventions by Muslims.



This information about the time factor also proves that the Bible was a man made creation of later centuries without doubt!

The Christians were so barbaric until Muslims came into Europe to try and civilise them. Let us take just this one example to prove this from John Willaim draper's book- The Intellectual Development of Europe, 1863, p. 342/5:

“From the barbarism of the native people of Europe, who could scarcely be said to have emerged from the savage state, unclean in person, benighted in mind, inhabiting huts in which it was a mark of wealth if there were bulrushes on the floor and straw mats against the wall; miserably fed on beans, vetches, roots, and even the bark of trees; clad in garments of untanned skin, or at the best of leather - perennial in durability, but not conducive to personal purity - a state in which the pomp of royalty was sufficiently and satisfactorily manifested in the equipage of the sovereign, an ox-cart, drawn by not less than two yokes of cattle, quickened in their movements by the goads of pedestrian serfs, whose legs were wrapped in wisps of straw ; from a people, devout believers in all the wild fictions of shrine-miracles and preposterous relics ; from

the degradation of a base theology, and from the disputes of ambitious ecclesiastics for power, it is pleasant to turn to the southwest corner of the continent, where, under auspices of a very different kind, the irradiations of light were to break forth. The crescent in the West was soon to pass eastward to its full."

"As an architect may judge of the skill of the ancient Egyptians in his art from a study of the Pyramids, so from these relics of Saracenic learning we may demonstrate the intellectual state of the Mohammedan people, **though much of their work has been lost and more has been purposely destroyed.**"

"To these Saracens (Muslims) we (Christian-Europeans and today we must include the agnostics and atheists of European descent) are indebted for many of our personal comforts. **Religiously cleanly, it was not possible for them (Muslims) to cloth themselves according to the fashion of the natives of Europe, in a garment unchanged till it dropped to pieces of itself, a loathsome mass of vermin, stench, and rags.**" [ibid. voll., p.33]

Yet Christians claim the Bible is divine. My Christian friends, God is not the author

of vulgarity, murder and corruption, etc. HE cannot be God if HE does those ungodly things. It can only be the devil!

We know that many Christians are well qualified academically, but they do not think. The reason is simply this; 'A person can be very well qualified academically in most fields of research, but if Christianity captures and enslaves one's mind, then one loses direction of the path of truth'

Mark 16:17- "And these signs shall follow them that believe; in my name shall they cast out devils; they shall speak with new tongues;" Mark 16:18- "They shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall recover."

Matthew 17:20- "And Jesus said unto them, ...If ye have faith as a grain of mustard seed, ye shall say unto this mountain, Remove hence to yonder place; and it shall remove; and nothing shall be impossible unto you."

There are no genuine Christian as defined in the Bible i.e. verses of Mark and Matthew.

## Chapter 4

**D**ear seeker of truth, one can see that the New Testament can never be the word of God. We shall now turn our attention to the Old Testament to see if it is authentic as claimed by the Jews, who base their claim on it to the so-called 'land given to them' by God i.e. Palestine.

**It is known that the Prophet Musa, (Moses) was brought up in the house of Pharaoh since a baby.** It is inconceivable that he would speak a different language, and a slave language at that, to Pharaoh as he grew up in his house and lived there for most of his adult life. After all he was adopted as a son by him. **Moses must have spoken a dialect of the Egyptian Arabic and not Hebrew with Pharaoh.** Also is it conceivable that the ruler of an empire would have spoken a slave language in his palace with his adopted son? Also keep in mind that the Bani Israeel, (*not Jews please, as the Jews came in existence much later through the raping by the Greeks and Romans long after Musa-refer: 'History of the Jews' by Paul Johnson*) were the slaves of the Egyptians for a very long period and must have adopted the language of their masters. This is evident even today in the Americas, and Africa where the colonists imposed their iron

fisted rule and forced the indigenous peoples to adopt their languages. *Examples:* Spanish in South America, French in African countries and Afrikaans in the Western Cape of South Africa.

The Protestants agree with this deduction of Moses speaking **Egyptian**:

**“A further implication is that Moses would have an Egyptian education, one of the best available in his day.”** [The Protestant New Bible Dictionary 1988, p. 305]

The Children of Israel (of Prophet Yacoob or Jacob) had settled in the land of Egypt centuries earlier at the behest of his son, Yusuf (Joseph). The original immediate few generations of their off springs must have been good people, but the succeeding generations must have become evil people so that the Egyptians must have made them into their slaves. The implication here is that their off springs must have spoke the Egyptian Arabic dialect since that time, which must have been for centuries as they remained in Egypt until the time of Musa.

Based on this important fact that the language that Musa spoke must have been a dialect of Egyptian Arabic, and not Hebrew, is enough to nullify any claim of the Old Testament being an Original

Book, and by implication means that there is no **At-Taurat**, as revealed to Musa in existence. That is why **the Jews have adopted the Old Testament of the Protestants, and named it Torah** as they do not have any original book of Musa. This nullifies any claims of the Jews that they have anything original. Therefore, the claim which reads as follows is false:

“They are the interpretations of the scholars from the original text, whether it’s Hebrew or Greek. Technically speaking, these, and any other translated literature are interpretations of the original from which they are translated.”

*Bluntly stated:* The above is a deliberate lie! There are no originals of any of the books i.e. Old Testament! We must never forget the fact that any Bible can never be a true Christian and Jewish document, never mind an original book as the **language** nullifies their claims.

“Unfortunately, historians are rarely as objective as they wish to appear. Biblical history, which for Christians, Jews and atheists alike involves beliefs or prejudices which go to the very root of our being, is an area where objectivity is peculiarly difficult, if not impossible, to achieve.” Paul Johnson: ‘A History of the Jews’, 1987, p. 6.

Below are a few references and quotations from the Bible to show that these statements must have originated from paganism, and not from God.

## QUICK REFERENCE OF CHAPTERS AND VERSES

Ezekiel 4-12 /13:	Eat shit and drink piss
2 kings 18-27:	Eat shit
Isaiah 16-11:	Fart in musical fashion -
1 kings 16-11:	Pisseth against wall-Death
2 Samuel 16-20:	David dances naked
Leviticus 25-44:	Slavery is allowed
1 Corinthians 14-34 /35:	Women to shut up in church
1 timothy 2-11 /14:	Wives must be slaves of husbands
Deut 22-22 , 22 – 13/21:	Stone women to death for adultery
Revelation 5-6:	Jesus had horns- horrible
Rev 2-23:	Jesus kills children
Matthew 10-34/37:	Jesus came to make war
Numbers 22-2 7/30:	A Talking Donkey
Exodus 22-29:	Paganism- human sacrifice
Deut 28-53; 28-57:	Cannibalism - Eat your children
Isaiah 7-20:	God is a barber
2 kings 6-2 8/29:	Boil and Eat your son
1 kings 14-1 0:	Pisseth, against wall, death
Deut 23-1 /2:	Bastard must not enter church
Deut 25-11/12:	Cut hand of wife if she saves him
Hosea 13-16:	Bible condones slavery

Ephesians 5-22/24:	Wives must be slaves
Judges 19-24/25:	Rape
Leviticus 12-2; 12-5:	Girl -14 days; Boy - 7 days
John 2-12:	Jesus had brothers
Rev 22-16:	Jesus is Satan
Luke 12-4 9; 12-51/53:	Jesus to make war, not peace
Luke 19-27:	Slay enemies
Luke 14-26:	Hate your family
Psalms 137-9:	Happy dashing children to death
Samuel 6-1 9:	God Kills 50,070 for looking in box
Isaiah 5-26:	A hissing God
Ezekiel 23:	Filthy sex and size of penis
2 Samuel 22-11:	God rides a cherub
Genesis 35-22:	Reuban commits adultery
Judges 16-1:	Samson commits adultery
Genesis 3-16:	Husband to rule wife

### Cannibalism:

“And the king said unto her, What aileth thee? And she answered, This woman said unto me, Give thy son, that we may eat him to day, and we will eat my son tomorrow. So we boiled my son, and did eat him: and I said unto her on the next day, Give thy son, that we may eat him: and she hath hid her son..” (II Kings 6:28-29)

Eating of human dung and drinking of piss:

“And thou shalt eat it as barley cakes, and



thou shalt bake it with dung that cometh out of man, in their sight. And the LORD said, Even thus shall the children of Israel eat their defiled bread among the Gentiles, whither I will drive them." (Ezekiel 4:12-13)

"But Rabshakeh said unto them, Hath my master sent me to thy master, and to thee, to speak these words? hath he not sent me to the men which sit on the wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their own piss with you?" (II Kings 18:27) (See also Isaiah 36:12)

Be happy to kill children:

"Happy shall he be, that taketh and dasheth thy little ones against the stones." (Psalms 137:9, KJV)

"How blessed will be the one who seizes and dashes your little ones Against the rock." Psalms 137:9. New American Bible.

Cut off her hand:

"When men strive together one with another, and the wife of the one draweth near for to deliver her husband out of the hand of him that smiteth him, and putteth forth her hand, and taketh him by the secrets: then thou shalt cut off her hand, thine eye

shall not pity her.” (Deuteronomy 25:11-12)

A wife would naturally wish to come to the aid of her husband in any way she could if he desperately struggled with an opponent, but the Hebrew law specifically forbade a wife to help her husband in distress if that support consisted of her grabbing the enemy’s genitals in an effort to stifle his onslaught. The penalty? Amputation of the hand that fondled the genitals!

## Chapter 5

Below we list the names and the different books that make up the man made Roman Catholic’s Bible and the Protestants Bible:

<b><u>CATHOLIC BIBLE</u></b>	<b><u>PROTESTANT BIBLE</u></b>
Genesis	Genesis
Exodus	Exodus
Leviticus	Leviticus
Numbers	Numbers
Deuteronomy	Deuteronomy
Josue	Joshua
Judges	Judges
Ruth	Ruth
1 Kings	1 Kings
2 Kings	2 Kings
3 Kings	1 Samuel
4 Kings	2 Samuel
1 Paralipomenon	2 Chronicles
2 Paralipomenon	2 Chronicles
Esdras	Ezra
2 Esdras	Nehemiah
Tobias	(omitted)

Judith	<b>(omitted)</b>
Esther	Esther <b>(part omitted)</b>
Job	Job
Psalms	Psalms
Proverbs	Proverbs
Ecclesiastes	Ecclesiastes
Canticle of Canticles	Song of Solomon
Wisdom	<b>(omitted)</b>
Sirach	<b>(omitted)</b>
Isaias	Isaiah
Jeremias	Jeremiah
Lamentations	Lamentations
Baruch	<b>(omitted)</b>
Ezechiel	Ezekiel
Daniel	Daniel <b>(part omitted)</b>
Osee	Hosea
Joel	Joel
Amos	Amos
Abdias	Obadiah
Jonas	Jonah
Micheas	Micah
Nahum	Nahum
Habacuc	Habakkuk
Sophonias	Zephaniah
Aggeus	Haggai
Zacharias	Zechariah
Malachias	Malachi
1 Machabees	<b>(omitted)</b>
2 Machabees	<b>(omitted)</b>

The Jews accept only **39** books of the Old Testament, and divide them into groups, but in a different way than Christians.

Protestants accept both the Old and the New Testaments and generally divide the books into groups in the same way as Catholics. Most

Protestants however exclude from the Old Testaments 6 complete books (seven, if Baruch is considered a separate book) and parts of two others. These books (called "apocrypha" by most non-Catholics) were included in Protestant Bibles until fairly recent times. In his German Bible (1534), Luther did not exclude them, but relegated them to the end of the book. The King James translators (1611) did the same thing. It was only in 1827 that the British and American Bible societies began to exclude them completely.

Moreover, the names of the Old Testament books differ somewhat between the King James Version or other Protestant versions and most Catholic Bibles. The difference in name is of no theological importance, and there is a tendency among some Catholics today to adopt the King James' spellings.

Taking all of the above facts into consideration any truthful person will conclude that the Bible is not the word of God, but the word of man.

**Mark 7:7: "Howbeit in vain do they worship me, teaching for doctrines the commandments of men."**

Christians point to the following verse to prove that Jesus existed at the same time as God in the beginning.

John Chapter 1:1-2 "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. The same was in the beginning with God."

Let us analyse these verses: It means that:

God and Jesus were together as one. The Holy Ghost was not there at the very beginning. There were only the two of them. Then the Holy Ghost somehow came into the equation (we do not know how). Thereafter Jesus was transferred into the body of the Holy Ghost, and when he turned into a dove and had to '**come upon Mary**' (meaning the sexual act) Jesus came through the sperm of the dove into the womb of Mary.

## THE JEWISH CLAIM TO PALESTINE

Palestine has become an emotional issue between the Muslim world and Israel / the Jewish people. We have done an impartial study on the claim of the Jewish nation to verify if their claim, which is based on the Torah (of 916 AD), is valid or not. We look forward to an alternate viewpoint that is factual and provable, and not based on information that is heresy, and which cannot be substantiated with proof.

It is claimed by the Jews that they have a lineage with the Prophet Abraham, and the covenant was made between God and Abraham that his children from Isaac, which will inherit the land of Palestine. (In this claim the Jews conveniently overlook the fact that Abraham's children were not Jews, and neither was he not a Jew because during his lifetime there were no Jews yet!) That is the claim in a nut shell.

### *The facts are:*

1. Prophet Abraham was neither a Jew nor a Christian. The two religions, Judaism and Christianity, came centuries after him. The name Israel was given to Jacob by God, (Abraham's son) according to the Bible, and his direct descendants were called as the Children of Israel.

2. The Taurât was given to Prophet Moses is not what was created in 916 AD which is followed by the Jews and Christians, although the Christians adhere more to the New Testament than the Old. The Jews and Christians claim that the original language that Moses spoke, and in which he received the Torah was in Hebrew - this alone nullifies the Jewish and Christian claim.

3. According to the Jews they are the only ones to have the right to the land, as they claim that the Arabs are not the true children of Abraham. This is not true, as one father's children cannot comprise two different nations. A man having two or more wives bearing his children will keep the name and nationality of the father, and the sperm of the father determines the lineage, and the tribe will remain one. So the claim of two separate nations is not true.

4. For anyone/ or group to put forth any claim; evidence is required, and in support of the Jewish claim the Torah is submitted as the evidence and so it must be examined.

a. It is accepted by all three religions (Judaism, Christianity and Islam) that Prophet Moses (Mûsâ) was cast into the river by his mother to save him from being killed by Pharaoh.

b. He was rescued and brought up in the household of his enemy, Pharaoh, the monarch of Egypt. His mother was then employed to breast feed him. That is not disputed by any of the three religious groups.

c. The Children of Israel were in bondage / slavery to the Egyptians for many centuries prior to the time of Moses. Remember that they had come to Egypt since the time of Prophet Joseph and his brothers and parents.

d. Now the fact they were in bondage for so long, and being a minority group in Egypt they must have adopted many of the traits of the Egyptians, and most of all they must have been speaking the Egyptian language, by which they communicated with their masters.

e. This is evident even today, in South America where the Spanish colonized; in Africa where the Italians, French and English colonized. Look in Cape Town and we see that the Afrikaans language spoken here is a dialect of the Dutch Indonesians. Moses must have learnt and spoken the Egyptian language in Pharaoh's palace as Pharaoh would never have spoken a slave language in his house. That is a fact that cannot be disputed by anyone. It is also known



from history that the Children of Israel had to switch languages many times. Therefore, they did not always speak "Hebrew" which was only completed as a language in the tenth century AD. The New Bible Dictionary states:

**"A further implication is that Moses would have an Egyptian education, one of the best available in his day."** The Protestant New Bible Dictionary 1988, p. 305.

Be that as it may, it cannot be disputed that Pharaoh would never have spoken with him in the language of the slaves! Even if we want to agree that Prophet Moses spoke "Hebrew" (which is impossible), then we must also agree that the communication with Pharaoh must have been in the Egyptian language, and that the message that was revealed to him by God must have been in the language of Pharaoh, i.e. Egyptian. Therefore, there is no ORIGINAL Old Testament in the world! One must keep in mind that Prophet Moses' brother Haroon must also have spoken the Egyptian language. The Children of Israel were the slaves of the Pharaohs for more than 400 years. Consequently, it could therefore have been possible that they could no longer have spoken their own mother tongue,

which could have been the reason that they had to speak some dialect of the Egyptians. It should be known that Hebrew is a Greek word (See Peake's Commentary on the Bible 1919, p. 34; & The South African Oxford Dict. 3rd Edition, 2005- origin Greek *Hebraios*) and not a word belonging to a language of the Children of Israel. This leaves us with the question, as to whether Moses could have been the author of any part of the Hebrew Old Testament.

According to John Allegro in his book - 'The Secretes of the Dead Sea Scrolls', he says: The 'Sons of Darkness' were the offspring of the Greeks who raped the women of the Children of Israel. After the Greeks caused the rejection of those people who came into existence as a result of their raping, who were known as the 'Sons of Darkness'; then came the Romans - another nation of the Europeans, who then also raped the women of the 'Sons of Darkness', and their offspring became known as the 'Jews.'

Based on the above facts and arguments one has to truthfully conclude that as there is NO ORIGINAL TORAH to back up the claims of the Jews of today to Palestine.

If one were to claim support for the Jews from

the New Testament then, therein also one finds no support because once again the language that Jesus spoke also nullifies their claims:

According to the Catholic Bible (1959), the language Jesus spoke is ARAMAIC which was the spoken language in Galilee at that time.

**“...No contemporary literary remains of this dialect, [Aramaic] remains, we cannot determine precisely the dialect He spoke.”**

(J. P. O’Connell, et al. The Holy Family Bible Holy Family Edition of the Catholic Bible, from a Practical Dictionary of Biblical and General Catholic Information, Virtue and Company Limited: London, 1959, page 30.)

This means that there cannot be any ORIGINAL words in any book, which Jesus spoke!

**Consequently the Israeli / Jewish claim to Palestine is based on false premises.**

**I**n conclusion of our arguments we say that the Bible is a man made book and the Church is using it to control the masses in such a manner that it is milking billions of dollars by donations, and have created such a incomprehensible religion that makes a mockery of the Divine nature of God and His attributes. [On E.TV (South Africa)

on the morning of 27<sup>th</sup> September 2009, a lay preacher proudly boasted that God wrestled with Jacob the whole night and he was too strong for God. Just imagine that! Three Gods in one, Jesus, Holy Ghost/ Dove and God could not subdue one (human) man. What a mockery and yet Christians believe this! (Refer Genesis chap 32)]

Peace will only prevail in the world if there is truth and justice for all. The hierarchy of the Churches must come clean with the truth, and the Christian nations should stop supporting the lies perpetrated by the Jews in their false claim to Palestine. But they will not do that as the Jews hold too much power over them.

Cape Town. October 2009

DaveGoldsmith-

(email:davegoldsmith@rocketmail.com)